



## Enclosure 3: Department of the Air Force





SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE  
WASHINGTON

APR 08 2025

MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR PERSONNEL AND  
READINESS

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2024 Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the  
Military

In response to your September 3, 2024, data call, I am forwarding the Department of the Air Force's (DAF) input to the Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military.

The DAF received 1,879 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in FY24, representing a two percent increase from FY23. The DAF remains committed to ensuring a comprehensive sexual assault prevention and trauma-informed response across the enterprise using evidence-based and data-informed prevention and accountability measures. Our focus is on the needs of those most impacted (victims and survivors) while we partner with industry, academia, and other government agencies to identify and execute proven approaches to sexual harassment and sexual assault prevention and response.

The DAF is committed to prevention and responding to sexual assault, emphasizing leadership responsibility, and engaged leadership at all levels. The department aims to create a safe and respectful environment by equipping service members and leaders to prevent and respond to incidents, support victims, and hold perpetrators accountable, ultimately striving to eliminate sexual assault from the Air and Space Forces.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gary A. Ashworth", is positioned above the printed name.

Gary A. Ashworth  
Acting

## FY 2024 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military: Department of the Air Force

### Executive Summary

Department of the Air Force (DAF) has continued to work tirelessly toward reducing sexual assault – with the goal of eliminating it – through data informed practices, improved and evolving training, and policies that focus on centering the needs of victims and survivors of sexual violence. To that end, DAF is leveraging evidence-based practices and data-informed insights to inform comprehensive prevention and trauma-informed response strategies. Our unwavering commitment to supporting victims and survivors guides our efforts as we collaborate with industry experts, academic institutions, and government agencies to identify, develop, and implement effective solutions to prevent and respond to military sexual harassment and sexual assault. By prioritizing the needs of those most affected, we will continue to create a safe, respectful, and inclusive environment that improves force readiness, retention, and well-being of all Airmen and Guardians.

In Fiscal Year 2024 (FY 2024), DAF launched a series of bold initiatives to drive progress in Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR). We took deliberate action to enhance our capabilities, pursuing a range of strategies that included, but were not limited to:

- Continued implementation of recommendations from the Independent Review Commission (IRC) on Sexual Assault in the Military:
  - DAF has successfully completed 14 out of 27 IRC recommendations, as reported to the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) and is actively working to finalize the remaining recommendations.
  - The DAF's total action & milestone completion rate for the IRC recommendations is 85.6 percent.
- Publishing guidance to strengthen reporting options, bolster advocacy support, and enhance SAPR training.
- Leveraging Direct Hiring Authority (DHA) to expedite the recruitment and hiring of critical talent for the sexual assault response workforce (SARW) and integrated primary prevention workforce (IPPW), ensuring timely and efficient filling of key vacancies.
- Securing a contract to develop, design, and deliver new annual sexual assault prevention and response training, enhancing the DAF's prevention and response capabilities.
- Informing DAF Senior Leaders about emerging trends and issues related to technologically facilitated sexual violence, enabling proactive measures and decision-making.
- Working with research partners to examine the effectiveness of prevention efforts, assess current programs and policies, and identify areas for improvement.
- Developing and implementing metrics to enhance the evaluation of Total Force Annual Training (TFAT) effectiveness, ensuring data-driven insights to inform future training initiatives.
- Forming a SAPR Deployment Working Group to tackle challenges related to SARC and victim advocate deployments, improving response capabilities and victim care.
- Ensuring convenient access to Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program training through DAFSAPR SharePoint site, facilitating widespread availability and utilization of this critical resource.

DAF is leveraging cutting-edge technology and ongoing research to continually enhance our world-class prevention and response capabilities, driving a culture of excellence in support of our Airmen and Guardians. While we have made significant progress, we recognize that there is always room for growth and improvement. To achieve our goal of eliminating sexual assault, we must maintain a relentless focus on providing top-tier training, resources, services, advocacy, and response capability to our personnel. Effective leadership is crucial to this mission, and we require unwavering commitment and buy-in from all levels of command. Prevention is our top priority, but we must also ensure accountability and compassionate care for survivors of sexual assault.

By identifying and mitigating risk factors, bolstering protective factors, empowering Airmen and Guardians at all levels, and fostering a culture of respect and belonging, we can prevent and appropriately respond to sexual assault. This will promote the well-being of our Airmen and Guardians and protect against harmful behaviors compromising our force's lethality, readiness, and mission success. Ultimately, our goal is to create a safe, supportive, and resilient environment that enables our Airmen and Guardians to thrive and perform at their best.

**1. Goal 1—Prevention: “Institutionalize evidenced-based, informed prevention practice and policies across the Department so that all Military Service members are treated with dignity and respect, and have the knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assaults.”**

**1.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts to achieve the Prevention goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/National Guard Bureau (NGB) in FY 2024. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; training and credentialing of prevention professionals and unit leaders (e.g., through continuing education and professional development), training of Service members (e.g., through realistic training scenarios), and how prevention training effectiveness is being evaluated (e.g., monitoring outputs/outcomes); prevention resource capabilities and/or shortfalls; and ongoing prevention collaboration activities (e.g., periodic summits or working groups). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 1.2 through 1.4 below. (Prevention Plan of Action 2.0 (May 27, 2022) / Secretary of Defense (SecDef) Memorandum, “Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault in the Military,” (September 1, 2022) / Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD(P&R)) Memorandum, “Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military,” (May 16, 2024) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military,” (April 26, 2023) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action,” (April 26, 2019) / 2019-2023 DoD Prevention Plan of Action (April 2019) / SecDef Memorandum, “Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment and the Establishment of a 90-Day Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military,” (February 26, 2021) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Implementation of Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment in the Military,” (March 22, 2021) / SecDef Memorandum, “Department of Defense Actions and Implementation Guidance to Address Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the Military,” (July 2, 2021) / SecDef Memorandum, “Commencing DoD Actions and Implementation to Address Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the Military: Independent Review Commission Recommendation-Implementation Roadmap,”



**(September 22, 2021) / DoDI 6400.11, “Department of Defense (DoD) Integrated Primary Prevention Policy for Prevention Workforce and Leaders,” (December 20, 2022))**

The Department of Defense (DoD) established the Credentialing Program for Prevention Personnel (D-CPPP) to ensure IPPW professionals have the necessary skills for primary prevention efforts. DAF and the Office of Command Climate and Well-being Integration (OCCWI) track and ensure credential compliance within the prevention workforce.

Monitoring D-CPPP credentialing is an ongoing effort to regulate credentialing and continuing education processes. DAF continuously coordinates with OCCWI to verify approved continuing education programs, ensuring field professionals maintain their credentials, meet renewal requirements, and improve the implementation of the credentialing process.

During Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention Month (SAAPM), DAF intensified its focus on primary prevention initiatives for sexual assault. With the distribution of comprehensive campaign guides, DAF outlined robust strategies for skill development, behavior change, and fostering collaboration on outreach and educational efforts. In FY 2024, the organization placed an unwavering emphasis on promoting sexual assault prevention, reinforcing it as a steadfast commitment throughout the year.

DAF promoted enhanced collaboration between IPPW and prevention stakeholders, both within the installations and in the broader community. Community Action Teams (CAT) located at the installations were granted greater access to statistical data, facilitating data-driven decision-making for prevention efforts, fostering coordination among assisting agencies, and ensuring that communities were aware of the needs that may arise for individuals affected by sexual violence or associated adverse outcomes.

DAF established a pioneering Evaluations team to systematically assess and support IPPW in assessing prevention activities across the organization. In FY 2024, the team completed a Force-wide assessment of current capabilities for planning and executing program evaluations of prevention activities. By identifying areas for improvement, DAF is ensuring that programs are evidence-based and continually informing program enhancements and promising practices.

**1.2 Hiring Integrated Primary Prevention Workforce (IPPW): The IPPW works with leaders to build healthy climates and create environments free from abuse and harm. Briefly describe the actions your Military Service/NGB is taking to maintain the momentum in hiring this important workforce to get them in the field as quickly as possible.**

**OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military,” (May 16, 2024))**

In FY 2024, 245 prevention workforce positions were filled, representing 78 percent of the total authorized. Additionally, 77 out of 91 (85 percent) authorized Integrated Prevention and Response Directors were assigned. DAF Talent Acquisition Office hosted a successful 60-day Digital Hiring Event, attracting 1,422 applications and securing nine top-tier selections for the IPPW. This effort contributed to a 78 percent completion rate for the DAF's IRC hiring initiatives.

The IPPW participated in two intern programs. The Palace Acquire intern program garnered four interns at the following Major Commands (MAJCOMs): Air Mobility Command (AMC) (2), ACC (1), Air Force District of Washington (1). The Premier College Intern Program garnered

three interns at the following MAJCOMs: ACC (2) and AMC (1). Participating in these intern programs provides valuable hands-on experience and professional development opportunities, fostering the next generation of talent and innovation within the organization.

Lastly, DAF reallocated civilian pay dollars to support hiring, retention, and relocation incentives, significantly boosting hiring efforts. Additionally, IRC positions were added to the centrally managed pool, securing future funding for hiring incentives. DAF will also continue to fund incentives not covered by the centrally managed pool in FY 2025.

**1.3 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military (IRC-SAM) Recommendations (Lines of Effort 2 & 3): Briefly highlight major actions completed or underway in FY 2024 to address the approved IRC-SAM recommendations in Line of Effort 2: Prevention and Line of Effort 3: Climate and Culture (e.g., actions to establish the primary prevention workforce and enhanced climate assessment process). There is no requirement for your Military Service/NGB to discuss all approved IRC-SAM actions under this section, as DoD SAPRO will include a high-level status summary with the Annual Report. Note: Please don't provide any pre-decisional or unfunded activities in your response. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)**

In September 2024, DAF achieved a significant milestone by securing a contract for e-Learning. This contract aimed to develop, design, and produce various essential SAPR training modules. The first component focused on enhancing the annual sexual assault prevention education and training, ensuring that all personnel remained up to date with the latest policies, protocols, and strategies.

Additionally, the contract included specialized military leadership e-Learning training tailored for different leadership levels and experiences. This training was designed based on an in-depth assessment and gap analysis, targeting commanders, senior enlisted leaders, Basic Military Training Instructors, recruiters, and supervisors or managers of civilian personnel. The goal is to enhance their skills and knowledge, equipping them to effectively address and prevent sexual assault within their units.

Moreover, the contract covered the creation of initial sexual assault prevention education and training modules for new Service members, DoD Civilian personnel, and individuals in the accessions process, including those in the delayed entry program and officer candidates. This comprehensive approach aimed to instill a strong foundation of prevention and response knowledge and practices from the very beginning of their careers.

DAF is enhancing its leadership development programs under Line of Effort 2 by integrating and expanding prevention competencies and terminal learning objectives into all levels of professional military education (PME). This training will focus on building leaders' knowledge, skills, and attitudes to prevent sexual harassment and assault, and will include modules on environmental assessments, prevention strategy development, and evaluation and adaptation. The DAF's recent award of a SAPR e-Learning contract will support the delivery of this training to leaders across the force.

In response to IRC Recommendation 3.3, DAF is prioritizing education on cyber harassment and technology-facilitated sexual harassment and assault for its leaders. Senior leaders and commanders play a critical role in modeling professional online behavior and detecting abusive behavior, making it essential for them to possess specific knowledge and skills. The strategic

goal is to foster a culture of safety and respect, where Airmen and Guardians feel empowered to report incidents without fear of reprisal from leadership or peers.

To achieve this goal, two virtual presentation series were hosted. The first series, held on 15-17 April 2024, targeted DAF Senior Leaders (O-5 and above, E-8 and above, and civilians GS-14 and above, or equivalent), with 150 attendees participating in discussions on emerging issues related to tech-facilitated sexual violence. A separate series, held on 23-25 April 2024, was designed for Total Force Airmen and Guardians, with 166 attendees exploring topics related to digital safety.

These training initiatives align with IRC Recommendation 3.3B, which mandates education for leaders on cyber harassment and technology-facilitated sexual harassment and assault. By providing senior leaders and Airmen and Guardians with the necessary knowledge and skills, DAF aims to improve its climate and culture, ultimately creating a safer and more supportive environment for all personnel.

**1.4 Future Plans: Identify any major leadership-approved initiatives your Military Service/NGB is undertaking in support of prevention. Identify key considerations or obstacles that are currently affecting the implementation of prevention initiatives.**

DAF provides prevention professionals with information on evidence-based activities for inclusion in Comprehensive Integrated Primary Prevention (CIPP) Plans. However, there is a limited availability of such activities proven effective in military environments. To address this gap, DAF is working to research projects and thoroughly review and increase the availability of effective prevention activities for a DAF repository.

Currently, DAF is working with partners at 15 Air Force (AF), the US Air Force Academy, and Air Combat Command (ACC) to adapt, pilot, and evaluate an evidence-informed healthy relationships program aimed at addressing sexual violence. This program, though relatively new, shows promise. It will be piloted at one installation within 15AF, with participating Airmen completing pre- and post-program surveys. The outcomes will be compared with Airmen at other 15AF installations that did not host the program.

**2. Goal 2—Victim Assistance & Advocacy: “Deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all Military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness.”**

**2.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2024. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures; SARC and SAPR VA training and how training effectiveness is evaluated; SAPR personnel certification and training; resources/products to support victims, retaliation reporters, and responders (e.g., medical, and mental health services, local civilian service agencies, and the Safe Helpline); and SAPR training improvements for Service members. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 2.2 through 2.10 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim**

**Assistance & Advocacy, p. 7 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Program Procedures,” (September 6, 2022) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 2, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Education and Training,” (April 9, 2021)) / National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY2011, Section 1631 / SecDef Memorandum, “Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault in the Military,” (September 1, 2022)**

In September 2024, DAF released updated guidance to DAF Instruction (DAFI) 90-6001, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program*. This update introduced key modifications, including new criteria and locations for remote or isolated SAPR programming and staffing, expansion of SAPR victim advocacy services to include Service member sexual harassment victims/survivors, and an overview of the "Connect to Care" (i.e., "No Wrong Door") approach and co-location model.

Additionally, as DAF works to ensure additional full-time and professional SARW personnel are in place across DAF installations, the Volunteer Victim Advocate (VVA) program was extended until September 2027. This allows DAF to leverage VVA support for victim care, fill the SAPR Victim Advocate (VA) role for deployments, and supplement program outreach initiatives.

On September 18, 2024, DAF released updated training for VVAs, integrating the latest DoD policy changes and adding a facilitation guide to ensure consistency and compliance across the DAF. This update aligns with our transition and encourages VVAs to volunteer with the Prevention workforce, further reducing our reliance on them. This milestone significantly enhances our support system and maintains the highest standards of care and responsiveness.

From November 14-15, 2023, DAF hosted the FY 2024 Virtual Annual Refresher, covering critical topics such as supporting MTF at DAF installations, Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) Kits and procedures, Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), military perpetrators, lessons learned from deployed SARC panels, holistic self-care, preventing and responding to sexual harassment and assault, ethics for military advocates, trauma-informed leadership, cyber tech-facilitated sexual harassment, and DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP) suspension and revocation.

Starting in January 2024, DAF introduced Quarterly Refresher Trainings to continually enhance workforce skills. These training sessions focus on establishing the Office of the Special Trial Counsel (OSTC), sexual harassment policy changes, technology-facilitated sexual violence, bystander intervention, online victim-blaming and trauma response, communication practices during the Case Management Group (CMG), mission transformation, DSAID masterclass, ethics in advocacy, and keys to engagement. These initiatives ensure the team remains at the forefront of best practices and proactive strategies.

Lastly, in 2024, TFAT was updated to include both a pre- and post-knowledge assessment and end-of-course survey to measure effectiveness across the DAF. This comprehensive evaluation ensures continuous improvement and alignment with the highest standards, fostering a culture of prevention and support. Feedback collected will guide future training enhancements, reinforcing our commitment to excellence in addressing and preventing sexual assault.

**2.2 Professionalize the Sexual Assault Response Workforce (SARW): The SARW is a DoD-wide, multi-level, functional community that responds to and advocates for victim**



needs and advises leaders at all levels on appropriate response strategies. Briefly describe the actions your Military Service/NGB is taking to expeditiously meet the IRC-SAM milestones to develop, field, and sustain this highly skilled, specialized workforce to ensure Service members receive high quality victim assistance and advocacy.

**OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, "Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military," (May 16, 2024))**

In FY 2024, DAF demonstrated exceptional commitment to strengthening its workforce and enhancing support systems through expanded hiring efforts for IRC SAPR VA positions.

Initially, DAF made remarkable progress by filling 149 IRC positions, which represented 62 percent of the total authorized positions. This significant achievement underscored the department's dedication to addressing staffing needs and ensuring comprehensive victim advocacy.

Recognizing the need for broader support, DAF converted 70 Community Support Coordinator positions which were used to add one or two personnel at the operational MAJCOM and Field Command (FLDCOM) level, with the remaining positions added to installations. This strategic move ensured a more robust and widespread support network, reinforcing the DAF's commitment to community well-being.

To expedite the hiring process, DAF leveraged the recently approved DoD Direct Hire Authority (DHA) for the SARW model. This initiative facilitated quicker onboarding of qualified candidates, enabling the department to swiftly increase its staffing goals.

Furthermore, DAF reallocated civilian pay dollars to introduce hiring, retention, and relocation incentives. This innovative approach significantly boosted overall hiring efforts and effectively addressed vacancies in installations that typically faced challenges in filling positions.

In a proactive measure to secure future funding for hiring incentives, DAF included IRC positions in the centrally managed pool. This ensured a steady stream of resources dedicated to attracting and retaining talent, further bolstering the department's hiring capabilities.

Looking ahead, DAF committed to continuing the funding of incentives not covered by the centrally managed pool in FY 2025. This ongoing financial support is crucial for maintaining momentum in hiring and retaining victim advocate personnel, demonstrating the DAF's unwavering dedication to its mission.

### **2.3 SARC and SAPR VA DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification (D-SAACP)**

**Suspension, Revocation, and/or Reinstatement:** Without providing personally identifiable information, how many SARCs and SAPR VAs in your Military Service/NGB had their D-SAACP certification suspended, revoked, and/or reinstated? (Identify how many SARCs and SAPR VAs for each category). **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, "D-SAACP," (February 28, 2020), sections 3.6 & 3.7, p. 11-15)**

DAF SAPR Program had zero reinstatements, zero suspensions, three revocations as follows: two SARCs; one full-time SAPR VA.

**2.4 Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) Kits:** (No response required by NGB) For medical facilities operated by the Military Services in deployed environments or other medical readiness clinics in garrison that are specifically under the operational control of the Military Services, was the medical care of any Service member hindered due to the lack of a SAFE kit, timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources, availability of supplies for testing and treatment for sexually transmitted infections and diseases, including HIV, and testing for pregnancy, or other resources? If yes, explain and also address how many times this occurred and what actions were taken to mitigate the issue? (Note: For SAFE kits, this answer should be consistent with the number reported in the Victim Services matrices. Also, please note that the Defense Health Agency will respond separately to these questions for Military Treatment Facilities in garrison locations that are not under the operational control of the Military Services). (NDAA for FY 2006, section 596 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR): Program Procedures," (September 6, 2022), Encl 8 / DoDI 6310.09, "Health Care Management for Patients Associated with a Sexual Assault," (May 7, 2019), Section 3: Standards)

The Air Force deployed locations providing medical forensic services have verified that they have the necessary resources and capabilities to provide prompt and effective care to sexual assault patients. This includes adequate equipment and supplies, access to laboratory resources, medications, and ancillary resources.

**2.5 Military Protective Orders:** Were any Military Protective Orders (MPOs) issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report? If so, how many? Were any victim requests for an MPO denied? If so, what was the reason? How many MPOs were violated by the alleged offender? Indicate if any of the victim(s) and/or the alleged offender(s) were not provided with copies of the DD Form 2873, "Military Protective Order," as required, and if not provided, the reason(s) why the form was not provided to the victim(s) and/or the alleged offender(s). (NDAA for FY 2010, section 567 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR): Program Procedures," (September 6, 2022), Encl 5, para 8)

In response to an Unrestricted Report, 45 Military Protective Orders (MPOs) were requested. Of these, six were denied for reasons such as no significant safety concern, the offender being deployed, a no contact order being issued instead, or the victim having a Permanent Change of Station (PCS).

A total of 39 MPOs were issued, and two were violated by the alleged offender. All victim(s) and alleged offender(s) were provided a copy of DD Form 2873.

**2.6 Appropriate Care in Deployed Environments:** What steps did your Military Service/NGB take in FY 2024 to ensure trained personnel, appropriate supplies, and transportation resources are accessible to deployed units to provide an appropriate and timely response in any case of a reported sexual assault in a deployed unit, location, or environment? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1631 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR): Program Procedures," (September 6, 2022), para 4i)

In January 2024, DAF convened a SAPR Deployment Working Group to tackle the existing and anticipated challenges related to the deployment of SARCs and SAPR Victim Advocates. The group identified key issues: a lack of sufficient military and civilian deployable positions to meet

deployment needs, a high volume of reclaims, and limited ability to use Reserve and Air National Guard as deployable resources. Looking ahead, the group recognized future position shortfalls and the implications of the Air Force Force Generation (AFFORGEN) model on the SAPR capability-managed field. Several proposed actions were developed and submitted to DAF leadership for consideration, and DAF is actively working to resolve these challenges.

To ensure SARCs and SAPR VAs are well-prepared, all deployed personnel undergo specialized training facilitated by ACC. This training, designed to address the unique challenges of deployment areas, covers crisis intervention, cultural competency, trauma-informed care, resource coordination, safety planning, and legal protocols. The goal is to enhance their response capabilities in critical, high-stress situations.

By focusing on these key areas, the training aims to equip SARCs and SAPR VAs with the skills and knowledge they need to operate effectively in a no-fail environment, ensuring the highest standards of care and responsiveness are maintained during deployment.

DAF has established a robust framework to ensure victims of sexual assault receive timely, sensitive, and comprehensive care in deployed medical units. Every medical unit has a written plan in place for responding to sexual assault, including protocols for medical evacuation to facilities that provide forensic healthcare.

In units that do not provide forensic healthcare, patients receive immediate medical attention, and evacuation plans are executed to transfer them to the nearest Medical Treatment Facility (MTF) that offers forensic care within 48 hours of reporting the incident. This ensures that victims receive the care they need swiftly and compassionately.

Medical units that provide forensic healthcare are staffed with trained and certified healthcare providers who have completed the Inter-Service Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner (SAMFE) course. These providers are equipped with the necessary tools and supplies to conduct thorough medical evaluations and collect evidence. All deployed medical sites have received updated equipment and supply packages, ensuring a uniform standard of care across all facilities.

DAF has secured funding to equip and supply deployed medical units with the necessary resources to provide forensic healthcare. This investment will enable the expansion of services to additional sites, ensuring that more victims have access to comprehensive care. DAF is committed to repeating this resupply effort in FY 2025 to maintain the highest standards of care.

DAF continues to provide Victims' Counsel support to deployed and remote locations. Many DAF deployments are within U.S. Central Command and U.S. Africa Command. Victims/clients in these locations are informed of Victims' Counsel services via the deployed Sexual Assault Response Coordinator or investigating agency. For these areas, Victims' Counsel in DAF Fifth Judicial District (with locations in Germany, United Kingdom, and Italy) are the initial point of contact for crime victims. Depending on where the victim redeploys, the Victims' Counsel facilitates proper transfer to meet the victim's needs.

For remote locations, victims/clients contact the nearest Victims' Counsel office. Victims' Counsel offices are assigned geographically separated units and contact those units to establish relationships, facilitate training, and further victims' legal services.

The DAF's unwavering commitment to supporting victims of sexual assault is evident through its efforts to provide timely, sensitive, and comprehensive care. By expanding access to care, ensuring trained providers, equipping facilities with the necessary resources, as well as ensuring VC support is available in deployed and remote locations, DAF demonstrates a profound dedication to prioritizing victim support and well-being.

**2.7 Victim Expedited Transfer Request Oversight in Monthly Case Management Group (CMG) Meetings:** Provide the number and CMG-documented circumstances of ALL Expedited Transfers taking longer than 30 calendar days (i.e., tracking of the number of days between the approval date of a victim's request for Expedited Transfer and the date the victim physically departed the losing station (Permanent Change of Station (PCS)). For Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) Expedited Transfers, how many took longer than 7 calendar days between the approval date of a victim's request and the change of assignment? If it occurred, provide the reason(s) why an Expedited Transfer was delayed for each category of reassignment (PCS and PCA); the top three reasons are sufficient. (Deputy Secretary of Defense (DSD) Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR): Program Procedures," (September 6, 2022), Encl 9, para 2b(4))

In FY 2024, DAF received a total of 186 victim expedited transfer requests. Out of these, 111 took over 30 calendar days to process, with the majority exceeding the timeframe by less than 7 days.

Several general circumstances contributed to these delays. In some cases, members requested extensions to accommodate personal situations or were active-duty military spouses, either within DAF or from other services, which required additional coordination. Other delays occurred while awaiting orders or when members were part of the Exceptional Family Member Program, necessitating extra time to ensure appropriate accommodations. Additionally, overseas assignments often required more time for out-processing and coordinating personal affairs. Finally, some members retracted their requests or decided to separate from service, impacting the timeline.

These various factors highlight the complexity and sensitivity involved in processing expedited transfer requests, emphasizing the DAF's commitment to addressing each case with the necessary care and attention to detail.

**2.8 SAPR-Related Inquiry Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Entries Utilizing a DD Form 2910-4:** How is your Military Service/NGB ensuring that your Service members and leaders are being trained on the availability of the CATCH program and the DD Form 2910-4, "CATCH Explanation and Notification Form for SAPR-Related Inquiry CATCH Entries?" (USD(P&R) Memorandum, "Updated Catch a Serial Offender Procedures and New DD Form 2910-4," (June 14, 2023)

DAF is committed to ensuring that Service members and leaders are well-informed about the CATCH program and DD Form 2910-4 through a comprehensive, multi-faceted approach.

Firstly, the designated DAF CATCH Notification Point of Contact provides uniform messages to victims regarding potential CATCH matches and are readily available to address any questions

from SAPR personnel about current CATCH procedures. This ensures that all personnel are equipped to support victims effectively.

Awareness of the CATCH program is also promoted through commander immersions (Commander 30-day checklist) and TFAT, ensuring that all Service members and leaders are well-informed about this resource that is available to support victims of sexual assault.

Additionally, SAPR personnel are provided opportunities to provide feedback and modifications. DAF also attends monthly DoD SAPRO-hosted CATCH meetings to provide case management updates and discuss innovative methods to support victims through the CATCH program. This collaboration allows for immediate process improvements and fosters a culture of continuous improvement at all levels and across Services.

DAF has previously provided comprehensive CATCH training for SAPR personnel, and this training remains accessible to current staff as needed. To ensure that SAPR personnel stay up to date on the latest CATCH procedures, updates, and operational guidance, DAF maintains a dedicated SAPR SharePoint site.

**2.9 Efforts to Address Approved IRC-SAM Recommendations: Briefly highlight major actions completed or underway in FY 2024 to address the approved IRC-SAM recommendations in Line of Effort 4: Victim Care and Support. Include in your response (1) efforts to explore the co-location of SAPR resources with other special victim services, such as FAP, to improve coordination, collaboration, and consistency in victim support, and 2) implementation of the No Wrong Door approach for sexual harassment, sexual assault, and domestic abuse. There is no requirement for your Military Service/NGB to discuss all approved IRC-SAM actions under this section, as DoD SAPRO will include a high-level status summary with the Annual Report. Note: Please don't provide any pre-decisional or unfunded activities in your response. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1 / IRC recommendations 4.1c and 4.3a)**

DAF is working several major actions to address the approved IRC-SAM recommendations in Line of Effort 4: Victim Care and Support. We are methodically looking at SARW competencies to align professional tenants of victim advocacy and assistance services and developing plans for reskilling and upskilling opportunities pending forthcoming DoD SARW competencies and certification policies. This ensures a strong foundation to ensure SAPR personnel deliver highly skilled care and support to Airmen and Guardians who have experiences of military sexual harassment and sexual assault.

DAF is also diligently working to update standard core position descriptions to align with DoD's SARWM and grow a highly professionalized and sustainable workforce to encourage retention of dedicated SAPR personnel with potential career advancement at the installation, operational or strategic levels. DAF aims to strengthen a permanent and full-time workforce that is right-sized and positioned to meet the needs of Airmen and Guardians. SARW personnel are integral to the collective prevention and response impacts, providing critical consultation to leaders on matters related to sexual assault and collaboration with key stakeholders in legal, medical, mental health and prevention to maximize efforts and foster culture and climates free from harm and sexual assault.

The implementation of the AFFORGEN model creates potential gaps and challenges for SAPR programs and personnel. DAF is exploring feasible solutions to increase SAPR support



response capabilities down-range. Courses of actions that are being considered are coding a percentage of civilian SAPR VA billets as Emergency Essential to be able to deploy and the possible development of an Enlisted Special Duty SAPR VA to supplement installation programs with primary focus to fill deployment taskings. SAPR response capabilities are critical in a no-fail deployed environment to support the readiness and retention of our most valuable resources, our Airmen.

USAFA hosted the 2024 National Discussion on Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment at America's Colleges, Universities and Service Academies with an overarching theme of Transforming Culture to Eradicate Sexual Violence. This cultivated a network of personnel who continue to positively support victims and survivors and work towards the goal of reducing sexual harassment and sexual assault. Sessions demonstrated the interdependence of both prevention and response efforts, ultimately working to bridge the gap between individual victims and survivors, the resources available to them, and the environments from which they live.

DAF co-location is achieved through two models per implementation guidance: full or hybrid. In full co-location models, personnel from the response offices all co-locate in the same physical setting. If full physical co-location models are not feasible, hybrid co-location models are encouraged, and a warm hand-off is facilitated to ensure victims and survivors needs are identified and they are connected to needed resources with their preferred type of connection (e.g., contacting support personnel in-person, virtually, or via telephone). These frameworks promote effective coordination, collaboration, and consistency among agencies that provide support to victims. DAF is actively assessing the successes and challenges associated with co-location and monitors implementation status across all installations.

Preliminary findings from an early field assessment of co-location in DAF is promising. Victims reported incidents more closely to the incident date under co-location models relative to non-co-located installations. Further, victims at co-locating installations reported higher satisfaction with advocacy and stronger agreement about the support and care they received compared to victims at non-co-locating installations. DAF is currently fielding data collection efforts to determine the value of co-location approaches for advocacy personnel and will be initiating a new survey this year to assess DAF-wide perceptions of victim support services. This effort is planned to assess awareness of resources, general trust in the reporting process, and perceptions of commander and peer support for victims of sexual assault and other forms of interpersonal violence.

## **2.10 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Victim Assistance and Advocacy goal.**

DAF leadership is taking significant steps to enhance the SAPR program, aligning it with DoD SAPRO's SARW Model.

To achieve a significant enhancement in support and oversight, DAF has implemented several key changes. The conversion of 70 Community Support Coordinator billets has allowed for the addition of Supervisory SAPR Program Administrators, Supervisory SAPR Program Specialists, or SAPR Program Evaluation Specialists across all Major and Field Commands (MAJCOM/FLDCOMs). This strategic increase in personnel is pivotal for strengthening the SAPR program, ensuring robust support and oversight mechanisms are in place.

Furthermore, the remaining 55 billets have been utilized by the MAJCOM/FLDCOMs to address critical staffing shortages, deploying additional SAPR VAs to locations with the highest need. This decisive move is crucial in providing comprehensive support to victims of sexual assault, ensuring they have access to the necessary resources and assistance.

To further solidify the foundation of the SARW, we have developed a strategic transition plan aimed at reducing reliance on VVAs. This plan focuses on hiring highly skilled professionals with backgrounds in social science, specifically trained in trauma-informed care and advocacy. By bringing in these expert personnel, we ensure that our workforce is not only professional but also exceptionally equipped to provide the best possible care and support to victims of sexual assault. This shift underscores our commitment to delivering comprehensive, empathetic, and effective support to those in need.

Additionally, DAF is emphasizing standardization to enhance consistency and effectiveness across different levels of the SARW. In collaboration with DoD SAPRO, Defense Civilian Personnel Advisory Services (DCPAS), DAF Human Resources (HR), and DAF Headquarters (HQ) SAPR personnel, we have developed Standard Core Personnel Documents for the tactical and operational level SAPR workforce. This standardization effort is expected to enhance hiring utilizing DHA and further improve the program's overall consistency and impact.

These actions demonstrate the DAF's commitment to enhancing its response to and prevention of sexual assault, by ensuring that the SARW is well-resourced, professionally staffed, and aligned with DoD standards. Creating a robust support system for victims and fostering a culture that prevents sexual assault within DAF community highlights the dedication to improving the SARW and their ongoing efforts to provide comprehensive care and support to victims of sexual assault.

### **3. Goal 3—Investigation: “Sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault using investigative resources to yield timely results.”**

**3.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts for achieving the Investigation goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2024. As applicable, include significant updates made to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; investigative resources; manpower capabilities and/or transformation efforts; training for military criminal investigators, law enforcement personnel, and/or first responders (e.g., updates on CATCH Program training and realistic training scenarios); and the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (e.g., investigator and prosecutor coordination). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 3.2 through 3.3 below. **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9 / NDAA for FY 2020, section 540J)**

In 2024, DAF mandated that all MAJCOM/FLDCOM SAPR Program Administrators (Managers) and SARCs complete the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Training and Education Center of Excellence (SAPRTEC) training on CATCH. DAF tracked personnel to ensure full compliance and completion of CATCH trainings. Additionally, DAF introduced two self-paced

CATCH online courses for the SAPR personnel and their partners, reinforcing the commitment to ongoing education and proficiency in handling sexual assault cases.

In FY 2024, AFOSI successfully staffed 52 specialized agent Violent Crime Specialists (VCS) and five VCS professional staff members, including analysts and legal professionals. This strategic allocation was designed to ensure compliance with Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) capability requirements and provide comprehensive support for violent crime investigations.

AFOSI strategically assigned these personnel to various locations worldwide, focusing on areas with historically higher occurrences of sexual assault allegations. This strategic placement aimed to maximize capabilities and ensure a swift and effective response to these critical incidents. Through a combination of congressional engagements, reprogramming actions by the Secretary of the Air Force (SAF) A1, and manning requests through the Independent Review Commission, AFOSI was able to secure 133 billets for both agents and professional staff to be filled in FY 2023 and FY 2024. These additional billets directly support AFOSI's violent crimes mission, which encompasses all SVIP offenses. In FY 2024, 31 of these billets were successfully filled. As of now, the remaining 51 unfilled billets are in the process of being advertised, filled, or are undergoing other personnel actions. This strategic staffing initiative underscores AFOSI's commitment to effectively addressing and investigating violent crimes, including sexual assaults.

AFOSI agents receive their initial training on sexual offense investigations and other violent crimes at AFOSI's Basic Special Investigator's Course (BSIC) and receive three weeks of advanced training through AFOSI's Violent Crimes Investigations Training Program (VCITP) Level I. Personnel remain TDY in place for the first week of training then travel to the Air Force Special Investigations Academy (AFSIA) located at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) in Brunswick, GA, for the remaining two weeks. In FY 2024, AFOSI held five VCITP Level I courses. In total, AFOSI trained 140 AFOSI agents and five Judge Advocates through VCITP Level I. Additionally, DAF SAPR Program, Family Advocacy, and Victims Counsel representatives participated in portions of the 2024 training programs, helping to foster collaborative partnerships and reinforce a multidisciplinary response to these incidents.

To streamline our focus on adult SVIP investigations, AFOSI restructured VCITP Level I to focus primarily on adult sex crimes and domestic violence/interpersonal violence allegations. With this shift, AFOSI created and piloted a new course, VCITP Level II Course focusing on child crimes, in FY 2024. The VCITP Level II course builds upon the foundation established in VCITP Level I, specifically addressing the unique challenges and considerations involved in the investigation of violent crimes when children are impacted. Hosted by AFSIA and held at FLETC, this intensive five-day, in-residence course aims to equip AFOSI agents with the essential knowledge, skills, and abilities required to effectively investigate allegations of child physical or sexual abuse. The course was met with great success, as 46 students graduated and met the requirements set forth in DoDI 5505.19, *Establishment of Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability within the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations*, while compartmentalizing VCITP Level I to focus more on adult crimes.

For FY 2024, DAF received 536 requests for CATCH Passwords. Among them, 235 entries were submitted into the CATCH database. Eleven CATCH entries resulted in a potential match to an existing investigation and eight CATCH entries resulted in a potential match to another CATCH entry. AFOSI continues to participate in monthly MCIO meetings to discuss the matches and challenges that arise within the CATCH program.

AFOSI initiated approximately 877 primary adult sex assault investigations in FY 2024 maintaining an 82-day median for timeliness, compared to FY 2023, when AFOSI initiated approximately 917 adult sexual assault investigation and maintained an 86-day median for timeliness. In FY 2024, AFOSI did not investigate any sexual assault retaliation/reprisal allegations.

In FY 2024, HQ AFOSI delivered one Child Forensic Interviewing Course (CFIC) to train and certify ten new Child Forensic Interviewers for the AFOSI command. Additionally, AFOSI updated its CFIC curriculum to maintain compliance with the National Children's Alliance (NCA) Accreditation Standards, which were updated in 2023. AFOSI renewed the CFIC for reaccreditation with NCA.

AFOSI Manual 71-122, V1 *Criminal Investigations*, underwent a substantial revision and was successfully republished in July 2024. This critical policy update provides comprehensive guidance on AFOSI's handling of major criminal allegations that impact DAF personnel, resources, and interests. The revised guidance serves as a vital tool for AFOSI agents in assessing and navigating the intricacies of the criminal investigative process, particularly concerning major criminal activities. This significant update aligns AFOSI with laws, DoD, and DAF instructions, as well as incapsulates industry standards ensuring our investigative practices and procedures meet the highest standards of effectiveness and empowers our agents to conduct thorough and efficient investigations.

In FY 2024, AFOSI successfully implemented a cutting-edge Case Management System (CMS) upgrade, representing a substantial improvement over the previous version. This enhanced system enables more precise tracking and analysis of investigative trends, providing valuable insights into the unique requirements of our operations. The updated CMS facilitates the collection and management of specific data points, such as the number of DD Form 2701 (Initial Information for Victims and Witnesses of Crimes), victim preference statements, SVIP notifications, and case sub-categories. This wealth of information empowers our agents to make informed decisions, optimize resource allocation, and ultimately deliver more effective investigative outcomes by leveraging technology and data-driven strategies to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of our investigative efforts.

**3.2 Evidence Processing Challenges:** Describe any evidence processing challenges at the Defense Forensic Science Center (e.g., shipping delays and turnaround time for processing of SAFE kits and other evidence) and actions taken to address or mitigate these challenges. Is your Military Service/NGB having any challenges in retaining SAFE kits for a minimum of 10 years? (Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR (May 7, 2012), p. 11 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR): Program Procedures," (September 6, 2022), Encl 8 / DoDI 5505.18, "Investigation of Adult Sexual Assault in the Department of Defense," (September 6, 2022), para 3.5a)

AFOSI maintains a Liaison Officer (LNO) within U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory (USACIL). The LNO plays a vital role in maintaining open lines of communication between AFOSI and USACIL. Maintaining a strong partnership between AFOSI and USACIL is essential to ensuring timely and accurate evidence processing, which is critical to the success of the investigations. The average turnaround time for sexual assault evidence from USACIL was approximately 40 days (average in FY 2023 was 35 days). According to USACIL, the average processing times for FY 2024 reflect an efficient and effective process. Pre-submission of the

paperwork via AFOSI's Evidence Management Portal (EMP) has resulted in a more effective process as it enables the evidence processing to move more quickly. The laboratory will receive an electronic notification via EMP. The laboratory is then able to review the paperwork and provide feedback prior to the official submission of evidence. USACIL currently has 20 vacancies. Once filled, these will positively impact processing times. Additionally, USACIL instituted an initiative "LAB Talks" a virtual briefing held monthly to help agents understand their processes and opens more lines of communication.

AFOSI diligently upholds the requirements for SAFE kit retention through a combination of clear policy guidelines and rigorous inspection procedures. At the unit level, SAFE kit retention is included on inspection lists, ensuring adherence to established standards and best practices. Additionally, the AFOSI Inspector General Unit Effectiveness Inspections (UEI) further reinforce the importance of proper SAFE kit retention.

It is worth noting that AFOSI, along with the other Military Criminal Investigative Organizations, has agreed to a 20-year retention period for SAFE kits. This extended retention period significantly exceeds the DoD requirement of a 10-year retention.

AFOSI has not encountered any challenges in maintaining the retention of SAFE kits. However, the Headquarters evidence program manager remains vigilant and proactive in monitoring the situation, recognizing that potential difficulties could arise in the future. To ensure continuous awareness and preparedness, the program manager maintains open lines of communication with field units, regularly assessing the state of SAFE kit retention and addressing any emerging issues promptly and effectively.

Digital evidence in sexual assault investigations is often critical to achieving successful prosecutions within the military justice system. In FY 2024, AFOSI Digital Forensic Examiners (DFE) provided advice to AFOSI field units 1,607 times, with 47 percent of those advisements directly supporting sex offense investigations. This resulted in AFOSI DFEs analyzing 238 digital devices and 97TB of data in support of 126 sex offense-related investigations. The 238 devices analyzed accounted for 58 percent of all digital items analyzed by AFOSI DFEs, who examined a total of over 157TB of data in FY 2024. Additionally, there were 58 exams diverted and conducted by Department of Defense Cyber Crime Center (DC3)/Cyber Forensics Laboratory (CFL). The examinations deferred to DC3/CFL required advanced laboratory tools or contained a large number of items. Examinations by AFOSI DFEs during FY 2024 took on average 22 days to complete with an average transit time of seven days.

In 2023, USPS, FedEx, UPS etc. enforced stricter shipping standards for used devices containing lithium batteries and this trend continued through FY 2024. This was a safety precaution and as a result items with lithium batteries may not be shipped via air. The result has notably increased transit time. Furthermore, device storage and privacy or encryption schemes are becoming more common resulting in additional time required to examine a digital device. Additionally, encryption (i.e., privacy) has resulted in increasing process times requiring advanced methods which incurred an increase in timeliness (e.g., additional time to acquire and examine the requested digital items).

Finally, the continued privacy enhancements to digital devices and specifically mobile devices coupled with shipping restrictions of devices with lithium batteries have directly impacted AFOSI's ability to recover digital evidence. Curtailing this challenge requires pivoting away from methods and pushing capabilities closer to the field which is commensurate with many state and



local law enforcement agencies. Unfortunately, AFOSI does not have these resources but is working towards a solution required to keep pace with these evolutionary changes.

### **3.3 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Investigation goal.**

The AFOSI Law Enforcement Division at HQ AFOSI is taking proactive steps to address a training gap for agents who have not yet had the opportunity to learn the updated interviewing technique taught at our Basic and Advanced Courses. To fill this gap, we are partnering with HQ AFOSI Psychologists and AFSIA to deliver a virtual advanced interviewing training course. The goal of this training is to provide agents with the skills and knowledge they need to effectively conduct interviews, which is a critical component of their work. By partnering with HQ AFOSI Psychologists and AFSIA, we are able to leverage their expertise and resources to deliver a high-quality training experience that will have a significant impact on the effectiveness of our agents.

In FY 2025, we are committed to implementing impactful adjustments to the Sexual Assault First Responder Training program to ensure all initial and annual refresher training align with DAF and DoD requirements. Our proposed plan involves a shift to virtual delivery of the initial training for all agents immediately following the Basic Special Investigations Course (BSIC), in lieu of completing it at BSIC. This updated approach will mirror the annual refresher training, ensuring a consistent and comprehensive learning experience for all participants. This modification aims to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of our training program, by ensuring the initial and annual refresher training are in line with DoD and DAF policy while allowing our BSIC instruction focus on instruction of how to sufficiently investigate allegations of sex crimes impacting the DAF.

Another key initiative for FY 2025 is to evaluate and update the SVIP material at BSIC, with a specific focus on the continuing case study involving responding to a sexual assault. This case study is designed to provide students with practical experience working as a team, akin to an operational unit, to respond to and investigate a sexual assault allegation. To create a more realistic learning environment. Role players are incorporated into the scenarios, thereby better preparing students for their follow-on assignments. Our goal is to adjust and modernize the material by updating the scenarios to reflect current trends and best practices in sexual assault investigations. This update will ensure that our training program remains relevant and effective in preparing our agents to handle these critical situations with the utmost skill and professionalism.

AFOSI is actively revising the AFOSI Manual 71-118, v4, focusing on General Investigative Methods. The most significant update will involve an expanded chapter on interviewing techniques, incorporating the expanding interviewing model, and introducing a specialized teen interviewing model. This comprehensive revision aims to equip our agents with the most current and effective interviewing skills, thereby enhancing their ability to gather critical information during investigations and resolving them. We anticipate the publication of this updated manual in FY 2025.

## **4. Goal 4—Accountability: “Maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable.”**

**4.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or

**completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2024. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; any new legal support resources, manpower capabilities, and/or redesign of services provided to victims/clients; and training and certification (as required) of personnel affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability program (paralegals, trial counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) for responding to allegations of sexual assault. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 4.2 through 4.7 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, p. 9)**

In FY 2024, Department of the Air Force (DAF) Office of Special Trial Counsel (OSTC) reached full operational capability, with OSTC's exclusive authority over covered offenses under Article 24a of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) taking effect on 28 December 2023. As a result, DAFOSTC now holds exclusive authority to refer charges to court-martial for most victim-related criminal offenses under the UCMJ, including among others, rape, sexual assault, stalking, and murder. Beginning 1 January 2025, the OSTC will also have exclusive authority over formal, substantiated complaints of sexual harassment under the UCMJ.

In addition, DAF has made significant updates to many military justice-related rules and policies, while also providing many DAF-wide training courses to ensure practitioners are aware of the new requirements. Among other things, the DAF: (1) updated DAF policy related to crime victim notifications and to train those with Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) responsibilities; (2) built a new intermediate advocacy course for Victims' Counsel; (3) developed a Preliminary Hearing Officers' and Legal Advisor Course for Judge Advocates overseeing preliminary hearings and administrative discharge proceedings; and (4) implemented significant updates to DAF policy for the investigation, processing, and disposition of allegations of sexual harassment.

DAF published updates to DAFI 51-201, *Administration of Military Justice*, to clarify criminal indexing requirements and to implement a new court-martial post-trial processing checklist and tracking system for installation legal offices to streamline processes and minimize the risks associated with courts-martial post-trial processing errors. DAFI 51-207, *Victim and Witness Rights and Procedures*, was also updated to expand notifications to crime victims of domestic violence and to implement updated requirements for the Victim Appellate Notification Program, as required by DoD Instruction 1030.02, *Victim and Witness Assistance*. This change will work to ensure crime victims continue to receive notifications throughout the appellate process.

Additionally, during FY 2024, DAF hosted two in-residence Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) Symposiums at MacDill Air Force Base, Florida, and Buckley Space Force Base, Colorado. Sixty-nine DAF members with VWAP responsibilities from DAF installations worldwide attended one of the two five-day VWAP courses and received training on victim rights, restitution, evidentiary privileges, finance and pay issues, and updates on the law from subject matter experts in DAF Judge Advocate General's Corps.

DAF implemented a new training course for its Victims' Counsel, the Victims' Counsel Advocacy Course. The course is a hybrid course, with four weeks of part-time virtual attendance and one

week of in-residence attendance. Students are sitting Victims' Counsel who have been in their position for approximately six months. The course focuses on motion practice, court-room advocacy, writing writs, and post-trial advocacy. Victims' Counsel attended both portions of the course, and Victims' Paralegals participated in the virtual portion of the course.

DAF also developed and implemented a new Preliminary Hearing Officer and Legal Advisor training course. This course, which is targeted to future hearing officers and legal advisors, is designed to build a baseline of knowledge and experience concerning the rules and procedures applicable to Article 32, UCMJ, preliminary hearings, and at administrative discharge board proceedings.

DAF implemented significant updates to DAFI 36-2710, *Equal Opportunity Program*, to improve the processing, investigation, and disposition of complaints of sexual harassment. DAF implemented requirements for the investigation of formal complaints of sexual harassment by Security Forces investigators, a legal sufficiency review of reports of investigation by the installation Staff Judge Advocate, and a substantiation determination by the first O-6 in the accused member's chain of command to ensure compliance with Congressional mandates in 10 U.S.C. § 1561. DAF has also completed periodic training throughout 2024 to DAF members worldwide with responsibilities impacting sexual harassment complaints, including commanders and command staff, Equal Opportunity professionals, SAPR professionals, Judge Advocates and legal office personnel, and investigators, ultimately training approximately 2,300 DAF members. This training provided critical knowledge to attendees, ensuring those with responsibilities impacting sexual harassment complaints are aware of the newly implemented sexual harassment processing requirements.

**4.2 Improvements to Offices of Special Trial Counsel (OSTCs) Capabilities: (No response required from NGB) Has your Military Service taken any significant actions (e.g., provided additional manpower or training) in FY 2024 to improve the capabilities of your servicing OSTC? (SecDef Memorandum, "Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault in the Military," (September 1, 2022))**

DAF took significant actions to empower OSTC for success in FY 2024. After OSTC's initial, provisional stand-up in FY 2022, OSTC reached full operational capability on 27 December 2023. In FY 2024, The Judge Advocate General (TJAG), at the recommendation of the Lead Special Trial Counsel (LSTC), certified 23 new Special Trial Counsel (STC) to reach a total of 42 active duty and reserve STCs currently certified to serve in six OSTC district offices worldwide. STC candidates were selected because of their skill as special victim litigators and their substantial training, experience, and demonstrated proficiency in executing, overseeing, and supervising litigation duties.

Background/Manning: OSTC achieved all statutory and departmental implementation requirements and met full operational capability on 27 December 2023. OSTC is led by the O-7 LSTC, who reports directly to the Secretary of the Air Force without any intervening authority. OSTC is headquartered at Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling, D.C., and its field operations take place from six district offices worldwide. Designed to ensure effective integration with command, law enforcement, and installation legal personnel, this organizational structure aligns OSTC district offices with the Air Force's Major Command and Space Force's Field Command framework.

In FY 2024, OSTC was staffed with 40 STCs and 6 paralegals. With the support of DAFJAG Corps, OSTC also utilized the services of Air Reserve Component (ARC) personnel tasked to OSTC for extended active-duty tours utilizing Military Personnel Appropriations. These reserve JAGs were prior active-duty litigators with significant military justice experience. They possessed the requisite litigation experience and completed the qualification training required prior to being certified as STC. OSTC will continue to utilize Reserve STC to fill any gaps and seams until reaching end-state personnel levels, which is anticipated to occur in FY 2027. During the initial operational capability phase, OSTC worked with DAFJAG Corps to plan for manning allocations necessary for OSTC to accomplish its mission. OSTC would be allocated new billets over the course of several fiscal years with full end-state manning projected for FY 2027. An incremental increase to OSTC personnel avoids suddenly stripping other DAF units responsible for military justice of experienced and capable litigators, such as our trial defense and victims counsel divisions. Initial manning needs and projected increases were based on studies reviewing annual numbers of reports of covered offenses and the number of courts-martial within the DAF. Upon reaching end-state personnel levels, OSTC anticipates funded authorizations for 82 active duty (JAG and paralegal), Reserve, and civilian billets. This will include 66 STC, 14 paralegals, and 2 civilians.

Training: In FY 2024, DAF conducted its third annual STC Qualification Course. The STC Qualification Course included lectures and practical exercises, testing candidates' knowledge and abilities to manage the investigation and prosecution of covered offenses. The STC Qualification Course culminated in a final exam, through which candidates demonstrated their understanding of how to effectively prosecute offenses such as interpersonal violence, sexual assault, and child abuse and exploitation. The exam also tested their understanding of the Uniform Rules of Practice before Air Force Courts-Martial, the Manual for Courts-Martial, and other applicable law and policy. In addition to the STC Qualification Course, all STC candidates also attended OSTC Annual Training, underwent a panel interview with a sitting STC, and participated in two additional advanced litigation training courses.

All STC candidates are designated as at least a level 2A or 3 in DAF Career Litigation Development Program. This means they are skilled litigators with expertise in executing, overseeing, and supervising litigation duties in special victim cases. In FY 2024, all STC candidates met the requisite requirements and were certified as STC by TJAG at the LSTC's recommendation.

Operations: FY 2024 was a significant year for DAF OSTC operations as statutory authorities over certain covered, known, and related offenses took effect on 28 December 2023. Additionally, effective 28 December 2023, OSTC was empowered by statute to exercise discretionary authority over certain victim-based offenses that occurred prior to that date. Using this newly established discretionary authority, OSTC thoroughly screened pending cases and exercised authority over those cases where doing so would expedite and increase effectiveness of case processing and prosecution. In cases which were already involved in the justice system and heading to trial, OSTC did not assert full authority to avoid injecting unnecessary delays and instead provided prosecutorial support to installation legal offices by detailing STCs to serve as trial counsel on those cases at courts-martial.

FY 2024 also marked the stand-up of Investigation and Prosecution Support Teams (IPSTs) within OSTC. These teams began working with both military and civilian investigative agencies as well as with local legal offices to ensure crucial investigation steps were taken in covered, known, and related offense cases. On average, from 1 January 2024 through 30 September 2024, OSTC personnel around the world managed an aggregate monthly caseload of approximately 1,000 IPST cases involving covered, known, and related offenses.

In FY 2024, OSTC pivoted from the educational outreach regarding changes to the military justice system that characterized our training in FY 2023 to reviewing OSTC internal processes to identify improvement areas to achieve greater operational efficiencies. In addition to optimizing internal efficiencies at OSTC, the OSTC Headquarters office was empowered to independently review all proposed changes to DAF regulatory guidance expected to impact military justice. OSTC reviews are primarily designed to ensure that any new regulation preserves OSTC independence in all stages of the investigation and prosecution of covered, known, and related offenses.

**4.3 Effectiveness of the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)/Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) Program: Describe any enhancements your Military Service/NGB has made to the SVC/VLC program; training/certification updates (if any); and an update on ensuring the SVC/VLC case load does not exceed, to the extent practicable, 25 cases at any time. (NDAA for FY 2020, section 541 / NDAA for FY 2013, section 573 / SecDef Memorandum, "Improving Victim Legal Support," (August 14, 2013), p. 1)**

The Victims' Counsel Division maintained 49 operating locations worldwide with five District Chief Victims' Counsel; three District Paralegal Managers; 53 Victims' Counsel; 48 Victims' Paralegals; and a headquarters office at Joint Base Andrews comprised of an O-6 Division Chief, an O-5 Deputy Chief, a GS-14 Chief of Appellate and Outreach, an O-4 Chief of Training and Programs, and an E-8 Victims' Counsel Paralegal Manager. Regarding progress toward ensuring VC case load does not exceed, to the extent practicable, 25 cases at any time, each month the Districts report the number of detailed clients per Victims' Counsel to headquarters for leadership to track trends and/or issues. District Chiefs are authorized to coordinate the assignment of new clients with other Districts when a Victims' Counsel's caseload nears 25 cases while keeping in mind victim preference for Victims' Counsel in the same general area and time zone.

The VC Division maintains robust training programs. This year, the Division held the first-ever Victims' Counsel Advocacy Course, which was designed to build on what Victims' Counsel and Victims' Paralegals learned at the Victims' Counsel Certification Course and further hone their litigation, advocacy, and client representation skills. This course was five weeks long—four weeks of virtual teaching blocks and motion writing exercises that culminated in a one-week in-person session. Overall, 26 VCs attended 43 teaching blocks, and 26 VPs attended 14 teaching blocks. Topics included Military Rules of Evidence 412 and 513 (admissibility of evidence of other sexual conduct and the psychotherapist-patient privilege), standing, advocacy and communication, motion writing, writ petitions, and victim impact statements. Further, VCs engaged in mock motions practice to refine their courtroom advocacy skills.

In August 2024, the Victims' Counsel Division teamed with the Trial Defense Division and the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division to train at a joint Advanced Sexual Assault



and Litigation Course. At this course, hand-selected individuals from each division were brought together to further develop litigation skills necessary for success in prosecuting and defending sexual assault cases, such as effectively presenting expert testimony and evidence, proper victim interview techniques and methods for presenting victim testimony, and advanced methods, techniques, and skills necessary to conduct effective direct and cross examination of an accused.

In September 2024, the Victims' Counsel Division also hosted the first Pacific Joint Regional Training for 24 Victims' Counsel from four Services and four OCONUS locations in the Pacific to further DoD requirements of comprehensive and integrated VC training and to maintain currency on case law, common practice, and regulations within the sister-services.

In addition to training, the Victims' Counsel Division has engaged in robust appellate litigation. Victims' Counsel petitioned the Air Force Court of Criminal Appeals for a writ of mandamus under Article 6b(e), UCMJ, in seven cases and filed three amicus briefs. Two cases were appealed directly to the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces. One case was certified by The Judge Advocate General to the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces with oral argument in December 2023. The Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces granted relief to the victim-client in that case, and the Air Force Court of Criminal Appeals issued a writ of mandamus. Victims' Counsel also argued before the Air Force Court of Criminal Appeals on an Article 6b(e) petition and once as an amicus. The appellate practice of the program continued to forge new pathways for victims to seek redress and enforcement of their rights.

**4.4 Trends in the Incidents, Disposition, and Prosecution of Sexual Assault: For your Military Service/NGB, based on analysis and assessment, what trends (if any) were identified in the incidents, disposition, and prosecution of sexual assault by units, commands, installations, and OSTCs during FY 2024? Please include trends related to prevalence of incidents, type of disciplinary action taken to address incidents, and avoidance of incidents. (NDAA for FY 2013, section 575)**

While the total number of reports of sexual assault in DoD trended downward for the second year in a row in FY 2024, the DAF's total number of reports increased by two percent from FY 2023. Similar to the overall DoD numbers, the number of unrestricted reports in DAF has steadily declined since FY 2019, falling by six percent in FY 2024 from FY 2023. Meanwhile, the number of DAF restricted reports increased by 12 percent, such that the number of restricted reports (926) is nearly equal to the number of unrestricted reports (953). DAF rate of cases in which victims declined to participate in the military justice system likewise continued to fall for the second year in a row to 12 percent of cases, down from 16 percent in FY 2023. Of the 472 DAF subjects considered for possible action in FY 2024, 20 percent saw court-martial charges preferred for a sexual assault offense, relatively consistent with the three prior years. Actions taken on non-sexual assault offenses, however, increased slightly to 33 percent in FY 2024 from 27 percent in FY 2023. The average number of days between report of sexual assault to court outcome was 369 days for the DAF, relatively consistent with past years; the average in FY 2022 was 491 days, but that year was an outlier. By comparison, the DoD average for FY 2024 was 396 days. The average DAF investigation length continues to drop sharply down to 82 days in FY 2024 from 102 days in FY 2023; FY 2024 marks the shortest average investigation length since this data was first captured by DoD in 2013. The DoD average investigation length in FY 2024 was 100 days.

**4.5 UCMJ Article 93a (Prohibited Activities with Military Recruit or Trainee by Person in Position of Special Trust) Investigations and Convictions: Provide the number of personnel investigated for and convicted of UCMJ Art. 93a, specifically those who engaged in prohibited sexual activity with such specially protected junior members of the armed forces. (Article 93a, UCMJ)**

In FY 2024, four DAF members (two officers and two noncommissioned officers) were investigated for violations of Article 93a, UCMJ. One officer and one noncommissioned officer received nonjudicial punishment. The officer who received nonjudicial punishment was found to have sent a sexually suggestive text to a junior officer trainee, while the noncommissioned officer engaged in a brief romantic relationship with a junior student which was discovered and reported by the junior student's roommate. No action was taken against the other two Service members. In the no-action case involving the officer, the officer's spouse alleged the officer had assaulted her and had engaged in an unprofessional relationship with a student between one and three years earlier. The spouse declined to provide any further detail, and an investigation failed to find any evidence such a relationship had in fact existed. The spouse further declined to participate in the investigation into the alleged assault, and command elected to take no action. In the no-action case involving the noncommissioned officer, two trainees alleged they were required to wait in the rain for 90 minutes prior to taking a test. An investigating officer was appointed but concluded the allegation was unsubstantiated.

**4.6 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations (Line of Effort 1): Briefly highlight major actions completed or underway in FY 2024 to address the approved IRC-SAM recommendations in Line of Effort 1: Accountability. There is no requirement for your Military Service/NGB to discuss all approved IRC actions under this section, as DoD SAPRO will include a high-level status summary with the Annual Report. Note: Please don't provide any pre-decisional or unfunded activities in your response. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)**

DAF remains committed and an active participant in the implementation of IRC-SAM recommendations. Consistent with recommendation 1.4, the JAG Corps implemented and further developed the new military justice professional development model, called the Career Litigation Development Plan (CLDP), to ably resource and manage military justice assignments and litigation opportunities to ensure judge advocates are sufficiently adept and experienced at serving in critical roles in the military justice system over the course of a career. The CLDP deliberately vectors certain judge advocates through successive military justice-focused assignments to create and maintain specialists in litigation and the administration of military justice.

The CLDP establishes five levels of competency, with special designations and training requirements at each level. This model incorporates achievable processes to measure, track, and develop expertise in all aspects of litigation, including prosecution, defense, and victim representation functions. This model is designed to ensure that highly capable and experienced judge advocates are involved in every stage of the military justice process across the continuum of rank and responsibility over the course of a military career.

**4.7 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Accountability goal.**

DAF plans to ensure OSTC continues to be properly resourced, supported, and manned by the most-qualified attorneys and paralegals through the employment of the JAG Corps' CLDP. Moreover, DAF will continue to fine-tune operating procedures to ensure seamless interoperability between OSTC prosecutors and support from installation legal office personnel. These efforts are designed to achieve a high rate of successful prosecutions to maximize confidence in the military justice system, thereby increasing victims' willingness to report crimes and to participate in the military justice process.

DAF Military Justice Law and Policy Division is overhauling its twice-annual military justice administration course such that it is more focused on common sources of error. The goal is to minimize, if not eliminate, errors in the prosecution and processing of courts-martial, thereby reducing the risk of courts-martial findings and sentences being set aside on appeal. The first iteration of this new course, which is designed to be more interactive with an emphasis on hands-on exercises, was held in December 2024.

DAF is implementing a service-specific policy in which domestic violence victims whose cases are not referred to a court-martial will receive monthly updates on any further administrative action taken against the perpetrators in their case. This policy change was the result of a cross-functional review team's assessment of domestic violence response in DAF and is designed to provide domestic violence victims the same sort of notification sexual assault victims are entitled to under similar circumstances. By implementing this change, DAF will reduce the ways in which domestic violence victims—including those who are sexually assaulted by an intimate partner—are treated disparately from sexual assault victims. The goal of this policy change is to increase the degree to which victims feel informed and to provide some measure of closure, thereby increasing faith in the DAF's response to domestic violence, which will increase the likelihood others will report instances of the crime.

**5. Goal 5—Assessment: “Effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report SAPR Program progress to improve effectiveness.”**

**5.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts for achieving the Assessment goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2024. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes and initiatives to ensure the quality, reliability, validity, and secure retention of sexual assault and retaliation data collected in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), to include SARC, SAPR VA, and Military Service-level legal officer DSAID training. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 5.2 through 5.6 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, p. 10)

DAF engages regular and routine efforts achieve continuous assessment and improvement. Strategic SAPR personnel monitor and update its DAF-wide Management Internal Control Toolset (MICT), a web-based tool to assist in ensuring compliance with directives and standards. The SAPR Program has three self-assessment checklists (SAC) for the following

personnel: Installation Commander, Squadron Commander, and SARC. Checklists are updated when new policy updates are published. MICT provides visibility into compliance reports and program status for the DAF. When deficiencies are identified, plans are developed to fix them. Reports that are generated assist SAPR in targeting needs and advocating for resources to mitigate any risks identified.

DSaid updates are promptly distributed, and the development of operational tools, guidance and training resources are made available to SAPR personnel to ensure the quality, reliability, and validity of sexual assault and retaliation data collected and maintained in DSaid. DAF DSaid Program Analyst and Legal Officer routinely offer updates and training to guarantee SAPR personnel are informed and up to date about program and policy changes.

**5.2 Developing a Comprehensive Integrated Primary Prevention Plan in Support the 2024 Defense Organizational Climate Survey (DEOCS): Provide a short summary of the plan and outreach activities your Military Service/NGB used to prepare for the 2024 DEOCS. (OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military,” (May 16, 2024))**

To ensure comprehensive preparation for the 2024 Defense Organizational Climate Survey (DEOCS), DAF implemented a strategic communication plan that leveraged internal messaging, website updates, and social media outreach to inform the Total Force well before the survey window opened.

Recognizing the importance of engaging Airmen and Guardians, DAF leadership emphasized the critical significance of the survey. Commanders were extensively briefed highlighting that the DEOCS is a necessary tool for gathering insights into the command climate and identifying areas for improvement. They were instructed to stress the importance of participation to their personnel, ensuring that everyone understood the value of their input in shaping a better command environment.

Throughout the DEOCS fielding window, DAF leaders continued to disseminate additional messages and guidance. This ongoing engagement aimed to ensure maximum compliance and participation across all levels of the Total Force. Key messages included the crucial role of the survey, the impact of survey results, and the guarantee of anonymity for all participants. These communications reinforced the importance of completing the survey and provided clear instructions on how to do so.

To facilitate understanding and compliance, detailed explanations were provided on how the survey results would be used and the impact they have had on policy in previous iterations. This transparency aimed to build trust and encourage open and honest participation from all Airmen and Guardians. Leaders also provided practical guidance on how to complete the survey, ensuring that there were no barriers to participation.

The strategic use of multiple communication channels (i.e., Internal messaging, website updates, and social media) to ensure that messages reached a wide audience. This multifaceted approach helped to engage the Total Force effectively, making sure that everyone was informed and motivated to participate.

The DAF's efforts in preparing for the 2024 DEOCS underscore a strong commitment to continuous improvement. By actively encouraging participation and ensuring that all members

of the Total Force understood the importance of the survey, DAF demonstrated a dedication to fostering a supportive and responsive command climate.

Overall, the DAF's comprehensive communication strategy and ongoing engagement efforts highlight its dedication to improving the command climate and ensuring that every member's voice is heard and valued. This commitment to transparency is key to creating a better, more supportive environment for all Service members.

**5.3 Adequacy of SAPR Activities at Training Commands: Describe sexual assault prevention and response training delivered during Basic Military Training and Advanced Military Training or equivalent (e.g., military occupational specialty training). What is your Military Service's/NGB's assessment of the adequacy of SAPR activities carried out by training commands during FY 2024, and describe how you assessed such activities? (NDAA for FY 2013, section 575)**

DAF conducted a comprehensive, enterprise-level audit through the Air Force Audit Agency (AFAA) to assess the administration, completion, and documentation of SAPR training. The audit identified areas for improvement, resulting in 15 recommendations to enhance the delivery, completion, and documentation of SAPR training. The findings and recommendations were briefed to DAF senior leaders on 20 December 2024. In response, HAF is establishing a dedicated working group to oversee the implementation of corrective actions, track progress, and ensure stakeholder alignment. This working group will focus on addressing identified shortfalls, ensuring compliance with requirements, and ultimately strengthening the DAF's SAPR training program.

As part of the Line of Effort 2: Prevention, the need to modernize prevention education and skill-building has become a priority, with a focus on meeting the unique needs of today's generation of Service members. To support this effort, DAF is working to develop innovative and effective prevention strategies. Currently, Basic Military Training lacks standardized evaluation tools and metrics to assess the effectiveness and impact of sexual assault training and education. To address this gap, HAF is working to establish robust assessment methods, ensuring that training programs are evidence-based and optimized to drive meaningful behavior change.

**5.4 Ensuring Safe and Secure Living Environment: How does your Military Service/NGB assess the adequacy of measures undertaken at military installations and by units to ensure the safest and most secure living and working environments to prevent sexual assault? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1602)**

DAF considers environmental safety to be a critical element of effective prevention. CATs at all levels are encouraged to gather and consider data from OSI, Safety, and other relevant stakeholders with equity on ensuring safe environments. IPPW are encouraged to consider key DEOCS items tracking lethal means safety, including firearm safety. Finally, DAF has a longstanding program to support the availability of gun locks to minimize risk of gun-related violence.

**5.5 Sexual Assault Information Data Tools: Provide (1) an inventory of tools (e.g., business intelligence or analytic tools) being utilized by your Military Service/NGB that tracks sexual harassment/sexual assault data, and (2) an explanation of what data visualization tools are being used by your Military Service/NGB to support sexual assault/sexual harassment prevention and response. (Item of Special Interest, "Sexual Assault Information Management System")**



DAF has revolutionized data management by introducing advanced data dashboards, empowering leaders, and installations with analytic tools. These robust platforms deliver real-time and historical data through interactive filters, providing DAF leaders with critical insights into MAJCOM/FLDCOM and installation metrics.

Centralizing this vital information into a single, accessible platform enhances transparency and improves our ability to monitor surveillance metrics. This actionable data informs both prevention and response services, enabling leaders to make data-driven decisions.

Additionally, DAF currently employs a system for tracking and managing military sexual harassment advocacy reports, which enables SAPR personnel to upload and manage relevant data. However, in recognition of the limitations of this existing framework, DAF is proactively developing a comprehensive Military Sexual Harassment Advocacy Database. This centralized database will provide SAPR personnel with a robust platform for uploading, managing, and analyzing advocacy reports. This database is similar to what is available to sexual assault reporting and case management, thereby yielding a more accurate and comprehensive understanding of advocacy efforts. Upon completion, the database will serve as a critical tool for tracking and recording advocacy reports, facilitating enhanced monitoring and response capabilities to address military sexual harassment incidents.

These powerful tools allow leaders at every level to create dynamic visualizations, offering a comprehensive understanding of trends and fostering collaboration with prevention and response workforces. By equipping our leaders with this critical resource, we are better positioned to target and refine prevention strategies.

To maximize the dashboard's potential, comprehensive training was provided, highlighting the nuances and limitations of the data. Emphasis will be placed on understanding reporting versus prevalence, ensuring leaders can effectively interpret and utilize the information available.

#### **5.6 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Assessment goal.**

DAF is committed to continuously enhancing its analytic capabilities by developing additional data dashboards tailored providing more integrative and actionable data, empowering leaders by promoting informed decision-making and strategic planning.

At present, HAF is focused on expanding the availability of relevant data for the IPPW workforce. This year, the team has launched a novel dashboard that provides critical information on trends in alcohol involvement and victims' relationship to subjects. This ensures that stakeholders have actionable information about trends in interpersonal and self-directed violence (ISDV) within their installation communities.

Additionally, DAF is dedicated to integrating DEOCS information on protective and risk factors beyond the CIPP planning process. By encouraging greater data sharing at CAT and Community Action Board meetings, particularly around climate factors with multiple stakeholders, we aim to foster a more collaborative approach to prevention efforts.

As our IPPW staff and Commanders gain greater familiarity with Command Climate Assessments (CCAs) and their value, DAF is looking to expand the collection of more DOCP

(Defense Organizational Climate Pulse) data in the coming years. This will facilitate the role of assessment in leading violence prevention initiatives.

To maximize the potential of these new tools, comprehensive training will be implemented to ensure that leaders understand the nuances and limitations of the data. Emphasis will be placed on understanding reporting versus prevalence, enabling leaders to effectively interpret and utilize the information available.

Through these initiatives, DAF is committed to empowering its leaders with the resources and insights needed to target and refine prevention strategies, ultimately fostering a safer and more informed environment.

## **6. Core Functions (Communication and Policy):**

### **6.1 DD Form 2910-8, “Reporting Preference Statement for DoD Civilian Employees to Report Sexual Assault”: How is your Military Service/NGB training your leaders, SAPR personnel, and DoD Civilian Workforce on the availability and use of the DD Form 2910-8 for reporting sexual assault incidents made by DoD Civilian Employee Victims? (Deputy Secretary of Defense Memo, “Updates to Department of Defense Policies to Enhance Support for Adult Sexual Assault Victims,” (July 19, 2024))**

DAF is unwavering in its commitment to providing comprehensive guidance and pertinent information to SAPR personnel, particularly regarding the DD Form 2910-8. This ongoing support ensures that all SAPR personnel are well-versed in the form's intended application and proper storage outside of the DSAID.

DAF actively trains and addresses inquiries and concerns from SAPR personnel about the form, fostering a supportive and well-informed environment. To ensure that all updates to policies and procedures are effectively communicated, DAF integrates this crucial information into several key training sessions and meetings throughout the year.

During SAPR TFAT, all personnel receive comprehensive updates on policies, procedures, and best practices. Commanders' 30-Day Orientation Training sessions familiarize new commanders with their responsibilities, including the latest updates on SAPR policies and provides information about future developments and expectations.

Commanders' Calls provide an opportunity for commanders to address their teams directly, sharing important updates and reinforcing adherence to SAPR policies. Newcomer Orientation sessions ensure that new personnel are immediately informed about the DAF's SAPR policies and procedures.

In addition, quarterly CMG meetings offer a platform for reviewing and discussing ongoing cases, ensuring that all involved personnel remain up to date with the latest information and protocols.

Through these comprehensive and consistent efforts, DAF maintains a high level of awareness and compliance among SAPR personnel. This approach equips all personnel with the necessary knowledge to effectively manage and respond to incidents, ultimately fostering a safer and more supportive environment for everyone involved.

## 7. Analytics Discussion

**7.1 Military Services/NGB\*:** Provide an analytic discussion (1,500 words or less) of your Statistical Report of reported sexual assault cases from DSAID. The discussion shall include information on Unrestricted Reports; Restricted Reports; conversions of Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports; service referrals for victims alleging sexual assault; reports of retaliation; and case synopses of completed sexual assault and related retaliation investigations.

\*NGB should provide comments based on its available information and data.

This section must briefly address:

- Notable changes in data over time. Please do not speculate as to the reason(s). If reason(s) are not verifiable or unknown, then the reason(s) should not be included in this report.
- Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since FY 2008) **(Metric #11)**
- The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in FY 2024 and the corresponding mean and median investigation length. Case open date can be in any year, but the close date must be by the end of FY 2024. **(Non-Metric #6)**
- The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process **(Metric #7)**
- OSTC and Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority (to be captured using the most serious crime investigated, comparing penetration to contact crimes) **(Non-Metric #1)**
- OSTC and Command action sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes). Note: Command action court-martial outcomes should only be provided for unrestricted reports of sexual assault made before December 28, 2023. For sexual assault unrestricted reports made after December 28, 2023, please document whether OSTC took action and if not, why not? **(Non-Metric #2)**
- Summary of resource referral data for Unrestricted and Restricted Reports - include referrals received from other sources or referrals made to other sources (e.g., medical/mental health, command, criminal investigation/security services, legal, civilian, or VA authorities, etc.)
- Any other information relating to sexual assault case data (e.g., information on completed sexual assault-related retaliation cases)  
**(DoD SAPR Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016) (under revision))**

**Sexual Assault Definition:** The DoD and DAF SAPR programs utilize the term “sexual assault” to refer to the range of crimes in military law that constitutes contact sexual offenses between adults. These crimes include specific offenses of rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, and abusive sexual contact, or any attempts to commit these offenses, as defined in Articles 80 and 120 of the UCMJ.

**Sexual Assault Reporting Options:** Under the DoD’s SAPR Policy, Service members and their adult military dependents have two reporting options – Restricted and Unrestricted reporting. Filing a Restricted Report provide sexual assault victims with access to medical care, mental health care, advocacy services, and legal advice without initiating a criminal investigation or notifying their commander. To file a Restricted Report, victims must report the crime directly to the SARC, SAPR VA, or healthcare personnel. Filing an Unrestricted Report provides the

same access to care and services as an individual filing a Restricted Report. Per DoD policy, DAF refers Unrestricted Reports for investigation with a MCIO and notifies the command of the report.

Victims who initially make a Restricted Report may convert it to an Unrestricted Report. Once a victim has filed an Unrestricted Report or chooses to convert their previously filed Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report; it is not possible to convert it to a Restricted Report.

**The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database:** Since FY 2014, the DSAID has been the DoD's authoritative, centralized case-level database used to collect and maintain information on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces. The DoD SAPR Office operates DSAID and works collaboratively with the Services to implement and sustain the system. DSAID meets requirements set forth in the FY 2009 National Defense Authorization Act. DAF uses DSAID to conduct oversight, inform Department and Service-level SAPR program planning and analysis and meet Congressional reporting requirements. SARCs use the database to provide comprehensive, standardized victim case management. SARCs are required to enter victim demographic information, safety concerns, referrals, and incident data for each report of sexual assault into the DSAID.

Additionally, for Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault, the database interfaces with MCIO information systems, which "push" additional subject demographic and offense-specific information into DSAID. MCIO information systems remain the systems of record for all Unrestricted Reports investigated. Service appointed legal officers also enter subject case disposition information into DSAID and validate entries.

**Scope:** This report contains data about sexual assault crimes that involve at least one Service member (either as the victim or as one or more of the subjects) reported to the DAF. Sexual assault reporting data between spouses or intimate partners fall under the purview of DAF FAP and is not included in this report. Data regarding sexual harassment falls under the purview of DAF Equal Opportunity Program and is not included in this report. Unrestricted and Restricted Report data captures sexual assault reports made by Service members and adult military dependents. The data also includes sexual assaults committed by Service members on victims outside the Armed Forces. Information describing these victims and subjects is also in the following statistics.


Sexual assault data continually changes due to case progress. The data contained in this report is a snapshot, reflecting the status of sexual assault reports, investigations, and subject dispositions in the DSAID on 30 September 2024 (the last day of FY 2024).

### **7.1.1. Sexual Assault Reporting in the DAF**

In FY 2024, DAF received 1,879 reports of sexual assault involving Service members, either as victims or subjects. Notably, the percentage of reports that remained Restricted at the end of the FY has been on the rise since 2017, reaching 50 percent this year—a slight increase from FY 2023.

Among these reports, one percent involved United States Space Force Guardians (USSF). Specifically, there were 27 total reports of sexual assault involving a Guardian Service member, with 16 classified as Unrestricted and 11 as Restricted.

**Chart 7.1.1. – Annual Reports of Sexual Assault**



FY 2024 marked a two percent increase from the previous FY (1,838 to 1,879). It's important to note that sexual assaults are not always reported in the same FY they occur, although the majority are.

Of the 1,879 reports received in FY 2024, 940 (50 percent) were for incidents that occurred within the same fiscal year. Meanwhile, 743 reports (40 percent) were for incidents that occurred in prior fiscal years, and the remaining 197 reports (12 percent) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

For comparison, in FY 2023, DAF received 1,838 reports of sexual assault. Of these, 913 (50 percent) were for incidents that occurred within FY 2023, 705 reports (38 percent) were for incidents that occurred in prior fiscal years, and the remaining 220 reports (12 percent) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

### Types of Sexual Assault Reports:

DoD policy allows eligible victims to make either a Restricted or an Unrestricted sexual assault report. A victim who initially makes a Restricted Report has the option of later converting the Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report (policy prevents converting an Unrestricted Report to a Restricted Report). Of the 1,879 reports of sexual assault that DAF received in FY 2024 involving Service members as either victims or subjects:

- 158 (eight percent) were Restricted Reports initially reported in FY 2024 which were converted to Unrestricted Reports during FY 2024.
- 53 (three percent) were Restricted Reports initially reported *prior* to FY 2024 which were converted to Unrestricted Reports during FY 2024.



- 926 (49 percent) were Restricted Reports initially reported in FY 2024 which remained Restricted at the end of FY 2024.

#### **7.1.1.1. Sexual Assault Prevalence in the DAF**


It's crucial to differentiate between the number of sexual assaults that occur (sexual assault prevalence), and the number of sexual assaults reported to authorities (sexual assault reporting) in each timeframe. Both military and civilian victims often do not report a sexual assault, making sexual assault prevalence typically much higher than sexual assault reporting. Given that sexual assault is a highly underreported crime, the number of reported sexual assaults in any given timeframe may not accurately reflect the actual number of incidents.

To estimate the number of sexual assaults in the active-duty DoD population, the DoD administers an anonymous, confidential survey biannually. The most recent of these is the 2023 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey (WGRA) of active-duty members. Previous surveys were conducted in 2021, 2020, 2018, and 2016, with the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study also providing valuable data. The 2020 survey was delayed due to the COVID-19 pandemic, but the 2021 survey was opened to both the Reserve component and the active-duty force on December 9, 2021.

Chart 7.1.1.1. illustrates the estimated past-year sexual assault prevalence (red dots) and unwanted sexual contact prevalence (green dots) with 95 percent confidence intervals (black lines) for active-duty Airmen and Guardians from past DoD prevalence surveys. According to these surveys, an estimated 3,200 active-duty Airmen experienced unwanted sexual contact in 2012, decreasing to around 2,300 experiences of sexual assault by 2016. In 2018, approximately 3,920 Airmen reportedly experienced a past-year sexual assault, marking a 70 percent increase from 2016. The 2021 DoD prevalence survey estimated 6,533 instances of unwanted sexual contact, a term which includes both penetrative and non-penetrative sexual assault offenses.

The 2023 DoD prevalence survey estimated that 5,729 active-duty Airmen and 137 Guardians experienced unwanted sexual contact in the prior year, reflecting a decrease of approximately six percent from the 2021 survey.

**Chart 7.1.1.1. Past Year Sexual Assault Prevalence Estimates for Active-Duty Airmen and Guardians**



### 7.1.1.2. Sexual Assault Reporting Compared to Sexual Assault Prevalence


DAF SAPR program has two objectives: reducing sexual assault prevalence while increasing reporting. According to the 2018 WGRS of active-duty members, an estimated 3,920 active-duty Airmen were sexually assaulted in FY 2018. Sexual assault reporting in FY 2023 has increased by three percent from the previous fiscal year, following a 13 percent increase in FY 2022 from FY 2021.

The Office of People Analytics advises caution when comparing unwanted sexual contact estimates from the 2021 WGRS of active-duty members to those from previous survey years, as the wording of survey questions varies, and the metric scope for unwanted sexual contact changed from 2018 to 2021.

Chart 7.1.1.2 highlights this trend, showing relatively stable estimated prevalence between FY 2014 and FY 2016, an increase in FY 2018, and steadily rising reporting from FY 2016 to FY 2023. It compares the estimated number of sexually assaulted active-duty Airmen and Guardians to the number of sexual assaults reported by active-duty Airmen and Guardians each fiscal year. However, it is important to note that DAF accepts sexual assault reports from a broader population than the prevalence estimates pertain to, meaning not all reports are directly comparable to prevalence estimates.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The sexual assault prevalence studies estimate the number of active-duty Airmen and Guardians who experienced a sexual assault in the past 12 months while in military service. The DAF accepts sexual assault

**Chart 7.1.1.2. – Active-Duty Prevalence vs. Reporting of Sexual Assault**



In FY 2023, DAF received reports of sexual assault that accounted for approximately 28 percent of the estimated number of incidents indicated by prevalence studies. This marks an increase from FY 2021, when the reported cases equaled approximately 22 percent of the estimated number.

DAF is committed to closing the “gap” between the survey-estimated number of sexually assaulted Airmen and Guardians and the number of those who choose to report. This will be achieved through two main strategies:

- Implementing sexual assault prevention initiatives that will reduce the past-year prevalence of sexual assault.
- Encouraging victims to report and enhancing the military justice system to increase the number of victims who choose to report their experiences.

### 7.1.2. Unrestricted Reporting

reports from active-duty members of other services, certain non-active-duty personnel, and imposes no limit on how long ago the sexual assault occurred or whether or not the victim was in the military at the time of the assault. Therefore, the graphic shows only the number of reports from victims who were active-duty Service members both at the time of the assault and of the report.

### 7.1.2.1. Analysis of Victims in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section presents data regarding victims in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. It is important to note that the term "FY" refers to the FY in which the investigation associated with a report concluded, not the FY in which the sexual assault was reported. For instance, Unrestricted Reports listed under the FY 2024 column are not necessarily incidents reported in FY 2024. Instead, they encompass sexual assault reports whose associated investigations were concluded in FY 2024, regardless of whether the reports were filed in FY 2024 or in any preceding fiscal year.

#### Type of Offense Investigated:

Table 7.1.2.1.1. provides a breakdown of the Unrestricted Report investigations completed each fiscal year, categorized by the type of offense investigated. MCIOs classify Unrestricted Reports based on the most serious offense alleged in the report. It is important to note that this classification may not ultimately align with the offense for which evidence supports a misconduct charge, if any.

**Table 7.1.2.1.1. – Type of Sexual Assault Offense for Unrestricted Reports**

Type of Offense Investigated in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations											
FY of Investigation Completion	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24		
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	
<b>Total Victims</b>	928	-	914	-	882	-	755	-	672	-	
<b>Type of Offense Investigated</b>											
Penetrating Offenses	508	54.7%	468	51.2%	262	29.7%	190	25.2%	174	25.9%	
Contact Offenses	384	41.4%	392	42.9%	482	54.6%	426	56.4%	385	57.3%	
Attempts to Commit Offenses	19	2.0%	14	1.5%	12	1.4%	11	1.5%	5	0.7%	
Offense Code Data Not Available	17	1.8%	40	4.4%	126	14.3%	128	17.0%	108	16.1%	

Since FY 2014, the types of offenses investigated in completed investigations have remained relatively stable. Penetrating offenses, which include rape and sexual assault accounted for 26 percent of all completed investigations in FY 2024. Contact offenses, which include aggravated sexual contact and abusive sexual contact made up nearly 57 percent of all completed investigations. The remaining 17 percent consisted of attempts to commit offenses and unknown offense types.

#### Demographic Analysis of Victims in Completed Investigations:

Table 7.1.2.1.2. below provides a demographic analysis of victims in investigations completed in FY 2024 by sex, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

#### Sex:

Between FY 2020 and FY 2024, women consistently represented the largest majority of sexual assault victims in completed investigations, accounting for 84 percent of the cases. During this period, women comprised about 23 percent of the active-duty DAF population. In contrast, male victims in completed investigations averaged 16 percent, while making up approximately 77 percent of the active-duty DAF population.

Current data collection methods and procedures do not account for victims identifying as another sex. In some past cases, these victims may have been categorized under a sex identifier marked as “Unknown.”

### **Age at Time of Incident:**

In FY 2024, victims in the 16-19 age range were significantly over-represented in completed investigations compared to their representation in DAF population. This age group accounted for 24 percent of all victims in completed investigations, while comprising less than four percent of DAF population. Similarly, victims in the 20-24 age range were also over-represented. Between FY 2020 and FY 2024, this age range averaged 45 percent of victims in completed investigations, while comprising 24 percent of DAF population.

In contrast, victims in the older age groups were under-represented relative to their respective cohorts in the FY 2024 active-duty DAF population. In FY 2024, the 25-34 age range represented nearly 18 percent of victims, and the 35-49 age range accounted for three percent of victims. Reports from the 50+ age range made up less than one percent of the victims from Unrestricted Reports.

This data highlights the disproportionate impact of sexual assault on younger Service members within DAF and underscores the need for targeted prevention and support initiatives for these age groups.

### **Grade:**

Between FY 2020 and FY 2024, junior enlisted Airmen and Guardians (E-1-E-4) were significantly over-represented as victims in completed investigations, with this group averaging 77 percent of cases over the five-year period. In FY 2024, junior enlisted Airmen and Guardians (E-1-E-4) accounted for 78 percent of victims in completed investigations, despite comprising only 35 percent of the active-duty DAF population.

In contrast, senior enlisted Airmen and Guardians (E-5-E-9) and officers made up a smaller share of the victims in completed investigations, totaling 15 percent in FY 2024. Additionally, Air Force Academy cadets and preparatory school students represented around two percent of victims in Unrestricted Reports with completed investigations in FY 2024.



**Table 7.1.2.1.2. – Victim Demographics for Unrestricted Reports**

Victim Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Victims	928	-	914	-	882	-	755	-	672	-
Sex										
Male	111	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%	137	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%	138	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%	139	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%	126	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
Female	816	<div><div></div></div> 87.9%	777	<div><div></div></div> 85.0%	743	<div><div></div></div> 84.2%	616	<div><div></div></div> 81.6%	546	<div><div></div></div> 81.3%
Unknown	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
Age (Time of Incident)										
0-15	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
16-19	245	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%	238	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%	211	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%	178	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%	136	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
20-24	415	<div><div></div></div> 44.7%	432	<div><div></div></div> 47.3%	375	<div><div></div></div> 42.5%	343	<div><div></div></div> 45.4%	289	<div><div></div></div> 43.0%
25-34	148	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%	154	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%	177	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%	142	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%	134	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
35-49	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%	22	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%	33	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%	29	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%	25	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
50-64	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
65+	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
Unknown	98	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%	64	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%	77	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%	53	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%	83	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
Military Affiliation										
Military	794	<div><div></div></div> 85.6%	787	<div><div></div></div> 86.1%	747	<div><div></div></div> 84.7%	669	<div><div></div></div> 88.6%	571	<div><div></div></div> 85.0%
Non-military	132	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%	127	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%	134	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%	86	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%	99	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
Unknown	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Duty Status (Military Victims)										
Active Duty	735	<div><div></div></div> 92.6%	718	<div><div></div></div> 91.2%	693	<div><div></div></div> 92.8%	625	<div><div></div></div> 93.4%	528	<div><div></div></div> 92.5%
Reserve (Activated)	32	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%	33	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%	23	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%	21	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%	27	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
Cadet/Prep School Student	23	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%	29	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%	25	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%	21	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Unknown	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
Grade (Military Victims)										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	23	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%	29	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%	25	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%	21	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
E-1 to E-4	602	<div><div></div></div> 75.8%	605	<div><div></div></div> 76.9%	570	<div><div></div></div> 76.3%	519	<div><div></div></div> 77.6%	448	<div><div></div></div> 78.5%
E-5 to E-9	122	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%	115	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%	114	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%	92	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%	80	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
WO1 to WO5	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
O-1 to O-3	39	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%	32	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%	33	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%	32	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%	30	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
O-4 to O-10	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Unknown	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%	0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%

\*USSF data are included as part of DAF total. Given the low incident count, any further analysis would be inconclusive at this time.

**Military Protective Orders:** Table 7.1.2.1.3. provides a summary of military protective orders. There were 39 military protective orders issued in FY 2024, two of which were violated by the subject.

**Table 7.1.2.1.3. – Military Protective Orders**

Military Protective Orders										
FY Military Protective Order Issued	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Military Protective Orders Issued	112	-	94	-	49	-	44	-	39	-
Military Protective Orders Violated	2	1.8%	3	3.2%	1	2.0%	1	2.3%	2	5.1%

**Expedited Transfers:** Table 7.1.2.1.4. summarizes expedited transfer requests by Service member victims. In FY 2024, there were 186 expedited transfer requests, with five being denied. Denials were due to various reasons, including the victim withdrawing the request, no credible report determination of a sexual assault, the victim pending separation, and medical or mental health evaluations. Notably, the number of expedited transfer requests in FY 2024 was lower compared to previous years.

**Table 7.1.2.1.4. – Expedited Transfers for Unrestricted Reports**

Expedited Transfers										
FY Expedited Transfer Requested	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Expedited Transfer Requests by Service Member Victims	213	-	218	-	213	-	231	-	186	-
Expedited Transfer Requests Approved	207	97.2%	211	96.8%	206	96.7%	228	98.7%	181	97.3%
Expedited Transfer Requests Denied	6	2.8%	7	3.2%	7	3.3%	3	1.3%	5	2.7%

**Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process:** Table 7.1.2.1.5. provides a summary of victim participation in the military justice process. The percentage of cases in which the victim declined to participate in the military justice process decreased from FY 2023 (16 percent).

**Table 7.1.2.1.5. – Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process**

Victim Participation in Military Justice Process (Unrestricted Reports)										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects that can be Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders	391	-	499	-	329	-	705	-	472	-
Number of Subject Cases with Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Action	69	17.6%	84	16.8%	73	22.2%	111	15.7%	55	11.7%

### 7.1.2.2. Analysis of Subjects in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section provides an analysis of the demographic data concerning subjects in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. It is important to note that the term "FY" refers to the FY in which the investigation associated with a sexual assault report concluded, rather than the FY in which the report was filed.

Additionally, while each Unrestricted Report of sexual assault typically involves a single victim, a report may have more than one subject. Consequently, the number of subjects recorded in a given FY may not align precisely with the number of Unrestricted Reports with completed investigations during that same fiscal year.

#### Demographic Analysis of Subjects in Completed Investigations:

Table 7.1.2.2.1. provides a demographic analysis of subjects in investigations completed each FY by sex, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

#### Sex:

Most subjects in completed investigations are male, a trend that has remained relatively stable over the past five fiscal years. Approximately 84 percent of subjects in completed investigations from FY 2020 to FY 2024 were identified as male. This trend has been consistent since FY 2014. Men comprised about 77 percent of the active-duty DAF population during this period, though it is important to note that not all subjects are identified as military members.

In comparison, women are under-represented as subjects in completed investigations. In FY 2024, women represented about six percent of sex-indicated subjects in completed investigations while making up roughly 23 percent of the active-duty DAF population.

### Age:

On average, between FY 2020 and FY 2024, the three leading age groups for subjects in Unrestricted Reports with completed investigations are 20-24 years (40 percent), 25-34 years (28 percent), and 16-19 years (11 percent).

### Grade:

Between FY 2020 and FY 2024, the grade groups of military subjects in completed investigations were predominantly junior enlisted personnel (E-1 to E-4), who accounted for an average of 53 percent of cases. Senior enlisted personnel (E-5 to E-9) represented 23 percent of the subjects, while junior officers (O-1 to O-3) comprised three percent. Senior officers (O-4 to O-10) made up two percent, and cadets, midshipmen, and prep school students accounted for one percent of the subjects. Throughout this period, E-1 to E-4 remained the grade group with the highest percentage of military subjects in completed investigations.

**Table 7.1.2.2.1. – Subject Demographics for Unrestricted Reports**

Subject Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigation										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Subjects</b>	902	-	834	-	798	-	670	-	614	-
<b>Sex</b>										
Male	742	82.3%	683	81.9%	686	86.0%	569	84.9%	531	86.5%
Female	52	5.8%	56	6.7%	54	6.8%	42	6.3%	38	6.2%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	108	12.0%	95	11.4%	56	7.0%	50	7.5%	36	5.9%
<b>Age (Time of Incident)</b>										
0-15	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
16-19	109	12.1%	85	10.2%	76	9.5%	72	10.7%	64	10.4%
20-24	356	39.5%	324	38.8%	352	44.1%	282	42.1%	208	33.9%
25-34	229	25.4%	235	28.2%	218	27.3%	183	27.3%	193	31.4%
35-49	64	7.1%	59	7.1%	68	8.5%	58	8.7%	84	13.7%
50-64	6	0.7%	10	1.2%	10	1.3%	6	0.9%	6	1.0%
65+	0	0.0%	50	6.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	135	15.0%	73	8.8%	81	10.2%	69	10.3%	58	9.4%
<b>Military Affiliation</b>										
Military	702	77.8%	653	78.3%	674	84.5%	562	83.9%	523	85.2%
Non-military	51	5.7%	64	7.7%	0	0.0%	33	4.9%	33	5.4%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	150	16.6%	118	14.1%	80	10.0%	75	11.2%	58	9.4%
<b>Duty Status (Military Subjects)</b>										
Active Duty	653	93.0%	609	93.3%	632	93.8%	523	93.1%	500	81.4%
Reserve	33	4.7%	19	2.9%	17	2.5%	23	4.1%	11	1.8%
National Guard	3	0.4%	5	0.8%	6	0.9%	4	0.7%	2	0.3%
Cadet/Prep School Student	13	1.9%	20	3.1%	0	0.0%	12	2.1%	10	1.6%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Grade (Military Subjects)</b>										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	13	1.9%	20	3.1%	0	0.0%	12	2.1%	10	1.6%
E-1 to E-4	469	66.8%	412	63.1%	434	64.4%	377	67.1%	335	54.6%
E-5 to E-9	175	24.9%	182	27.9%	172	25.5%	140	24.9%	149	24.3%
WO-1 to WO-5	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
O-1 to O-3	29	4.1%	24	3.7%	32	4.7%	20	3.6%	18	2.9%
O-4 to O-10	15	2.1%	15	2.3%	15	2.2%	13	2.3%	5	0.8%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	5	0.8%

\*USSF data are included as part of DAF total. Given the low incident count, any further analysis would be inconclusive at this time.

### 7.1.2.3. Investigative and Military Justice Process Discussion

#### Subject Dispositions:

Once the investigation of an Unrestricted Report is complete, Congress requires the Military Services to provide the outcome of the allegations against each subject named in an investigation. In FY 2024, there were 536 subject investigations with disposition information to report. Of these, 64 subjects were outside the DoD's legal and jurisdictional authority. Notably, unlike FY 2023, there were no instances where civilian or foreign authorities exercised jurisdiction over Service member subjects.

Table 7.1.2.3.1. shows that, of the remaining 472 subjects with reportable FY 2024 disposition data, 328 were held accountable by their Command. Command action was precluded for 135 subjects, primarily because either the victim declined to participate or there was insufficient evidence of an offense. Consequently, Commanders were able to pursue sexual assault or other misconduct charges against nearly 69 percent of subjects who were considered for possible action.

**Table 7.1.2.3.1. – FY 2024 Subject Dispositions for Unrestricted Reports**


FY24 Disposition	Air Force Total Count	Air Force Count of Action Completed in FY24 (Subset of Total Count)
<b>SUBJECTS OF INVESTIGATION WITH DISPOSITION INFORMATION TO REPORT IN FY24</b>	536	N/A
<b><u>DoD Did Not Consider Action</u></b>	64	N/A
<b>Subject Outside DoD's Legal Authority</b>	64	N/A
Offender is Unknown	37	N/A
Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National	27	N/A
Subject Died or Deserted	0	N/A
<b>Civilian/Foreign Authority Exercised Jurisdiction Over Service Member Subject</b>	0	N/A
<b><u>Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects Considered for Possible Action</u></b>	472	N/A
<b>Evidence Supported Commander Action</b>	328	293
Sexual Assault Charge Substantiated	173	144
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	94	74
Command Action Court-Martial Charge Preferred	86	72
OSTC Court-Martial Charge Preferred	8	2
Nonjudicial Punishments	29	27
Administrative Discharges	26	22
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	24	21
Other Misconduct Substantiated	155	149
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	5	4
Command Action Court-Martial Charge Preferred for a Non-Sexual Assault Offense	4	3
OSTC Court-Martial Charge Preferred for a Non-Sexual Assault Offense	1	1
Nonjudicial Punishments	40	39
Administrative Discharges	6	5
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	104	101
<b>Commander Action Precluded or Respected Victims' Desired Non-Participation</b>	135	N/A
Victim Declined to Participate in the Military Justice Action	55	N/A
Insufficient Evidence to Prosecute	78	N/A
Statute of Limitations Expired	1	N/A
Victim Died Before Completion of Military Justice Action	1	N/A
<b>Allegation Unfounded by Command/Legal Review</b>	9	N/A

## Completed Command Actions:

Chart 7.1.2.3.2. provides an analysis of completed command actions for both penetrating and sexual contact crimes over a five-year period. Command actions for non-sexual assault offenses increased by seven percentage points, rising from 27 percent in FY 2023 to 34 percent in FY 2024. Meanwhile, command actions for sexual assault offenses leading to discharge or other administrative actions remained consistent with recent historical norms at 10 percent in FY 2024.

Command actions for sexual assault offenses resulting in non-judicial punishment saw a slight decrease, dropping from 9 percent in FY 2023 to 7 percent in FY 2024. Similarly, command actions leading to court-martial charges also experienced a slight decline, decreasing from 22 percent in FY 2023 to 18 percent in FY 2024. The percentage of cases where command action was not possible remained steady at 31 percent of all investigated cases from FY 2023 to FY 2024.

**Chart 7.1.2.3.2. – Completed Command Actions by FY**







## Courts-Martial Outcomes:

Charts 7.1.2.3.3. and 7.1.2.3.4. illustrate sexual assault court-martial outcomes for penetrating and sexual contact crimes over a five-year period. In FY 2024, out of 91 total subjects with courts-martial charges preferred, 47 subjects proceeded to trial. This includes 65 percent of subjects charged with penetrative crimes and 61 percent charged with sexual contact crimes. Of these, 13 subjects were convicted of a penetrative offense, and five were convicted of a contact offense, resulting in an overall conviction rate of 38 percent in cases tried to a verdict.

**Chart 7.1.2.3.3. – Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes for Penetrating Crimes**



**Chart 7.1.2.3.4. – Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes for Sexual Contact Crimes**



### Duration of Investigative and Military Justice Processes

The length of a sexual assault investigation depends on several factors, including:

- the alleged offense
- the location and availability of the victim, subject, and witnesses
- the amount and kind of physical evidence gathered during the investigation
- the length of time required for crime laboratory analysis of evidence

Depending on these and other factors, the sexual assault investigation length may range from a few months to over a year.

Chart 7.1.2.3.5. illustrates the mean and median lengths of time required to complete sexual assault investigations in DAF over the past five fiscal years. Notably, the median length of investigations decreased by three days between FY 2023 and FY 2024. Additionally, the mean time to complete these investigations saw a significant reduction, decreasing by 20 days during the same period.

**Chart 7.1.2.3.5. – Average Sexual Assault Investigation Length**




Chart 7.1.2.3.6. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an Unrestricted Report (i.e., signs the DD Form 2910) to the completion of the court-martial process, sentencing or acquittal. In FY 2024, the median time from filing an Unrestricted sexual assault report to completion of the court-martial process was three days less than in FY 2023, and the lowest median in past five FYs. The mean number of days from Unrestricted Report to court outcome increased by 31 days, the second lowest mean in the past five FYs.

**Chart 7.1.2.3.6. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report until Courts-Martial Outcome**





Chart 7.1.2.3.7. illustrates the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an Unrestricted Report (i.e., signs the DD Form 2910) to the completion of non-judicial punishment (NJP) actions. In FY 2024, the median time between filing an Unrestricted Report and the completion of NJP increased by 11 days compared to FY 2023. Additionally, the mean time from filing an Unrestricted Report to the NJP outcome increased by 20 days.

**Chart 7.1.2.3.7. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report Until Non-Judicial Punishment Outcome**



#### 7.1.2.4. Analysis of Incident Details in Unrestricted Reports

Table 7.1.2.4.1. provides an analysis of incident details associated with Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault, classified by the FY in which DAF received the sexual assault report. This approach differs from previous sections, which classified Unrestricted sexual assault reports by the FY in which the associated investigation and outcome were completed.

In cases where investigations are not yet complete, the information provided by the victim serves as the basis for incident details. It's important to note that Table 7.1.2.4.1. excludes any sexual assault reports initially filed as "Restricted" in one FY but later converted to "Unrestricted" in a subsequent fiscal year. However, it does include sexual assault reports initially filed as "Restricted" in one FY and converted to "Unrestricted" within the same fiscal year.

The overall number of Unrestricted Reports made to DAF decreased slightly from 957 in FY 2023 to 900 in FY 2024.

#### Assault Location:

The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on base decreased from 46 percent in FY 2023 to 42 percent in FY 2024, averaging 45 percent for past five FYs.



**Subject-Victim Service Affiliation:**

In FY 2024, Unrestricted Reports in which Service members assaulted fellow Service members decreased from 57 percent in FY 2023 to 47 percent. Over the past five years, Unrestricted Reports in which Service members sexually assaulted non-Service members have averaged 12 percent. Similarly, Unrestricted Reports in which non-Service members sexually assaulted Service members have maintained a five-year average of five percent.

**Subject-Victim Sex:**

The percentage of Unrestricted Reports involving males sexually assaulting females decreased by nearly five percentage points, from 55 percent in FY 2023 to 50 percent in FY 2024, continuing the rate of decrease observed between FY 2020 and FY 2024. Reports involving males assaulting males also decreased, from 13 percent in FY 2023 to 10 percent in FY 2024. All other subject-victim sex categories demonstrated five-year averages ranging from one percent to four percent.

**Reporting Delay:**

The percentage of Unrestricted Reports filed greater than one year from the occurrence of the sexual assault remained steady at 21 percent for both FY 2023 and FY 2024. The highest percentage of reporting delay is 31-365 days at 31 percent.

**Assault Day of Week and Time of Day:**

The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on the weekend (Friday through Sunday) has decreased from 56 percent in FY 2023 to 51 percent in FY 2024. The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred between midnight and 6AM has decreased from 45 percent in FY 2023 to 22 percent in FY 2024. The five-year average is 44 percent.

**Table 7.1.2.4.1. – Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports**

Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports*						
FY of Report	FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Reports</b>	1060		1057		1031	
<b>Assault Location</b>						
On-Base	539	50.8%	478	45.2%	444	43.1%
Off-Base	438	41.3%	508	48.1%	501	48.6%
Unidentified	83	7.8%	71	6.7%	86	8.3%
<b>Subject-Victim Service Affiliation</b>						
Member on Member	613	57.8%	612	57.9%	556	53.9%
Member on Non-Member	126	11.9%	138	13.1%	135	13.1%
Non-Member on Member	56	5.3%	63	6.0%	61	5.9%
Unidentified on Member	75	7.1%	54	5.1%	74	7.2%
Relevant Data Not Available	190	17.9%	190	18.0%	205	19.9%
<b>Subject-Victim Sex</b>						
Male on Female	699	65.9%	667	63.1%	621	60.2%
Male on Male	61	5.8%	81	7.7%	89	8.6%
Female on Male	21	2.0%	39	3.7%	37	3.6%
Female on Female	24	2.3%	19	1.8%	22	2.1%
Unknown on Male	18	1.7%	7	0.7%	7	0.7%
Unknown on Female	45	4.2%	46	4.4%	45	4.4%
Mutiple Mixed Sex	6	0.6%	9	0.9%	6	0.6%
Relevant Data Not Available	186	17.5%	189	17.9%	204	19.8%
<b>Reporting Delay</b>						
Within 3 days	259	24.4%	236	22.3%	242	23.5%
4-30 days	226	21.3%	202	19.1%	227	22.0%
31-365 days	347	32.7%	328	31.0%	327	31.7%
> 1 year	194	18.3%	261	24.7%	211	20.5%
Relevant Data Not Available	34	3.2%	30	2.8%	24	2.3%
<b>Assault Time of Day</b>						
6AM - 6PM	160	15.1%	185	17.5%	173	16.8%
6PM - Midnight	309	29.2%	314	29.7%	309	30.0%
Midnight - 6AM	529	49.9%	497	47.0%	480	46.6%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	62	5.8%	61	5.8%	69	6.7%
<b>Assault Day of Week</b>						
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	607	57.3%	604	57.1%	606	58.8%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	419	39.5%	423	40.0%	399	38.7%
Relevant Data Not Available	34	3.2%	30	2.8%	26	2.5%

\*The counts in this table do **not** include prior-FY restricted reports that were converted to unrestricted in the indicated FY.

\*USSF data are included as part of DAF total. Given the low incident count, any further analysis would be inconclusive at this time.

### 7.1.3. Restricted Reporting

Restricted Reports of sexual assault are confidential, covered communications as defined in DoD policy. DAF cannot investigate allegations made in Restricted Reports because there is no requirement for victims to provide details about these sexual assaults, and SAPR personnel do not enter information about alleged subjects into DSAID. Therefore, DSAID data concerning Restricted Reports are more limited than data about Unrestricted Reports.

#### 7.1.3.1. Restricted Report Conversions

Table 7.1.3.1.1. shows the number of initially reported Restricted Reports, the number of initially reported Restricted Reports where victims converted to Unrestricted in the same FY, and the number of Restricted Reports remaining Restricted at the end of each FY. In FY 2024, 1,084 victims initially filed Restricted Reports with the DAF. Of these, 158 victims chose to convert

their Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report in FY 2024 (the Unrestricted reporting sections above include these same-year conversion reports), resulting in 926 Restricted Reports remaining Restricted at the end of FY 2024. The percentage of Restricted Reports converted in the same year slightly increased from FY 2023, 10 percent to 16 percent in FY 2024.

**Table 7.1.3.1.1. – Restricted Report Conversions**

FY of Report	Restricted Report Conversions									
	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Initially Restricted	712		730		1044		1010		1084	
Converted to Unrestricted	146	24.3%	125	17.3%	180	20.1%	185	10.1%	158	16.1%
Remaining Restricted	566	79.5%	605	82.9%	864	82.8%	825	81.7%	926	85.4%

### 7.1.3.2. Analysis of Victims in Restricted Reports

Table 7.1.3.2.1. and the following discussion provides a demographic analysis of victims of sexual assault who made Restricted Reports that remained Restricted through the end of the reporting FY, without conversion. The Unrestricted reporting section above includes all converted Restricted Reports.

#### Sex:

The portion of male and female victims in Restricted Reports remained relatively consistent between FY 2020 and FY 2024. The percentage of male victims making a Restricted Report slightly increased since FY 2022. The percentage of male victims in Restricted Reports for FY 2024, was 26 percent, the highest percentage of male victim reports since FY 2015.

#### Age at Time of Incident:

There is little variation between the age distribution of individuals making Unrestricted and Restricted Reports. In FY 2024, victims in the 16-19 age range accounted for 15 percent of victims in Restricted Reports and 20 percent of victims in Unrestricted Reports. Victims in the 20-24 age range represented 45 percent of Restricted Reports and 43 percent of Unrestricted Reports in FY 2024. Notably, over the past five years, victims in the 0-15 age range made up a larger portion of Restricted Reports, averaging almost five percent, compared to one percent in Unrestricted Reports. These cases come from. It is worth noting that victims in this age range are predominantly Service Members reporting on incidents before members who reported a sexual assault for an incident that occurred prior to joining the Service and seeking out. By reporting these incidents, these Service members can utilize the support resources that are available to them within the DAF, ensuring they receive the necessary care and assistance.

#### Grade:

Officers continued to constitute a higher proportion of Restricted Reports compared to Unrestricted Reports. In FY 2024, officers made up nine percent of Restricted Reports, compared to six percent of Unrestricted Reports. Similarly, senior enlisted Airmen and Guardians (E-5-E-9) represented a larger share of Restricted Reports, accounting for 33 percent in FY 2024, compared to 14 percent of Unrestricted Reports.

**Table 7.1.3.2.1. – Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports**

Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports											
FY of Report	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24		
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	
<b>Total Victims</b>	566	-	605	-	864	-	825	-	926	-	
<b>Sex</b>											
Male	112	19.8%	116	19.2%	187	21.6%	194	23.5%	239	25.8%	
Female	454	80.2%	489	80.8%	677	78.4%	631	76.5%	687	74.2%	
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
<b>Age (Time of Incident)</b>											
0-15	44	7.8%	26	4.3%	49	5.7%	39	4.7%	45	4.9%	
16-19	136	24.0%	142	23.5%	168	19.4%	140	17.0%	145	15.7%	
20-24	245	43.3%	271	44.8%	394	45.6%	386	46.8%	417	45.0%	
25-34	117	20.7%	140	23.1%	216	25.0%	208	25.2%	254	27.4%	
35-49	20	3.5%	24	4.0%	33	3.8%	48	5.8%	62	6.7%	
50-64	0	0.0%	2	0.3%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Relevant Data Not Available	4	0.7%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	
<b>Military Affiliation</b>											
Military	550	97.2%	593	98.0%	843	97.6%	801	97.1%	897	96.9%	
Non-military	16	2.8%	12	2.0%	21	2.4%	24	2.9%	29	3.1%	
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
<b>Duty Status (Military Victims)</b>											
Active Duty	490	89.1%	519	87.5%	742	88.0%	720	89.9%	801	89.3%	
Reserve (Activated)	33	6.0%	44	7.4%	50	5.9%	48	6.0%	51	5.7%	
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	4	0.7%	3	0.5%	13	1.5%	10	1.2%	8	0.9%	
Cadet/Prep School Student	23	4.2%	27	4.6%	36	4.3%	23	2.9%	36	4.0%	
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
<b>Grade (Military Victims)</b>											
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	23	4.2%	27	4.6%	36	4.3%	23	2.9%	36	4.0%	
E-1 to E-4	341	62.0%	345	58.2%	442	52.4%	424	52.9%	481	53.6%	
E-5 to E-9	133	24.2%	146	24.6%	264	31.3%	255	31.8%	295	32.9%	
WO1-WO5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
O-1 to O-3	42	7.6%	47	7.9%	74	8.8%	82	10.2%	59	6.6%	
O-4 to O-10	11	2.0%	28	4.7%	27	3.2%	17	2.1%	26	2.9%	
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	

\*USSF data are included as part of DAF total. Given the low incident count, any further analysis would be inconclusive at this time.

### 7.1.3.3. Analysis of Incident Details in Restricted Reports

Table 7.1.3.3.1. provides analysis of the incident details for Restricted Reports that remained Restricted at the end of each FY.

#### Assault Location:

The percentage of Restricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on base increased from 33 percent in FY 2023 to 36 percent in FY 2024, resuming the trend of the previous year's FY 2020 and FY 2022-2023.

#### Subject-Victim Service Affiliation:

In FY 2024, Restricted Reports in which Service members assaulted fellow Service members accounted for 64 percent of all Restricted Reports, a slight increase from 62 percent in FY 2023. This represents the third highest observed percentage in the past ten years. Restricted Reports in which Service members sexually assaulted non-Service members have remained relatively constant, ranging between two and three percent from FY 2020 to FY 2024. Additionally, Restricted Reports in which non-Service members sexually assaulted Service members made

up 22 percent of reports in FY 2024, a figure that has remained relatively consistent over the past five fiscal years.

### Reporting Delay:

In FY 2024, 35 percent of Restricted Reports were filed more than one year after the occurrence of the sexual assault, marking a slight increase from 30 percent in FY 2023. Over the past five years, the average percentage of Restricted Reports filed more than one year after the incident has been 32 percent.

### Assault Day of Week and Time of Day:

The percentage of Restricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on the weekend (Friday through Sunday) has continued a five-year trend, consistently falling within the range of 47-50 percent during FY 2020 through FY 2024. Additionally, the percentage of Restricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred between 6 PM and midnight has remained the highest over the past five fiscal years, consistently ranging between 39 and 46 percent of all Restricted Reports. In FY 2024, 45 percent of the Restricted Reports of sexual assault occurred between 6 PM and midnight, representing the largest majority of reports.

**Table 7.1.3.3.1. – Incident Details for Restricted Reports**

Incident Details for Restricted Reports											
FY of Report	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24		
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	
<b>Total Reports</b>	566	-	605	-	864	-	825	-	926	-	
<b>Incident Location</b>											
On-Base	205	36.2%	259	42.8%	294	34.0%	275	33.3%	330	35.6%	
Off-Base	290	51.2%	285	47.1%	454	52.5%	420	50.9%	486	52.5%	
Unidentified/Relevant Data Not Avail.	71	12.5%	61	10.1%	116	13.4%	130	15.8%	110	11.9%	
<b>Subject-Victim Military Affiliation</b>											
Member on Member	340	60.1%	394	65.1%	562	65.0%	519	62.9%	596	64.4%	
Member on Non-Member	16	2.8%	12	2.0%	21	2.4%	24	2.9%	29	3.1%	
Non-Member on Member	130	23.0%	112	18.5%	177	20.5%	188	22.8%	203	21.9%	
Unidentified on Member	80	14.1%	87	14.4%	103	11.9%	94	11.4%	98	10.6%	
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
<b>Reporting Delay</b>											
Within 3 days	107	18.9%	97	16.0%	120	13.9%	121	14.7%	100	10.8%	
4-30 days	70	12.4%	82	13.6%	122	14.1%	109	13.2%	163	17.6%	
31-365 days	105	18.6%	116	19.2%	151	17.5%	163	19.8%	161	17.4%	
> 1 year	163	28.8%	191	31.6%	285	33.0%	250	30.3%	327	35.3%	
Relevant Data Not Available	121	21.4%	119	19.7%	186	21.5%	182	22.1%	175	18.9%	
<b>Assault Time of Day</b>											
6AM - 6PM	75	13.3%	74	12.2%	107	12.4%	138	16.7%	129	13.9%	
6PM - Midnight	219	38.7%	275	45.5%	394	45.6%	369	44.7%	417	45.0%	
Midnight - 6AM	185	32.7%	176	29.1%	242	28.0%	227	27.5%	272	29.4%	
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail	88	15.5%	80	13.2%	121	14.0%	91	11.0%	108	11.7%	
<b>Assault Day of Week</b>											
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	273	48.2%	295	48.8%	430	49.8%	391	47.4%	433	46.8%	
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	174	30.7%	193	31.9%	246	28.5%	252	30.5%	318	34.3%	
Relevant Data Not Available	119	21.0%	117	19.3%	188	21.8%	182	22.1%	175	18.9%	

\*USSF data are included as part of DAF total. Given the low incident count, any further analysis would be inconclusive at this time.

#### 7.1.4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

Table 7.1.4.1. analyzes service referrals for Unrestricted Reports. The number of referrals decreased from FY 2023 to FY 2024 by five percent. The most common service referrals for Unrestricted Reports were Victim Advocate, Mental Health, and Legal.

**Table 7.1.4.1. – Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports**

Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports												
FY of Service Referral	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24			
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%		
Total Service Referrals	3,287	-	4,051	-	3,830	-	3,942	-	3,740	-		
Type of Service												
Medical	337	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%	425	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%	426	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%	449	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%	443	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%		
Mental Health	583	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%	733	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%	670	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%	661	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%	647	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%		
Legal	649	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%	729	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%	735	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%	733	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%	671	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%		
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	427	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%	548	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%	507	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%	564	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%	523	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%		
Rape Crisis Center	110	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%	131	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%	112	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%	106	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%		
Victim Advocate	640	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%	759	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%	838	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%	704	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%	664	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%		
DoD Safe Helpline	365	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%	502	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%	506	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%	510	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%	486	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%		
Other	176	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%	222	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%	132	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%	209	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%	167	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%		

Table 7.1.4.2. analyzes service referrals for Restricted Reports. The number of referrals had an increase from FY 2023 by 16 percent. The most common service referrals for Restricted Reports were Mental Health, Legal, and Victim Advocate Support.

**Table 7.1.4.2. – Service Referrals for Restricted Reports**

Service Referrals for Restricted Reports												
FY of Service Referral	FY20		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24			
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%		
Total Service Referrals	1775	-	2751	-	3,812	-	3663	-	4,262	-		
Type of Service												
Medical	182	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%	327	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%	441	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%	433	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%	476	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%		
Mental Health	307	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%	522	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%	724	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%	640	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%	647	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%		
Legal	205	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%	405	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%	586	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%	562	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%	773	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%		
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	259	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%	397	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%	564	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%	563	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%	622	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%		
Rape Crisis Center	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%	104	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%	158	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%	122	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%	156	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%		
Victim Advocate	510	<div><div></div></div> 28.7%	484	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%	639	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%	609	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%	723	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%		
DoD Safe Helpline	177	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%	361	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%	551	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%	523	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%	576	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%		
Other	126	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%	150	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%	149	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%	211	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%	257	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%		

**7.2 Personnel Support:** Complete the following table with your numbers as of the end of FY 2024. Use the job/duty descriptions provided and the following inclusion criteria:

- Include all Reserve and Active-Duty military personnel. Army and Air Force do not need to include their respective National Guard component information as it will be included in NGB's response.

- Include federal government civilian personnel

- Only include filled positions

- Indicate the number of full-time and collateral duty personnel

- Provide the exact number of current personnel, whenever possible. When applicable, please indicate when a number is an estimate.

(DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR): Program Procedures," (September 6, 2022), Encl 2, para 6)



Job/Duty Title	Description of Job/Duty	Full-Time	Collateral Duty
<b>SAPR Program Managers</b>	Capability in developing policy, or program management and execution; and completion of 40+ hours of Military Service-specific D-SAACP requirements and approved SARC training.	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Dedicated Headquarters-Level SAPR Professionals</b>	Include policy, advocacy, administrative support, and prevention professionals (e.g., data analysts, training analysts, and D-SAACP analysts) who support the headquarters-level SAPR program offices at each Military Service/NGB ( <i>not including program managers, who are counted in their own category</i> ).	<b>13</b>	
<b>Uniformed SARCs (collateral duty)</b>	Serve as the single point of contact at an installation or within a geographic area to oversee sexual assault awareness, prevention, and response training; coordinate medical treatment, including emergency care, for victims of sexual assault; and track the services provided to victims from the initial report through final disposition and resolution and are certified through D-SAACP.	<b>31 (Full-time)</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Civilian SARCs (full-time)</b>	See above.	<b>90</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Principal SARCs (formerly known as Lead SARCs) (full-time)</b>	Serve as the primary focal point for directing and coordinating response activities at the installation (tactical level) for supported tenant commands; fulfills a supervisory capacity at the installation for all SARCs and SAPR VAs (at least 25 percent of the time).	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Uniformed SAPR-VAs (collateral duty)</b>	Provide non-clinical crisis intervention, referral, and ongoing non-clinical support to adult sexual assault victims; offer information on available options/resources to victims; coordinate liaison assistance with other organizations and agencies on victim care matters; and report directly to the SARC and are certified through D-SAACP.	<b>0</b>	<b>952</b>
<b>Civilian SAPR-VAs (full-time)</b>	See above.	<b>226</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Civilian SAPR-VAs (collateral duty)</b>	See above.	<b>0</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Sexual Assault-Specific Legal Personnel</b>	Legal personnel who specialize in sexual assault cases including prosecutors, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel, paralegals, legal experts, and Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel.	<b>189</b>	<b>69</b>

<b>Sexual Assault-Specific Investigators</b>	Military Criminal Investigative Office investigators who specialize in sexual assault cases.	<b>81</b>	
<b>Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners</b>	Medical providers that have completed the DoD Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner Course at Fort Sam Houston, or equivalent.		<b>20 deploying only, see below</b>

Note: The Air Force trained 47 active-duty Airmen (RN, NP, MD, PA) at the MEDCoE Inter-Service SAMFE Course to be collateral duty SAMFE. Of this total, 20 (43 percent) were deploying and are reported here. 15 (32 percent) were trained for CONUS MTFs and 12 (26 percent) OCONUS MTFs. The OCONUS or CONUS MTF numbers are reported by DHA and are not reflected in the response above.

# Unrestricted Reports

AIR FORCE FY24 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY		
<b>A. FY24 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b> (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY24. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAD) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	FY24 Totals	
<b># FY24 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>900</b>
# Service Member Victims		794
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		105
# Relevant Data Not Available		1
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>		<b>900</b>
# Service Member on Service Member		425
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		105
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		31
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		44
# Relevant Data Not Available		295
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>		<b>900</b>
# On military installation		384
# Off military installation		248
# Unidentified location		268
<b># Service Member Victims in Unrestricted Reports</b>		<b>794</b>
# Army Victims		2
# Navy Victims		6
# Marine Corps Victims		2
# Air Force Victims		769
# Space Force Victims		14
# Coast Guard Victims		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		1
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>		<b>900</b>
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY24</b>		<b>658</b>
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2024		213
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2024		445
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>		<b>33</b>
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>		<b>209</b>
<b># Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ</b>		<b>63</b>
<b># Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations</b>		<b>0</b>
<b># Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>		<b>18</b>
<b># Victims - Other</b>		<b>128</b>
<b># All Restricted Reports received in FY24 (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>1084</b>
<b># Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)</b>		<b>158</b>
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY24</b>		<b>926</b>
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY24</b>	<b>FY24 Totals</b>	<b>FY24 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases</b>
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>794</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	233	208
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	128	114
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	86	74
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	241	207
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	192	172
# Relevant Data Not Available	20	19
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>794</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	201	180
# 6 am to 6 pm	256	229
# 6 pm to midnight	286	263
# Unknown	88	70
# Relevant Data Not Available	69	52
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>794</b>
# Sunday	131	118
# Monday	102	92
# Tuesday	88	70
# Wednesday	87	74
# Thursday	85	80
# Friday	184	156
# Saturday	202	184
# Relevant Data Not Available	21	20

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT SEX)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Sex Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY24 Totals			
	448	90	27	12	8	34	1	280	900			
	# Service Member on Service Member	311	76	23	10	0	2	1	2	425		
	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	95	7	1	2	0	0	0	0	105		
	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	15	4	3	0	1	4	0	4	31		
	# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	7	2	0	0	7	28	0	0	44		
	# Relevant Data Not Available	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	274	295		
FY24 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)												
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY24	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses							
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre 2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY24 Totals	
	D1.	49	1	165	0	18	431	0	3	2	231	900
	# Service Member on Service Member	1	1	80	0	3	273	0	0	2	65	425
	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	3	0	29	0	1	43	0	0	0	29	105
	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	6	0	9	0	0	11	0	0	0	5	31
	# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	4	0	14	0	1	13	0	0	0	12	44
	# Relevant Data Not Available	35	0	33	0	13	91	0	3	0	120	295
	D2.											
	TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY24 Reports	46	1	136	0	17	387	0	3	2	202	794
	# Service Member Victims: Female	36	1	113	0	16	274	0	2	2	151	595
# Service Member Victims: Male	10	0	23	0	1	113	0	1	0	51	199	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS		FY24 Totals
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY24</b>		
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.		
# Investigations Initiated during FY24		642
# Investigations Completed as of FY24 End (group by MCIO #)		429
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY24 End (group by MCIO #)		213
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY24		612
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		8
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		8
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		12
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		12
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		485
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		474
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		11
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations		18
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.		
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations		32
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.		
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement		3
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.		
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement		3
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement		0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service		15
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service		11
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available		28
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY24</b>		
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY24. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY24 or any prior FY.		
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY24 (Group by MCIO Case Number)		600
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim		36
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject		15
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject		0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY24 involving a Victim supported by your Service		589
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		19
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		19
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		11
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		11
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		489
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		483
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		6
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service		25
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service		29
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available		16
# Victims in investigations completed during FY24, supported by your Service		648
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations		19
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations		18
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations		1
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations		14
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations		9
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations		5
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations		515
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations		514
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations		1
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service		98
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available		2

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY24</b>	
<b>Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.</b>	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY24 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>24</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY24 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>25</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>4</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>10</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>11</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY24, supported by your Service</b>	<b>24</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations</b>	<b>23</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	22
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	1
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY24 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as MPs )</b>	
<b>Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.</b>	
<b>Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.</b>	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY24 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY24 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY24, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>



Unrestricted Reports (continued)

Victims in Investigation Completed in FY24	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY24										
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY24 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre 2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY24 Totals
F1. Sex of Victims	16	2	156	0	6	378	0	1	5	108	672
# Male	1	0	15	0	1	100	0	1	1	7	126
# Female	15	2	141	0	5	278	0	0	4	101	546
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F2. Age of Victims	16	2	156	0	6	378	0	1	5	108	672
# 0-15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
# 16-19	2	0	35	0	1	79	0	0	2	17	136
# 20-24	8	1	78	0	1	153	0	0	2	46	289
# 25-34	5	0	30	0	3	82	0	0	1	13	134
# 35-49	0	0	8	0	0	14	0	0	0	3	25
# 50-64	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	1	4	0	1	49	0	0	0	28	83
F3. Victim Type	16	2	156	0	6	378	0	1	5	108	672
# Service Member	14	1	131	0	5	332	0	1	5	82	571
# DoD Civilian	0	0	4	0	1	12	0	0	0	4	21
# DoD Contractor	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	4
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	1	16	0	0	26	0	0	0	20	63
# Foreign National	1	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	11
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	14	1	131	0	5	332	0	1	5	82	571
# E1-E4	7	1	106	0	3	257	0	1	4	69	448
# E5-E9	5	0	16	0	2	48	0	0	1	8	80
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	6	0	0	19	0	0	0	5	30
# O4-O10	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	8
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	14	1	131	0	5	332	0	1	5	82	571
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Navy	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
# Marines	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Air Force	14	1	126	0	4	322	0	1	5	81	554
# Space Force	0	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	9
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	14	1	131	0	5	332	0	1	5	82	571
# Active Duty	12	1	118	0	5	306	0	1	5	80	528
# Reserve (Activated)	1	0	9	0	0	15	0	0	0	2	27
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	7
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	8
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY24 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY24										FY24 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre 2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
G1. Sex of Subjects	18	2	154	0	4	333	0	0	6	97	614
# Male	12	1	129	0	4	292	0	0	6	87	531
# Female	1	1	8	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	38
# Unknown	4	0	16	0	0	9	0	0	0	7	36
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	9
G2. Age of Subjects	18	2	154	0	4	333	0	0	6	97	614
# 0-15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# 16-19	1	1	12	0	0	42	0	0	0	8	64
# 20-24	2	0	68	0	1	93	0	0	3	41	208
# 25-34	2	1	42	0	0	121	0	0	1	26	193
# 35-49	2	0	10	0	2	59	0	0	2	9	84
# 50-64	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	6
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	4	0	9	0	0	10	0	0	0	3	26
# Relevant Data Not Available	6	0	11	0	1	6	0	0	0	8	32
G3. Subject Type	18	2	154	0	4	333	0	0	6	97	614
# Service Member	5	2	126	0	4	303	0	0	4	79	523
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants											
# Recruiters											
# DoD Civilian	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	6
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
# US Civilian	5	0	9	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	21
# Foreign National	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	6	0	14	0	0	11	0	0	0	8	36
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	0	1	0	0	12	0	0	0	6	22
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	5	2	126	0	4	303	0	0	4	79	523
# E1-E4	1	1	84	0	2	186	0	0	4	57	335
# E5-E9	3	1	36	0	2	89	0	0	0	18	149
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# O1-O3	1	0	3	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	18
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	3	10
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	5	2	126	0	4	303	0	0	4	79	523
# Army	2	0	10	0	0	9	0	0	0	1	22
# Navy	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	8
# Marines	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Air Force	3	2	109	0	4	283	0	0	4	78	483
# Space Force	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	5	2	126	0	4	303	0	0	4	79	523
# Active Duty	4	2	123	0	4	287	0	0	4	76	500
# Reserve (Activated)	1	0	2	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	11
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	3	10
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY24 INVESTIGATIONS	FY24 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY24 INVESTIGATIONS	FY24 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY24, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	3		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	1		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY24 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	614	# Victims in investigations completed in FY24	672
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY24	378	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY24	405
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	0	# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	74		
# Unknown Offenders	37	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	5
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	28
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	35	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	3
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	28
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	2	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	2
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	87		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	28	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	23
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	50	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	38
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	1	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	7	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	4
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	1	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	1
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	352	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	409
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2024	134		
# FY24 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	134	# FY24 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	132
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	12	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferalls against Subject	10
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	13	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	14
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	9	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	14
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	7	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	7
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferalls for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	18	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	17
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	3
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	72	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	67
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of Courts Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY24	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion</b>	<b>161</b>
<i># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</i>	<i>148</i>
<i># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</i>	<i>13</i>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY24	0
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY24</b>	<b>61</b>
<i># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</i>	<i>37</i>
<i># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</i>	<i>24</i>
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed</b>	<b>0</b>
<i># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</i>	<i>0</i>
<i># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</i>	<i>0</i>
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
<b># Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial</b>	<b>36</b>
<i># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</i>	<i>3</i>
<i># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</i>	<i>1</i>
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	2
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	5
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge</b>	<b>30</b>
<i># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</i>	<i>29</i>
<i># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</i>	<i>18</i>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	1
<i># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</i>	<i>0</i>
<i># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</i>	<i>0</i>
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial</b>	<b>25</b>
<i># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</i>	<i>3</i>
<i># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</i>	<i>6</i>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>0</b>
<i># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</i>	<i>0</i>
<i># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</i>	<i>0</i>
# Subjects receiving confinement	40
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	2
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	6
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	8
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	3
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	0
<b>J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY24	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY24</b>	<b>62</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY24	4
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY24</b>	<b>58</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	9
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment</b>	<b>49</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>49</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	34
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	29
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	4
# Subjects receiving extra duty	8
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	44
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	21
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	4
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	13
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	4
<b>K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN.</b> This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY24	3
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>57</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	6
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	7
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	2
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY24	7
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>185</b>

# Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>L. COURTS MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non sexual assault offense).</b> This section reports the outcomes of Courts Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY24</b>	<b>70</b>
<b># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</b>	<b>6</b>
<b># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</b>	<b>112</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY24	2
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY24</b>	<b>68</b>
<b># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</b>	<b>6</b>
<b># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</b>	<b>107</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	3
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	58
<b># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</b>	<b>4</b>
<b># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</b>	<b>2</b>
# Officer Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	5
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</b>	<b>2</b>
<b># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</b>	<b>3</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	1
<b># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># of those stemming from OSTC Preferrals</b>	<b>2</b>
<b># of those stemming from Command Action Preferrals</b>	<b>1</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	1
# Subjects with pending punishment	31
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>0</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	49
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	31
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY24</b>	<b>182</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY24	NA
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY24</b>	<b>NA</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>112</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>0</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	63
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	6
# Subjects receiving extra duty	2
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non sexual assault offense).</b> This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY24	NA
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>NA</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY24	NA
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>NA</b>

## Restricted Reports

AIR FORCE FY24 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY24 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY24 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	1084
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	1053
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	31
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY24*	158
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY24	156
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY24	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	926
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	897
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	29
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	926
# Service Member on Service Member	596
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	203
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	29
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	98
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	FY24 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	926
# On military installation	330
# Off military installation	486
# Unidentified location	61
# Relevant Data Not Available	49
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	926
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	100
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	98
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	65
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	161
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	327
# Relevant Data Not Available	175
Time of sexual assault incident	926
# Midnight to 6 am	272
# 6 am to 6 pm	129
# 6 pm to midnight	417
# Unknown	89
# Relevant Data Not Available	19
Day of sexual assault incident	926
# Sunday	107
# Monday	84
# Tuesday	79
# Wednesday	79
# Thursday	76
# Friday	136
# Saturday	190
# Relevant Data Not Available	175
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY24 Totals
# Service Member Victims	897
# Army Victims	7
# Navy Victims	15
# Marines Victims	1
# Air Force Victims	862
# Space Force Victims	11
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1



Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY24 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY24 Totals
<b>Sex of Victims</b>	<b>926</b>
# Male	239
# Female	687
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>926</b>
# 0-15	45
# 16-19	145
# 20-24	417
# 25-34	254
# 35-49	62
# 50-64	2
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>897</b>
# E1-E4	481
# E5-E9	295
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	59
# O4-O10	26
# Cadet/Midshipman	33
# Academy Prep School Student	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>897</b>
# Active Duty	801
# Reserve (Activated)	51
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	8
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	33
# Academy Prep School Student	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Victim Type</b>	<b>926</b>
# Service Member	897
# DoD Civilian	
# DoD Contractor	
# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	29
# Foreign National	
# Foreign Military	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE</b>	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>	<b>87</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	62
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	24
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)</b>	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	47.35443038
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	61.0382647
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	2
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY24</b>	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY24</b>	<b>53</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY24	53
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY24	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

AIR FORCE FY24 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT			
NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.			
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:		FY24 Totals	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories			
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)		3472	
# Medical		423	
# Behavioral Health		591	
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)		664	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		519	
# Rape Crisis Center			
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		639	
# DoD Safe Helpline		486	
# Other		117	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)		268	
# Medical		20	
# Behavioral Health		56	
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		7	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		4	
# Rape Crisis Center		106	
# Victim Advocate		25	
# DoD Safe Helpline			
# Other		50	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted		75	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam		0	
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service		45	
B. FY24 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS UNRESTRICTED REPORTS		FY24 TOTALS	
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY24		39	
# Reported MPO Violations in FY24		2	
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects		2	
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault		0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Both		0	
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.			
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault		1	Total Number Denied
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied		0	Reasons for Disapproval (Total)
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault		186	No credible report determination of a sexual assault
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied		5	Victim is pending separation
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS			Victim withdrew the request
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories			Victim pending medical or mental health evaluation
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)		FY24 TOTALS	
# Medical		3910	
# Behavioral Health		459	
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		698	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		618	
# Rape Crisis Center		615	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		697	
# DoD Safe Helpline		576	
# Other		193	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)		352	
# Medical		17	
# Behavioral Health		75	
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		3	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		7	
# Rape Crisis Center		156	
# Victim Advocate		26	
# DoD Safe Helpline			
# Other		64	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted		47	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam		0	

## Support Services (continued)

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER	FY24 Totals
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	63
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	13
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	47
D2. Sex of Non-Service Members	63
# Male	9
# Female	54
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident	63
# 0-15	1
# 16-19	3
# 20-24	6
# 25-34	6
# 35-49	3
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	44
D4. Non-Service Member Type	63
# DoD Civilian	28
# DoD Contractor	2
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	31
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	116
# Medical	16
# Behavioral Health	14
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	24
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	23
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	21
# DoD Safe Helpline	13
# Other	5
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	87
# Medical	9
# Behavioral Health	16
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	11
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	9
# Rape Crisis Center	16
# Victim Advocate	20
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	6
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	12
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0
E. FY24 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS	FY24 Totals
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	13
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY24	0
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	13
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	13
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	8
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E2. Sex of Non-Service Member Victims	13
# Male	1
# Female	12
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	13
# 0-15	1
# 16-19	2
# 20-24	4
# 25-34	4
# 35-49	2
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. VICTIM Type	13
# DoD Civilian	
# DoD Contractor	
# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	12
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources	38
# Medical	5
# Behavioral Health	6
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	6
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	7
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	9
# DoD Safe Helpline	5
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	15
# Medical	3
# Behavioral Health	2
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	3
# Victim Advocate	2
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	3
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	2
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0

# Unrestricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST FY24 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY24 Reports of Sexual Assault.		
<b>A. FY24 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST</b> (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) <b>BY</b> or <b>AGAINST</b> Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY24. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAD) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	<b>FY24 Totals</b>	
<b># FY24 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>		4
# Service Member Victims		4
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>		4
# Service Member on Service Member		2
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		2
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>		4
# On military installation		2
# Off military installation		1
# Unidentified location		1
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>		4
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY24</b>		2
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2024		0
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2024		2
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>		0
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>		2
<b># Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ</b>		1
<b># Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations</b>		0
<b># Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>		0
<b># Victims - Other</b>		1
<b># All Restricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest received in FY24 (one Victim per report)</b>		4
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		0
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY24</b>		4
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY24		
	FY24 Totals	FY24 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	4	4
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	1	1
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	4	4
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	2	2
# 6 pm to midnight	1	1
# Unknown	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	4	4
# Sunday	0	0
# Monday	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0
# Wednesday	1	1
# Thursday	2	2
# Friday	1	1
# Saturday	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest (continued)

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN DEPLOYED AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT SEX)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Sex Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY24 Totals		
	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4		
# Service Member on Service Member	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2		
FY24 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)											
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY24	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN DEPLOYED AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre 2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY24 Totals
D1.	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY24 Reports	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
# Service Member Victims: Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN DEPLOYED AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY24											
D3. Time of sexual assault	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest (continued)

DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE											
FY24 DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT. Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY24. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre 2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12)  (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY24 Totals
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
Bulgaria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cameroon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Estonia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Georgia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hungary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Latvia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lithuania	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mali	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Niger	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Nigeria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Poland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Romania	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Slovakia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somalia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tunisia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4



Unrestricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest (continued)

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST		FY24 Totals
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY24 in Deployed Areas of Interest</b>		
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.		
# Investigations Initiated during FY24		2
# Investigations Completed as of FY24 End (group by MCIO #)		2
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY24 End (group by MCIO #)		0
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY24		2
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		2
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations		0
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.		
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations		0
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.		
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement		0
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.		
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement		0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service		0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service		0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY24 in Deployed Areas of Interest</b>		
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY24. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY24 or any prior FY.		
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY24 (Group by MCIO Case Number)		2
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim		0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject		0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject		0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY24 involving a Victim supported by your Service		2
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		2
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service		0
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service		0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available		0
# Victims in investigations completed during FY24, supported by your Service		2
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations		0
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations		0
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations		0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations		0
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations		0
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations		0
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations		2
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations		2
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations		0
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service		0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available		0

Unrestricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest (continued)

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY24 in Deployed Areas of Interest</b> <b>Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.</b>	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY24 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY24 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY24, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY24 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as MPs ) in Deployed Areas of Interest</b> <b>Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.</b> <b>Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.</b>	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY24 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY24 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY24, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>

Unrestricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest (continued)

Victims in Investigation Completed in FY24 in Deployed Areas of Interest	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY24										
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY24 IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre 2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY24 Totals
<b>F1. Sex of Victims</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Active Duty	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest (continued)

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY24 DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY24										FY24 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre 2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
G1. Sex of Subjects	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G2. Age of Subjects	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G3. Subject Type	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Active Duty	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY24 INVESTIGATIONS IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST	FY24 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY24 INVESTIGATIONS IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST	FY24 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY24, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	2		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY24 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	2	# Victims in investigations completed in FY24	2
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY24	2	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY24	2
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	0	# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	0		
# Unknown Offenders	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	0
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	0		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	0	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	0
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	1	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	1
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2024	1		
# FY24 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	1	# FY24 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	1
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	1
Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

# Restricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST (DAI) FY24 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY24 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST	FY24 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	4
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	4
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY24*	0
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY24	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY24	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	4
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	4
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	4
# Service Member on Service Member	3
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST	FY24 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	4
# On military installation	4
# Off military installation	0
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	4
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Time of sexual assault incident	4
# Midnight to 6 am	3
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	1
# Unknown	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Day of sexual assault incident	4
# Sunday	0
# Monday	1
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	1
# Thursday	1
# Friday	1
# Saturday	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST	FY24 Totals
# Service Member Victims	4
# Army Victims	0
# Navy Victims	0
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	4
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0



Restricted Reports in Deployed Areas of Interest (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY24 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST	FY24 Totals
<b>Sex of Victims</b>	<b>4</b>
# Male	0
# Female	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>4</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	2
# 25-34	1
# 35-49	1
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>4</b>
# E1-E4	1
# E5-E9	3
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	0
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>4</b>
# Active Duty	4
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Victim Type</b>	<b>4</b>
# Service Member	4
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSOID USE ONLY) IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY24 IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY24</b>	<b>0</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY24	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY24	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>TOTAL # FY24 DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
<b>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>4</b>
Bulgaria	0
Cameroon	0
Chad	0
Djibouti	1
Egypt	0
Estonia	0
Georgia	0
Hungary	0
Latvia	0
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0
Lithuania	0
Mali	0
Niger	3
Nigeria	0
Poland	0
Romania	0
Slovakia	0
Somalia	0
Tunisia	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

# Support Services in Deployed Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE DAI FY24 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	<b>FY24 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>8</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	1
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	3
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	3
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>B. FY24 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY24 TOTALS</b>
<b># Military Protective Orders issued during FY24</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Reported MPO Violations in FY24</b>	<b>0</b>
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.</i>	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY24 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>14</b>
# Medical	2
# Behavioral Health	3
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	3
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	2
# DoD Safe Helpline	1
# Other	1
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>2</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	1
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	1
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>

## Support Services in Deployed Areas of Interest (continued)

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST	FY24 Totals
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	0
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D2. Sex of Non-Service Members	0
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident	0
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D4. Non-Service Member Type	0
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D5. # Support service referrals for Non Service Members in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0
E. FY24 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN DEPLOYED AREAS OF INTEREST	FY24 Totals
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY24	0
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	0
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E2. Sex of Non-Service Member Victims	0
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	0
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. VICTIM Type	0
# DoD Civilian	
# DoD Contractor	
# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E5. # Support service referrals for Non Service Member Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0



## Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

[illegible]



### Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

No.	Host Service Detail/Allegation Subcategory/Investigation for Sexual Assault	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Sex	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Sex	FBI 5-Step Sexual Assault Response Report (SARR) POLICE			Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Host Service Sexual Assault Offense Charge	Host Service Other Offense Charge	Court Case or Article 15 Decision	Reasons Charges Dropped or Art 15 Dismissed, if Applicable	Host Service Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Administrative Action	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
									Subject Prior Allegations for Sexual Assault	Subject Moral Account	Subject Referral Type												
58	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.		
59	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)				None			Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
60	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	TURKEY	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
61	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
62	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
63	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges denied subsequent to recommendation by Art. 33 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
64	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
65	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges denied for any other reason other than Court-Martial						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
66	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)								Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
67	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
68	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	CDTC court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted				Yes	Subject (single victim)		Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Host Labor: No.	
69	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense						General			Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
70	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Unknown	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
71	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-4	Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
72	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
73	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	CA nonjudicial punishment for sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.
74	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
75	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	E-4	Male	No	No	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.
76	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	CA other above administrative action for sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
77	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General				Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
78	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Unknown	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-8	Female	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Offendery conduct (Art. 134-12)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
79	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	CA nonjudicial punishment for sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Under Other than Honorable Discharge (UOTHD)				Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.
80	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
81	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Air Force	Unknown	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	CA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense						Member Notated				Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.
82	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (January-March)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted							Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.
83	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	C-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
84	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	Yes	No	Q4 (July-September)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted							Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Host Labor: No.
85	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted							Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.
86	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	C-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 52)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
87	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
88	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
89	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
90	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
91	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	C-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: C-2; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
92	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No	No	Q1 (October-December)	CA nonjudicial punishment for sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
93	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
94	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Air Force	E-5	Male	Air Force	E-4	Female	No	No	No	Q2 (January-March)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.		
95	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
96	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-1	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
97	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Unknown & Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No	No	Q2 (January-March)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted				Yes				Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.
98	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: C-1; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
99	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	CA nonjudicial punishment for sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General				Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
100	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-8	Male	Yes	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	CA nonjudicial punishment for sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-8; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
101	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	C-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: C-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
102	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
103	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (January-March)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
104	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
104	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male's	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA nonjudicial punishment for sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Acquittal					Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
104	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
104	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
104	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
105	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted				Yes			Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.
106	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Officer/Medical	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted							Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: C-1; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.
107	Rape (Art. 120)	Germany	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	CA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 52)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
108	Attempts to Commit Offense (Art. 8)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	CA other above administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
109	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Foreign National									Refusance of Pay and Allowances: No; Prev: No; Restrictions: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Corrected Conduct (NJP Only): No.	
110	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (April-June)	CA court-martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial</							



## Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

Case No.	Case Name/Description	Investigator	Subject Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Sex	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Sex	FBI Spokane Sexual Assault Response Report: All Items				Quarantine Disposition	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Offense Charge	Most Serious Sexual Offense Charge	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charged Deleted at Art 15 Hearing, if Applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note			
										Subject Prior Investigation for Sex Assault	Subject: How/When Assessed?	Subject: Referral Type	Case Disposition														
111	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Invited	AI Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	O-4	N/A	No	No	Q1 (July-September)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense												Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Victim reported Subject touched her breast without her consent as a time when she was Subject's subordinate. Victim decided to participate in an informal process. OTC declined to pursue charges and advised the case back to command. Subject received a letter of reprimand.		
112	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense											Subject (a single subject)	Witness Subject was accused of touching Victim's buttocks over clothing and attempting to kiss her in multiple occasions without her consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for non-sexual assault.			
113	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-4	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA court martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquitted									Involved but not specified	Witness Subject was accused of kissing Victim on the mouth and sexually penetrating Victim vaginally without her consent. Court martial charges were preferred, and Subject received nonjudicial punishment for sexual penetration in a battery.			
114	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	AI Force	E-7	Female	Air Force	E-4	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (January-February)	GA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense									Uncharacterized			Witness Subject was accused of forcing Victim into sexual intercourse with her. Victim was not in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.			
115	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (April-June)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense											Involved but not specified	Witness Subject was accused of touching Victim's breast over her clothing without her consent and attempting to force Victim to touch her own breasts over her clothing. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for a non-sexual offense, which was then dropped to a non-sexual offense.			
116	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-February)	GA other offense administrative action for sexual assault offense												Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Victim reported that while she was Subject's subordinate, Victim told Subject she was interested in a relationship with him. "Nothing happened in her quarters room. Subject came in, kissed her and touched her arms, buttocks, and breasts without her consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault."		
117	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	N/A	No	No	Q2 (January-February)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense													Witness Victim reported that Subject exposed his buttocks without consent. Subject admitted to the conduct, but claimed he was going to have a "good time." Subject received a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
118	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense													Witness Subject was accused of forcing sexual intercourse with Victim while she was incarcerated and unable to fully consent. After further investigation, and lack of credible, corroborating evidence, the case was closed. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
119a	Prosecuted by State Law (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	N/A	No	Multiple Referrals	Q1 (April-June)	GA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense												Both Victim and Subject	Witness Victim filed sexual assault report and local law enforcement was notified. Local law enforcement investigated and charged Subject with sexual assault. Subject received a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
119b	Prosecuted by State Law (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	N/A	No	Multiple Referrals	Q1 (April-June)	GA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense												Both Victim and Subject	Witness Victim reported sexual assault and local law enforcement was notified. Local law enforcement investigated and charged Subject with sexual assault. Subject received a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
120	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Qatar	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (July-September)	No action taken												Unknown	Witness Victim was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
121	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	N/A	No	No	Q1 (April-June)	GA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense												Subject (a single subject)	Witness Victim reported that Subject exposed his buttocks without consent. Subject admitted to the conduct, but claimed he was going to have a "good time." Subject received a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
122	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	AI Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (April-June)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense												Victim (single victim)	Witness Subject was accused of forcing sexual intercourse with Victim while she was incarcerated and unable to fully consent. After further investigation, and lack of credible, corroborating evidence, the case was closed. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
123	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (July-September)	GA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense													Witness Victim reported that while she was Subject's subordinate, Victim told Subject she was interested in a relationship with him. "Nothing happened in her quarters room. Subject came in, kissed her and touched her arms, buttocks, and breasts without her consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault."		
124	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-4	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA other offense administrative action for sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Subject was accused of kissing Victim #1 on the mouth and grabbing her buttocks over her clothing without her consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
125	Unknown	UNITED KINGDOM	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (April-June)	GA administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense												Involved but not specified	Witness Subject was accused of forcing sexual intercourse with Victim while she was incarcerated and unable to fully consent. After further investigation, and lack of credible, corroborating evidence, the case was closed. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
126	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	AI Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (July-September)	OTIC court martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense												Involved but not specified	Witness Subject was accused of forcing sexual intercourse with Victim while she was incarcerated and unable to fully consent. After further investigation, and lack of credible, corroborating evidence, the case was closed. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
127	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	AI Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-5	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (July-September)	OTIC court martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense												Unknown	Witness Subject was accused of grabbing Victim #1's buttocks over her clothing without her consent. Subject was accused of attempting to make Victim #1 touch her own breasts over her clothing without her consent. Subject was accused of repeatedly sexually abusing Victim #1 over multiple occasions. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
128	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-9	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense													Witness Subject was accused of touching Victim's buttocks over her clothing without her consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
129	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense												General	Witness Victim reported that she went to Subject's dormitory room where he proceeded to engage in sexual intercourse with her despite her verbal protests. Victim declined to engage in any judicial process and Subject was administratively discharged.		
130	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Air Force	E-1	N/A	No	No	Q2 (January-February)	GA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense												None	Witness Victim reported that Subject sat in his bed while his consent. Subject maintained he was not sexually assaulting. Subject received nonjudicial punishment for sexual assault.		
131	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (April-June)	GA other offense administrative action for sexual assault offense													Both Victim and Subject	Witness Subject was accused of the became intoxicated at a house party hosted by Subject. Victim said she went to a bedroom to sleep when Subject came in and sexually abused her. Subject was accused of sexually abusing Victim #1 over multiple occasions. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
132	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	O-3	N/A	No	No	Q2 (January-February)	GA administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Victim reported that Subject touched her buttocks while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
133	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense												Entry level separation	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
134	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (July-September)	GA nonjudicial punishment for sexual assault offense												Victim (single victim)	Witness Subject was accused of performing oral sex on Victim while he was on duty and in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
135	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense													Both Victim and Subject	Witness Subject was accused of performing oral sex on Victim while he was on duty and in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
136	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNKNOWN	AI Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-8	N/A	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q1 (October-December)	GA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense											General	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
139a	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	QATAR	AI Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-8	N/A	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q1 (October-December)	GA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense											General	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
137	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Subject was accused of touching Victim #1's arm and vagina over her clothing in multiple occasions without her consent. There is no report in OTCAR for Victim #1. Subject was accused of sexually abusing Victim #2 while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
138	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Italy	AI Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Subject was accused of touching Victim's buttocks and rubbing her vagina without her consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand. No discharge order was issued.	
139	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-2	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (July-September)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense												Both Victim and Subject	Witness Subject was accused of performing oral sex on Victim while he was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
140	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	N/A	No	No	Q1 (April-June)	GA court martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquitted										Victim (single victim)	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
141	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	US Civilian	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-5	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA court martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Acquitted										Subject (a single subject)	Witness Subject was accused of grabbing Victim #1's buttocks over her clothing without her consent. Subject was given nonjudicial punishment with her consent and was acquitted at a court martial.		
142	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-1	N/A	No	No	Q1 (July-September)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
143	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA court martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Convicted										Yes	Witness Victim reported that she and Subject would go to an off-base hotel to engage in sexual intercourse. Victim was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
144	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA court martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Convicted										Both Victim and Subject	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
145	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UK	AI Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	E-5	Female	No	Unknown	Q1 (January-February)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense												All victims and subjects (includes the crew)	Witness Subject was accused of grabbing Victim's buttocks over her clothing without her consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
146	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-February)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Subject was accused of touching Victim's buttocks, vagina and breasts under her clothing without her consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.
147	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-1	Female	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA other offense administrative action for sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Subject was accused of touching Victim's buttocks over her clothing without her consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
148	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (January-February)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Victim reported that she and Subject would go to an off-base hotel to engage in sexual intercourse. Victim was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.
149	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (July-September)	GA court martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquitted										Both Victim and Subject	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
150	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-4	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-February)	GA nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense												Involved but not specified	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
151	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA court martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Delivered to Regional or Law of Country												Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Subject was accused of sexually abusing Victim #1 over multiple occasions. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.
152	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (January-February)	GA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense												Subject (a single subject)	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
153	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-2	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-February)	GA nonjudicial punishment for sexual assault offense												Subject (a single subject)	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
154	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	AI Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (April-June)	GA court martial charge preferred for sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Convicted										None	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.		
155	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Jordan	AI Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	GA court martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Victim reported that she and Subject would go to an off-base hotel to engage in sexual intercourse. Victim was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
156	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-February)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense												Both Victim and Subject	Witness Victim reported that she and Subject would go to an off-base hotel to engage in sexual intercourse. Victim was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
157	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Subject Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	Yes	No	Unknown	Q1 (January-February)	GA administrative discharge for sexual assault offense												All victims and subjects (includes the crew)	Witness Victim #1 reported that she and Subject would go to an off-base hotel to engage in sexual intercourse. Victim #1 was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
158	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	O-4	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (July-September)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LO2)	Witness Subject was accused of touching Victim's #1 in an inappropriate and sexual nature during a medical examination. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.
159	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	N/A	No	Unknown	Q1 (April-June)	No action taken													Subject (a single subject)	Witness Subject was accused of sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in a position to consent. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.	
160	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	AI Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	N/A	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-February)	GA other offense administrative action for non-sexual assault offense													Adverse Administrative Action Type: Other	Witness Victim reported that she and Subject were staying in a cabin at a lake. Victim #1 Subject began talking to Subject over her clothes and pulled her pants down to her waist. Subject began to sexually abuse Victim #1. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault. Subject was given a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.
161	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)</																										







Page 30 of 31



## Unrestricted Report Case Synopsis:

[illegible]