Enclosure 3: Department of the Air Force

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON DC

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

MAR 0 5 2020

MEMORANDUM FOR OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (PERSONNEL AND READINESS)

FROM: Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

SUBJECT: Data Call for the Fiscal Year 2019 Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

Reference: Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) memo dated 23 September 2019

In response to your request, please see attached for Air Force input to the *Fiscal Year 2019 Department of Defense (DoD) Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military*.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program continuously seeks to reduce sexual assault and increase victim reporting, thereby narrowing the gap between the prevalence rate and the number of incidences reported. Fiscal Year 2019 saw the greatest number of reports of sexual assault received in the 14 years of our program's existence. While the latest prevalence survey, *2018 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members*, showed that sexual assault prevalence increased from previous survey iterations, the Air Force remains confident that the increase in victim reporting between FY18 and FY19 demonstrates the positive impact of our Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program.

The Air Force remains dedicated to combating sexual assault through a collaborative and comprehensive approach, teaming multiple functional partners together to strengthen prevention efforts and response capabilities. We are committed to fostering a culture of dignity and respect where sexual violence is not tolerated.

JOHN A. FEDRIGO, SES
Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary
(Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

Attachment:
1. Air Force Fiscal Year 2019 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

FY 2019 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: United States Air Force
The following Executive Summary Template should be used to capture a strategic summary of your submission regarding the progress made and principal challenges confronted by your SAPR program from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019. This summary should be written from a high-level perspective, and emphasize key messaging points for your Military Service that link major actions taken throughout the year.
The United States Air Force maintains the position that sexual assault is a crime in stark opposition to our core values and our culture of dignity and respect. This crime negatively impacts victims and their families, dissolves unit trust and cohesion, and undermines the Air Force's readiness, lethality, and mission success. The Air Force continues to emphasize sexual assault prevention and provide an agile, yet robust response capability, ensuring that victims receive quality care and enabling commands to hold offenders appropriately accountable. The Air Force is committed to continually building trust and confidence across the force in order to ensure that every Airman and family member thrives. We continue to develop and implement strategy to increase reporting to meet the level of incidence, while driving both figures to zero. The Air Force experienced multiple successes with its sexual assault prevention and response (SAPR) program in fiscal year 2019. However, we also endured continuing challenges. Despite these challenges, the Air Force adopts a collaborative approach amongst its functional communities and echelons of command in order to combat sexual assault. Collaborative efforts begin with prevention and continue through final case disposition and accountability of offenders. In terms of prevention, the Air Force embraced the Department of Defense's Plan of Prevention and Action Self-Assessment and used this tool as a means to emphasize how important the prevention of sexual assault is in our Service. Additionally, the Air Force intends to expand this tool in fiscal year 2020 as a forum for Major Commands to provide vital feedback to continuously improve prevention efforts. The Air Force also engaged the Major Commands in fiscal year 2019 in the Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate's first-ever Headquarters-Major Command Roundtable. This forum enabled Major Command program managers to collaborate with Headquarters to provide vital feedback on program successes and failures and to influence program execution and policy across the Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate's portfolio. The Air Force emphasized collaboration amongst SAPR, medical, law enforcement and legal personnel in sexual assault response. As such, high-quality, victim-centered services remained a key priority in fiscal year 2019. The Air Force Surgeon General continued providing high quality and timely care, and in fiscal year 2019, Air Force victims did not experience any considerable delays or access restriction to Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits. The Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) and the Office of the Judge Advocate General (JA) also teamed together on multiple efforts enhancing investigation and legal support. The first of these included creating a shared operating processes to streamline efforts and processes between these two entities. Additionally, AFOSI hosted the Sex Crimes Investigator Training Program at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center, where both agents and judge advocates received up-to-date information on investigating and prosecuting sex crimes. Other

functions involved in the prevention and response of sexual assault also participate in this course, which facilitates a synchronized effort for the Air Force team. Moreover, AFOSI and JA both utilized victim satisfaction surveys, which allowed victims to provide feedback regarding their individual experiences with their investigators and Special Victim's Counsel. The Air Force then analyzed these surveys and utilitzed them for training to ensure consistent high-quality services. AFOSI specifically spearheaded the Air Force's adoption of the Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) database. They teamed with the Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate to ensure SAPR personnel received training and policy for the program, which enabled a quick transition from launch to full operating capability. JA also pursued numerous efforts to enhance victim legal support and offender accountability mechanisms. To support the former, JA ensured rigorous vetting of Special Victims' Counsel at the headquarters level in order to ensure that the most fitting attorneys and paralegals were placed in these critical roles. Additionally, JA updated guidance specifically relating to victim's preference for jurisdiction and prosecution. Finally, JA continued rigorous and thorough inspection and compliance for their capabilities through their routine Article 6 inspections. Each of these efforts combines to enhance accountability for sexual assault, and these agencies will continue to support Air Force efforts in the implementation and execution of Sexual Assault Accountability and Investigation Task Force (SAAITF) recommendations.

Training remained an integral focus for the Air Force in fiscal year 2019. The Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate (AF/A1Z) launched and continued numerous initiatives aimed at the first responders and the total force. The Air Force continued tablet-based prevention training at Basic Military Training. Additionally, the Air Force championed efforts to combat retaliation associated with sexual assault reporting by arming SAPR staff with dedicated retaliation training. The Air Force launched a Retaliation and the Inspector General training module to teach SAPR staff how to handle cases of retaliation as well as inform victims of their right to discuss their case with a general or flag officer. Finally, Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database training efforts continued in fiscal year 2019 with routine training webinars.

The Air Force continued to encourage reporting of sexual assaults in fiscal year 2019 and experienced a 9% increase from fiscal year 2018. This left the fiscal year 2019 report total at 1683 for restricted and unrestricted reports. The increase in reports could be for multiple reasons, but ultimately it demonstrates that victims trust the Air Force's processes enough to feel comfortable enough to report. Of the 1683 reports, 1161 were unrestricted and 522 were restricted. Of the 1161 unrestricted reports, 172 reports were previously restricted and converted to unrestricted. The Air Force continued strenuous efforts to support victims and help propel their recovery. As such, commanders granted 217 of the 224 requested expedited transfers in order to help victims recover in a supportive environment away from their offender. Additionally, victim referrals increased for both unrestricted and restricted reports (28% and 38% for total referrals, respectively). Mental Health and Victim Advocates were in the top referrals for both, and Unrestricted Reporting also included Special Victim's Counsel referrals.

In terms of investigation and disposition, the Air Force propelled cases using established processes and policies. In fiscal year 2019, 509 cases moved forward from investigation to command action. Of these, DoD did not take action on 98 cases due to jurisdictional issues. The remaining 411 cases proceeded to command action, where 271 resulted in

administrative or legal action; the remaining 140 cases were precluded due to insufficient evidence or victim non-participation. However, none of these cases resulted in the determination of an unfounded allegation. Cases reached court martial an average of 15 days faster than in fiscal year 2018, but cases reached final disposition of non-judicial punishment an average of 39 days slower than the prior fiscal year. Lastly, commander action against offenders that led to discharge more than doubled in this fiscal year.

Finally, the Air Force met or is in the process of finishing all requirements of the National Defense Authorization Acts cited in this report. The Air Force embraced the multi-disciplinary approach that these acts demanded and collaborated to ensure compliance. These efforts enabled the Air Force to provide its victims with top quality care, thereby establishing a system where individuals feel comfortable and trusting enough to report. The Air Force remains committed to their SAPR efforts in continuing fiscal years, as this will be a long-term objective until we reach zero sexual assaults.

1. Goal 1—Prevention: "institutionalize evidenced-based, informed prevention practice and policies across the Department so that all Military Service members are treated with dignity and respect, and have the knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assaults."

1.1 <u>Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA) Self-Assessment:</u> Provide a summary of your Military Service's PPoA Self-Assessment findings. Include in the description the scope of your Self-Assessment prevention activities. (Secretary of Defense (SecDef) Memorandum, *Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military* (May 1, 2019) / Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD(P&R)) Memorandum, *Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action* (April 26, 2019) / DoD Prevention Plan of Action 2019-2023 (April 2019))

The Air Force conducted an assessment of capabilities to prevent sexual assault. The assessment found the Air Force made significant progress toward sexual assault prevention. The Air Force has pressed forward on prevention efforts because it is the right thing to do for our Airmen and families. We recognize there is much more to be done to sustain, advance, and institutionalize prevention across our Total Force (that is Active Duty, Air National Guard, and Air Force Reserve military members and civilian employees). Identified strengths of our program include leadership, collaborative relationships, and a comprehensive prevention approach. Identified challenges include prevention workforce, resources, quality implementation and continuous evaluation. We are committed to this long-term endeavor.

1.2 <u>Self-Assessment Elements:</u> For each Self-Assessment element (Human Resources, Collaborative Relationships, Infrastructure, Comprehensive Approach, Quality Implementation, and Continuous Evaluation) provide a brief summary and examples of key strengths and gaps. (SecDef Memorandum, *Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military* (May 1, 2019) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, *Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action* (April 26, 2019) / DoD Prevention Plan of Action 2019-2023 (April 2019))

Please see response in Section 1.1.

1.3 <u>Future Plans:</u> Based on your Military Service's Self-Assessment, summarize leadership-approved priority actions and next steps for sexual assault prevention,

including any key considerations or barriers to achieving the priorities. Include a description of progress towards Phase II of the PPoA execution – plan of action and milestones and logic model development. (SecDef Memorandum, *Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military* (May 1, 2019) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, *Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action* (April 26, 2019) / DoD Prevention Plan of Action 2019-2023 (April 2019))

The Air Force has four priority action items for our future plans with PPoA. First, we are exploring resourcing and manpower opportunities. Additionally, we seek to identify professional development opportunities for both the Integrated Resilience Directorate's leadership and staff. In an effort to expand feedback, we will be conducting the PPoA self-assessment below the Headquarters Air Force level and review and implement feedback, as appropriate. Finally, in accordance with this effort, the Air Force will implement the remaining steps of the PPoA Plan of Action and Milestones and the Logic Model as we continue to champion this long-term effort.

2. Goal 2—Victim Assistance & Advocacy: "deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness."

2.1 <u>Strategic Summary:</u> Summarize your efforts to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service in FY19. As applicable, include any initiatives employed with targeted subgroups (e.g., male victims) or specific locations (e.g., barracks). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, p. 7)

The Air Force SAPR program continues all efforts under this goal as identified in the previous annual report and has embarked on several other initiatives to strengthen delivery of consistent and effective advocacy for all military Service members.

SAPR Operations Guide

Improving the performance of SAPR field offices has always been a primary interest of the Air Force SAPR program. The recent re-write of Air Force Instruction 90-6001, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program,* presented the opportunity to create an operations guide for the field offices. The Air Force assembled a team of subject matter experts from across all Air Force Major Commands and base level SAPR offices to author the guide. The team developed the operations guide to fulfill three primary goals: (1) Standardize and enhance the organizational and administrative skills of SAPR field offices; (2) Deliver real-time guidance in SAPR processes but written in both an instructional and a conversational manner to foster mentorship; and to (3) Standardize operations across the field and develop continuity for SAPR personnel across all experience levels. The guide is scheduled for test base implementation at one base in each Major Command in Winter 2020, with enterprise-wide implementation shortly thereafter.

Major Command Roundtable

The Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate recognized an opportunity to enhance communication and collaboration across Air Force Major Commands in order to strengthen relationships and capitalize on talent and expertise across the Air Force. In line with this effort, AF/A1Z hosted a Major Command Roundtable in September 2019 to solicit feedback, discuss organizational goals and priorities, and establish a list of actionable unresolved questions and tasks within the portfolio. Overall, the discussion of SAPR programs was positive, to include the decision to delegate annual refresher training to the Major Commands, as an effort to allow them to tailor training to their individual missions and needs. Additionally, the dialogues from the Roundtable continued throughout the fiscal year at the monthly program calls as well as the quarterly combined call, which addresses all programs across the Air Force Integrated Resilience portfolio.

True North

The Air Force continued to evaluate the True North initiative, where mental and spiritual support teams are embedded in 12 groups across 4 bases with the goals of enhancing Airman and family well-being, increasing resilience, and decreasing negative outcomes. The placement and access of the mental and religious support teams within the unit is designed to build trust and confidence in help-seeking actions for unit members. While True North is not solely established for victims of sexual assault, the services provided offer an opportunity for victims to receive care in their units.

2.2 <u>Metrics for Assessing Victim Assistance and Advocacy:</u> What metrics or assessment processes are being used to address the effectiveness of victim assistance and advocacy efforts intended to deliver consistent care for all Service members and/or their adult dependents? Are these metrics identifying any trends and/or indicators on the effectiveness of your SARCs and SAPR VAs in providing a "quality response" to Service members (and others eligible for SAPR services)? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan "Task List," (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Task #7, p. 3)

The Air Force SAPR program continues to closely monitor program effectiveness through routine reports and quality assurance reviews. The Air Force reviews 24-hour notifications and eight-day reports in order to observe the accuracy of reporting data and to assess continuity of care. Additionally, Headquarters Air Force regularly monitors expedited transfer reports and provides consultation, guidance, and intervention on cases, as needed. Finally, quarterly reports from the field provide a snapshot of the training and reflect advocacy efforts, community collaboration and awareness initiatives being offered across the enterprise. Each of these metrics and efforts assist with assessing the full engagement of all parties to consistently provide referral and support to victims and survivors.

2.3 <u>SARCs and SAPR VA Suspension, Revocation, and Reinstatement:</u> How many SARCs and SAPR VAs in your Military Service received a suspension? A revocation? A reinstatement? (Identify how many SARCs and SAPR VAs for each category) (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, "Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP)," (September 10, 2015), Encl 3, para 3)

During this period, the following DSAACP actions occurred: two suspensions, five revocations and one reinstatement as indicated in the table below:

SAPR ROLE	SUSPENSION	REVOCATION	REINSTATEMENT
SARC	1	2	
SAPR VA			1
Volunteer Victim Advocate	1	3	

Commanders continue to take swift action when violations occur as required by Department of Defense and Air Force Instructions. When DSAACP action occurs, the Air Force ensures notification is made to the appropriate agencies so victims only receive advocacy and care from credentialed personnel.

2.4 Medical Support: How many Service members who reported a sexual assault had their medical care hindered due to a lack of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits, timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources, or other resources? (Note: This answer should be consistent with the number reported in blocks A and C of the Victim Services matrices). (NDAA for FY 2006, section 596)

According to the Office of the Air Force Surgeon General, no adult Service members experienced a hindrance in medical care due to a lack of SAFE kits or timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources or other resources.

2.5 Military Protective Orders: How many Military Protective Orders were issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report (include the number issued and number violated)? What new steps (if any) were taken in the last year to improve protections? (DoDI 6495.02, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (May 24, 2017), Encl 5, para 7)

The Air Force issued 93 Military Protective Orders (MPOs) as a result of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assaults in fiscal year 2019.

In order to improve protections, the office of the Judge Advocate General (AF/JA) updated Air Force Instruction (AFI) 51-201, *Administration of Military Justice*, to provide additional guidance on MPOs. The updated guidance outlines the procedures in unrestricted sexual assault cases as noted in DoDI 6495.02. Additionally, AFI 51-201 provides guidance on the following: (1) Issuing "Informal" No-Contact Orders (NCOs), which are generally utilized in matters not rising to a criminal investigation; (2) Outlines roles and responsibilities for commanders and Judge Advocates as it pertains to MPOs and NCOs; (3) Reiterates that both parties of an MPO receive copies of the DD Form 2873, (4) Highlights the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) indexing requirements, (5) Provides guidance on issuing MPOs and NCOs in conjunction with a civilian protective order, and (6) Outlines requirements when a commander denies a sexual assault victim's MPO or NCO request, specifically documenting the basis for denial in writing and forwarding the request and denial to the installation commander or equivalent. Finally, the Air Force Legal Operations Agency, Military Justice Division (AFLOA/JAJM) created template training materials on MPOs for new commanders, which is provided in conjunction with the Article 137, UCMJ briefing received upon taking command.

2.6 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Victim Assistance and Advocacy goal.

SARC Special Duty Identifier

In order to increase the breadth of candidates for SARC duties, the Air Force is in the process of including the SARC role as a special duty for military members. Currently, SARC falls under the purview of the Force Support Officer Air Force Specialty Code (AFSC) with the option of becoming a career broadening opportunity for officers of other AFSCs with career field release. However, because SARC does not currently have its own unique AFSC, the career broadening opportunity reflects in the SARC's records as them performing Force Support Officer duties, which implies a much broader career field. By converting to a special duty, SARC will become its own AFSC. This action will benefit both the individual officers and the Air Force. It will benefit the Air Force by increasing the pool of candidates for SARC positions. By increasing the pool of candidates, the Air Force can also ensure that it is selecting volunteers with the correct skillset for these key positions. Because holding a special duty AFSC is a positive distinguishing factor amongst Air Force officers, establishing a special duty incentivizes the SAPR program to those who are interested and have the correct skillset and leadership capacity but were previously deterred from the effect it would have on their records. Incentivizing these positions increases the Air Force's advertising capability and allows the positions to stay 100 percent volunteer. The SARC position is critical to the health and success of the force, so it is paramount that the Air Force attracts the widest pool of candidates in order to select the most qualified personnel for the job and maintain the highest quality of victim care.

True North Expansion

The Air Force recognizes the innumerable benefits associated with co-locating Airmen and helping resources, which True North provides. As such, the Air Force is planning to expand this initiative by phases to ten additional bases beginning in fiscal year 2021.

3. Goal 3—Investigation: "sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault using investigative resources to yield timely results."

3.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts for achieving the Investigation goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service in FY19. As applicable, include enhancements made to your Military Services' Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for Military Criminal Investigative Organizations. Additionally, as applicable, comment on new training enhancements for military criminal investigators, law enforcement personnel, or first responders on sexual assault investigations and preservation of evidence. Also, consider including any new or updated efforts to collaborate and/or share military protective orders and/or conviction information with civilian law enforcement. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9)

The median time for Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) to conduct and publish reports of investigations in over 1300 adult victim sexual assault cases was 83 days. This was an increase of eight days from fiscal year 2018. This increase was anticipated for two primary reasons. First, AFOSI's sexual assault caseload increased by almost 14 percent over the last two years. Second, given a research study conducted by an outside contract source in fiscal year 2019 found that as many as 20% of Air Force sexual assault cases involve repeat offenders, AFOSI expanded its investigation protocol to focus on attempting to identify additional victims. This protocol involves conducting more interviews and, in turn, more time required to complete these interviews.

AFOSI continued its robust case quality review process in fiscal year 2019, whereby headquarters case quality reviewers randomly select and review 10 percent of all closed sexual offense investigations. Case quality reviews help identify trends and patterns that assist AFOSI to refine policy and procedures, target units needing additional oversight, and inform improvements in investigator training and protocols.

In fiscal year 2019, AFOSI held four iterations of its 64-hour Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program (SCITP) course at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) in Georgia. In total, 115 AFOSI agents, Air Force Judge Advocate officers and Security Forces members attended the training. The course curriculum provides additional training in: cognitive biases, counter-intuitive victim behaviors, cognitive interviewing, trauma and chemical impaired memory, dynamics of domestic and intimate partner sexual assaults, as well as information on new or changing UCMJ sexual offenses. Additionally, AF/A1Z briefs a variety of topics in the course to include familiarizing students with the roles of SARCs and SAPR personnel as well as the reporting processes that SAPR manages. By including AF/A1Z personnel in the team, SCITP emphasizes the collaborative relationships needed between law enforcement members, Judge Advocates, and SAPR personnel in order to combat sexual assault in the Air Force. SCITP end-of-course attendee critiques continued to be favorable with an overall rating average of 4.6 on a five-point scale.

In an effort to further enhance the Air Force's Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability, AFOSI and the Air Force Legal Operations Agency (AFLOA) initiated actions for earlier, increased collaboration between AFOSI field investigators and Air Force Circuit Trial Counsels (CTC). Earlier and increased involvement of CTCs will help ensure decision-ready cases in instances where military justice action is determined to be appropriate.

Mindful of the importance of appreciating victims' views about the quality of support they receive from investigators, AFOSI leadership closely tracks Air Force Special Victim Counsel (SVC) victim services survey results (surveys offered to victims by SVCs at the completion of SVC services). In fiscal year 2019, 65 victims chose to complete the survey question pertaining to their satisfaction with how they were treated by investigators. Of these, 58 of 65 (89%) victims reported being "satisfied" or "very satisfied" with the way they were treated by investigators. If a victim provides comments regarding the investigative process, those comments are shared with Headquarters AFOSI's Criminal

Investigations Division and the AFOSI Investigations, Collections, Operations Nexus (ICON) Center to help improve our training and investigative processes. The provided comments did not create a need for any significant shifts in our investigative process, but the comments (good and bad) play a vital role with training discussions such as those occurring during our Sex Crimes Investigator Training Program (SCITP).
3.2 <u>Evidence Processing Challenges:</u> Has your Military Service had any challenges with evidence being processed at the Defense Forensic Science Center (e.g., turnaround time for processing of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits and other evidence). How did you address these challenges? (Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR (May 7, 2012), p. 11)
AFOSI experienced no significant challenges with evidence processing in fiscal year 2019. AFOSI continued to receive high-quality forensic sciences laboratory support to sexual assault cases from the Defense Forensic Science Center (DFSC). DFSC's turn-around-times for analyses of DNA evidence in AFOSI sexual assault cases averaged 64 days in fiscal year 2019, four days below the fiscal year 2018 average.
3.3 (NGB only) <u>GAO Report: Coordination with Office of Complex Investigations (OCI)</u>: Describe NGB's efforts to comply with the cited GAO report, specifically the recommendation to include a requirement in its guidance to collect and maintain supporting documentation as part of its case files that verifies whether and how (1) the National Guard nexus exists for verifying how state National Guard officials determined that sexual assault case acceptance criteria have been met, and (2) the allegation has been referred to the appropriate military criminal investigative organization or civilian law enforcement organization prior to opening an OCI investigation into a sexual assault allegation. (Government Accountability Office Report, GAO-19-109 (December 2018), *Office of Complex Investigations Should Update Policies to Require Additional Documentation for Sexual Assault Cases*)
N/A
3.4 <u>Future Plans:</u> Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Investigation goal.
AFOSI will continue to ensure sexual assault policy, training, resources and oversight are sustained into fiscal year 2020. SCITP will continue to be held four times per year; 120 Special Agents, Security Forces and Judge Advocates will continue to be trained in Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program in fiscal year 2020. In addition, Headquarters AFOSI quality case reviews will continue to occur on adult victims sexual assault cases. AFOSI and AFLOA collaboration to evolve Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) capabilities will continue. AFOSI will continue to receive and review SVC victim surverys as they pertain to investigator satisfaction. Finally, AFOSI will do its part to support and implement approved recommendations and legislation stemming from the Sexual Assault Accountability and Investigation Task Force (SAAITF), as set forth in the April 30, 2019 SAAITF report.
4. Goal 4—Accountability: "maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable."

4.1 <u>Strategic Summary:</u> Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service in FY 2019. As applicable, include enhancements made to the SAPR training provided to those who are affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability program (paralegals, trial counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) for responding to allegations of sexual assault. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, p. 9)

AF/JA initiated a comprehensive plan to, among other initiatives, enhance the SVIP capability as part of the Air Force SAAITF implementation plan.

AF/JA overhauled the mandatory annual and initial SAPR First Responder Trainings for military justice and legal assistance personnel and specialized training for Judge Advocates in fiscal year 2019. These updates reflect extensive changes in the law resulting from the Military Justice Act of 2016.

In fiscal year 2019, AF/JA procured a Department of Justice (DoJ) grant to develop and conduct specialized training for Air Force Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) Coordinators and Liaisons. Two pilot iterations of this in-residence, four-day training were held in fiscal year 2019, featuring collaboration with the Special Victims' Counsel directorate. Moreover, after the DOJ Office of Victims of Crime (OVC) reviewed the pilot VWAP Symposium curriculum, the OVC invited the Air Force as the sole service to participate in the Victims of Crime Act Administrators Conference.

The VWAP Symposium is now institutionalized, extended to five days, and trains approximately 80 VWAP personnel annually.

In fiscal year 2019, the Air Force updated AFI 51-201, *Administration of Military Justice*, to reflect enhancements to the SVIP capability. Specific changes include: 1) recommendation that base-level SVIP personnel should, if possible, have received specialized training in sexual assault investigations and prosecutions, such as the Sex Crimes Investigations Training Program, or other advanced litigation course (and if not, collaborate early, frequently, and at specified investigative stages with regional Special Victims Unit Circuit Trial Counsel); 2) detailed guidance and requirements on activation of the SVIP capability; 3) collaboration of legal office personnel, to include VWAP, with the MCIOs; and 4) notification requirements and consultations with sexual assault victims.

Additional projects begun in fiscal year 2019 include development and testing of a mandatory VWAP Checklist to document victim notifications and services, establishment of a communication network for base legal office VWAP coordinators, establishment of an appellate VWAP program, and establishment of optional victim feedback procedures.

The Circuit Trial Counsel (CTC) program continues to set the standard for Special Victim's prosecution in the AF. Enhanced efforts to ensure CTC integration at earlier stages of the

case investigation and prosecution are ongoing. A draft Operating Instruction outlining initiatives to formalize these efforts has been reviewed by AFOSI and Major Command Staff Judge Advocates and will be implemented upon approval by The Judge Advocate General (TJAG). Once implemented, publication and training efforts will follow ensuring that all investigators and base-level judge advocates and paralegals are fully ready to maximize CTC knowledge and experience to fully integrate those resources in leveraging the highest level of SVIP capabilities. This integration and training plan is anticipated to be fully implemented by early 2020.

4.2 Metrics for Assessing Effectiveness: Provide the metrics your Military Service employs to assess the effectiveness of your Special Victims' Counsel (SVC) / Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) program. Discuss this years metrics' outcomes and efforts to enhance SVC / VLC program effectiveness. Please update your Military Service's efforts to fund the SVC / VLC program in the POM process. (section 532 of the NDAA for FY 2016 / section 573 of the NDAA for FY 2013 / SecDef Memorandum, *Improving Victim Legal Support*, (August 14, 2013) p. 1)

In fiscal year 2019, the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC) Division continued to meet the Department's metrics for measuring success. The first metric evaluates the selection process for SVCs. TJAG's Office of Professional Development (JAX) coordinates all SVC candidates with the Special Victims' Counsel Division Chief to ensure each candidate meets the expectations of the SVC leadership. TJAG then selects a candidate to become an SVC only after aforementioned vetting is complete. To facilitate his decision making, TJAG receives the candidate's complete duty history, to include Officer Performance Reports, awards and decorations, assignment history, and any instances or allegations of misconduct. The second metric ensures that incoming personnel are certified to be SVCs and Special Victims' Paralegals (SVP).

The Air Force Judge Advocate Generals' School (AFJAGS) continues to facilitate a certification course for both adult and child clients annually. In fiscal year 2019, 43 incoming AF SVCs and SVPs attended the May 2019 course and 41 Victims' Legal Counsels (VLC) and SVCs from our sister Services attended as well. The third and fourth metrics evaluate the service's ability to maximize face-to-face interaction between the SVCs and their clients. In the reporting period, the SVC Division continued to equip, upgrade facilities and manage a total of 48 offices worldwide. Additionally, the SVC Division reviewed all locations where SVCs were responsible for providing services with no SVCs assigned on the installation. The review and assignment of these locations ensure the SVCs' and SVPs' ability to provide coverage for victims assigned to facilities with no local SVC office. The SVC Division leadership ensures there is adequate funding made available to the field whenever they need to travel to see clients and have up-to-date communications equipment, including iPhones.

The final metric evaluates other metrics the Services use to evaluate their effectiveness. The SVC Division continues to rely upon SVC client feedback as the best measure of success. SVC client feedback continued to be exceptionally positive for fiscal year 2019. An impressive 98% of clients surveyed indicated they were "extremely satisfied" or "satisfied" with their SVC's representation. Not only was virtually every represented victim

satisfied with their SVC, 98% of victims would recommend seeking SVC representation to another victim of sexual assault.

4.3 <u>Victim's Preference for Prosecution</u>: Describe your Military Service's process to ensure documentation and tracking of the victim's preference for prosecution by a court-martial or a civilian court with jurisdiction over the alleged offense. (DoD IG Report 2019-064 (March 20, 2019), *Audit of DoD Efforts to Consult with Victims of Sexual Assault Committed by Military Personnel in the United States Regarding the Victim's Preference for Prosecution*)

In fiscal year 2019, AF/JA updated AFI 51-201 with detailed requirements to ensure documentation and tracking of the victim's jurisdictional preference for prosecution (e.g., court-martial or a civilian court proceeding with jurisdiction over the offense).

The SJA for the Special Court-Martial Convening Authority (SPCMCA) must, by written memorandum, solicit the victim's jurisdictional preferences. In cases where both state and federal civilian authorities have concurrent jurisdiction, the SJA must determine which, if either, the victim prefers. To ensure all notification requirements are met, a standardized memorandum is included in AFI 51-201 that 1) notifies victims of this right, 2) solicits their jurisdictional preferences, and 3) includes a victim indorsement section. While use of the template is mandatory, SJAs may modify the verbiage to accommodate unique circumstances.

If the victim does not submit a response, the SJA documents on the memorandum the victim's refusal to do so. The completed notification memorandum with the victim's preference must be maintained in the military justice case file.

If the victim's preference is for civilian prosecution, the SJA must notify the applicable prosecutorial agency or agencies. A standardized memorandum is included in AFI 51-201. The SJA must also notify the victim of the civilian agency's response. A standardized memorandum is included in AFI 51-201.

In addition to requiring the victim notification and views on jurisdiction memoranda be maintained in the case file, AF/JA also added a tab to the Air Force's Automated Military Justice Administration and Management System (AMJAMS) to track this information. Base legal office personnel are responsible for entering whether the victim was advised of his or her rights to submit jurisdiction preferences, what those preferences are, and the date the victim's preferences were made known. This permits the General Court-Martial Convening Authority's SJA and AFLOA/JAJM to quickly access this information.

The case paralegal documents the applicability of and compliance with these requirements in all General Courts-Martial (GCMs) on the GCM Checklist mandated by AFI 51-201 and provided by AFLOA/JAJM.

Compliance with these notification, tracking, and documentation requirements is inspected through The Judge Advocate General's Article 6, UCMJ Inspection of wing legal offices.

Although the victim's views on jurisdiction are not binding, the SPCMCA must consider the victim's views prior to taking action.
4.4 <u>Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program</u>: Describe your efforts to implement the CATCH Program, to include the plan of action and milestones for force education and response personnel training. (SecDef Memorandum, *Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military*, (May 1, 2019) p. 2)
The Air Force championed CATCH training and awareness advance of the June 2019 program implementation in order to ensure a seamless roll-out and immediate use by victims. Beginning in August 2018, the Air Force included instruction on CATCH in the SAPR program's refresher training. Moreover, in October 2018, the Air Force published Air Force Guidance Memorandum to AFI 90-6001, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program*, which formally included CATCH in program policy. AFI 90-6001, which is scheduled for publication in early 2020, cements CATCH in Air Force policy. Training efforts continued across the field for SAPR personnel, Judge Advocates, and AFOSI until implementation. Each respective agency integrated CATCH into their formal training course curricula for introduction at their prescribed update periods, occurring throughout 2019 and early 2020. Additionally, the Air Force conducted CATCH site tests from March 2019 until June 2019 to provide program testing and evaluation prior to enterprise-wide implementation. In order to educate the entire force, the Air Force pushed the DoD's Public Affairs Guidance on CATCH with supporting fact sheets and frequently asked questions to SAPR personnel in August 2019 and is including CATCH in the 2020 SAPR Talking Points, which leaders must deliver to their units. This training must be completed enterprise-wide by December 2020. Mirroring this effort, Air Force Public Affairs committed to a social media campaign to socialize CATCH on various platforms since CATCH's implementation through at least December 2020.
4.5 <u>Future Plans:</u> Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Accountability goal.
As discussed in 4.1 above, a draft Operating Instruction outlining initiatives to formalize accountability efforts is pending TJAG approval. Once implemented, publication and training efforts will follow ensuring that all investigators and base-level judge advocates/paralegals are fully ready to maximize CTC knowledge and experience to fully integrate those resources in leveraging the highest level of SVIP capabilities. This integration and training plan is anticipated to be fully implemented by 1 January 2020.
5. Goal 5—Assessment: "effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report SAPR Program progress to improve effectiveness."
5.1 <u>Strategic Summary:</u> Summarize your efforts for achieving the Assessment goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service in FY 2019. As applicable, include any new training your Military Service has implemented for SARCs and SAPR VAs during the past year and how you measure the training's effectiveness. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and

Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, p. 10)

Basic Military Training Tablet Training

In 2019, the Air Force fielded an innovative pilot study to determine the feasibility and acceptability of tailored training at Basic Military Training (BMT). This approach integrates tablet-based content into standard classroom training and the tablets are loaded with an interactive multi-media program that provides the trainee tailored sexual assault prevention training based upon their responses to an anonymous screening measure. The training includes classroom activities, which serves to provide common learning points to the trainees and covers required SAPR training. The tailored training has potential to reduce sexual assaults and better prepare service members by aiding recovery and enhancing prevention skills, ultimately leading to enhanced squadron readiness.

DSAID Efforts

The Air Force is committed to ensuring Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data quality, reliability and validity. To achieve these objectives, the Air Force leverages Major Command leadership in monthly quality control meetings utilizing the Department of Defense Quality Assurance Tool. Further, Headquarters Air Force staff work directly with other stakeholders such as the AFOSI, JA, and installation SARCs as necessary to ensure records are correct and complete. The Air Force also provides training opportunities to build user proficiency through DSAID webinars for new SARCs upon completion of the SARC initial training course. The annual refresher training for Major Command SARCs also offered DSAID training focused on frequently asked questions, trends in data entry errors and pertinent upgrades to the system. Lastly, the Air Force shares DSAID error trends and pertinent information as part of the monthly Major Command teleconference to ensure transparency and a reduction in errors.

5.2 <u>Future Plans:</u> Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Assessment goal.

Continual improvement and enhancement are critical to providing innovative prevention and response capabilities across the Air Force. For 2020, the Air Force intends to streamline the reporting process and data collection methodology. Streamlining the process will be accomplished by communicating errors, recognizing performance gaps, and improving training and tools to reduce future errors. Specifically, the Air Force plans to emphasize the importance of reporting accuracy and oversight by including Major Command leadership in the distribution of monthly DSAID reports. The goal is for command emphasis to further drive a reduction in errors, which will enhance accurate reporting and accountability of victim service. Additionally, performance gaps will be measured through error rates to ensure top-priority issues are highlighted and addressed in training sessions. This will ensure the entire field is knowledgeable on common errors and questions and properly equipped to resolve them. Finally, AF/A1Z will track training to validate compliance and competency.

6. Core Functions: Communication and Policy: Provide a brief summary for new efforts taken in FY 2019 on the following:

6.1 <u>General/Flag Officer Discussion on Career Impacts Due to Retaliation:</u> How is your Military Service ensuring that sexual assault victims, uniformed witnesses, bystanders, and first responders involved in a sexual assault report are provided information on their right to discuss the career impacts with a General/Flag Officer if they believe those impacts were due to their report of retaliation or the assistance they provided to the retaliation reporter. (DoD Retaliation Prevention and Response Implementation Plan (January 2017), p. 13-14)
The Air Force's annual sexual assault training curriculum emphasizes retaliation, prevention strategies, and how to respond to and manage retaliation effectively. The training addresses the Department of Defense's core competencies and learning objectives regarding retaliation and seeks to empower all Airmen so that they fully understand their roles and protections as a victim, witness, or bystander who feels they have been subject to retaliation. Specifically, the training highlights that these individuals have the right to discuss career impacts with a General or Flag Officer if they believe the impacts were due to their report of retaliation or the assistance they provided to the retaliation reporter.
6.2 <u>Retaliation Educational Materials:</u> What educational materials have been developed for retaliation reporters to familiarize them with retaliation processes and procedures? (DoD Retaliation Prevention and Response Implementation Plan (January 2017), Task Number 3.4, p. 19)
The Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate incorporated a *Retaliation and The Inspector General* module into the foundational course for all SARCs and SAPR Victim Advocates, as well as a Retaliation module for our Volunteer Victim Advocate Training. These modules provide education on the standard definition of retaliation to include reprisal, ostracism and maltreatment. The training contains methods of creating a strong and supportive system of response and accountability to ensure SAPR personnel understand the various ways to report incidents of retaliation and obtain supportive services for all retaliation reporters. The SARC and SAPR VA training highlights the benefits of creating a culture intolerant of retaliatory behavior and discusses the mechanism to hold leaders appropriately accountable. Air Force Integrated Resilience is developing additional educational materials for SARCs and other response personnel to address the specific needs of witnesses, bystanders and first responders who are experiencing retaliation due to their involvement in a sexual assault report.

7. National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Requirements:

Provide your Military Service's status on the NDAA sections listed below. There are unique requirements embedded within each NDAA section's language, so referring to the entire section is necessary.

After reviewing the designated NDAA section:
- If the requirement(s) has/have been implemented, provide the completion date and a short narrative (150 words or less) describing the action taken. For example: "Completed January 15, 2019. Requirement added to AR 600-20, Army Command Policy."

- If the requirement(s) has/have not been implemented, provide the projected completion date and a short narrative (150 words or less) on the status. For example: "Projected completion date is October 2019. Addition of the policy to AR 600-20, Army Command Policy, is currently pending legal review."
7.1 FY 2019 SEC. 545. Development of Resource Guides Regarding Sexual Assault for the Military Service Academies
Legal Guide completion: 2017 Cadet Guide ECD: January 2020 The United States Air Force Academy (USAFA) accomplished this goal in two parts. First, prior to this section of the fiscal year 2019 NDAA, USAFA published a SAPR guide with guidance on sexual assault, which detailed various roles and responsibilities for helping agencies and stakeholders. USAFA/JA implemented this guide in 2017. Additionally, USAFA is currently creating a SAPR Resource Guide for cadets, which will discuss the following in plain language: policies, reporting options and processes, legal processes, definitions, and local/national resources. This guide is projected for publication in January 2020.
7.2 FY 2018, SEC. 520. Consideration of Additional Medical Evidence by Boards for the Correction of Military Records and Liberal Consideration of Evidence Relating to Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder or Traumatic Brain Injury
Completed: August 2017. The Discharge Review Board (DRB) and Air Force Board of Correction to Military Records (AFBCMR) are in compliance with this section of the NDAA. All applications are screened to determine if applicants were diagnosed with a mental health disorder, if they claim to have or had a mental health disorder, or if they indicate that they were a victim of sexual assault or harassment. In these cases, liberal consideration is applied through the consideration of all evidence provided by the applicant and/or counsel and an advisory opinion from a clinical psychologist or psychiatrist or through their presence as a voting member of the DRB.
7.3 FY 2018, SEC. 521. Public Availability of Information Related to Disposition of Claims Regarding Discharge or Release of Members of the Armed Forces When the Claims Involve Sexual Assault
Completed: September 2017. OUSD P&R collects data from each of the Service Boards, compiles the data in tables, and submits the statistic reports for posting on the DoD Boards Reading Room website (https://boards.law.af.mil) under the heading "Board Statistics" toward the bottom of the screen. Statistics from CY2017 3rd Quarter (when this new requirement was levied by NDAA17) to the present are posted there. AFBCMR provides OUSD P&R the required quarterly statistics 45 days following the end of the reporting quarter.
7.4 FY 2018, SEC. 522. Confidential Review of Characterization of Terms of Discharge of Members Who Are Victims of Sex Related Offenses
Completed: September 2019. The Air Force applies the same protections to all cases referred to the DRB. Each case is only shared with those individuals who have a need to know. This is limited to the individual support personnel tasked with entering, updating, and answering the

cases and those board members appointed to or required by law to be present on the board itself.

The AFBCMR established a Sexual Assault/Harassment (SA/SH) Cell consisting of three staff members who handle all SA/SH cases. This cell ensures only those individuals who have a need to know will access the case files. This cell also makes direct contact with each eligible applicant (email and/or phone call) walking them through the entire process and providing them with a POC they can contact if they have any questions or concerns. Additionally, this cell ensures the applicant's consent to release is received prior to posting the redacted version of the ultimate decision in the Reading Room.

7.5 FY 2018, SEC. 523. Training Requirements for Members of Boards for the Correction of Military Records and Personnel Who Investigate Claims of Retaliation

Completed: May 2018. On 15 Mar 18, OUSD P&R sent out a memorandum addressing Uniform Training Curricula for Members of the Boards for the Correction of Military/Naval Records. The AFBCMR complied with OUSD P&R requirement by submitting an approved Training Report on 3 May 2018. AFBCMR provides the required training to all Board members IAW the approved Training Plan.

7.6 FY 2017, SEC. 533. Availability of Certain Correction of Military Records and Discharge Review Board Information Through the Internet

Completed: September 2017. The Air Force provides OUSD P&R the required quarterly statistics 45 days following the end of the reporting quarter. OUSD P&R compiles the data from all services and posts the statistics to the Reading Room.

7.7 FY 2017 SEC. 542. Effective Prosecution and Defense in Courts-Martial and Pilot Programs on Professional Military Justice Development for Judge Advocates

Completed October 2017. Military justice experience designators, known as "Military Justice Experience Tracker" (MJET) levels, signify counsel skill and experience and are centrally managed by The Judge Advocate General's (TJAG) Professional Development Directorate (AF/JAX).

Deliberate development is ongoing. TJAG is personally involved in assigning every Air Force judge advocate through a rigorous process centrally managed by JAX. The assignment process and decisions by TJAG take into account multiple data points, including but not limited to: duty history, legal competencies, assignment preference information, feedback from supervisors, promotion timing, professional and personal goals, and family needs.

Each assignment is treated as a deliberate step in the member's professional development. Defense counsel are assigned only after reaching MJET level 1 and with the recommendations of their Staff Judge Advocate, Trial Defense Division, and JAX. Circuit trial counsel are assigned only after obtaining considerable experience (over MJET level 2) and upon being recommended.

7.8 FY 2017, SEC. 547. Notification to Complainants of Resolution of Investigations into Retaliation
Completed: December 2018. The Air Force provides multiple avenues for reporting and investigating retaliation. Typically, the complaint is either investigated by the Inspector General or through the command by a Command Directed Investigation (CDI). When the Inspector General reviews and investigates a complaint, Air Force policy mandates that the complainant receive notification of the complaint resolution (to include if the case is dismissed due to insufficient evidence). Air Force policy does not currently mandate that commanders investigate complaints of retaliation, nor does it require notification of the resolution of a CDI. However, Air Force policy empowers commanders with the authority to investigate incidents under their jurisdiction. In order to assist commanders with the CDI process, the Air Force Inspector General Complaints Resolution Directorate provides Commanders with a CDI Investigation Guide, which outlines bases for cases and case closure procedures. Part of these case closure procedures include a sample case closure letter to notify a complainant of case resolution.
7.9 FY 2015, SEC. 508. Required Consideration of Certain Elements of Command Climate in Performance Appraisals of Commanding Officers
Completed: September 2014. Air Force policy requires evaluators to document substantiated sex-offenses (whether convicted via court-martial or administered via non-judicial or administrative punishment) in an Airman's permanent record via the Airman's performance report. The performance report then becomes a referral, which becomes a part of the Airman's permanent record. Additionally, evaluators rate commanding officers on the same performance report criteria as all officers, which includes setting a healthy organizational climate. If the commanding officer is found to not set a healthy command climate, his or her performance report becomes a referral report.
7.10 FY 2014, SEC. 1721. Tracking of Compliance of Commanding Officers in Conducting Organizational Climate Assessments for Purposes of Preventing and Responding to Sexual Assaults
Completed: October 2014. In accordance with the National Defense Authorization Act, A1Q oversees the implementation of the Defense Equal Opportunity Climate Survey (DEOCS). Commanders at all levels are held responsible and accountable for their climate and as such, must ensure a climate assessment is conducted within 120 days after assumption of command and every 12 months after the completion of their previous assessment for units of 50 or more personnel. Quarterly DEOCS tracking data is sent to respective Strategic Advisors using DEOCS reporting spreadsheet. Strategic Advisors collect and consolidate the data for their respective commands and forward the DEOCS reporting spreadsheets to the Air Force Personnel Center Equal Opportunity Office (AFPC/EO). AFPC/EO collects and consolidates the data from each MAJCOM and forwards to AF/A1Q and other HHQ agencies.
8. Analytics Discussion

8.1 Military Services & NGB*: Provide an analytic discussion (1,500 words or less) of your Statistical Report of reported sexual assault cases from the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID). Required elements included on this template are information on Unrestricted Reports; Restricted Reports; service referrals for victims alleging sexual assault; and case synopses of completed investigations.

***NGB should provide comments based on its available information and data.**

This section must briefly address each of the following:
- Notable changes in the data over time
- Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, in data
- The application of insights from data analyses for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research
- Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since FY 2008) (Metric #11)
- The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in the FY and the corresponding mean and median investigation length. Case open date can be in any year, but the close date must be by the end of the FY (Non-Metric #6)
- The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process (Metric #7)
- Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority (to be captured using the most serious crime investigated, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (Non-Metric #1)
- Sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (Non-Metric #2)
- Summary of referral data – Unrestricted and Restricted Reports - either referrals received from other sources or referrals made to other sources (e.g., medical/mental health, command, criminal investigation/security services, legal, civilian, or VA authorities, etc.)
- Any other information relating to sexual assault case data

8.1 Analytic Discussion Background

Sexual Assault Definition: The Department of Defense and Air Force SAPR programs utilize the term "sexual assault" to refer to the range of crimes in military law that constitutes contact sexual offenses between adults. These crimes include specific offenses of rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy (forced oral or anal sex), or any attempts to commit these offenses, as defined in Articles 80, 120, and 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

Sexual Assault Reporting Options: Under the Department of Defense's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Policy, Service members and their adult military dependents have two reporting options – restricted and unrestricted reporting.

Filing a Restricted Report provides sexual assault victims with access to medical care, mental health care, advocacy services, and legal advice without initiating a criminal investigation or

notifying their commander. In order to file a Restricted Report, victims must report the crime directly to the SARC, SAPR Victim Advocate, or healthcare personnel.

Filing an Unrestricted Report, provides the same access to care and services as an individual filing a Restricted Report. Per Department of Defense policy, the Air Force refers Unrestricted Reports for investigation with a Military Criminal Investigative Organization and notifies the command of the report.

Victims who initially make a Restricted Report may convert it to an Unrestricted Report. Once a victim has filed an Unrestricted Report or chosen to convert their previously filed Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report, it is not possible to convert it to a Restricted Report.

The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database: Since fiscal year 2014, the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database has been the Department of Defense's authoritative, centralized case-level database used to collect and maintain information on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces. The Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office operates the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database and works collaboratively with the Services to implement and sustain the system. The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database meets requirements set forth in the fiscal year 2009 National Defense Authorization Act. The Air Force uses it to conduct oversight, inform Department and Service-level SAPR program planning and analysis, and meet Congressional reporting requirements.

SARCs use the database to provide comprehensive and standardized victim case management. SARCs are required to enter victim demographic, safety concerns, referrals and incident data for each report of sexual assault into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Additionally, for Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault, the database interfaces with Military Criminal Investigative Organization information systems, which "push" additional subject demographic and offense-specific information into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Military Criminal Investigative Organization information systems remain the system of record for all Unrestricted Reports they investigate. Service appointed legal officers also enter subject case disposition information into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database and validate entries.

Scope: This report contains data about sexual assault crimes that involve at least one Service member (either as the victim or as one or more of the subjects) reported to the Air Force. Sexual assault reporting data between spouses or intimate partners fall under the purview of the Air Force Family Advocacy Program and is not included in this report. Data regarding sexual harassment falls under the purview of the Air Force Equal Opportunity Program and is not included in this report.

Unrestricted and Restricted Report data captures sexual assault reports made by Service members and adult military dependents. The data also includes sexual assaults committed by Service members on victims outside the Armed Forces. Information describing these victims and subjects is also in the following statistics.

Sexual assault data continually changes due to case progress. The data contained in this report is a snapshot, reflecting the status of sexual assault reports, investigations, and subject dispositions in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database on September 30, 2019 (the last day of fiscal year 2019).

8.1.1. Sexual Assault Reporting in the Air Force

The Air Force has received a record of 1,683 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in fiscal year 2019. As depicted in Chart 8.1.1., this is the largest number of sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in the history of its SAPR program, at least 9% higher than in any previous fiscal year. The percentage of reports that remained restricted at the end of fiscal year 2019 is 31%, similar to the end of fiscal year 2018.



Source: DSAID
* Includes initially-restricted reports from prior FYs and the indicated FY that converted to unrestricted in the indicted FY.
** Restricted reports that remained restricted at the end of the indicated FY, including any initially-restricted reports that converted to unrestricted in a later FY.

Chart 8.1.1. – Annual Reports of Sexual Assault

The Air Force believes that the sustained high levels of reporting between fiscal years 2014 to 2019 indicates that victims feel increasingly more comfortable coming forward to report these

crimes, receive care, and allow investigations to take place so that commanders and the military justice system can hold subjects appropriately accountable.

The 1,683 reports of sexual assault received by the Air Force in fiscal year 2019 represents a 9% increase from the 1,544 reports made in fiscal year 2018. The increase in reporting is higher than the increase in the active duty Air Force population which increased by 2%, from approximately 321,000 at the end of fiscal year 2018 to 328,000 at the end of fiscal year 2019.

For comparison, the Air Force received a total of 1,544 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in fiscal year 2018, which represented a 4% increase from the 1,480 reports made in fiscal year 2017. The total active duty Air Force population increased 1% during fiscal year 2018, from approximately 318,000 at the end of fiscal year 2016 to 321,000 at the end of fiscal year 2017.

It is important to note that people do not always report sexual assaults in the same fiscal year as they happen, although the majority do. Of the 1,683 sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in fiscal year 2019, 953 (57%) reported incidents that occurred in fiscal year 2019, 579 reports (34%) were incidents that occurred in prior fiscal years, the remaining 151 reports (9%) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

For comparison, of the 1,544 sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in fiscal year 2018, 923 (60%) were for incidents that occurred in fiscal year 2018, 477 (31%) were for incidents that occurred in prior fiscal year, and the remaining 144 (9%) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

Types of Sexual Assault Reports: Department of Defense policy allows eligible victims to make either a restricted or an unrestricted sexual assault report. A victim who initially makes a Restricted Report has the option of later converting the Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report (policy prevents converting an Unrestricted Report to a Restricted Report). Of the 1,683 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects, the Air Force received in fiscal year 2019:

- 172 (10%) were Restricted Reports initially reported in fiscal year 2019 that were converted to Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2019
- 38 (2%) were Restricted Reports initially reported prior to fiscal year 2019 that were converted to Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2019
- 522 (31%) were Restricted Reports initially reported in fiscal year 2019 that remained restricted at the end of fiscal year 2019.

8.1.1.1. Sexual Assault Prevalence in the Air Force

It is important to draw a distinction between the number of sexual assaults that occur (i.e., sexual assault prevalence) and the number of sexual assaults that are reported to authorities (i.e., sexual assault reporting) in a given timeframe. Since people, in both civilian and military populations, do not report most sexual assaults that occur to authorities, sexual assault prevalence will typically exceed sexual assault reporting.

Sexual assault is a highly underreported crime which means the number of reported sexual assaults in a given timeframe may not accurately indicate the number of sexual assaults that occurred in that timeframe. To estimate the number of sexual assaults that occur in the active duty Department of Defense population, the Department of Defense administers an anonymous, confidential survey to active duty Department of Defense members biannually. The four most recent Department of Defense sexual assault active duty prevalence surveys were the 2018 and 2016 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members, the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study, and the 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members.

Chart 8.1.1.1. shows the estimated past-year sexual assault prevalence estimates (red dots) with 95% confidence intervals (black lines) for active duty Airmen from the past four Department of Defense prevalence surveys. According to these surveys, an estimated 3,200 active duty Airmen experienced a past-year sexual assault in 2012, decreasing to about 2,300 in 2016, and increasing to 3,900 in 2018.[1]



Chart 8.1.1.1. Past Year Sexual Assault Prevalence Estimates for Active Duty Airmen

[1] The 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members used a slightly different measure than did the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study and the 2016 and 2018 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members. The 2012 survey measured unwanted sexual contact, while the 2014, 2016, and 2018 surveys measured sexual assault. Also, the 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members used a much smaller sample size than did the 2014, 2016, or 2018 surveys, which is reflected in the relatively large width of the 2012 survey confidence interval compared to the widths of the other survey confidence intervals.

8.1.1.2. Sexual Assault Reporting Compared to Sexual Assault Prevalence

The Air Force SAPR program has stated a two-pronged goal of reducing sexual assault prevalence while increasing sexual assault reporting. According to the most recent biannual sexual assault prevalence study (the 2018 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members), an estimated 3,920 active duty Airmen were sexually assaulted in fiscal year 2018. While sexual assault reporting increased between fiscal years 2016 and 2018 by 14% the estimated prevalence of sexual assault increased by almost 70% over the same time.

Chart 8.1.1.2. illustrates this trend of relatively flat estimated prevalence between fiscal year 2014 and 2016 and increased estimated prevalence in fiscal year 2018 with increased reporting between fiscal year 2016 and 2019 by comparing the estimated number of sexually assaulted active duty Airmen in the past year to the number of sexual assaults reported by active duty Airmen in each fiscal year. However, because the Air Force accepts sexual assault reports from a much wider population than the population to which the prevalence estimates pertain, not all sexual assault reports are directly comparable to the sexual assault prevalence estimates.[2]

[2] The sexual assault prevalence studies estimate the number of active duty Airmen who experienced a sexual assault in the past 12 months while in military service. The Air Force accepts sexual assault reports from active duty members of other services, certain non-active duty personnel, and imposes no limit on how long ago the sexual assault occurred or whether or not the victim was in the military at the time of the assault. Therefore, the graphic shows the number of reports that were by victims who were Service members at the time of the report.



Chart 8.1.1.2. – Active Duty Prevalence vs. Reporting of Sexual Assault

In fiscal year 2018, the Air Force received a number of reports equal to approximately 32% of the number of estimated sexual assaults indicated by prevalence studies. This is a decrease from fiscal year 2016 when the number of received reports was equal to approximately 45% of the number indicated by prevalence studies. The Air Force expects to reduce the "gap" between the survey-estimated number of sexually assaulted Airmen and the number of Airmen who choose to report in two ways, over time:

- Sexual assault prevention initiatives will reduce past-year prevalence of sexual assault; and
- Initiatives that encourage victims to report and improve the military justice system will increase the number of victims who choose to report.

Although fiscal year 2018 saw the second highest reporting number in the history of the Air Force SAPR program, about two-thirds of estimated past-year sexual assaults of active duty Airmen remain unreported. The Air Force continues to strive to narrow the gap between prevalence and reporting in order to reduce the underreporting of sexual assault in the military community.

8.1.2. Unrestricted Reporting

8.1.2.1. Analysis of Victims in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section provides data about victims in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. In this section, the term "fiscal year" refers to the fiscal year in which the investigation associated with a report concluded, not the fiscal year of the report of the sexual assault. For example, Unrestricted Reports under the fiscal year 2019 column are not necessarily sexual assaults reported in fiscal year 2019. Rather, they are sexual assault reports whose associated investigations concluded in fiscal year 2019. The dates of these reports were in fiscal year 2019 or in any prior fiscal year.

Type of Offense Investigated: Table 8.1.2.1.1. breaks out the Unrestricted Report investigations completed each fiscal year by type of offense investigated. Military Criminal Investigative Organizations categorize Unrestricted Reports by the most serious offense alleged in the report, which may not ultimately be the same offense for which evidence supports a misconduct charge, if any.

Type of Offense Investigated in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	**FY15**		**FY16**		**FY17**		**FY18**		**FY19**	
	Num	**%**	**Num**	**%**	**Num**	**%**	**Num**	**%**	**Num**	**%**
Total Victims	795	-	766	-	874	-	865	-	1017	-
Type of Offense Investigated										
Penetrating Offenses	404	50.8%	400	52.2%	439	50.2%	448	51.8%	555	54.6%
Contact Offenses	346	43.5%	309	40.3%	392	44.9%	380	43.9%	413	40.6%
Attempts to Commit Offenses	20	2.5%	36	4.7%	22	2.5%	23	2.7%	21	2.1%
Offense Code Data Not Available	25	3.1%	21	2.7%	21	2.4%	14	1.6%	28	2.8%

Table 8.1.2.1.1. – Type of Sexual Assault Offense for Unrestricted Reports

The type of offense investigated in completed investigations has been relatively stable since fiscal year 2015. Penetrating offenses, which include rape, aggravated sexual assault, sexual assault, and forcible sodomy, account for about half of all completed investigations. Contact offenses, which include aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, and indecent assault account for 41% of all completed investigations in fiscal year 2019. Attempts to commit offenses and unknown offense type account for the remainder, approximately 2% of all completed investigations.

Demographic Analysis of Victims in Completed Investigations

Table 8.1.2.1.2. below provides a demographic analysis of victims in investigations completed each fiscal year by gender, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

Gender: Women consistently represent the majority (84%) of sexual assault victims in completed investigations each fiscal year (2015-2019), while comprising only 20% of the Total Air Force population during the same period. Male victims in completed investigations averaged 15%, between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2019 while comprising 80% of the Total Air Force population during the same period.

Age at Time of Incident: Victims in the 16-19 age group in completed investigations are over-represented compared to their representation in the Total Air Force population. The percent of victims in the 16-19 age group averaged 20% between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2019.

In fiscal year 2019, this age group accounts for 22% of victims with investigations completed, while comprising of 5% of the Total Air Force population.

Victims in the 20-24 age group are also over-represented compared with the Total Air Force population. Between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2019 the 20-24 age group averaged 40% of victims with investigations completed. In fiscal year 2019, this age group accounts for 42% of victims with investigations, while comprising 28% of the Total Air Force population.

Victims in each of the older age groups are under-represented compared to their respective cohorts in the fiscal year 2019 active duty Air Force population. In fiscal year 2019 the 25-34 age group represented 20% of victims and 43% of the Total Air Force. In fiscal year 2019 the 35-49 age group represented 4% of the victims and 22% of the Total Air Force. In fiscal year 2019 the 50+ age group represented less than 1% of the victims and 1% of the Total Air Force.

Grade: Junior enlisted Airmen (E1-E4) are over-represented as victims in completed investigations between fiscal years 2015 and 2019 averaging 75% of the completed investigations. In fiscal year 2019, E1-E4 Airmen accounted for 76% of victims in completed investigations while comprising 39% of the active duty Air Force population. Senior enlisted Airmen (E5-E9) and officers each account for a smaller share of the victims in completed investigations (17% and 5%, respectively in fiscal year 2019).

Victim Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Victims	795	-	766	-	874	-	865	-	1017	-
Gender										
Male	131	16.5%	118	15.4%	122	14.0%	129	14.9%	151	14.8%
Female	654	82.3%	635	82.9%	725	83.0%	732	84.6%	861	84.7%
Unknown	10	1.3%	13	1.7%	27	3.1%	4	0.5%	5	0.5%
Age (Time of Incident)										
0-15	4	0.5%	6	0.8%	6	0.7%	8	0.9%	2	0.2%
16-19	127	16.0%	154	20.1%	150	17.2%	186	21.5%	228	22.4%
20-24	323	40.6%	304	39.7%	342	39.1%	324	37.5%	429	42.2%
25-34	127	16.0%	147	19.2%	150	17.2%	166	19.2%	199	19.6%
35-49	37	4.7%	33	4.3%	34	3.9%	34	3.9%	36	3.5%
50-64	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	3	0.3%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Unknown	176	22.1%	120	15.7%	189	21.6%	145	16.8%	120	11.8%
Military Affiliation										
Military	608	76.5%	608	79.4%	686	78.5%	735	85.0%	862	84.8%
Non-military	176	22.1%	142	18.5%	159	18.2%	124	14.3%	150	14.7%
Unknown	11	1.4%	16	2.1%	29	3.3%	6	0.7%	5	0.5%
Duty Status (Military Victims)										
Active Duty	547	90.0%	549	90.3%	632	92.1%	687	93.5%	802	93.0%
Reserve (Activated)	32	5.3%	39	6.4%	33	4.8%	37	5.0%	39	4.5%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	5	0.8%	3	0.5%	9	1.3%	4	0.5%	4	0.5%
Cadet/Prep School Student	24	3.9%	17	2.8%	12	1.7%	7	1.0%	17	2.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grade (Military Victims)										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	24	3.9%	17	2.8%	12	1.7%	7	1.0%	17	2.0%
E-1 to E-4	442	72.7%	427	70.2%	521	75.9%	569	77.4%	653	75.8%
E-5 to E-9	109	17.9%	128	21.1%	112	16.3%	116	15.8%	146	16.9%
WO1 to WO5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%
O-1 to O-3	24	3.9%	24	3.9%	37	5.4%	37	5.0%	36	4.2%
O-4 to O-10	9	1.5%	12	2.0%	4	0.6%	6	0.8%	8	0.9%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 8.1.2.1.2. – Victim Demographics for Unrestricted Reports

Military Protective Orders: Table 8.1.2.1.3. provides a summary of military protective orders. There were 93 military protective orders issued in fiscal year 2019, of which 2 were violated.

Military Protective Orders										
FY Military Protective Order Issued	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Military Protective Orders Issued	85	-	82	-	105	-	104	-	93	-
Military Protective Orders Violated	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	2	1.9%	3	2.9%	2	2.2%

Table 8.1.2.1.3. – Military Protective Orders

Expedited Transfers: Table 8.1.2.1.4. provides a summary of expedited transfer requests by Service member victims. The number of expedited transfer requests in fiscal year 2019 was 224, of which 7 were denied. Expedited transfers were denied due to the victim pending Uniform Code of Military Justice actions, victim pending separation, the alleged offender no longer being assigned to the base, or the Commander determined that the report was not credible. The number of requests increased from all previous years.

Expedited Transfers										
FY Expedited Transfer Requested	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Expedited Transfer Requests by Service Member Victims	112	-	87	-	148	-	178	-	224	-
Expedited Transfer Requests Approved	109	97.3%	86	98.9%	145	98.0%	170	95.5%	217	96.9%
Expedited Transfer Requests Denied	3	2.7%	1	1.1%	3	2.0%	8	4.5%	7	3.1%

Table 8.1.2.1.4. – Expedited Transfers for Unrestricted Reports

Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process: Table 8.1.2.1.5. provides a summary of victim participation in the military justice process. The number and percentage of cases in which the victim declined to participate in the military justice process decreased from 39 (11%) in fiscal year 2018 to 26 (5%) in fiscal year 2019.

Victim Participation in Military Justice Process (Unrestricted Reports)										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects that can be Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders	517	-	403	-	495	-	344	-	524	-
Number of Subject Cases with Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Action	74	14.3%	59	14.6%	144	29.1%	39	11.3%	26	5.0%

Table 8.1.2.1.5. – Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process

8.1.2.2. Analysis of Subjects in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section analyzes demographic data about subjects in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. In this section, the term “fiscal year” refers to the fiscal year in which the investigation associated with a sexual assault report concluded. For example, Unrestricted Reports under the fiscal year 2019 column are not necessarily reports filed in fiscal year 2019. Rather, they are sexual assault reports whose associated investigations concluded in fiscal year 2019. These reports include those filed in fiscal year 2019 or in any preceding fiscal year. Additionally, while each Unrestricted Report of sexual assault has a single victim, a report may have more than one subject. For these reasons, the

number of subjects shown in a given fiscal year will not necessarily match the number of Unrestricted Reports with completed investigations during that fiscal year.

Demographic Analysis of Subjects in Completed Investigations:

Table 8.1.2.2.1. provides a demographic analysis of subjects in investigations completed each fiscal year by gender, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

Gender: The majority of subjects in completed investigations are male. The percentage of subjects in completed investigations that are male has remained relatively stable over the last five fiscal years at about 85%. Men comprised about 80% of the active duty Air Force population during this time.

Compared to their share of the active duty population, women are under-represented as subjects in completed investigations. In fiscal year 2019, women represented 6% of subjects in completed investigations and 21% of the active duty Air Force population.

Age: On average between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2019, the top three age groups of subjects in completed investigations are age groups 20-24 at 38%, 25-34 at 29%, and 35-49 at 10%. In fiscal year 2019, the top three age group of subjects in completed investigations are similar to the five-year average.

Grade: On average between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2019, grade groups of subjects in completed investigations are E-1 to E-4 at 61%, E-5 to E-9 at 30%, O-1 to O-3 at 5% and O-4 to O-10 at 3%. In fiscal year 2019, E-1 to E-4 remained the rank group with the highest percentage of subjects in completed investigation at 64%.

Subject Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Subjects	750	-	696	-	813	-	843	-	952	-
Gender										
Male	642	85.6%	586	84.2%	699	86.0%	698	82.8%	802	84.2%
Female	33	4.4%	45	6.5%	34	4.2%	61	7.2%	57	6.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	75	10.0%	65	9.3%	80	9.8%	84	10.0%	93	9.8%
Age (Time of Incident)										
0-15	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	7	0.9%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%
16-19	62	8.3%	51	7.3%	71	8.7%	84	10.0%	93	9.8%
20-24	265	35.3%	261	37.5%	322	39.6%	329	39.0%	359	37.7%
25-34	238	31.7%	217	31.2%	216	26.6%	217	25.7%	283	29.7%
35-49	83	11.1%	70	10.1%	77	9.5%	84	10.0%	77	8.1%
50-64	9	1.2%	9	1.3%	17	2.1%	15	1.8%	18	1.9%
65+	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	92	12.3%	85	12.2%	102	12.5%	111	13.2%	120	12.6%
Military Affiliation										
Military	608	81.1%	551	79.2%	621	76.4%	652	77.3%	753	79.1%
Non-military	43	5.7%	37	5.3%	62	7.6%	61	7.2%	56	5.9%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	99	13.2%	108	15.5%	130	16.0%	131	15.5%	143	15.0%
Duty Status (Military Subjects)										
Active Duty	555	91.3%	497	90.2%	580	93.4%	618	94.8%	697	92.6%
Reserve	33	5.4%	36	6.5%	30	4.8%	26	4.0%	35	4.6%
National Guard	2	0.3%	2	0.4%	5	0.8%	4	0.6%	8	1.1%
Cadet/Prep School Student	14	2.3%	14	2.5%	6	1.0%	4	0.6%	13	1.7%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	4	0.7%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grade (Military Subjects)										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	14	2.3%	14	2.5%	6	1.0%	4	0.6%	13	1.7%
E-1 to E-4	342	56.3%	307	55.7%	408	65.7%	400	61.3%	485	64.4%
E-5 to E-9	201	33.1%	185	33.6%	155	25.0%	192	29.4%	212	28.2%
WO-1 to WO-5	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
O-1 to O-3	29	4.8%	30	5.4%	31	5.0%	35	5.4%	27	3.6%
O-4 to O-10	17	2.8%	12	2.2%	21	3.4%	20	3.1%	14	1.9%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	4	0.7%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	2	0.3%

Table 8.1.2.2.1. – Subject Demographics for Unrestricted Reports

8.1.2.3. Investigative and Military Justice Process Discussion

Subject Dispositions: Once the investigation of an Unrestricted Report is complete, Congress requires the Military Services to provide the outcome of the allegations against each subject named in an investigation – subject dispositions. Table 8.1.2.3.1. analyzes subject dispositions reported in fiscal year 2019. Of the 509 subjects with dispositions reported in fiscal year 2019, 411 had consideration for command action and the remaining 98 did not because the subject was outside the Department of Defense's legal authority or a civilian or foreign authority exercised jurisdiction over the subject. Of the 411 subjects having consideration for command action, completion of command action occurred for 397 in fiscal year 2019. Of the 397 subjects with command actions completed in fiscal year 2019, for 271 (66%) the Air Force substantiated either a sexual assault charge or other misconduct, for 140 (34%) the circumstances precluded command action, and for 0 command or legal review found the allegation unfounded.

FY19 DISPOSITONS	Total Count	Actions Completed in FY19 (Subset of Total Count)
SUBJECTS OF INVESTIGATION WITH DISPOSITION INFORMATION TO REPORT IN FY19	**509**	
DoD did not Consider Action	**98**	
Subject outside DoD's legal Authority	**97**	
Offender is Unknown	51	
Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National	45	
Subject Died or Deserted	1	
Civilian/Foreign Authority Exercised Jurisdiction over Service Member Subject	**1**	
Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects Considered for Possible Action	**411**	
Evidence Supported Commander Action	**271**	**14**
Sexual Assault Charge Substantiated	**171**	**11**
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	90	6
Nonjudicial Punishments	17	0
Administrative Discharges	4	1
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	60	4
Other Misconduct Substantiated	**100**	**3**
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	4	1
Nonjudicial Punishments	45	1
Administrative Discharges	3	0
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	48	1
Command Action Precluded	**140**	
Victim Declined to Participate	80	
Insufficient Evidence	60	
Statute of Limitations Expired	0	
Victim Died before completion of justice action	0	
Allegation Unfounded by Command/Legal	**0**	

Table 8.1.2.3.1. – Fiscal Year 2019 Subject Dispositions for Unrestricted Reports

Completed Command Actions: Chart 8.1.2.3.2. analyzes completed command actions for penetrating and sexual contact crimes over time. Command actions for non-sexual assault offenses increased by two percentage points between fiscal year 2018 and 2019. Command actions for sexual assault offenses that led to discharge more than doubled in count and percentage terms over the same time period resulting in the highest number of Service members discharged for sexual assault offenses. Command actions for sexual assault offenses that led to non-judicial punishment or Court-Martial decreased by 12 percentage points, over the same time. Cases where command action was not possible increased by 3 percentage points between fiscal year 2018 and 2019.



*NOTE: This figure only includes command actions in which the action was completed in FY 2019. Command actions pending completion (e.g., court-martial preferred but pending trial) are not included in this graph. Additionally, there were 27 completed command actions that could not be classified as penetrating or sexual contact crimes because the crime charged was attempted sexual assault.

Chart 8.1.2.3.2. – Completed Command Actions by Fiscal Year

Court-Martial Outcomes: Charts 8.1.2.3.3. and 8.1.2.3.4. analyze sexual assault Court-Martial outcomes for penetrating and sexual contact crimes, respectively, over time. In fiscal year 2019, the Air Force charged 65 subjects with a penetrating crime, of which 31 proceeded to trial. Of the 31 subjects tried, there were 17 convictions (55%). The Air Force charged 19 subjects with a sexual contact crime, of which 15 proceeded to trial. Of the 15 subjects tried, there were nine convictions (60%). The overall conviction rate for cases tried with an Article 120 offense was 57%.



Chart 8.1.2.3.3. – Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes for Penetrating Crimes



Chart 8.1.2.3.4. – Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes for Sexual Contact Crimes

Duration of Investigative and Military Justice Processes

The length of a sexual assault investigation depends on a number of factors, including:

- The alleged offense;
- The location and availability of the victim, subject, and witnesses;
- The amount and kind of physical evidence gathered during the investigation; and
- The length of time required for crime laboratory analysis of evidence.

Depending on these and other factors, sexual assault investigation length may range from a few months to over a year.

Chart 8.1.2.3.5. shows the mean and median lengths of time to complete sexual assault investigations in the Air Force. The median and mean both increased between fiscal years 2018 and 2019.



Chart 8.1.2.3.5. – Average Sexual Assault Investigation Length

Chart 8.1.2.3.6. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an Unrestricted Report (i.e. signs the Department of Defense 2910) to the completion of the Courts-Martial process, sentence or acquittal. In fiscal year 2019, the mean time from filing an unrestricted sexual assault report to completion of the Courts-Martial process was 15 days less than in 2018.



Chart 8.1.2.3.6. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report until Courts-Martial Outcome

Chart 8.1.2.3.7. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an Unrestricted Report (i.e. signs the Department of Defense 2910) to completion of non-judicial punishment actions. In fiscal year 2019, the mean time between filing an Unrestricted Report and completion of the non-judicial punishment increased by almost 40 days from fiscal year 2018.



Chart 8.1.2.3.7. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report Until Non-Judicial Punishment Outcome

8.1.2.4. Analysis of Incident Details in Unrestricted Reports

Table 8.1.2.4.1. analyzes incident details associated with Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault by the fiscal year in which the Air Force received the sexual assault report. Whereas previous sections classified unrestricted sexual assault reports by the fiscal year in which the associated investigation was completed, this section classifies sexual assault reports by the fiscal year of the filing of the report. In cases where investigations are not complete, the information provided by the victim is the basis for incident details. Table 8.1.2.4.1. does not include any sexual assault reports that were initially filed as restricted in one fiscal year but converted to unrestricted in a later fiscal year. However, it does include any sexual assault reports initially filed as restricted in one fiscal year and converted to unrestricted in the same fiscal year.

The overall number of Unrestricted Reports made to the Air Force increased 6% from fiscal year 2018 to fiscal year 2019, from 1055 in fiscal year 2018 to 1123 in fiscal year 2019.

Assault Location: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on base decreased for the second year in a row from 46% in fiscal year 2018 to 43% in fiscal year 2019.

Subject-Victim Service Affiliation: Unrestricted Reports in which Service members sexually assault non-Service members has remained relatively constant at 13% between fiscal years 2017 and 2019. Unrestricted Reports in which non-Service members sexually assault Service members remained relatively constant at 5% in fiscal years 2018 and 2019.

Subject-Victim Gender: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports involving subjects and victims of different genders (i.e., males sexually assaulting females or females sexually assaulting males) decreased by four percentage points, from 66% in fiscal year 2018 to 62% in fiscal year 2019. Conversely, the percentage of reports involving subjects and victims of the same gender (i.e., males sexually assaulting other males or females sexually assaulting other females) increased by two percentage points, from 8% in fiscal year 2018 to 10% in fiscal year 2019.

Reporting Delay: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports filed within 30 days of the occurrence of the sexual assault decreased from 50% in fiscal year 2018 to 46% in fiscal year 2019.

Assault Day of Week and Time of Day: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on the weekend (Friday through Sunday) has increased every year since fiscal year 2015. In fiscal year 2019 60% of the reported sexual assaults occurred on the weekend. The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred between midnight and 6AM has stayed relatively consistent since fiscal year 2015 between 40% and 50%. In fiscal year 2019, 48% of the reported sexual assaults occurred between midnight and 6AM.

Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports*										
FY of Report	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Reports	912	-	905	-	1042	-	1055	-	1123	
Assault Location										
On-Base	376	41.2%	438	48.4%	537	51.5%	487	46.2%	485	43.2%
Off-Base	386	42.3%	407	45.0%	427	41.0%	491	46.5%	541	48.2%
Unidentified	150	16.4%	60	6.6%	78	7.5%	77	7.3%	97	8.6%
Subject-Victim Service Affiliation										
Member on Member	426	46.7%	427	47.2%	500	48.0%	575	54.5%	580	51.6%
Member on Non-Member	140	15.4%	142	15.7%	133	12.8%	137	13.0%	144	12.8%
Non-Member on Member	45	4.9%	41	4.5%	63	6.0%	54	5.1%	54	4.8%
Unidentified on Member	55	6.0%	25	2.8%	45	4.3%	92	8.7%	88	7.8%
Relevant Data Not Available	246	27.0%	270	29.8%	301	28.9%	197	18.7%	257	22.9%
Subject-Victim Gender										
Male on Female	483	53.0%	534	59.0%	633	60.7%	668	63.3%	669	59.6%
Male on Male	76	8.3%	71	7.8%	79	7.6%	65	6.2%	84	7.5%
Female on Male	16	1.8%	20	2.2%	26	2.5%	33	3.1%	27	2.4%
Female on Female	19	2.1%	12	1.3%	11	1.1%	19	1.8%	30	2.7%
Unknown on Male	10	1.1%	5	0.6%	7	0.7%	26	2.5%	27	2.4%
Unknown on Female	29	3.2%	11	1.2%	25	2.4%	56	5.3%	56	5.0%
Mutiple Mixed Gender	8	0.9%	12	1.3%	6	0.6%	14	1.3%	11	1.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	271	29.7%	240	26.5%	255	24.5%	174	16.5%	219	19.5%
Reporting Delay										
Within 3 days	236	25.9%	232	25.6%	303	29.1%	303	28.7%	304	27.1%
4-30 days	199	21.8%	175	19.3%	203	19.5%	229	21.7%	214	19.1%
31-365 days	227	24.9%	263	29.1%	293	28.1%	305	28.9%	330	29.4%
> 1 year	154	16.9%	198	21.9%	195	18.7%	177	16.8%	229	20.4%
Relevant Data Not Available	96	10.5%	37	4.1%	48	4.6%	41	3.9%	46	4.1%
Assault Time of Day										
6AM - 6PM	180	19.7%	203	22.4%	189	18.1%	171	16.2%	204	18.2%
6PM - Midnight	248	27.2%	243	26.9%	298	28.6%	268	25.4%	308	27.4%
Midnight - 6AM	375	41.1%	407	45.0%	479	46.0%	542	51.4%	541	48.2%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	109	12.0%	52	5.7%	76	7.3%	74	7.0%	70	6.2%
Assault Day of Week										
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	351	38.5%	488	53.9%	566	54.3%	609	57.7%	672	59.8%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	438	48.0%	378	41.8%	428	41.1%	405	38.4%	405	36.1%
Relevant Data Not Available	123	13.5%	39	4.3%	48	4.6%	41	3.9%	46	4.1%

*The counts in this table do not include prior-FY restricted reports that were converted to unrestricted in the indicated FY.

Table 8.1.2.4.1. – Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports

8.1.3. Restricted Reporting

Restricted Reports of sexual assault are confidential, covered communications as defined in Department of Defense policy. The Air Force cannot investigate allegations made in Restricted Reports because there is no requirement for victims to provide many details about these sexual assaults and SAPR personnel do not enter information about alleged subjects into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Therefore, the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data about Restricted Reports are more limited than data about Unrestricted Reports.

8.1.3.1. Restricted Report Conversions

Table 8.1.3.1.1. shows the number of initially Restricted Reports, the number of initially Restricted Reports those victims converted to unrestricted in the same fiscal year, and the number of Restricted Reports remaining restricted at the end of each fiscal year.

In fiscal year 2019, 694 victims initially filed Restricted Reports with the Air Force. Of these, 172 chose to convert their Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report in fiscal year 2019 (the

unrestricted reporting sections include these reports), leaving 522 Restricted Reports remaining restricted at the end of fiscal year 2019. The percentage of Restricted Reports that were converted stayed relatively consistent between 2018 and 2019.

Restricted Report Conversions										
FY of Report	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Initially Restricted	471	-	524	-	535	-	628	-	694	-
Converted to Unrestricted	90	19.1%	109	20.8%	120	22.4%	164	26.1%	172	24.8%
Remaining Restricted	381	80.9%	415	79.2%	415	77.6%	464	73.9%	522	75.2%

Table 8.1.3.1.1. – Restricted Report Conversions

8.1.3.2. Analysis of Victims in Restricted Reports

Table 8.1.3.2.1. and the following discussion provides a demographic analysis of victims of sexual assault who made Restricted Reports that remained restricted through the end of the reporting fiscal year, without conversion. The unrestricted reporting section above includes converted Restricted Reports.

Gender: The portion of male and female victims in Restricted Reports remained consistent between fiscal years 2018 and 2019. The portion of male victims who made a Restricted Report in 2019 was 20%, this is higher than the portion of males who made Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2019 (15%).

Age at Time of Incident: There is little variation between the age distribution of individuals making Unrestricted and Restricted Reports. Victims in the 16-19 age group accounted for 23% of the Restrict Reports and 22% of Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2019. Victims in the 20-24 age category accounted for 44% of the Restricted Reports and 42% of the Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2019.

Grade: Officers make up a higher proportion of Restricted Reports compared with Unrestricted Reports. The proportion of officers making a Restricted Report in fiscal year 2019 was 11% compared with 5% of the Unrestricted Reports. Senior enlisted Airmen (E5-E9) also make up a higher proportion of Restricted Reports compared with Unrestricted Reports. Senior enlisted Airmen make up 23% of the Restricted Reports in fiscal year 2019 compared with 17% of the Unrestricted Reports.

Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports										
FY of Report	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Victims	381	-	415	-	415	-	464	-	522	-
Gender										
Male	87	22.8%	74	17.8%	55	13.3%	93	20.0%	105	20.1%
Female	292	76.6%	341	82.2%	358	86.3%	371	80.0%	417	79.9%
Relevant Data Not Available	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Age (Time of Incident)										
0-15	35	9.2%	33	8.0%	25	6.0%	29	6.3%	27	5.2%
16-19	85	22.3%	87	21.0%	109	26.3%	85	18.3%	119	22.8%
20-24	150	39.4%	157	37.8%	177	42.7%	205	44.2%	228	43.7%
25-34	80	21.0%	103	24.8%	88	21.2%	122	26.3%	118	22.6%
35-49	19	5.0%	23	5.5%	15	3.6%	23	5.0%	24	4.6%
50-64	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	12	3.1%	11	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	1.0%
Military Affiliation										
Military	366	96.1%	400	96.4%	396	95.4%	447	96.3%	503	96.4%
Non-military	13	3.4%	14	3.4%	17	4.1%	17	3.7%	18	3.4%
Relevant Data Not Available	2	0.5%	1	0.2%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
Duty Status (Military Victims)										
Active Duty	317	86.6%	354	88.5%	365	92.2%	408	91.3%	444	88.3%
Reserve (Activated)	23	6.3%	25	6.3%	15	3.8%	21	4.7%	28	5.6%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	3	0.8%	5	1.3%	7	1.8%	4	0.9%	8	1.6%
Cadet/Prep School Student	23	6.3%	16	4.0%	9	2.3%	14	3.1%	23	4.6%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grade (Military Victims)										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	23	6.3%	16	4.0%	9	2.3%	14	3.1%	23	4.6%
E-1 to E-4	213	58.2%	250	62.5%	264	66.7%	264	59.1%	310	61.6%
E-5 to E-9	101	27.6%	94	23.5%	84	21.2%	122	27.3%	114	22.7%
WO1-WO5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
O-1 to O-3	21	5.7%	28	7.0%	31	7.8%	34	7.6%	35	7.0%
O-4 to O-10	8	2.2%	12	3.0%	8	2.0%	12	2.7%	21	4.2%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 8.1.3.2.1. – Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports

8.1.3.3. Analysis of Incident Details in Restricted Reports

Table 8.1.3.3.1. provides analysis of the incident details for Restricted Reports that remained restricted at the end of each fiscal year.

Incident Details for Restricted Reports										
FY of Report	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Reports	381	-	415	-	415	-	464	-	522	-
Incident Location										
On-Base	88	23.1%	124	29.9%	140	33.7%	147	31.7%	180	34.5%
Off-Base	183	48.0%	220	53.0%	230	55.4%	240	51.7%	289	55.4%
Unidentified/Relevant Data Not Avail.	110	28.9%	71	17.1%	45	10.8%	77	16.6%	53	10.2%
Subject-Victim Military Affiliation										
Member on Member	172	45.1%	185	44.6%	204	49.2%	265	57.1%	302	57.9%
Member on Non-Member	13	3.4%	14	3.4%	17	4.1%	17	3.7%	18	3.4%
Non-Member on Member	75	19.7%	106	25.5%	130	31.3%	105	22.6%	131	25.1%
Unidentified on Member	56	14.7%	46	11.1%	61	14.7%	77	16.6%	70	13.4%
Relevant Data Not Available	65	17.1%	64	15.4%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
Reporting Delay										
Within 3 days	55	14.4%	85	20.5%	79	19.0%	101	21.8%	104	19.9%
4-30 days	56	14.7%	54	13.0%	54	13.0%	89	19.2%	95	18.2%
31-365 days	59	15.5%	60	14.5%	82	19.8%	75	16.2%	95	18.2%
> 1 year	78	20.5%	119	28.7%	116	28.0%	102	22.0%	124	23.8%
Relevant Data Not Available	133	34.9%	97	23.4%	84	20.2%	97	20.9%	104	19.9%
Assault Time of Day										
6AM - 6PM	42	11.0%	45	10.8%	48	11.6%	50	10.8%	62	11.9%
6PM - Midnight	124	32.5%	177	42.7%	176	42.4%	187	40.3%	231	44.3%
Midnight - 6AM	115	30.2%	129	31.1%	140	33.7%	153	33.0%	171	32.8%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail	100	26.2%	64	15.4%	51	12.3%	74	15.9%	58	11.1%
Assault Day of Week										
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	137	36.0%	185	44.6%	227	54.7%	241	51.9%	270	51.7%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	77	20.2%	141	34.0%	106	25.5%	129	27.8%	151	28.9%
Relevant Data Not Available	167	43.8%	89	21.4%	82	19.8%	94	20.3%	101	19.3%

Table 8.1.3.3.1. – Incident Details for Restricted Reports

8.1.4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

Table 8.1.4.1. analyzes service referrals for Unrestricted Reports. The number of referrals continues to increase from year-to-year. Referrals increased by 28% between fiscal years 2018 and 2019 compared with a 6% increase in Unrestricted Reports over the same time. The most common service referrals for Unrestricted Reports were Legal, Victim Advocate, and Mental Health.

Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports										
FY of Service Referral	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Service Referrals	1,394	-	1,429	-	1,760	-	2,057	-	2,626	-
Type of Service										
Medical	92	6.6%	124	8.7%	161	9.1%	148	7.2%	264	10.1%
Mental Health	307	22.0%	298	20.9%	370	21.0%	383	18.6%	475	18.1%
Legal	288	20.7%	302	21.1%	368	20.9%	458	22.3%	567	21.6%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	223	16.0%	187	13.1%	238	13.5%	257	12.5%	329	12.5%
Rape Crisis Center	41	2.9%	47	3.3%	34	1.9%	52	2.5%	82	3.1%
Victim Advocate	277	19.9%	295	20.6%	350	19.9%	474	23.0%	519	19.8%
DoD Safe Helpline	93	6.7%	110	7.7%	145	8.2%	211	10.3%	269	10.2%
Other	73	5.2%	66	4.6%	94	5.3%	74	3.6%	121	4.6%

Table 8.1.4.1. – Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports

Table 8.1.4.2. analyzes service referrals for Restricted Reports. The most common service referrals for Restricted Reports were Mental Health and Victim Advocate.

Service Referrals for Restricted Reports										
FY of Service Referral	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Service Referrals	727	-	919	-	889	-	1104	-	1524	-
Type of Service										
Medical	62	8.5%	93	10.1%	83	9.3%	110	10.0%	175	11.5%
Mental Health	194	26.7%	239	26.0%	226	25.4%	251	22.7%	326	21.4%
Legal	108	14.9%	119	12.9%	127	14.3%	151	13.7%	230	15.1%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	126	17.3%	151	16.4%	145	16.3%	155	14.0%	210	13.8%
Rape Crisis Center	28	3.9%	31	3.4%	29	3.3%	39	3.5%	52	3.4%
Victim Advocate	131	18.0%	159	17.3%	168	18.9%	231	20.9%	293	19.2%
DoD Safe Helpline	50	6.9%	81	8.8%	77	8.7%	111	10.1%	170	11.2%
Other	28	3.9%	46	5.0%	34	3.8%	56	5.1%	68	4.5%

Table 8.1.4.2. – Service Referrals for Restricted Reports

8.2 Complete the following table with your numbers as of the end of the fiscal year. Use the job/duty descriptions provided and the following inclusion criteria:
- Include all Reserve and Active Duty military personnel. Army and Air Force do not need to include their respective National Guard component information as it will be included in the National Guard Bureau's response.
- Include civilian and contractor personnel, as applicable
- Only include filled positions
- Indicate the number of full-time and part-time personnel
- Provide the exact number of current personnel, whenever possible. If the number is an estimate, please indicate how the estimate was reached and any other relevant information.
(DoDI 6495.02, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (May 24, 2017), Encl 2, para 6ac)

Job/Duty Title	Description of Job/Duty	Full-Time	Part-Time
Program Managers	Capability in developing policy, or program management and execution; and completion of 40+ hours of Military Service-specific National Advocate Credentialing Program and approved SARC training.	**16**	**3**
Dedicated Headquarters-Level Professionals	Include policy, advocacy, and prevention professionals who support the headquarters-level SAPR program offices at each Military Service/National Guard Bureau (*not including program managers, who are counted in their own category*).	**19**	**0**
Uniformed SARCs	Serve as the single point of contact at an installation or within a geographic area to oversee sexual assault awareness, prevention, and response training; coordinate medical treatment, including emergency care, for victims of sexual assault; and track the services provided to victims from the initial report through final disposition and resolution.	**31**	**42**

	Certified under the nationally-accredited DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP).		
Civilian SARCs	See above.	**64**	**9**
Uniformed SAPR-VAs	Provide non-clinical crisis intervention, referral, and ongoing non-clinical support to adult sexual assault victims; offer information on available options/resources to victims; coordinate liaison assistance with other organizations and agencies on victim care matters; and report directly to the SARC. Certified under the nationally-accredited D-SAACP.	**0**	**1039**
Civilian SAPR-VAs	See above.	**79**	**88**
Sexual Assault-Specific Legal	Legal personnel who specialize in sexual assault cases including prosecutors, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel, paralegals, legal experts, and Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel.	**106 (CLSV)** **12 (JAJG)** **2 (JAJM)**	**0**
Sexual Assault – Specific Investigators	Military Criminal Investigation Office investigators who specialize in sexual assault cases.	**24**	**350***
Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners	Medical providers that have completed the DoD course at Fort Sam Houston, or equivalent.	**1**	**47**
	Notes: **This number reflects the approximate number of all criminal lead agents working criminal cases in the field.*		

AIR FORCE FY19 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY19 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. **Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY19. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.** **This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.**	**FY19 Totals**
# FY19 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	**1123**
# Service Member Victims	977
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	144
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**1123**
# Service Member on Service Member	580
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	144
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	54
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	88
# Relevant Data Not Available	257
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**1123**
# On military installation	485
# Off military installation	541
# Unidentified location	97
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	**1123**
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY19	**986**
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2019	213
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2019	773
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	**49**
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	**88**
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	**36**
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	**0**
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	**11**
# Victims - Other	**41**
# All Restricted Reports received in FY19 (one Victim per report)	**694**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	172
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY19	**522**

B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY19	FY19 Totals	FY19 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**1123**	**977**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	304	263
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	113	93
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	101	82
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	330	295
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	229	198
# Relevant Data Not Available	46	46
Time of sexual assault	**1123**	**977**
# Midnight to 6 am	541	471
# 6 am to 6 pm	204	183
# 6 pm to midnight	308	256
# Unknown	25	22
# Relevant Data Not Available	45	45
Day of sexual assault	**1123**	**977**
# Sunday	141	121
# Monday	99	93
# Tuesday	86	79
# Wednesday	105	92
# Thursday	115	98
# Friday	228	195
# Saturday	303	253
# Relevant Data Not Available	46	46

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY19 Totals
	669	**84**	**27**	**30**	**27**	**56**	**11**	**219**	**1123**
# Service Member on Service Member	447	72	23	23	1	3	10	1	**580**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	132	5	0	5	0	0	1	1	**144**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	42	2	2	1	2	2	0	3	**54**
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	10	2	1	0	24	51	0	0	**88**
# Relevant Data Not Available	38	3	1	1	0	0	0	214	**257**

FY19 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)

UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY19	*Penetrating Offenses*				*Contact Offenses*						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY19 Totals
D1.	**128**	**3**	**410**	**7**	**14**	**415**	**1**	**1**	**24**	**120**	**1123**
# Service Member on Service Member	35	2	246	1	3	274	0	0	13	6	**580**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	18	0	76	0	1	49	0	0	0	0	**144**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	11	0	13	2	2	23	0	0	1	2	**54**
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	16	1	37	1	0	16	0	0	7	10	**88**
# Relevant Data Not Available	48	0	38	3	8	53	1	1	3	102	**257**
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY19 Reports	**110**	**3**	**334**	**7**	**13**	**364**	**1**	**1**	**24**	**120**	**977**
# Service Member Victims: Female	100	1	286	3	9	282	0	0	19	101	801
# Service Member Victims: Male	10	2	48	4	4	82	1	1	5	19	176
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY19											
D3. Time of sexual assault	**128**	**3**	**410**	**7**	**14**	**415**	**1**	**1**	**24**	**120**	**1123**
# Midnight to 6 am	62	1	249	4	3	178	0	0	10	34	541
# 6 am to 6 pm	18	1	58	0	2	108	1	0	3	13	204
# 6 pm to midnight	36	1	101	3	8	128	0	0	9	22	308
# Unknown	12	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	2	6	25
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	45
D4. Day of sexual assault	**128**	**3**	**410**	**7**	**14**	**415**	**1**	**1**	**24**	**120**	**1123**
# Sunday	20	0	53	0	0	53	0	0	3	12	141
# Monday	12	0	28	2	0	43	1	0	4	9	99
# Tuesday	13	1	25	1	3	39	0	0	0	4	86
# Wednesday	14	0	32	1	2	44	0	0	1	11	105
# Thursday	5	0	57	0	2	37	0	1	6	7	115
# Friday	33	1	82	0	3	95	0	0	2	12	228
# Saturday	31	1	133	3	4	104	0	0	8	19	303
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	46

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	FY19 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY19 **Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.**	
# Investigations Initiated during FY19	**925**
# Investigations Completed as of FY19 End (group by MCIO #)	645
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY19 End (group by MCIO #)	280
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY19	**915**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	**14**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	4
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	10
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	**8**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	8
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	**662**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	652
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	10
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**46**
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**96**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement **Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**8**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	6
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	2
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**14**
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**5**
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	**62**
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY19 **Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY19. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY19 or any prior FY.**	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**889**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	70
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	49
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	7
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**932**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	**19**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	17
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	**18**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	18
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	**711**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	702
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	9
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**45**
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**89**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**50**
# Victims in investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	**995**
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	**22**
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	17
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	5
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	**19**
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	9
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	10
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	**800**
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	796
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	4
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	**149**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**5**

E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY19 Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**20**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	1
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**20**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	**5**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	3
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	2
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**11**
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**4**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
# Victims in investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	**22**
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	**21**
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	20
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	1
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	**1**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY19 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service. Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**0**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	**0**
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	**0**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**

Victims and Subjects in Investigation Completed in FY19	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY19										
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY19 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)**	**Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**Offense Code Data Not Available**	**FY19 Totals**
F1. Gender of Victims	**102**	**4**	**445**	**4**	**9**	**404**	**0**	**0**	**21**	**28**	**1017**
# Male	4	1	54	2	3	80	0	0	2	5	151
# Female	98	3	390	2	6	320	0	0	19	23	861
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5
F2. Age of Victims	**102**	**4**	**445**	**4**	**9**	**404**	**0**	**0**	**21**	**28**	**1017**
# 0-15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# 16-19	31	0	105	0	1	82	0	0	7	2	228
# 20-24	41	2	199	3	5	163	0	0	8	8	429
# 25-34	14	2	80	1	3	91	0	0	3	5	199
# 35-49	4	0	10	0	0	20	0	0	0	2	36
# 50-64	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	11	0	50	0	0	46	0	0	3	10	120
F3. Victim Type	**102**	**4**	**445**	**4**	**9**	**404**	**0**	**0**	**21**	**28**	**1017**
# Service Member	83	3	367	4	9	351	0	0	19	26	862
# DoD Civilian	1	0	5	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	13
# DoD Contractor	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Other US Government Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# US Civilian	16	1	68	0	0	39	0	0	2	2	128
# Foreign National	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	**83**	**3**	**367**	**4**	**9**	**351**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**26**	**862**
# E1-E4	59	1	299	2	6	259	0	0	15	12	653
# E5-E9	14	1	46	2	0	73	0	0	3	7	146
# WO1-WO5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# O1-O3	5	1	12	0	2	10	0	0	0	6	36
# O4-O10	3	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	8
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	1	1	15
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	**83**	**3**	**367**	**4**	**9**	**351**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**26**	**862**
# Army	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	6
# Navy	4	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	11
# Marines	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Air Force	77	3	363	4	9	342	0	0	19	25	842
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	**83**	**3**	**367**	**4**	**9**	**351**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**26**	**862**
# Active Duty	75	3	348	4	9	322	0	0	17	24	802
# Reserve (Activated)	7	0	11	0	0	19	0	0	1	1	39
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	1	1	15
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY19 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	*Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY19*										
	Penetrating Offenses				*Contact Offenses*						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY19 Totals
G1. Gender of Subjects	**99**	**4**	**439**	**4**	**9**	**358**	**0**	**0**	**21**	**18**	**952**
# Male	81	3	380	3	8	301	0	0	16	10	802
# Female	3	1	18	0	0	33	0	0	0	2	57
# Unknown	13	0	35	1	1	21	0	0	5	6	82
# Relevant Data Not Available	2	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	11
G2. Age of Subjects	**99**	**4**	**439**	**4**	**9**	**358**	**0**	**0**	**21**	**18**	**952**
# 0-15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# 16-19	7	0	44	1	0	38	0	0	3	0	93
# 20-24	35	2	202	1	2	109	0	0	8	0	359
# 25-34	26	0	121	0	4	119	0	0	4	9	283
# 35-49	7	1	18	0	0	50	0	0	0	1	77
# 50-64	1	0	3	0	1	13	0	0	0	0	18
# 65 and older	14	1	34	1	1	13	0	0	3	6	73
# Unknown	4	0	3	0	1	11	0	0	1	1	21
# Relevant Data Not Available	4	0	14	1	0	5	0	0	2	0	26
G3. Subject Type	**99**	**4**	**439**	**4**	**9**	**358**	**0**	**0**	**21**	**18**	**952**
# Service Member	69	3	359	1	3	294	0	0	14	10	753
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# DoD Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	15
# DoD Contractor	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	8	0	9	0	5	7	0	0	1	0	30
# Foreign National	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	4
# Foreign Military	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	15	1	41	2	1	22	0	0	4	7	93
# Relevant Data Not Available	6	0	25	0	0	17	0	0	2	0	50
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	**69**	**3**	**359**	**1**	**3**	**294**	**0**	**0**	**14**	**10**	**753**
# E1-E4	44	1	259	1	2	167	0	0	9	2	485
# E5-E9	20	2	81	0	0	100	0	0	4	5	212
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	4	0	9	0	1	11	0	0	0	2	27
# O4-O10	0	0	5	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	14
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	5	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	13
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	**69**	**3**	**359**	**1**	**3**	**294**	**0**	**0**	**14**	**10**	**753**
# Army	8	0	8	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	24
# Navy	3	0	9	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	15
# Marines	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	7
# Air Force	57	3	339	1	3	281	0	0	14	9	707
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	**69**	**3**	**359**	**1**	**3**	**294**	**0**	**0**	**14**	**10**	**753**
# Active Duty	64	3	341	1	3	264	0	0	13	8	697
# Reserve (Activated)	5	0	10	0	0	19	0	0	0	1	35
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	8
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	5	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	13
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY19 INVESTIGATIONS	FY19 Totals		FY19 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY19, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	**3**		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	1		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY19 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	**970**	**# Victims in investigations completed in FY19**	**1017**
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY19	**524**	**# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY19**	**602**
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	**53**		
# Unknown Offenders	36	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	9
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	19
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	15	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	5
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	10
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	1	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	1	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	**52**		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	26	*# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action*	21
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	26	*# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute*	22
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	*# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations*	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	*# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command*	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	*# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action*	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	**756**	**# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available**	**807**
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2019	**89**		
# FY19 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	**89**	**# FY19 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action**	**104**
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	15	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	20
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	8	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	18
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	2	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	21	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	20
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	2
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	18	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	20
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	2	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	3
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	22	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	20

*** Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.**

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY19	**FY19 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion	**90**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY19	6
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY19	**84**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	24
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	10
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	13
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	14
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	14
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge	**46**
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	20
# Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial	26
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**26**
# Subjects receiving confinement	19
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	22
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	18
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	21
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	1
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	1
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	19
J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY19	**FY19 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY19	**17**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY19	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY19	**17**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	2
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment	**15**
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**15**
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	3
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	10
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	7
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	2
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	14
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY19 Totals**
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY19	1
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense	**3**
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	2
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	1
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY19	4
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense	**56**

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY19 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY19	**4**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY19	1
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY19	**3**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	0
# Officer Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense	**3**
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
# Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial	3
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**3**
# Subjects receiving confinement	2
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	3
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	1
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0

M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY19 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY19	**45**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY19	1
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY19	**44**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	1
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense	**42**
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**42**
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	34
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	18
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	5
# Subjects receiving extra duty	11
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	38
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0

N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY19 Totals**
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY19	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense	**3**
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY19	1
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense	**47**

AIR FORCE FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY19 Totals**
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	**694**
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	659
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	19
# Relevant Data Not Available	16
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY19*	**172**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	156
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	15
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	**522**
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	503
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	18
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	**522**
# Service Member on Service Member	302
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	131
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	18
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	70
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY19 Totals**
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**522**
# On military installation	180
# Off military installation	289
# Unidentified location	35
# Relevant Data Not Available	18
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**522**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	104
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	52
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	43
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	95
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	124
# Relevant Data Not Available	104
Time of sexual assault incident	**522**
# Midnight to 6 am	171
# 6 am to 6 pm	62
# 6 pm to midnight	231
# Unknown	50
# Relevant Data Not Available	8
Day of sexual assault incident	**522**
# Sunday	55
# Monday	41
# Tuesday	30
# Wednesday	38
# Thursday	42
# Friday	85
# Saturday	130
# Relevant Data Not Available	101
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY19 Totals**
# Service Member Victims	**503**
# Army Victims	3
# Navy Victims	2
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	498
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY19 Totals
Gender of Victims	**522**
# Male	105
# Female	417
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	**522**
# 0-15	27
# 16-19	119
# 20-24	228
# 25-34	118
# 35-49	24
# 50-64	1
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	5
Grade of Service Member Victims	**503**
# E1-E4	310
# E5-E9	114
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	35
# O4-O10	21
# Cadet/Midshipman	23
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	**503**
# Active Duty	444
# Reserve (Activated)	28
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	8
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	23
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	**522**
# Service Member	503
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	18
~~# Foreign National~~	
~~# Foreign Military~~	
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE	**FY19 Totals**
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	**68**
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	43
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	24
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)	**FY19 Totals**
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	34.12
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	57.94
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	3
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY19	**FY19 Totals**
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY19	**38**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	36
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
*** The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.**	

AIR FORCE FY19 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY19 Totals**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**2600**
# Medical	247
# Mental Health	467
# Legal	606
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	333
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	544
# DoD Safe Helpline	287
# Other	116
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**234**
# Medical	36
# Mental Health	50
# Legal	6
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	333
# Rape Crisis Center	8
# Victim Advocate	106
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	21
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**127**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**1**
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	**60**
B. FY19 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	**FY19 TOTALS**
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY19	**93**
# Reported MPO Violations in FY19	**2**
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	2
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	5
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	1
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	212
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	6
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS	**FY19 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**1452**
# Medical	164
# Mental Health	302
# Legal	235
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	210
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	303
# DoD Safe Helpline	176
# Other	62
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**118**
# Medical	14
# Mental Health	37
# Legal	3
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	210
# Rape Crisis Center	4
# Victim Advocate	54
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	5
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**49**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

Use the following categories or add a new category	**FY19 TOTALS**
Total Number Denied	**7**
Reasons for Disapproval (Total)	**7**
Victim is pending seperation	1
Victim is pending UCMJ Action	1
Alleged offender is no longer assigned to command base	1
Moved alleged offender instead	1
Victim is subject in a separate criminal investigation	1
No credible report determination of sexual assault	1
Other	1

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER	**FY19 Totals**
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	**122**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	30
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	86
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members	**122**
# Male	11
# Female	91
# Relevant Data Not Available	20
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident	**122**
# 0-15	1
# 16-19	10
# 20-24	9
# 25-34	8
# 35-49	16
# 50-64	4
# 65 and older	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	73
D4. Non-Service Member Type	**122**
# DoD Civilian	40
# DoD Contractor	2
# Other US Government Civilian	2
# US Civilian	46
# Foreign National	6
# Foreign Military	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	25
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**113**
# Medical	11
# Mental Health	13
# Legal	22
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	14
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	31
# DoD Safe Helpline	13
# Other	9
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**76**
# Medical	6
# Mental Health	19
# Legal	7
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	7
# Rape Crisis Center	8
# Victim Advocate	15
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	14
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**11**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
E. FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS	**FY19 Totals**
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	**16**
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	3
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	**13**
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	**13**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	11
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims	**13**
# Male	1
# Female	12
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	**13**
# 0-15	1
# 16-19	4
# 20-24	4
# 25-34	3
# 35-49	1
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. VICTIM Type	**13**
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	13
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources	**36**
# Medical	4
# Mental Health	5
# Legal	5
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	5
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	10
# DoD Safe Helpline	6
# Other	1
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**8**
# Medical	1
# Mental Health	3
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	4
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**5**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST
FY19 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY
Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY19 Reports of Sexual Assault.

A. FY19 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY19. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	FY19 Totals
# FY19 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	**33**
# Service Member Victims	33
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**33**
# Service Member on Service Member	19
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	7
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**33**
# On military installation	30
# Off military installation	3
# Unidentified location	0
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	**33**
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY19	**28**
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2019	3
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2019	25
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	**4**
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	**1**
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	**0**
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	**0**
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	**0**
# Victims - Other	**1**
# All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY19 (one Victim per report)	**23**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	5
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY19	**18**

B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY19	FY19 Totals	FY19 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**33**	**33**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	13	13
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	3	3
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	2	2
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	10	10
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	5	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Time of sexual assault	**33**	**33**
# Midnight to 6 am	17	17
# 6 am to 6 pm	7	7
# 6 pm to midnight	8	8
# Unknown	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Day of sexual assault	**33**	**33**
# Sunday	6	6
# Monday	2	2
# Tuesday	2	2
# Wednesday	3	3
# Thursday	6	6
# Friday	5	5
# Saturday	9	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY19 Totals
	17	**4**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**6**	**33**
# Service Member on Service Member	14	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	**19**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**2**
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	**5**
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	**7**

FY19 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)

UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY19	*Penetrating Offenses*				*Contact Offenses*						
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY19 Totals
D1.	**1**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**1**	**17**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**33**
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	7	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	**19**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	**2**
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	**5**
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	**7**
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY19 Reports	**1**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**1**	**17**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**33**
# Service Member Victims: Female	0	0	8	0	0	14	0	0	0	3	25
# Service Member Victims: Male	1	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY19											
D3. Time of sexual assault	**1**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**1**	**17**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**33**
# Midnight to 6 am	1	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	17
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	7
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	8
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	**1**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**1**	**17**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**33**
# Sunday	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	6
# Monday	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
# Thursday	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	6
# Friday	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
# Saturday	0	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE											
FY19 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT. Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY19. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)**	**Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**Offense Code Data Not Available**	**FY19 Totals**
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	**1**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**1**	**17**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**33**
Afghanistan	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	5
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Djibouti	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Kosovo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somalia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkey	0	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	13
Uae	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	1	0	10	0	1	17	0	0	0	4	33

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY19 in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.**	
# Investigations Initiated during FY19	**30**
# Investigations Completed as of FY19 End (group by MCIO #)	23
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY19 End (group by MCIO #)	7
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY19	**30**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	**3**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	3
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	**18**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	18
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**2**
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**6**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement **Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	**1**
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY19 in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY19. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY19 or any prior FY.**	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**31**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**33**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	**3**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	**21**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	21
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**2**
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**6**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**1**
# Victims in investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	**33**
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	**3**
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	3
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	**0**
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	**30**
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	30
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	**0**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**

E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY19 in Combat Areas of Interest Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**0**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
# Victims in investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	**0**
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	**0**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY19 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.** **Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.**	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**0**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	**0**
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	**0**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**

Victims in Investigations Completed in FY19 in Combat Areas of Interest	*Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY19*										
	Penetrating Offenses				*Contact Offenses*						
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY19 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)**	**Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**Offense Code Data Not Available**	**FY19 Totals**
F1. Gender of Victims	**1**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**33**
# Male	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	7
# Female	1	0	9	0	0	15	0	0	1	0	26
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F2. Age of Victims	**1**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**33**
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
# 20-24	1	0	4	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	14
# 25-34	0	0	4	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	11
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
F3. Victim Type	**1**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**33**
# Service Member	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	**1**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**33**
# E1-E4	0	0	9	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	18
# E5-E9	1	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	11
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	**1**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**33**
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	**1**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**33**
# Active Duty	1	0	12	0	0	16	0	0	1	0	30
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY19 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	*Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY19*										
	Penetrating Offenses				*Contact Offenses*						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY19 Totals
G1. Gender of Subjects	**1**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**33**
# Male	0	0	11	0	0	15	0	0	1	0	27
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G2. Age of Subjects	**1**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**33**
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# 20-24	0	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	11
# 25-34	0	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	9
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# 65 and older	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G3. Subject Type	**1**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**33**
# Service Member	0	0	10	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	24
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	**0**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**14**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**24**
# E1-E4	0	0	8	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	13
# E5-E9	0	0	2	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	10
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	**0**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**14**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**24**
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	10	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	23
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	**0**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**14**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**24**
# Active Duty	0	0	10	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	22
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY19 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY19 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY19, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	**1**		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY19 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	**33**	**# Victims in investigations completed in FY19**	**33**
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY19	**18**	**# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY19**	**24**
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	**1**	**# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations**	**1**
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	1	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	1
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	**6**		
# Unknown Offenders	4	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	1
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	2
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	2	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	**1**		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	1	*# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action*	1
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	0	*# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute*	0
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	*# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations*	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	*# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command*	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	*# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action*	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	**19**	**# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available**	**19**
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2019	**6**		
# FY19 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	**6**	**# FY19 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action**	**7**
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	2
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	2	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	2
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	1
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	1

*** Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.**

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 Totals**
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	**23**
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	23
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY19*	**5**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	5
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	**18**
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	18
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	**18**
# Service Member on Service Member	8
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	5
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 Totals**
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**18**
# On military installation	16
# Off military installation	2
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**18**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	5
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	3
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
Time of sexual assault incident	**18**
# Midnight to 6 am	7
# 6 am to 6 pm	3
# 6 pm to midnight	6
# Unknown	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Day of sexual assault incident	**18**
# Sunday	1
# Monday	2
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	2
# Thursday	1
# Friday	3
# Saturday	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 Totals**
# Service Member Victims	**18**
# Army Victims	1
# Navy Victims	0
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	17
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 Totals**
Gender of Victims	**18**
# Male	2
# Female	16
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	**18**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	11
# 25-34	6
# 35-49	1
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Grade of Service Member Victims	**18**
# E1-E4	6
# E5-E9	10
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	1
# O4-O10	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	**18**
# Active Duty	18
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	**18**
# Service Member	18
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	0
~~# Foreign National~~	
~~# Foreign Military~~	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 Totals**
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	**0**
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 Totals**
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	30.6
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	43.54
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	3
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY19 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 Totals**
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY19	**3**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	3
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
TOTAL # FY19 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY19 Totals**
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**18**
Afghanistan	2
Bahrain	0
Djibouti	0
Iraq	1
Jordan	2
Kosovo	0
Kuwait	3
Lebanon	0
Pakistan	0
Qatar	4
Saudi Arabia	0
Somalia	0
Syria	0
Turkey	5
Uae	1
Yemen	0
*** The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.**	

AIR FORCE CAI FY19 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY19 Totals**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**88**
# Medical	13
# Mental Health	18
# Legal	19
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	13
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	18
# DoD Safe Helpline	4
# Other	3
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**2**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	2
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**6**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	**0**
B. FY19 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 TOTALS**
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY19	**3**
# Reported MPO Violations in FY19	**0**
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	1
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	5
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**43**
# Medical	7
# Mental Health	9
# Legal	5
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	7
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	13
# DoD Safe Helpline	2
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**2**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	1
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	1
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**1**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

Use the following categories or add a new category	**FY19 TOTALS**
Total Number Denied	
Reasons for Disapproval (Total)	
Moved Alleged Offender Instead	
Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 Totals**
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	**4**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members	**4**
# Male	0
# Female	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident	**4**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	4
D4. Non-Service Member Type	**4**
# DoD Civilian	1
# DoD Contractor	1
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**4**
# Medical	1
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	1
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**2**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
E. FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY19 Totals**
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	**0**
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	0
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	**0**
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	**0**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims	**0**
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	**0**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. VICTIM Type	**0**
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

	FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																						Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	Yes			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was accused of touching Victim's buttocks without consent. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
2	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for sexual assault upon several female victims. Specifically, one victim indicated Subject attempted to kiss her mouth and grabbed her leg without consent. Another victim indicated Subject touched her on the arm and shoulders without consent and also pulled her hair. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
3a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: An investigation was commenced into female-Victim's report that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's mouth in the back seat of a car when Victim, Subject, Male Witness One (W1) and Male Witness Two (W2) were returning to their hotel after an evening of socializing during a temporary duty assignment. AFOSI's investigation revealed that Victim, during the course of the evening, made sexual comments to W1 and grabbed W1's buttocks and Victim grabbed W2's genital area without consent. According to both Witnesses, Victim consumed a large amount of alcohol and had to be assisted into the backseat of the car where Subject engaged in the previously described conduct without the Victim's consent. The investigation revealed that prior to the reported incident, Subject had been ordered to not engage in sexual activity with another non-commissioned officer. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined that non-judicial punishment under Article 15 of the UCMJ was appropriate. After reviewing and considering all available evidence, the commander found the member guilty of violating Art 92 (failure to obey a lawful order) Art 134 (adultery), and the member was punished under Art 15, UCMJ. Separately, Victim was also punished under Article 15 of the UCMJ with reduction of rank and a formal reprimand.
3b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	E-6	Female	No			Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: A sexual assault investigation was commenced into Subject's (herein female/Subject) allegation that a male (herein male/Subject) used his penis to penetrate female/Subject's mouth in the back seat of a car when female/Subject, male/Subject, Male Victim One (V1) and Male Victim Two (V2) were returning to their hotel after an evening of socializing during a temporary duty assignment (TDY). AFOSI's investigation revealed that female/Subject, during the course of the evening, made sexual comments to V1 and grabbed V1's buttocks and female/Subject grabbed V2's genital area without consent. According to both Victims, Victim consumed a large amount of alcohol and had to be assisted into the backseat of the car where male/Subject engaged in the previously described conduct without the female/Subject's consent. Another victim, Victim Three, was identified during the investigation and reported observing female/Subject engaging in similar behavior during a previous TDY. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished female/Subject under Article 15, UCMJ. Separately, male/Subject was also punished under Article 15, UCMJ.
4	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: While on work travel, Subject and Victim were out for dinner and drinks with numerous other active duty members. During the drive back to the hotel, Subject and Victim were seated in the rear seat together. Subject placed his arm around Victim and she removed it, Subject then placed his hand on Victim's inner thigh and was told to stop by Victim. Subject sent inappropriate text messages to Victim of a sexual nature and inappropriate sexual comments about Victim to the others in their group. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a letter of reprimand.
5	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes				Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 48; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated for separate and multiple incidents of sexual assault against multiple Victims. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. At a General Courts-Martial, Subject was convicted by a panel member.
6	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported that she and Subject kissed in her dorm room and Victim consented to Subject removing Victim's clothing. Thereafter, Subject used his penis to penetrate the Victim's vulva and the Subject continued even after Victim stated she did not want to have sex. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial, and after consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander approved Subject's discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
7	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported Subject thrust his penis against Victim's buttocks without consent while Victim stood at a work station. Victim and Subject were both clothed. After receiving the report of investigation, Subject retired in lieu of disciplinary action.
8	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	AFGHANISTAN	Army	O-6	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Subject was accused of touching Victim on several occasions without consent; the touching included touching Victim's inner thigh and rubbing Victim's shoulders. After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Counseling.
9	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was accused of touching Victim's breast. During the course of the investigation, Victim 2 declined to further participate in the process. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, commander issued Subject non-judicial punishment, issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand (LOR) for poor judgement.
10	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 7; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim went to a bar with a number of friends from work. Subject was at the same bar with different people. Subject sat next to Victim on a couch and Subject put his arm around Victim's waist and pulled her closer to him. Victim attempted to get away and Subject pulled her back. Thereafter, Subject leaned into Victim and asked "Are you trying to get laid tonight?" Victim said "No," and at that point Subject put his hand on Victim's groin area by her left thigh. Victim physically pushed Subject away and immediately left the couch area. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
11	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: After meeting one evening and engaging in consensual kissing and groping over clothing, Victim reported that she pulled away when Subject removed her bra and told the Subject "no" when he asked to lick Victim's breasts. Subject then took Victim's hand and put it inside Subject's pants and towards Subject's penis and then also pushed Victim's head towards Subject's penis. Victim resisted Subject and pulled her head away. After the investigation was completed, Victim declined to participate in any military justice action. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
12	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Subject and two Victims were at a party. During the course of the evening, Subject was reported as having used his hands to slap Victim One's buttocks without consent; Subject was also reported to have used his fingers to touch Victim One's vulva without her consent. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 dischargein lieu of court-martial. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
13a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim attended a party with friends, including Subject. Victim reported to local police that Subject put his hand under Victim's shirt and groped Victim's breast without consent. Victim had consumed a significant amount of alcohol and her memory of the evening was fractured. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for inappropriate drinking.
13b	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by an unknown Subject. AFOSI investigated but were unable to identify a subject. Case closed without action.
14	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for engaging in multiple instances of unwanted physical contact and sexual advances involving two females and one male Victims. Two Victim's did not want to participate in the investigation or prosecution of the matter. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the wishes of all three Victims, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand and filed the letter in his Unfavorable Information File.
15	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Victim and Subject were having consensual penile/vaginal intercourse when Subject penetrated Victim's anus. Victim repeatedly told Subject to stop, but Subject continued and then, penetrated Victim's vulva, all the while Victim continued her pleas to stop. Subject submitted a request for discharge in lieu of court-martial, and after consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander approved Subject's discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
16	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 96; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was accused of filming the private area of the Victim along with 3 other female victims without their consent, possession of child pornography, and impeding the subsequent investigation into his misconduct. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. At court martial, Subject was found guilty of all charges and sentenced to reduction to E-3, confinement for 8 years, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and a dishonorable discharge.
17	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim was receiving counseling services from Subject. During one such session, Subject pushed Victim against the wall and pressed his erect penis against Victim. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, based on the totality of the evidence, the commander determined an Letter of Counseling for an unprofessional relationship was the appropriate disposition.

	FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																		Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
18	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: During a health and welfare inspection, Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her on three separate occasions without her consent due to alcohol intoxication. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand and admonished him to stop making advances on intoxicated women and underage drinking.
19	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined no action could be taken because subject is not a military member. There is no additional information concerning this case. Case closed.
20	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Qatar	Air Force	E-5	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject created a hostile work environment, sexually harassed Victim, and slapped Victim's buttock. After receiving the report of investigation, considering Victim input, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
21	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: The Air Force Office of Special Investigations initiated an investigation against Subject for Rape and aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim One (V1). After a night of drinking, and some consensual touching and kissing, V1 reported that Subject penetrated Victim's vulva with Subject's penis without her consent. During the course of the investigation, another victim, Victim Two (V2), reported that Subject walked up to V2 and grabbed V2's buttock with his hand without V2's consent. After reviewing the report of investigation, consulting with the both victims, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for assault consummated by a battery and
22	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported sleeping and being awakened to Subject's hands inside of Victim's leggings and underwear and Subject's finger penetrating Victim's vulva. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
23	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva when she was incapable of consenting. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charge for sexual assault. After reviewing the Article 32 preliminary hearing report and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the charges based on insufficient evidence.
24	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged that after a night of heavy drinking, she awakened to find Subject's hand inside her pants. Subject admitted to digitally penetrating Victim's vulva, but asserted that it was consensual. During the investigation, Victim indicated that she did not want to testify at a court martial. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
25	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	O-4	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was reported to have held Victim's hand five to nine times without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment. Subject was served with a Letter of Reprimand for sexual harassment.
26	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 5; Notes: Multiple Victims reported Subject touched them on their bodies and kissed them without consent and made unwanted sexual comments. Two Victims also reported Subject digitally penetrated their vaginas without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charge. Subject was convicted of aggravated sexual assault at court-martial.
27a	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Law enforcement investigation revealed that two active duty male subjects, Subject One (S1) and Subject Two (S2) each engaged in sexual activity with two female civilians. Female One (F1) and Female Two (F2) are friends. F1 is married to Subject One (S1). F1 and F2 went out drinking. After drinking they returned to married couple F1 and S1's home where S1 and S2 were present; S1 and S2 are friends. Investigation revealed that F1 and F2 engaged in sexual activity with one another. Thereafter, S1 and S2 each engaged in multiple sexual acts with both F1 and F2. F2 had little to no memory of the evening or events and declined to further participate in the investigation or prosecution of the matters against S1 and S2. S1 denied having sexual activity with anyone but F1 and later reported that he (S1) was sexually assaulted by F1 (S1's wife) and F2. S2 admitted to engaging in said activity with F1 and F2.After reviewing the report of investigation, taking into account F2's wishes and input, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered and S1 accepted non-judicial punishment. S1 also received a Letter of Reprimand, reduction of rank to E-1, forfeiture of pay for two months, and restriction of 30 days. After reviewing the report of investigation, taking into account F2's wishes and input, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered and S2 accepted non-judicial punishment. S2 also received a Letter of Reprimand and reduction in rank to E2.
27b	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Law enforcement investigation revealed that two active duty male subjects, Subject One (S1) and Subject Two (S2) each engaged in sexual activity with two female civilians. Female One (F1) and Female Two (F2) are friends. F1 is married to Subject One (S1). F1 and F2 went out drinking. After drinking they returned to married couple F1 and S1's home where S1 and S2 were present; S1 and S2 are friends. Investigation revealed that F1 and F2 engaged in sexual activity with one another. Thereafter, S1 and S2 each engaged in multiple sexual acts with both F1 and F2. F2 had little to no memory of the evening or events and declined to further participate in the investigation or prosecution of the matters against S1 and S2. S1 denied having sexual activity with anyone but F1 and later reported that he (S1) was sexually assaulted by F1 (S1's wife) and F2. S2 admitted to engaging in said activity with F1 and F2.After reviewing the report of investigation, taking into account F2's wishes and input, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered and S1 accepted non-judicial punishment. S1 also received a Letter of Reprimand, reduction of rank to E-1, forfeiture of pay for two months, and restriction of 30 days. After reviewing the report of investigation, taking into account F2's wishes and input, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered and S2 accepted non-judicial punishment. S2 also received a Letter of Reprimand and reduction in rank to E2.
28	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	C-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: The Air Force Office of Special Investigations initiated an investigation against Subject for his conduct against multiple female victims including the following allegations: Subject used his fingers and penis to penetrate V1's vulva and took Victim's hand and placed it on Subject's penis without V1's consent; Subject used his hands to touched V2's breast and buttocks without consent; Subject touched V3's leg without consent; and Subject used finger and tongue to penetrate V4's vulva without consent. Subject's request to resign in lieu of court-martial was denied. Thereafter, all Victims declined to participate in Subject's court-martial and charges were dismissed. The commander then initiated discharge proceeding against Subject and he was discharged and ordered to reimburse the Air Force for his educational benefits.
29	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject strangled Victim and separately, touched her breast and buttocks without her consent. Investigation revealed conflicting eyewitness accounts to the behavior allegedly committed by Subject. Victim became uncooperative during the investigation and did not provide her views about disposition to the commander. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for the offense of Assault Consummated by a Battery.
30	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his opened hand to hit Victim's buttocks and then held his hand on Victim's buttocks. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for assault
31	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Jordan	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for grabbing Victim's testicles and penis without consent. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined that non-judicial punishment under Article 15 of the UCMJ was appropriate. After reviewing and considering all available evidence, the commander found the member guilty of violating Art 128 (assault consummated by a battery), and Art 134 (discrimination/conduct prejudicial to good order and discipline), and the member was punished (consisting of a formal reprimand) under Art 15, UCMJ.
32	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for kissing Victim, rubbing Victim's shoulder, and separately, on a separate occasion, caressing Victim's shoulder, back, and neck in spite of Victim saying no and without Victim's consent. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined that non-judicial punishment under Article 15 of the UCMJ was appropriate. After reviewing and considering all available evidence, the commander found the Subject guilty of violating Art 128 (assault consummated by a battery) and the member was punished (consisting of a formal reprimand) under Art 15, UCMJ.
33	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Subject was reported to have inappropriately touched Victim several times without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of
34a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other. Notes: Victim reported that Subject One (S1) and Subject Two (S2) cornered Victim in a bathroom at the home of a colleague who was hosting a work-related function. S1 and S2 separately and forcibly penetrated Victim's mouth with their penises. The law enforcement investigation revealed conflicting factual information about the surrounding facts and circumstances of the allegations. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander verbally counseled both Subjects.

FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
34b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that Subject One (S1) and Subject Two (S2) conned Victim in a bathroom at the home of a colleague who was hosting a work-related function. S1 and S2 separately and forcibly penetrated Victim's mouth with their penises. The law enforcement investigation revealed conflicting factual information about the surrounding facts and circumstances of the allegations. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander verbally counseled both Subjects.
35	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
36	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that, before entering military service, an unidentified, civilian male penetrated Victim's mouth with his penis. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, AFOSI referred the report to civilian law enforcement due to the subject being a civilian and the alleged sexual assault not occurring on federal property.
37	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No				Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported Subject used his hand to touch/swat her buttocks. The Subject was also investigated for making sexually suggestive and/or harassing remarks to other unit members. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander punished the Subject under Article 15, UCMJ. Subject was served with a Letter of Reprimand for unprofessional conduct and denied re-enlistment.
38	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Male Subject was accused of grabbing male Victim's penis without consent several times. After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined the Subject's conduct would be handled via the Confinement Disciplinary
39	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Netherlands	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim SA during deployment. Third party reported SA. Member obtained AF SVC services and spoke with USAFE SARC but a DD Form 2910 was not complete until 1 Jun 18. OSI investigation was conducted from 7 Apr 15 - 21 Apr 15. Accused is currently separated from the ANG. Member is medically retired as of Mar 2019.After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined Accused should receive an Article 15. Accused accepted NJP and his rank was reduced from E-7 to E-6.
40	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim and Subject were separately attending the same party at one location. Victim reported that Subject made advances toward Victim and put his hands on Victim's inner thigh. Victim pushed Subject's hands away and left the party to go to another establishment. When Subject appeared at that 2d location, Victim tried to leave but Subject followed Victim, pulled her hair, strangled her, and kissed her. Victim was able to push Subject away and left. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted at court martial.
41	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was reported to have sexually assaulted Victim by penetrating her vulva without her consent over ten times. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject non-judicial punishment for the offense of adultery, a Letter of Reprimand (LOR), and filed the LOR in the Subject's Unfavorable Information File.
42	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that while she was lying down, Subject got on top of her and kissed her. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander provided Subject verbal counseling regarding situational awareness during TDY's and avoiding situations that could be perceived as inappropriate.
43	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Subject was investigated for separate and multiple incidents of unwanted and non-consensual sexual contact with respect to several Victims. Subject's conduct included inappropriate comments, digital penetration of the vulva, and penile penetration of the vulva. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court martial. Subject submitted a request for discharge in lieu of court-martial. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
44	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-5	Female	Air Force	O-6	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject rubbed victim's thigh on numerous occasions and attempted to kiss Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a letter of reprimand for Conduct Unbecoming.
45	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Uae	Air Force	E-4	Male	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that an unknown Subject attempted to kiss Victim as Subject was trying to fix Victim's television - Victim pushed Subject away. Subject then pulled his shorts down and forced his exposed his penis to penetrate Victim's mouth. The Victim struggled was punched by the Subject. AFOSI investigated but were unable to identify a subject.
46	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					All victims (multiple victims)	Notes: Accused was investigated for using his finger to penetrate the vulvas of two Victims without consent in that they were intoxicated due to alcohol consumption. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
47	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported Subject slapped her buttocks, approximately 4 times, while making inappropriate comments. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ, with a reprimand for abusive sexual
48	Rape (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes			Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 15; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: During the context of sexual assault investigation involving the Subject's spouse, Subject was also investigated for using his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva while using his hand to strangle Victim and when she was unable to consent due to alcohol consumption. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process. After receiving the ROI and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the Subject received non-judicial punishment for Adultery. Charges against Subject were preferred for matter involving Subject's spouse and with respect to that case, Subject resigned in lieu of court-martial and was discharged under other than honorable conditions.
49	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	O-4	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was inappropriately dancing and inappropriately speaking with female colleagues at work/social event and slapped Victim's buttocks without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
50	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject kissed Victim's mouth without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a
51	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his hands to grope her and his penis to penetrate her vulva without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a
52	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for kissing Victim without consent as Victim tried to push Subject away and for rubbing his clothed penis against Victim's clothed vulva without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's input, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander served Subject with a Letter of Reprimand.
53	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-9	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed her buttocks and slagged Victim into Subject's office. After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of
54	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim and Subject work together and Subject Victim reported that Victim and Subject work together and that at while at work, Subject used his hands to slap Victim's buttocks without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's input regarding disposition, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment.
55	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force		Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: During a temporary duty assignment, Subject knocked on Victim's hotel door. Victim was on the phone, but opened the door allowing him to enter. Subject pushed Victim onto the bed, put his hands on her thighs and put his head between her legs; Subject's head touched Victim's inner thigh. Victim pushed Subject away and thereafter, Subject reached under Victim's shirt to touch her stomach and waist. Victim told Subject to leave. All conduct was done without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject with a reprimand for abusive sexual contact under Article 15, UCMJ.
56	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Marshall Islands	Army	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: At the time and place of incident, Victim and Subject engaged in consensual sexual contact consisting of oral and vulvar sexual activity. However, the sexual activity became increasingly rough and hurt the Victim; Victim told Subject to stop and physically pushed Subject away; Victim continued to tell Subject to stop, but in spite of Victim's protestations, Subject penetrated Victim's rectum with Subject's finger and penis. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault and other non-sexual offenses. After reviewing the Article 32 preliminary hearing report and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the charges.
57	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate the commander agreed with the finding from the article 32 hearing and dismissed the case.

	FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																				Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
58	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-7	Female	Air Force		Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported Subject, a DoD civilian, wrapped his hands around Victim's ankle and ran his hands up Victim's leg to the top of her knee and then Subject sat down next to Victim. Later, Subject placed his hands on Victim's knees and ran his hands up her thigh without her consent. When Victim started to leave, Subject moved in front of her and attempted to touch her leg again. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
59	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-8	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her, made derogatory and sexually-explicit comments, and used his hands to touch Victim's thigh, all without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, commander issued Subject non-judicial punishment, issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand (LOR), filed the LOR in Subject's Unfavorable Information File, and reduced Subject to E-7.
60	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject used her hands to grab Victim's breast/upper chest without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for Assault Consummated by Battery.
61			Air Force	O-1	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Unknown offender report. There is no additional information available concerning this case. Case closed.
62	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial
63	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated touching two Victims (V1 and V2) without their consent, speaking to V1 and V2 lasciviously and sexually; and encouraging V1, V2, Victim Three (V3), and Victim Four (V4) to drink alcohol. V1, V2, V3, and V4 were unable to consume or purchase alcohol due to their age. At trial, Subject was convicted of UCMJ Article 134 for indecent language and soliciting another to commit the offense of dereliction of duty, that is, soliciting minors to drink alcohol.
64	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: OSI investigated Subject for trying to kiss Victim, attempting to force Victim's face toward Subject's genitals, grabbing Victim's throat, and exposing Victim's breast with consent. Victim did not formally make a report of sexual assault. Investigation revealed that on a separate date, Subject pointed a loaded firearm at Victim and threatened her. After receipt of the report of investigation and consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment (NJP). Subject refused NJP so commander intended to prefer charges to a special court-martial; however, victim, through her SVC, declined to participate in the matter. After considering the Victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander served the subject a Letter of Reprimand for drunk and disorderly conduct.
65	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Greece	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that while she and Subject were returning to base after an evening of drinking, Subject grabbed Victim's wrist and attempted to maneuver Victim's hand to and on Subject's penis more than one time; Subject also touched Victim's breast over clothing with Subject's hand. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
66	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	E-4	Female				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	General		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Female Subject was accused of abusive sexual contact of several male victims in that Subject was reported to have separately and on different occasions grabbed the penises of three male victims without three victims without their consent. The Subject submitted a pre-trial agreement (PTA) to the commander. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander approved the PTA and Subject pleaded guilty Article 128 of the UCMJ, Assault.
67	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for inappropriately touching multiple individuals separate occasions. Victim One (V1) reported Subject grabbed her buttock without her consent and Victim Two (V2) reported Subject grabbed her buttocks on multiple occasions without her consent after she asked him to stop. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, commander issued Subject non-judicial punishment, issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand (LOR), and filed the LOR in Subject's Unfavorable Information
68	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for using his hands to inappropriately touch two Airman. Victim One (V1) reported Subject touched V1's thigh without her consent. Victim Two (V2) reported Subject touched Victim's buttocks and thighs without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
69	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was alleged to have touched the buttocks of two women and the buttocks and breasts of a third woman at a social function. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject with a reduction in rank for assault consummated by battery under Article 15, UCMJ.
70	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
71	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No				Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported to Witness that Subject ran his hand down Victim's back, over Victims buttocks, and cupped Victims buttocks. After receiving the report of investigation, considering Victim input, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
72	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	O-2	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Admonishment (LOA); Notes: Victim reported she was drinking wine the evening Subject approached her and introduced himself to her. Thereafter, Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva without her consent in that Victim told Subject Victim didn't want to have sex and due to her level of inebriation. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate in the process. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Admonishment for
73	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Cuba	Navy	O-3	Female	Air Force	O-3	Female				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Female Subject was accused of attempting to kiss two female service members without consent. After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of
74	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim One (V1) reported that coworker Subject frequently touched Victim's sides, back, lower back, hips, and thighs without consent; propositioned Victim and made her feel uncomfortable; and would threaten Victim with a letter of counseling when she yelled at Subject to stop his behavior. Subject outranked Victim. Victim Two (V2) reported that Subject kissed V2 and touched V2's shoulders and breasts without V2's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject with a reprimand under Article 15, UCMJ.
75	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-7	Female	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: No information provided.
76	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Subject was investigated for committing unwanted sexual acts against two victims. Victim One (V1) reported Subject penetrated V1's vulva with Subject's penis and finger on several occasions without her consent during the period of time V1 was in a consensual relationship with the Subject. Victim Two (V2) reported she consensually kissed Subject. Thereafter, he grabbed V2's hand and placed it on Subject's penis; after V2 pulled her hand away and told Subject "no," Subject again grabbed V2's hand down Subject's pants onto his penis and then put his hands down V2's pants while Victim continued to resist physically and verbally. After charges were dismissed by the military judge prior to court-martial, Subject requested permission to be allowed to Resign for the Good of the Service. SECAF approved Subject's request and directed Subject reimburse the government for the cost of Subject's education.
77	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-8	Female	Air Force		Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that when she met Subject, Subject hugged Victim and touched Victim's buttocks. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of
78	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: Yes; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim was called on to pick up fellow Airman who were inebriated from an establishment. During the car ride on base Subject grabbed Victim's face and kissed her cheek with his tongue and upon exiting Victim's car, attempted to grab her, made gyrating hip motions, and propositioned Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject with a reprimand under Article 15, UCMJ.
79	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-5	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	E-7	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was accused of touching the buttocks of Victim One (V1) with Subject's hand and kissing V1's cheek without consent. Subject was also accused of kissing the cheek and neck of Victim Two without consent. After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
80	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Subject is a civilian not subject to the UCMJ. No further information

FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
81	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 12; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated for removing Victim's clothes and touching the Victim's breasts and vulva without her consent and when Victim was incapable of consenting due to alcohol consumption. After charges were referred and prior to trial the Subject submitted a pre-trial agreement (PTA) to the commander. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander approved the PTA and Subject pleaded guilty to the crime of abusive sexual contact.
82	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Admonishment (LOA); Notes: Victim reported that while drinking together, subject touched Victim without her consent once on the breast over her clothing, and twice grabbed her vulva over her clothing, also without her consent. Victim pushed Subject away and after each interaction. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate the Commander issued the member a letter of admonishment.
83	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: A third party reported that Victim was sexually assaulted by Subject. During the course of the investigation it was discovered that Subject asked another witness to lie about the underage drinking that had taken place the night of the reported incident. Victim declined to cooperate in the investigation of the incident. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for obstruction of justice.
84	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-5	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Unknown Offender: The offender in this unrestricted report was unknown. There is no additional information available concerning this case. Case closed.
85	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	US Civilian	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Subject was investigated for inappropriate touching over a period of time. After receiving the report of investigation the commander determined the Air Force did not have jurisdiction over the offender.
86	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject was investigated for repeatedly grabbing Victim's buttocks without consent during the course of an evening. After reviewing and considering all available evidence, consulting with the staff judge advocate, and considering the Victim's wishes, the commander addressed Subject's conduct via administrative action.
87	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Jordan	Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject, a civilian foreign national, used his hands to squeeze Victim's breast twice without Victim's consent. Victim and Subject are strangers to one another. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined no action could be taken because the Subject was not under military jurisdiction. The commander issued an order barring Subject entry to the base.
88	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No				Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. After the Article 32 preliminary hearing Victim indicated that she would no longer participate in the military justice process and the commander dismissed the charges.
89	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject digitally penetrating her vulva while she was asleep. Victim 2 reported to her SVC that Subject sexually assaulted her. Victim 2 signed a sworn statement to decline to participate in the investigation. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. At court martial, Subject was found guilty and sentenced to confinement to 75 days confinement and a dismissal.
90	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	E-5	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim One (V1) reported Subject touched V1's buttocks and crotch on two separate occasions without V1's consent. Victim Two (V2) reported that Subject grabbed V2's buttocks on two occasions without V2's consent. After receiving the ROI and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for assault.
91	Unknown (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	O-4	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject, an officer, and Victim, were in a consensual sexual relationship with one another. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for having an unprofessional relationship.
92	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-5	Female					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Female Subject was investigated for sexual assault of Female Victim. However, after receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for drug use.
93	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	O-4	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Members of Subject's unit held a New Years' Eve holiday party where Subject was reported to have committed abusive sexual contact on an enlisted member's spouse. After reviewing the report of investigation and consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment for abusive sexual contact and conduct unbecoming.
94	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject was investigated for sexually assaulting two victims separately (V1 and V2) on two separate dates. Subject was accused of penetrating V1's vulva with Subject's finger and penis when V1 was unable to consent due to alcohol consumption. Subject was accused of grabbing V2's buttocks without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges against Subject. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court martial. Subject submitted a request for discharge in lieu of court-martial. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
95	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject was reported to have placed his hand on the barstool seat where Victim was seated so that his hand grabbed Victim's buttocks in a purposeful manner. The matter was referred to local civilian law enforcement because Subject is a civilian. Victim declined to participate in the prosecution of the case no further action was taken against the Subject.
96a	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Subject was accused of using his tongue and penis to penetrate Victims' vulva, and his hands to touch Victim's breast, rub her legs, and touch her body. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
96b	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Subject was accused of using his tongue to penetrate Victims' vulva and his hands to touch Victim's breast, rub her legs, and touch her body. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
97a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject was reported to have repeatedly approach Victim 1 and Victim 2 and placed his clothed groin in contact with Victim 1 and 2's Clothed buttocks or hip attempting to dance with them. Subject grabbed Victim 1 and 2's waist, stomach, thighs and buttocks. Later, the Victims' discovered bruises in places the Subject grabbed them. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the Victims' wishes, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander took administrative action against Subject.
97b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject One (S1) and Subject Two (S2) were investigated for rubbing their clothed groins against Victim One (V1) and Two's (V2) clothed buttocks while attempting to dance with V1 and V2. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the Victims' wishes, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander took administrative action against both Subjects.
98	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female				Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim and Subject were coworkers. Subject was investigated for touching Victim's buttocks. Investigation revealed that Subject repeatedly made inappropriate comments about Victim's buttocks, repeatedly asked to touch Victim's buttocks, and asked Victim to touch her buttocks. During the course of investigation, Subject was discharged for medical reasons. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined no action could be taken because of Subject was no longer subject to the Uniformed Code of Military Justice due to Subect's civilian status.
99	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Jordan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated Victim's vulva with Subject's fingers and penis on multiple occasions. During the course of the investigation and thereafter, Victim declined to participate. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
100	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes				Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Following an Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred sexual assault charges against Subject to a general court martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Both Victims did not want to participate in the prosecution of this matter and both supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
101	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 5; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject, who was Victim's supervisor, was investigated for sexually assaulting and harassing Victim on numerous occasions. The investigation also revealed that Subject distributed drugs to Victim on several occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined to refer charges for drug distribution and unprofessional relationship. At court-martial, Subject was found guilty of both charges.
102	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject used his hands to touch Victim all about her body while Victim tried to push Subject off and Victim repeatedly told Subject to stop. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
103	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by an unknown Subject. AFOSI investigated but were unable to identify a subject. Case closed without action.
104	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Convicted		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 50; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject, who is Victim One (V1) and Victim Two's (V2) supervisor. Subject was investigated for the following: making inappropriate comments to both victims; grabbing and pulling V1 to Subject's waist and propositioning her; approaching V2 from behind and grabbing V2 while making sexually suggestive comments; and sending sexually suggestive messages to V2 on a social media application. After consultation with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges to a special court-martial. Subject was convicted at trial.

FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																				Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
105	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-3	Female	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Female Subject was investigated for inappropriately touching multiple individuals on the same occasion. Male Victim One (V1) reported Subject ran her hand up Victim's lower body and grabbed Victim's testicles. Victim Two (V2) reported that while Subject danced with her and several other women, Victim Three and Four (V3 and V4), Subject put her hands over V2's hands and placed them on V2's breasts and squeezed them, moved them down Subject's own body and used Subject's hands to grab V2's buttocks twice while hugging V2. Separately, the same day/incident, Subject also inappropriately touched V2, V3, and V4 throughout the night.
106	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	O-2	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim met Subject over a dating application. On the date of the incident, after engaging in consensual sexual activity, when Victim attempted to leave, Subject used his penis to penetrate victim's vulva without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. The subject was acquitted at trial but later received a letter of admonishment for engaging in an unprofessional relationship with an enlisted Airman.
107	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Air Force	E-6	Female	Unknown		Male				Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Unknown	Notes: During the process of having her uniform altered, Victim reported Subject-tailor touched her vagina area over her trousers. Subject then asked/commented "little touch," and held his hand over Victim's vagina area for a few seconds. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject barred Subject from accessing the installation. The case was referred to host-nation law enforcement which declined to pursue further action because Victim declined to participate in the case as a witness.
108	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject was reported to have grabbed Victim's buttocks without Victim's consent. Victim declined to participate in the prosecution of the case. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the Victim's wishes, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, commander closed the case with no action and verbally counseled Subject.
109	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported that while she was sleeping, she awakened to her shirt and bra pushed to above her breast and Subject's mouth on Victim's right breast, his hands on both of Victim's breast, and his body rubbing against Victim's vulva through Victim's leggings. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
110	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes	Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 21; Notes: Subject called Victim for a ride because Subject had been drinking at an off-base establishment and was intoxicated. Subject used her hands to touch Victim on her leg and thighs near Victim's groin, Victim's breast, and repeatedly propositioned Victim as Victim was driving. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted at court martial.
111	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim was at a party at a friend's house; Subject also attended the party. After everyone had left except Victim, Subject, and the party host, Victim passed out/fell asleep and was awakened to Subject rubbing Victim's genitals over her clothing. Victim told Subject to stop and Subject did; Victim then fell back asleep. During the course of the investigation, a witness revealed that Subject showed Witness pictures and video of Victim while she was sleeping/passed out including those of Victim's breast, genital area, and of Subject using his finger to penetrate Victim's vulva. Witness also saw video footage of the digital penetration. The Victim was asleep/passed at the time. At a General Court-Martial, Subject was convicted by a jury/members panel.
112	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Victim (single victim)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim was attending a party hosted by Subject and consumed a large amount of alcohol. Victim reported that Subject engaged in sexual activity with Victim when she was unable to consent due to alcohol consumption, but Victim's memory of the night was fractured. During the course of the investigation and thereafter, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for sexual assault and the member was discharged.
113	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim became inebriated at her party and when she awakened the next morning, felt like she had sexual intercourse the night before; Victim remembered seeing Subject's face and necklace above her face the prior evening. After charges were referred to court martial, the Victim declined to participate in court-martial proceedings. After considering the Victim's wishes and being unable to proceed without the Victim's cooperation, the commander withdrew and dismissed the charges against Subject.
114	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Subject was accused of touching Victim's breast. After consultation with the staff judge advocate the commander determined that Article 15 nonjudicial punishment for the misconduct was appropriate. The commander withdrew the NJP after further consideration of the evidence.
115	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was reported to have touched Victim's buttocks without her consent. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand and Subject was
116	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-2	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva when she was unable to consent due to alcohol intoxication. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. However, after preferral and before an Article 32 preliminary hearing was held, Subject committed suicide. The commander dismissed the charges in the matter due to the Subject's death.
117	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	O-2	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated for separate and multiple incidents of unwanted and non-consensual sexual contact with respect to multiple enlisted Victims. Subject's conduct included subject using his hands to touch Victims' penises, kissing one particular Victim's mouth and grabbing that Victim's penis. Subject was convicted of all charges at a General Court-Martial.
118	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Victim (single victim)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject investigated for nonconsensual touching of the buttocks of Victim who was lying on a bed at a party. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined that non-judicial punishment under Article 15 of the UCMJ was appropriate. After reviewing and considering all available evidence, the commander found the member guilty of violating Art 128 (assault consummated by a battery and the Subject was punished (consisting of a formal reprimand) under Art 15, UCMJ.
119	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for inappropriately touching multiple individuals. Victim One (V1) reported Subject placed his hand on her thigh while passing her his cell phone. Victim Two (V2) reported that Subject put his arm around and hand on V2's thigh to deter a "creepy" individual. Once the individual left, Subject removed his arm from around V2, but left his hand on V2's thigh. V2 brushed Subject's hand off her thigh. Thereafter, Subject placed both hands on V2's thigh and massaged it three times. Victim three (V3) reported Subject grabbed V3's hands and arm to try to lead V3 to the dance floor. Victim Four (V4) was dancing at the club when Subject got close to V4's thigh making V4 feel uncomfortable. Subject attempted to pull V4 towards him, but V4 walked away. V4 believed she felt Subject's erect penis when he was dancing with her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, commander issued Subject non-judicial punishment and a Letter of Reprimand (LOR) for inappropriate behavior.
120	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim, Subject, and others went to a club where Victim had a number of alcoholic drinks. Subject was the designated driver and took everyone to Subject's home. Subject was accused of using his hands to rub Victim's genitals and used his hands to cup Victim's breast without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
121	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-8	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-8; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was accused of sexually assaulting Victim by using his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva on several occasions. The investigation revealed Subject was also engaged in an unprofessional relationship with another person, active duty member. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
122	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No		Marital	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for inappropriately touching multiple individuals at a work event and a fifth individual on a separate occasion. Victim One (V1) reported Subject used his hands to touch the back of V1's neck and Victim Two (V2) reported that Subject used his hands to grab V2's buttocks twice while hugging V2. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment.
123	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was accused of abusive sexual contact in that Subject, on two separate occasions, Subject told Victim that Subject would not let Victim leave unless Victim kissed Subject. Victim acquiesced one time because she just wanted to be able to get away from Subject. Victim and Subject were coworkers and Victim was new to the job. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
124	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-8	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was reported to have pulled down Victim One's (V1) pants and underwear and attempted to penetrate Victim's vulva with Subject's penis. V1 pushed Subject off of V1. V1 indicated that prior to the instant incident, V1 and Subject had consensual intercourse on one previous occasion and that thereafter, V1 attempted to end the relationship with the Subject. Separately, Subject was reported to have kissed Victim Two (V2) without her consent. Both Victims declined to participate in the military justice process. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the Victims' wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander served Subject with a Letter of Reprimand.

FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
125	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male	No				Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: The Air Force Office of Special Investigations investigated Subject for his conduct against multiple female victims including the following allegations: Subject used his fingers and penis to penetrate V1's vulva and took Victim's hand and placed it on Subject's penis without V1's consent; Subject used his hands to touched V2's breast and buttocks without consent; Subject touched V3's leg without consent; and Subject used finger and tongue to penetrate V4's vulva without consent. Subject's request to resign in lieu of court-martial was denied. Thereafter, all Victims declined to participate in Subject's court-martial and charges were dismissed. The commander then initiated discharge proceeding against Subject and he was discharged and ordered to reimburse the Air Force for his educational benefits.
126	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that after drinking alcohol at a party, Subject drove her home and subsequently kissed Victim, touched her breasts, and put Victim's hand on Subject's penis without Victim's consent. The U.S. Attorney's Office declined to prosecute. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander declined to renew Subject's civilian employment contract.
127	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Subject was visiting the Victim upon Subject's return from deployment. Victim and Subject dated prior to Subject's deployment, but ended the relationship before he left. At the time and place of incident, Victim made clear that she was not interested in a relationship or sex with the Subject, but Subject tried to kiss Victim, touch her buttocks and thighs, and then, restrained her hands and used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial, and after consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander approved Subject's discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
128	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Subject and Victim formerly dated. At the time and place of the incident, Subject entered Victim's residence unbeknownst to Victim, launched himself at Victim and held her from behind, grabbed Victim's breast with one hand while he tried to pull down her pants with his other hand. Subject also grabbed at Victim's vulva over her clothing before releasing Victim and running away. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate the commander agreed with the finding from the Article 32 hearing and dismissed the case.
129	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was reported to have licked the faces of two female Victims without their consent. After the report was made, the Victims indicated they did not want to press charges. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ. The Subject was served with a Letter of Reprimand and referred to and attended alcohol abuse counseling. Also, because the Subject was in civilian status at the time of the offense the matter was referred to the local prosecutor's office. The local prosecutor's office charged the Subject with drunk and disorderly conduct. The Subject pleaded no-contest to the charge and was fined $100 and court costs.
130	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Unknown & Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Two Victims reported that Subject touched each Victim's buttocks separately on two different occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15,
131	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-9	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim confided to a friend the following: Victim went to Subject's office for career advice. When there, Subject closed the shades and door, pulled a chair next to Victim, and gave the Victim a long hug. Victim further confided to her friend that Subject had begun to inappropriately hug Victim whereas before, he used to fist bump Victim. On one occasion, Victim reported that Subject greed Victim's buttocks. Victim's friend reported the incident but Victim refused to cooperate with investigations. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued the Subject a Letter of Counseling for unprofessional behavior.
132	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject was investigated for penetrating Victim's vulva with Subject's penis without Victim's consent and when she was incapable of consenting due to alcohol intoxication. Victim indicated she did not want to participate in the military justice process. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account Victim input, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander decided to initiate discharge against Subject with a General Service characterization. The discharge was approved; however, the Subject's separation is on hold pending the outcome of an investigation into an unrelated sexual assault allegation.
133	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Officer Subject attended an office party which was otherwise attended by only enlisted personnel. Subject became highly intoxicated and inappropriately touched multiple victims on their thighs and had them sit on his lap. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject with a reprimand under Article 15, UCMJ.
134	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								Victim (single victim)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: After an evening of drinking and being driven home by Subject, Victim went to bed and awakened in the morning to find the Subject sleeping next to her and Subject's hand on Victim's breast. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
135	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: The Air Force Office of Special Investigations initiated an investigation against Subject for his conduct against multiple female victims including the following allegations: Subject used his fingers and penis to penetrate V1's vulva and took Victim's hand and placed it on Subject's penis without V1's consent; Subject used his hands to touched V2's breast and buttocks without consent; Subject touched V3's leg without consent; and Subject used finger and tongue to penetrate V4's vulva without consent. Subject's request to resign in lieu of court-martial was denied. Thereafter, all Victims declined to participate in Subject's court-martial and charges were dismissed. The commander then initiated discharge proceeding against Subject and he was discharged and ordered to reimburse the Air Force for his educational benefits.
136	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 120; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 0; Notes: During the course of a sexual assault investigation, it was revealed that Subject, Victim, and two other individuals attended a party and thereafter, went to Subject's apartment. Later, everyone but Victim left Subject's apartment; Victim was uncomfortable leaving because she had been drinking. Victim awakened to find herself handcuffed to Subject's bed, Subject straddling her torso, and Subject strangling her with both of his hands around Victim's throat. Subject repeatedly alternated between slapping and strangling Victim and proceeded to, among other things: use his finger, tongue, and penis to penetrate Victim's vulva without consent and with force. After receiving the ROI and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred one charge and six specifications under Article 120. At a general court-martial the accused was found guilty of all charge and specifications was sentenced to 7 years of confinement, reduction to E-1, and dishonorable discharge.
137	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject was investigated for placing his hands on Victim's thighs, groping Victim's breasts and buttocks, and pressing his erect penis against Victim's buttocks. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the wishes of the Victim, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a verbal counseling for inconsiderate off-duty conduct.
138	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for committing abusive sexual contact against two Victims. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
139	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched Victim's breast and hip and attempted to kiss her mouth, all without Victim's consent. After the commander received the Report of Investigation and consulted with the Staff Judge Advocate, the Subject received non-judicial punishment for assault.
140	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: After meeting via a dating application, Subject and Victim met in person for a date. They went to a party where they both were drinking alcohol. After going to Subject's apartment, Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva when she was unable to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
141	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): Yes; Notes: Subject was investigated for inappropriately touching multiple individuals on separate occasions. Victim 1 (V1) reported Subject placed his left hand on Victim's left breast. Victim 2 (V2) reported that Subject gestured as if Subject was going to slap V2's buttocks. Victim 3 (V3) reported that Subject made inappropriate comments and inappropriately touched V3 on more than one occasion without V3's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
142	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported to civilian law enforcement that she was sexually assaulted by Subject. Victim reported that after meeting Subject and drinking alcoholic beverages, she awakened in a hotel room with Subject. While initially cooperative in the investigation and prosecution of the case, Victim became uncooperative and unresponsive to legal and investigative personnel. After consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander the dismissed the charges.
143	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject, who is an acquaintance, lifted up Victim's shirt, exposed Victim's breast, and placed his mouth on Victim's breast. Victim said no and pushed Subject away. Subject then came up behind Victim as she was tying her shoes and rubbed himself against Victim. All acts were done without the Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ, with a reprimand for abusive sexual contact.
144	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject touched Victim's leg without permission while at a restaurant in Korea. When Victim told Subject "Don't touch me," Subject said, "What? Like this?" while rubbing Victim's leg.

FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
145	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 4; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: During the course of a larceny and use of controlled substances investigation against Subject, an allegation of sexual assault against the subject was also investigated. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault and other non-sexual offenses. After reviewing the Article 32 preliminary hearing report and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the non-sexual assault related offenses. Subject was convicted at trial of sexual offenses.
146	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Male	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject placed Victim 1's penis in Subject's mouth when Victim 1 was too intoxicated to consent. The investigation also revealed that Subject sent Victim 1, Victim 2, and Victim 3 unsolicited nude pictures of Subject's genitalia. At a general courts-martial, Subject was convicted of sexual assault and found not guilty of sexual harassement. Subject was sentenced to a reprimand, reduction to the grade of E-1, forfeiture of $800 per month for two years, to two years of confinement, and a dishonorable discharge.
147	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim drove Subject home from a bar because Subject was intoxicated and during the drive, Subject touched Victim's breast without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
148	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Belgium	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for inappropriately touching multiple individuals. Victim One (V1) reported Subject aggressively grabbed V1 breast and buttocks. Victim Two (V2) reported Subject put his hand on V2's shoulder and then moved his hand down to V2's waist. Then Subject moved his hand down as low as the side of her hip where it was low enough to rest against the bottom of the chair she was sitting on. Victim Three (V3) reported that Subject pushed his fingers into V3's shoulder and asked him if it hurts. V3 said yes and Subject kept pushing his fingers into V3's shoulder. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered the Subject non-judicial punishment (NJP) under Article 15 of the UCMJ.
149	Rape (Art. 120)	Italy	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Male Victim and Male Subject consumed alcohol and went to Victim's room at the end of the evening. Victim slept on the carpet and Subject on bed. After some time, Subject rolled off the bed, landed on Victim's arm, and sexually assaulted Victim in that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's anus. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial, and after consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander approved Subject's discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
150	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Jordan	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim went to a tailor to have her uniform altered. The Subject, a foreign national tailor, used his hands to touch Victim's chest and buttocks as Victim tried on the resized uniform. After receiving the Report of Investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, he commander barred the Subject from accessing the installation. The matter was also referred to host-nation law enforcement who declined to pursue further action because the Victim declined to participate.
151	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject pressed his penis against the Victim's leg. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
152	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was reported to have grabbed Victim's buttocks at a dance club. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand and filed the letter in Subject's Unfavorable Information File.
153	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject may have digitally penetrated her while she asleep. Victim 2 reported she was consensually kissing and fondling Subject; thereafter, Subject used his finger to digitally penetrated Victim 2's vulva and Subject's penis to penetrate Victim 2's vulva with his penis without Victim 2's consent. After an Article 32 preliminary hearing charges against Subject involving Victim 1 were referred to court martial; charges involving Victim 2 were dismissed. After referral and before court-martial, Victim 1 indicated she would not participate in court-martial. After considering the victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the charges against the Subject.
154	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								Victim (single victim)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject pressed his erect penis against Victim's back and used his finger to penetrate Victim's vulva without consent when Victim was unable to consent due to alcohol intoxication. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
155	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that on one occasion off-base and three occasions on-base, Subject kissed her with Subject's tongue penetrating Victim's mouth each occasion. Victim stated the interactions were unwanted, but that she did not tell Subject to stop or indicate that she was uncomfortable. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined the Subject was not subject to military jurisdiction under the UCMJ, but did administratively suspend Subject.
156	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Air Force	Unknown	Unknown					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Subject was accused of pinning Victim down, restraining her arms, and using his penis to penetrate her vulva without consent. The military judge set aside the guilty finding of Subject's court-martial and ordered a new trial. Before a second trial could commence, Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
157	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Victim (single victim)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim was drinking at a party and willingly went upstairs with the Subject when asked. Victim reported that when she was in the bathroom, Subject grabbed Victim's arm and led her to the bed and got on top of Victim; Victim's next memory was of waking up naked. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined there was insufficient evidence to charge Subject with sexual assault but issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for displaying poor judgment with someone who has been drinking. Subject was processed for an administrative discharge due to numerous instances of misconduct.
158	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Subject was investigated for separately assaulting two Victims. Subject used his penis to penetrate both Victims' vulvas with force and without consent. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court martial. Subject submitted a request to resign in lieu of court-martial. The Victims supported the discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
159	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: While Subject and Victim were consensually kissing, even though Victim resisted and said "no," Subject penetrated Victim's vulva with Subject's penis. After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
160	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No				Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported that Subject pushed victim against the wall and used his arm to restrict Victim's airway until she no longer resisted and had sex with Subject. Subject pulled his shorts and victim's pants down, put on a condom, and engaged in vaginal intercourse with Victim. Victim repeatedly told Subject she did not want to have sex with him. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate in the process. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges, but they were later withdrawn and dismissed during the Article 32 hearing due to lack of evidence.
161	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): Yes; Notes: Subject was accused of inappropriately touching Victim without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander punished the Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
162	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim and Subject were in a dating relationship and during the course of have consensual sexual intercourse, Subject hit Victim with a closed fist. After referral of charges but before court-martial, the commander dismissed the charges with Subject.
163	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	General		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 11; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 90; Notes: Subject was investigated for sexually assaulting multiple individuals on separate occasions. Victim 1 (V1) reported finding her shorts pulled down around the middle of her upper leg when she awoke in Subject's bed; when Victim left, she physically felt as if her vulva had been penetrated. Victim Two (V2) reported that after a night of drinking she awakened with only a bra on in Subject's bed and believed that Subject had sexual intercourse with V2 when V2 was unable to consent due to intoxication. Several weeks later, after alcohol-drinking with friends V2 spent the in another airman's room. Subject entered the room, undressed, and attempted to have sex with V2; V2 refused and as she attempted to leave, V2 and Subject got into a physical altercation; Subject eventually allowed V2 to leave. Victim Three (V3) reported that after a night of drinking, she allowed Subject to sleep in her room and was awakened to Subject's hand on her inner thigh. Later, V3 was awakened to Subject's bare penis against V3's clothed back. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. At court martial, Subject was found guilty of all charges.
164	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: The offender in this unrestricted report was unknown. There is no additional information available concerning this case. Case closed.
165	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was accused of inappropriately touching Victim without her consent. Subject attempted to kiss Victim, Subject placed his left hand on Victim's lower back to pull Victim's body closer to his, and grabbed Victim's left buttocks with his right hand. Then Subject kissed Victim on the mouth. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.

FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																				Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
166	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	O-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for engaging in multiple instances of unwanted sexual advances, verbal sexual harassment, and contact involving a number of female Victims including sending inappropriate text messages and lascivious verbal suggestions. The commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand (LOR) for sexual harassment for said conduct. Subsequent to Subject's first LOR, Subject spoke lewdly to another Victim and grabbed and held Victim's buttocks without her consent. After receiving the ROI and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the Subject received non-judicial punishment for Abusive Sexual Contact and Conduct Unbecoming.
167	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Subject was accused of sexually assaulting Victim by penetrating her vulva with Subject's penis when Victim was scared and did not consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
168	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-7	Female	Air Force	E-8	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject slapped victim on the buttock. No alcohol use by either subject or victim. Office of Criminal Investigations unsubstantiated the sexual assault but substantiated assault. Technician Adverse Action was imposed on the Subject. Subject was not discharged. There were no prior sexual assault investigations on the Subject.
169	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject slid his hands down Victim's back and on to her buttocks. Victim did not consider it sexual assault but did consider it sexual harassment. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, command issued a letter of reprimand to
170	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Subject was investigated for sexually assaulting Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined no action could be taken because the Subject was not under military jurisdiction.
171	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that she consented to receiving a message from Subject. During the massage, Subject touched Victim's thigh from behind and placed his hand under victim's bra on her breast without Victim's consent. Victim also reported that Subject touched Victim on other multiple occasions without consent. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15 of the UCMJ.
172	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force		Female					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject kissed Victim's neck without consent. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined no action could be taken because Subject is a civilian. There is no additional information concerning this case. Case closed.
173	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-5	Male	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: The offender in this unrestricted report was unknown. There is no additional information available concerning this unrestricted report.
174	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim consumed alcohol at a party and Subject was one of three men who escorted Victim to her home. Victim remembered being in the shower with Subject and feeling pressure on her vulva. Victim was vomiting and unable to stand and Subject was seen running away from Victim's room. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
175	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-5	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was reported to have grabbed Victims genitals without Victim's consent on two separate occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for sexual harassment.
176	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
177	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject forcibly penetrated Victim's mouth with Subject's tongue, used his hands to touch Victim's buttocks and genital area over the clothing, and attempted to touch Victim's breasts. Victim did not consent to any of the conduct. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for unprofessional relationship.
178	Rape (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that on several occasions Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva. Victim indicated that she while told Subject she did not want engage in sexual activity with Subject because he was married, she felt obligated to do so. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to participate. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the Victim's wishes, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander served Subject with a Letter of Reprimand for an unprofessional relationship.
179	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Italy	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	O-5	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Investigation revealed that Subject may have kissed Victim without her consent. Victim however, did not want any action to be taken against Subject and refused to participate in the investigation. Investigation also revealed Subject was in a physical altercation with another member. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the victim's views, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
180	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to a recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim and Subject consumed alcohol and engaged in kissing and consensual oral sexual sexual activity with one another at Subject's residence where a party was being held. Victim reported that in the midst of the aforementioned consensual activity and without her consent, Subject attempted to penetrate Victim's vulva with Subject's penis. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was not sufficient evidence to warrant UCMJ action.
181	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 20; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim fell asleep in a chair with his genitals in his hand. SUB and his friends saw Victim and took a picture and video. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, Subject received nonjudicial punishment for indecent viewing.
182		UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	DFAS		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject, a civilian and Victim's supervisor, groped Victim's arms, legs, and head on numerous occasions without Victim's consent. Subject is not subject to the Uniformed Code of Military Justice and Victim's allegations of sexual harassment by Victim's supervisor/Subject was referred to the equal employment office for action.
183	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for touching the bodies of two Victims without their consent. Subject touched Victim One's (V1) legs, torso, buttocks, breasts, and V1's genital area. Subject touched Victim Two (V2) and attempted to have sexual intercourse with V2; when V2 resisted, triad remove V2's shirt, kissed V2's neck, and grinded his genital area against V2's genital area. After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
184	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	E-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 84; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated for separate and multiple incidents of sexual assault against multiple Victims. All incidents involved Victims who were unable to consent to sexual contact due to alcohol consumption and Subject's conduct included using his hands to touch Victims' pennies, anuses, and bodies; using his penis to make contact with Victims' anuses and mouths; and inserting his penis into Victims' mouths. At a General Courts-Martial, Subject was convicted by jury/members.
185	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Subject, a DoD civilian, was investigated for using his penis to forcibly penetrate Victim's vulva and other sexual misconduct on multiple occasions both on-base and off base. Civilian authorities investigated the allegation; the civilian prosecuting agency declined to prosecute the Subject.
186	Rape (Art. 120)	South Korea	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-8	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-7; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was accused of sexual assault in that he used his penis to penetrate Victim's mouth and vulva while the Victim repeatedly said "no." Subject was married to another individual at the time. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject with a reprimand under Article 15, UCMJ.
187	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for touching two victims on separate occasions without their consent. Victim One (V1) reported that Subject followed V1 into V1's dormitory room and V1 told Subject to leave her room. Subject proceeded to kiss Victim's mouth without her consent. Victim Two (V2) reported Subject entered V2's room, and then, pushed V2 onto a bed and used his hands to grope her groin area. After receiving the report of investigation, considering V1 and V2's input regarding disposition, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for nonconsensual touching in violation of UCMJ Article 128 and filed the letter in Subject's Unfavorable Information File.
188	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: This case involved ten Victims, all of who were in some way touched and treated inappropriately by Subject. All Victims were reluctant to participate in court-martial action. After receiving the report of investigation, consulting with the staff judge advocate and taking into victims' wishes, the commander punished Subject with a reprimand under Article 15, UCMJ.
189a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported to Witness 1, mandatory reporter, that she was sexually assaulted by 3 unidentified Subjects. Victim, however, refused to speak with OSI and, since making her initial statement to Witness 1, has refused to cooperate in the investigation. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander closed the case with no action.
189b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported to Witness 1, mandatory reporter, that she was sexually assaulted by 3 unidentified Subjects. Victim, however, refused to speak with OSI and, since making her initial statement to Witness 1, has refused to cooperate in the investigation. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander closed the case with no action.
189c	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported to Witness 1, mandatory reporter, that she was sexually assaulted by 3 unidentified Subjects. Victim, however, refused to speak with OSI and, since making her initial statement to Witness 1, has refused to cooperate in the investigation. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander closed the case with no action.

FY10 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
190	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 180; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for touching Victim's buttocks without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject with a reprimand under article 15, UCMJ.
191	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed Victim without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for sexual
192	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed and rubbed Victim's hand over Subject's clothed penis without Victim's consent. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined that non-judicial punishment under Article 15 of the UCMJ was appropriate. However, after reviewing and considering all available evidence including speaking with Subject, the commander terminated the Article 15 proceeding.
193	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	Foreign National	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was reported to have grabbed and squeezed the buttocks of Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
194	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported sleeping and being awakened to Subject's hand rubbing her back. Victim told subject to stop, and Subject turned Victim on her back removed her shirt and digitally penetrated Victim. Subject removed Victim's shorts and penetrated Victim with his penis. Victim continued to tell Subject to stop, but he continued. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial, and after consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander approved Subject's discharge with a UOTHC service characterization. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge.
195	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): Yes; Notes: Subject was reported to have used his hands to grab Victim 1 and Victim 2's buttocks, and Subject touched Victim 3's thigh under her dress. All three Victims indicated they did not to participate in the military justice process. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the Victims' wishes, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment. Subject was served with a Letter of Reprimand for Abusive Sexual Contact and reduced in rank to E-4.
196	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No				Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim report being sexually assaulted by Subject in that he continued using an object to penetrate Victim's anus after she told him to stop; Victim and Subject were engaging in consensual sexual activity at the time of the incident. After Subject stopped, he and Victim argued and Victim reported that Subject slapped Victim's breasts and strangled Victim. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered the Subject non-judicial punishment (NJP) under Article 15 of the UCMJ. Before imposing punishment and after reviewing and considering all available evidence, the commander terminated the NJP proceeding against the Subject.
197	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 120; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated for separately sexually assaulting multiple women. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted at court
198	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported Subject attempted to penetrate Victim's with Subject's penis multiple times with the Victim refusing each time. The Subject then penetrated Victim's vulva with Subject's penis without her consent. Victim and Subject had on previous occasions engaged in consensual sexual activity with one another. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault and other non-sexual offenses. After reviewing the Article 32 preliminary hearing report and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the charges.
199	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that she consented to receiving a massage from Subject and Victim removed her shirt for the massage. During the massage, Subject pinned the Victim to the bed with Subject's knees and took Victim's hand and placed it on Subject's clothing over his penis. Victim froze and did not move; thereafter, Subject took out his penis from his clothing, masturbated, and then ejaculated on Victim's body. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
200	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-2	Female	No			Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Male Victim reported that while driving intoxicated female Subject home, Subject made sexually suggestive comments towards Victim and that Subject touched Victim's penis over his clothing while attempting to reach for something located in the driver-side door (Subject's door). After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ for violating Article 128 of the UCMJ.
201a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject and two other individuals at a party. During the pendency of the matter, Victim declined to further participate. After reviewing the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of
201b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject and two other individuals at a party. During the pendency of the matter, Victim declined to further participate. After reviewing the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of
201c	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject and two other individuals at a party. During the pendency of the matter, Victim declined to further participate. After reviewing the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of
202	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject, who was her friend, sexually assaulted her. After Victim and Subject returned from the movies, Subject provided Victim with alcohol. Victim passed out and awakened to Subject penetrating Victim's vulva with Subject's penis. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
203	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his finger to penetrate Victim's vulva without consent and placed Victim's hand on Subject's penis and then place his (Subject's) hand over Victim's hand and manipulate it to stroke his penis. Victim did not consent to the acts. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
204	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim and her husband (witness) hosted a party at their home which Subject attended. The group later went to a bar. After the bar, Subject was intoxicated and was unable to drive home. Witness brought Subject back to Witness and Victim's home. During the course of the night, Subject went into the Victim and Witness's master bedroom. Subject took off his pants and climbed into bed with Victim; Victim was awakened by Subject touching Victim's thigh. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for irresponsible drinking.
205	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	O-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for engaging in numerous adulterous relationships after Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Art. 15 UCMJ.
206	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-2	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Subject, a civilian, was accused of sexual assault. Case was unable to be prosecuted in civilian court due to expiration of the statute of limitations. No further information available.
207	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject, a recruiter, was accused of using his hands to touch the inner thigh of one recruit/potential recruit and his mouth to kiss another second recruit/potential recruit. The investigation revealed Subject also made inappropriate comments to other recruits. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted at court martial.
208	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported Subject entered Victim's room and got on top of Victim in Victim's bed. Victim told Subject "no" and to "stop". Subject persisted and used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva. After referral of charges to a general court-martial, the Victim indicated she would not testify at trial. As a result, the general court-martial convening authority withdrew and dismissed the charge against Subject. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander initiated an administrative discharge proceeding against Subject. The discharge board determined that Subject did not commit the sexual assault offense charged and retained him.
209	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male					Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized			Notes: No Further Information. Case Closed.
210	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his hands to rub Victim's breast and genital area/vulva Victim's clothing and without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
211	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: On multiple occaisons, Subject was accused of using his hands to slap Victim 1's buttocks. Additionally, Subject was investigated for the following actions with regard to Victim 1 and 2: making unwelcome and lascivious comments about the Victims' bodies, female body parts, and Subject's body parts. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for his conduct.
212	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Subject sexually assaulted Victim by using his penis to penetrate Victim's mouth and vulva without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. After reviewing the Article 32 preliminary hearing report and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the charges.
213	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Civilian subject case. Military does not have jurisdiction over civilians. No further information.

FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
214	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject One (S1) and Civilian Subject Two (S2) touched Victim and eventually penetrated her vulva, anus, and mouth with their penises without Victim's consent in that she said "no" repeatedly and she was incapable of consenting due to the alcohol she'd consumed. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. After Subject waived a preliminary hearing under Article 32 and before charges could be referred, the Victim declined to further participate in the military justice process. After consulting with the staff judge advocate and taking into account the Victim's wishes, the commander dismissed the charges against Subject.
215	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 92; Notes: Victim did not know Subject but accompanied friends to a party hosted by Subject at an establishment. Victim consumed alcoholic beverages. Victim was intoxicated and her last memory was of being in the establishment's bathroom. Her next memory was of waking up the next morning at a friend's residence. During the party, witnesses were unable to locate Victim and found her in closet, on her back with her pants and underwear pulled down by her ankles, and Subject on top of Victim with Subject's bare buttocks exposed. Subject had to be pulled off Victim. Investigation revealed that Subject may also have tampered with evidence. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted at court martial.
216	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim considered Subject to be a friend. Upon his return from deployment, Subject slapped Victim's buttocks with an open hand on three separate occasions and grabbed Victim's breast on one occasion. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered the subject nonjudicial punishment for assault consummated by a battery.
217	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Female				Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Female Subject was investigated for digitally penetrating Victim's vulva, forcefully kissing Victim, forcefully groping Victim's breasts, and rubbing Subject's genital area with Victim's hand without Victim's consent and when Victim was unable to consent due to alcohol intoxication. Victim expressed a reluctance to cooperate in the matter. The investigation also led to Subject being investigated for marijuana possession and use. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ for conduct related to marijuana possession and use, closed the case with no action with respect to the sexual assault allegation.
218	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-7	Female	Air Force	E-5	Female					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim and Subject were both at a bar and Subject was highly intoxicated. Subject attempted to inappropriately dance against and rub against Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander was took no action against reservist Subject because she was not in active duty status at the time of the offense.
219	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes				Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for inappropriately touching Victim without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
220	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-1	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Separately, Subject and Victim were at the same party. During the evening, while Victim was sitting on the floor watching TV, the Subject was reported to have jumped on Victim, put his hands on Victim's shoulders, touched Victim's breast, and sweated Victim. Victim did not consent to such contact and attempted to push Subject off. Both were consuming alcoholic beverages. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
221	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male					Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim was extremely intoxicated and recalled going with Subject in his car. Subject took Victim to his home. Victim's memory of the evening was spotty, but she also remember Subject penetrating Victim's vulva with Subject's penis. Subject was married and upon Victim being discovered at Subject's home, Subject falsely stated that Victim was there because she needed to use the restroom. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred non-sexual assault charges. Subject was convicted at court martial.
222		UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: The offender in this unrestricted report was unknown.There is no additional information available
223	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 15; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim and Subject are friends and were having a meal together in Subject's home. Victim reported that Subject pushed Victim onto a bed and digitally penetrated her vulva. The victim declined to participate in a court-martial proceeding but did support the Article 15 action. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander administered nonjudicial punishment.
224	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported that Subject spoke to Victim in a sexually suggestive manner, kissed Victim's cheek, placed his (Subject's) hands between her thighs and pried them apart, and moved is hand to Victim's vulva, all without the Victim's consent. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial, and after consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander approved Subject's discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.
225	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	O-6	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was a deputy wing commander investigated for having an unwanted and improper relationship with a younger airman; Subject's actions included penetrating Victim's mouth with Subject's penis, making sexual and unprofessional comments to Victim, and rubbing his genitals against Victim's torso. After reviewing the report of investigation, considering the Victim's wish to not participate in a court-martial, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject non-judicial punishment for Fraternization and Unprofessional Relationship. Subject's punishment was forfeiture of pay for two months and a Letter of Reprimand.
226	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes				Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject was accused of Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject was convicted separately of a sexual crime by court martial. After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander disciplined Subject via administrative action.
227	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Unknown offender report.There is no additional information available
228	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-6	Female				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Female Subject was investigated for sexually assaulting male Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault and other non-sexual offenses. After reviewing the Article 32 preliminary hearing report and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the charges related to sexual assault based on insufficient evidence; the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment for having an
229	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-2	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was accused of grabbing Victim's buttocks at a work event. After receiving the report of investigation and consultation with the staff judge advocate, the commander imposed non-judicial punishment for assault and underage
230	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim attended a party at which she consumed alcohol was intoxicated. Victim remembered entering a hot tub in which the Subject was sitting. Once in the tub, Victim recalled kissing the Subject and the Subject rubbing Victim's genital area over Victim's swimsuit. Victim reported that the Subject then picked Victim up and placed her on Subject's lap where Subject used his fingers and penis to penetrate Victim's vulva without her consent. Thereafter, while on a balcony, Victim remembered that she was either pushed or fell to the ground and that as she was crawling and attempting to get back up, Subject held her down, removed her swimsuit bottom, and penetrated Victim's vulva with Subject's penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. After reviewing the Article 32 preliminary hearing report and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the charges.
231	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported Subject rubbed his penis and placed Victims penis into Subject anus without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault along with other charges. The charges were dismissed following the Article 32 hearing.
232	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	O-4	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for making indecent comments to Victim and reaching under the table and touching Victim's genitalia through her clothing. After receiving the report of investigation, consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, and considering the views of the Victim, the commander determined Article 15 Nonjudicial Punishment was appropriate. After punishment was imposed on 19 March 2018, The Florida Air National Guard initiated administrative discharge.
233	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for potential abusive sexual contact of Victim and other female Victims. After the commander received the Report of Investigation and consulted with the Staff Judge Advocate, the Subject received non-judicial punishment for assault.
234	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Civilian Subject was prosecuted in state court for assaulting Victim. Subject was found not guilty by reason of insanity.
235	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Victim (single victim)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva when Victim was unable to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for sexual assault.
236	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by a family member prior to joining the Air Force. Local police investigated and referred the matter to the local State's Attorney who declined to prosecute. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined no action could be taken because the subject was beyond military jurisdiction.

FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
237	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject and and friend invited two females they had met on a online platform to a home on base. While there both Subject and friend engaged in sexual activity the two females. After receiving the Report of Investigation and consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander determined NJP was an appropriate punishment for violating Art 134.
238	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 15; Forfeitures of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject attempted to use his penis to forcibly penetrate Victim's vulva. Subject was convicted at court-martial of Aggravated Sexual Contact and sentenced to 15 months confinement, reduction in rank, and a dishonorable discharge.
239	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
240	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Third party received victim's disclosure of sexual assault and reported the incident through the Chain of Command. Victim's Commander contacted SARC & referred victim to SARC for support. Victim declined to make an official report. Victim's Commander referred the case to civilian law enforcement due to the status of victim at the time of incident. Local law enforcement initially opened a case but could not move forward without victim's participation. Local law enforcement administratively closed case. Oregon TAG reviewed case and requested OCI conduct an investigation into the incident. OCI completed an investigation which substantiated the victim's report of sexual assault, i.e., unwanted kiss of the mouth.After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined it was appropriate to issue a Letter of Reprimand for failure to uphold Senior Non-commissioned officer standards, and he referred the Letter of Reprimand to a Unfavorable Information File.
241	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject pulled Victim to Subject, seemingly to kiss Victim, and Victim pushed Subject away each time. Subject then used both his hands to grab and pull Victim's head to Subject and then used his mouth and tongue to kiss Victim's mouth. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate in the process. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the Victims' wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished the Subject under Article 15, UCMJ, for violating Article 128 (assault consummated by battery).
242	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that when he was sleeping and without his consent, Subject inserted Victim's penis into Subject's mouth. On a separate occasion, when Subject had consumed a lot of alcohol and Victim allowed Subject to spend the night in Victim's room, Victim awakened to Subject groping Victim's penis over Victim's clothing. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was found not guilty of the charges at a general courts-martial.
243	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject reached into the back of Victim's pants and grabbed Victim's buttocks without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
244	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	AFGHANISTAN	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for touching Victim's thigh and tried kissing Victim while she was saying "no" and without her consent. After reviewing the report of investigation, considering the Victim's input, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject non-judicial punishment.
245	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject received an LOR for committing adultery with a married member of his unit. Subject received a 2nd LOR for engaging in an inappropriate relationship with another member of his unit. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate and the commander it was determined that the member should receive a non-selection of punishment.
246	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported that Victim and Subject met in person after being introduced via a web dating application. Victim and Subject engaged in consensual hugging and kissing while Victim was partially clothed. Victim reported that even though she repeatedly told Subject to stop and pushed him away, Subject touched Victim's breast and vulva with Subject's hand. Further more, Subject also attempted to penetrate Victim's vulva without her consent. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 dischargein lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a [illegible]
247	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for multiple incidents of sexual assault involving Victim One (V1) and inappropriate touching of two other individuals' chest and torso. V1 and Subject were in a consensual sexual relationship for a period of time before breaking up. After brief reconciliation, V1 reported to her chain of command that during the course of the relationship with Subject, Subject sexually assaulted her several times and that V1 suspected that Subject assaulted her dog. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, commander issued Subject non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault related offenses, a Letter of Reprimand (LOR), and filed the LOR in Subject's Unfavorable Information File. Command then pursued administrative separation of Subject for Minor Disciplinary Infractions, and Subject was discharged with a general characterization.
248	Rape (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	O-4	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that at the time and place of the incident, Subject kissed Victim and Victim turned and pushed Subject away. Subject then used his fingers, penis, and mouth to penetrate Victim's vulva. Subject also penetrated Victim's mouth with Subject's penis. All acts were done without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
249	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 24; Forfeitures of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was accused of with sexually assaulting Victim One (V1's) by using his finger to penetrate V1's vulva and anus without consent and while V1 was recuperating from a medical procedure and under the influence of prescribed narcotic pain medication. Subject was accused of touching Victim Two's (V2) inner thighs without consent on multiple occasions over her clothing. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted at court martial.
250	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject, without consent and while the Victim was intoxicated, used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva. Victim vacillated about whether she would cooperate in military justice action. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander served Subject with a Letter of Reprimand.
251a	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 36; Forfeitures of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject and another separately charged Subject sexually assaulted her when she was unable to consent due to alcohol intoxication. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted at court martial.
251b	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject along with another separately charged Subject (S2) sexually assaulted Victim when she was unable to consent due to alcohol intoxication. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
252	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 20; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim was sleeping in a friend's room when she awakened to Subject masturbating over her, after which Subject ejaculated on Victim's body. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject with a reprimand under Article 15, UCMJ.
253	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject who is a civilian coworker over whom the Air Force has no jurisdiction. A police report was file with a civilian law enforcement agency, but there was insufficient evidence to move forward with a prosecution.
254	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Subject was reported for having penetrated Victim's vulva without her consent on two separate occasions. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate in the process. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, based on sexual assault and minor disciplinary infractions, the commander administratively discharged Subject from the Air Force.
255a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: The Air Force Office of Special Investigations initiated an investigation against Subject for his conduct against four female victims including Victim One (V1) and Victim Two (V2). Regarding V1, Subject used his hands to poke V1's breast, touch V1's thigh, tickle V1's ribs, and grab V1's hip; Subject also made inappropriate and sexually suggestive comments to V1. Subject used his hands to grab V2's buttocks. The investigation also revealed that Subject was among a group of male airmen who were grabbing or slapping each other's buttocks in playful or joking manner. After reviewing the report of investigation, consulting with the female victims, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued a letter of reprimand to the accused member.

FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
255b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: During the course of an investigation of an active duty airman accused of committing abusive sexual contact involving the Victim and other females, it was revealed that Subject was among a group of male airmen who were grabbing or slapping each other's buttocks in playful or joking manner. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander provided Subject verbal counseling to address the horse-playing behavior.
255c	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: During the course of an investigation of an active duty airman accused of committing abusive sexual contact involving the Victim and other females, it was revealed that Subject was among a group of male airmen who were grabbing or slapping each other's buttocks in playful or joking manner. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander provided Subject verbal counseling to address the horse-playing behavior.
256	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Air Force Office of Special Investigations investigated allegation that Subject used his penis to repeatedly penetrate Victim's vulva when Victim when Victim without her consent. During the pendency of the investigation, Victim declined to participate in any military justice action against Subject. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the Victim's wishes, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand. Subject was also administratively discharged via a discharge board.
257	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Uae	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported Subject began kissing and touching victim without consent. Subsequently, Victim told Subject to stop and Subject complied. After reviewing the report of investigation and consultation with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for violation of community standards and filed the letter in his Unfavorable Information File.
258	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Subject was investigated for sexual assault against five Victims of varying ages. After referral of charges but before the court martial, the victims declined to further participate in Subject's prosecution. Given the Victims' lack of cooperation, after consultation with the staff judge advocate, the commander withdrew the charges and dismissed the case against the Subject.
259	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim did not know Subject until she was seated next to him at a off-base restaurant. Victim was with a group of friends. During the evening, Subject touched Victim's shoulder, touched Victim's buttocks over Victim's clothing and her lower back, stood very close to Victim making her uncomfortable, and communicated to a third party that Subject intended to have sex with Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject with a reprimand under Article 15, UCMJ.
260	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: UC - Uncharacterized Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 42; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated for sexually assaulting six victims without consent and recording and photographing two victims without their knowledge or consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. At court martial, Subject was found guilty of Art 92 (failure to obey an order) and Art 120c (other sexual misconduct-indecent viewing, visual recording, and broadcasting). Subject was sentenced to a reduction in rank to E-1, confinement for 3 years and 6 months, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and a
261	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-7	Female				Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim One (V1), a female, reported that female-Subject sat on V1 lap without consent, made inappropriate comments of a sexual nature, and sexually propositioned V1 making V1 extremely uncomfortable. The investigation revealed that Subject also grabbed Victim Two's (V2's) penis over V2's clothing without consent. Subject committed the acts during an evening out with a group of people which included V1 and V2; both Victims are lower ranking than Subject. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
262	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Male Victim reported that male Subject kissed Victim and used his tongue to penetrate Victim's mouth as the Victim was trying to help Subject return to Subject's room. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
263	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported Subject placed his hand up her shirt and fondled Victim's breast. Thereafter, at some point, Victim was naked and Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vulva with Subject's finger, his tongue, and his penis when Victim unable to consent due to alcohol intoxication During the course of the investigation and thereafter, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. After reviewing the Article 32 preliminary hearing report and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the charges.
264	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 12; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated for the physical and/or sexual assault of three women on separate occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. At court martial, Subject was convicted of assault and false statement and found not guilty of Rape and Sodomy. Subject was sentenced to 1 year confinement, reduction to the grade of E-1, and a
265	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Air Force Office Special Investigations (AFOSI) investigated the allegation of sexual assault. Due to the civilian status of the subject, AFOSI referred case to the Assistant U.S. Attorney's Office (AUSA). AUSA declined prosecution.
266	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed, pushed, and attempted to kiss the Victim without her consent. The Subject brushed his cheek and lips against the Victim's cheek and then pressed his erect penis against Victim's thigh. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
267	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was accused of touching two Victims. Subject touched Victim One by grabbing her buttocks without her consent. Subject touched Victim Two by touching her leg, near the edge of her skirt. After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
268	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No				Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Subject was alleged to have engaged in sexual harassment against six female airmen and improperly touched two of those women in a sexual manner. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a letter of reprimand for sexual harassment and subsequently involuntarily discharged him from the Air Force with an Under Other Than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC) discharge characterization.
269	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-8	Male	Air Force	O-4	Female					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Male Victim reported that female Subject grabbed Subject's buttocks while at a bar. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
270	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for committing abusive sexual contact and attempted sexual assault on Victim on multiple occasions during a three week period. Victim expressed that while she preferred some disciplinary action be taken against Subject, she did not wish to proceed to a court martial and did not wish to participate any further. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate and based on the totality of the evidence, the commander determined a Letter of Counseling was the appropriate disposition.
271	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 6; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva without her consent. Separately on another occasion, when Victim and Subject were engaged in consensual sexual intercourse, Subject hit Victim's head against the wall, slapped Victim, and bit Victim on the face after she told him no several times. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, Subject pleaded guilty Article 128 of the UCMJ (assault consummated by
272	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Subject touched Victim's inner thigh twice while she was sleeping on a flight. The Victim observed Subject masturbating the second time he touched her inner thigh. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
275	Rape (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	E-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 84; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was accused of sexually assaulting multiple Victims on separate occasions. The conduct included but was not limited to kissing the Victims, grabbing their genitalia, and attempting to remove Victims' clothing. Further, when Victims were unable to consent due to alcohol intoxication, Subject would insert Victims' penises into Subject's mouth. At a General Court-Martial, Subject was convicted by jury/members panel.
274	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Unknown offender report. There is no additional information available concerning this case. Case closed.
275	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was a coworker of Victim's friends. After a night of drinking and then falling asleep at a friends home, Victim reported that she awakened to Subject grabbing Victim's buttocks and breasts. Victim was able to get away to another room and fell asleep again; Subject followed her and again tried to touch her; a witness was able to intervene and pull Subject off Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander closed the case with a Letter of Reprimand.

FY19 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: AIR FORCE																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
276	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for touching Victim One and Victim Two's hands in a sexual motivated way and without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
277	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Spain	Air Force	O-2	Female	Air Force	O-2	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was accused of kissing Victim's thigh twice without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15, UCMJ.
278	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim and Subject were drinking heavily at a party for a co-worker. Victim reported that Subject engaged in sexual activity with Victim when she was intoxicated and unable to consent. During the pendency of the investigation, Victim indicated she no longer wanted to participate in an military justice action. After consultation with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued subject a Letter of Reprimand.
279	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Subject was investigated for using his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva and anus when she did not give consent and was not able to consent due to alcohol intoxication. After charges were referred but before the court martial, Victim declined to further participate in the prosecution of the case. Given the Victim's position, and after consultation with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the case against Subject.
280	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Male	Air Force	E-3	Female	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Male Victim and Female Subject consumed alcoholic beverages in another individual's apartment. During the evening/night, Subject attempted to touch Victim causing Victim to feel uncomfortable. Eventually, Victim consented to a kiss from Subject. Thereafter, Subject attempted to undo Victim's belt and stated that she wanted to perform oral sex on Victim; Victim declined. Subject then exposed her breasts by removing her shirt and bra and walked around the apartment. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander verbally counseled Subject.
281	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force		Female					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Subject was investigated for participating in acts with Subject Two (S2), a service-member, where S2 was accused of possessing Alprazolam, committing a sexual act upon Victim, intentionally exposing himself to Victim, pointing a loaded firearm to a separate individual's head and communicating a threat. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined no action could be taken with respect to Subject because Subject is a civilian; civilian authorities took no action against Subject . With regard to S2, after consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined a GCM would be appropriate.
282	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			All victims (multiple victims)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated for two incidents of unwanted sexual contact involving two separate Victims (V1 and V2). Subject was reported and investigated for having touched V1's buttocks without her consent. On a separate occasion, V2 and Subject engaged in consensual sexual activity with one another, but V2 verbally indicated she did not want to continue. Subject slapped V2 with his hands more than once and refused to stop penetrating V2's vulva with Subject's penis; Subject also placed his hands around V2's throat. V1 declined to participate in the military justice process and charges relating to Subject's conduct with V2 were referred to court-martial. The Subject submitted a pre-trial agreement (PTA) to the commander offering to plead guilty to UCMJ Article 128. V2 supported the PTA and its terms. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate and taking into account the victim's wishes, the commander approved the PTA and Subject pleaded guilty to UCMJ Article 128.
283	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: The offender in this unrestricted report was unknown. There is no additional information available concerning this Case Synopsis.
284	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim and Subject had been drinking. Victim and Subject returned to Victim's hotel room. Victim passed out and woke the next morning with fluid leaking from his rectum. Subject was acquitted for forcible sodomy at a court-martial.
285	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Male Subject was attending a party at Female-Victim (civilian) and Victim's spouse's home. Both Subject and Victim consumed multiple alcoholic beverages. Victim repeatedly refused Subject's sexual propositions. Subject exposed his penis and guided Victim's hand to it. Victim began to dry heave and Subject stopped. Victim did not remember what happened thereafter and next remembers waking up in her and her husband's bed. After Victim reported the incident, Subject claimed he too was sexually assaulted by Victim. Victim is a civilian over whom the military does not have jurisdiction; the complaint against the Victim was not referred to civilian law enforcement for prosecution. During the course of the investigation, Victim was reluctant to participate in any military justice action against Subject. After consultation with the staff judge advocate and based on the totality of the evidence, the commander determined a Letter of Reprimand was the appropriate disposition.
286	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported Subject used his hands to touch Victim's thighs, grab Victim's buttocks, and pull Victim's leg against Subject's groin and press Victim's leg against Subject's groin. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
287	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: After an evening of alcohol consumption, Victim met Subject a bar. Victim's next memory was of waking nude in Subject's apartment and seeing Subject crouched over her. After receiving the Report of Investigation, considering the Victim's views on disposition, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander convened a discharge board which discharged Subject.
288	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was investigated for kissing Victim's mouth with consent as well as being drunk and disorderly. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished the Subject under Art 15,