




Annual Report on Sexual Harassment and Violence at the Military Service Academies

Academic Program Year
2014-2015





UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000

JAN 06 2016

The Honorable John McCain
Chairman
Committee on Armed Services
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Section 532 of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) requires an annual report during each Academic Program Year (APY) on the effectiveness of the policies, training, and procedures of each Military Service Academy (MSA) with respect to sexual harassment and violence involving Academy personnel.

The enclosed "Annual Report on Sexual Harassment and Violence at the MSAs, APY 2014-2015" provides observations and action items, based on the Department's onsite visits to the MSAs. The report also includes data and analysis on reported cases of sexual harassment and assault involving Academy personnel occurring between June 1, 2014 and May 31, 2015. The Defense Manpower Data Center's "2015 Service Academy Gender Relations Focus Group Report" is a part of this year's report and is also enclosed.

During the onsite assessments, the Department learned of several promising practices at the Academies to establish climates of dignity and respect, to combat sexual harassment and sexual assault, and to care for victims. The Department believes the Academies progressed in APY 14-15 in their abilities to prevent and respond to sexual harassment and assault. The Department of Defense expects the MSAs to continue to progress and work towards implementing all past and new initiatives.

I am sending a similar letter, with the Department's report, to the Chairman of the House Committee on Armed Services.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brad Carson".

Brad Carson
Acting

Enclosures:
As stated

cc:
The Honorable Jack Reed
Ranking Member



PERSONNEL AND
READINESS

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000

JAN 06 2016

The Honorable William M. "Mac" Thornberry
Chairman
Committee on Armed Services
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Section 532 of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) requires an annual report during each Academic Program Year (APY) on the effectiveness of the policies, training, and procedures of each Military Service Academy (MSA) with respect to sexual harassment and violence involving Academy personnel.

The enclosed "Annual Report on Sexual Harassment and Violence at the MSAs, APY 2014-2015" provides observations and action items, based on the Department's onsite visits to the MSAs. The report also includes data and analysis on reported cases of sexual harassment and assault involving Academy personnel occurring between June 1, 2014, and May 31, 2015. The Defense Manpower Data Center's "2015 Service Academy Gender Relations Focus Group Report" is a part of this year's report and is also enclosed.

During the onsite assessments, the Department learned of several promising practices at the Academies to establish climates of dignity and respect, to combat sexual harassment and sexual assault, and to care for victims. The Department believes the Academies progressed in APY 14-15 in their abilities to prevent and respond to sexual harassment and assault. The Department of Defense expects the MSAs to continue to progress and work towards implementing all past and new initiatives.

I am sending a similar letter, with the Department's report, to the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Armed Services.

Sincerely,

Brad Carson
Acting

Enclosures:
As stated

cc:
The Honorable Adam Smith
Ranking Member



*Department of Defense
Annual Report on Sexual Harassment and Violence at the Military Service
Academies, Academic Program Year 2014-2015*

The estimated cost of report or study for the Department of Defense is approximately \$1,263,000 in Fiscal Years 2015-2016. This includes \$902,000 in expenses and \$361,000 in DoD labor.

Generated on 2015Dec02

RefID: 4-2AA8CAD

Table of Contents

List of Tables	4
List of Figures	4
List of Appendices.....	4
Enclosure.....	4
Introduction	5
Executive Summary	6
Sexual Harassment.....	6
Sexual Assault	6
Observations and Action items.....	7
Sexual Harassment Prevention and Response	7
Sexual Assault Response.....	8
Program Assessment	8
Character Development.....	8
White House Task Force Recommendations.....	8
Spotlight: APY 14-15 Data	10
Observation One: Sexual Harassment Prevention and Response Programs Should Receive Greater Emphasis	13
What We Observed.....	13
USMA.....	13
USNA	13
USAFA	14
Rationale for Action Items	14
Action Items	14
Superintendents directly supervise the EO Office and sexual harassment training/education efforts	14
Strengthen sexual harassment prevention and response efforts	14
Observation Two: Aspects of Sexual Assault Response Should be Improved.....	16
What We Observed.....	16
Rationale for Action Items	19
Action Items	20
Continue efforts to improve sexual assault reporting by cadets and midshipmen	20
Make available and require use of government-provided means to communicate with and transport victims to the hospital and other appointments	20
Enhance feedback to cadets and midshipmen by using case studies that represent the broad range of SAPR case outcomes.....	20

Provide military officers, in the chain of command overseeing cadets and midshipmen, a clear case status during CMG meetings to fulfill their responsibility of updating the victim.21

Observation Three: MSAs Have Not Identified Metrics for Program Assessment Efforts.....22

 What We Observed.....22

 Rationale for Action Item22

 Action Item22

 Adapt the Department’s SAPR metrics to create Academy program metrics22

Observation Four: MSAs Continue to Integrate Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault Prevention Concepts into Character Development Efforts.....25

 What We Observed.....25

 USMA.....25

 USNA25

 USAFA26

Observation Five: Academy SAPR Programs Meet or Exceed White House Task Force Recommendations27

Conclusions31

List of Tables

Table 1: USMA Sexual Assault Reporting APY 11-12 to APY 14-15	18
Table 2: USNA Sexual Assault Reporting APY 11-12 to APY 14-15	18
Table 3: USAFA Sexual Assault Reporting APY 11-12 to APY 14-15	18
Table 4: DoD SAPR Metrics and Non-Metrics	23
Table 5: White House Recommendations and MSA Program	28

List of Figures

Figure 1: DoD Victim Response System	16
Figure 2: Reports of Sexual Assault by Academy, APY 07-08 to 14-15.....	17

List of Appendices

Appendix A: USMA Compliance Assessment	
Appendix B: USNA Compliance Assessment	
Appendix C: USAFA Compliance Assessment	
Appendix D: Statistical Data on Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault	
Appendix E: APY 14-15 Aggregate Data Matrices and MSA Case Synopses	
Appendix F: Acronym List	

Enclosure

Enclosure 1: 2015 Service Academy Gender Relations Focus Groups: Overview Report	
--	--

Introduction

The Department of Defense (DoD) has oversight of the Military Service Academies' (MSA) programs to address sexual harassment and sexual assault. Section 532 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) requires an assessment at the MSAs during each academic program year (APY). This assessment determines the effectiveness of the Academies' policies, training, and procedures regarding sexual harassment and sexual violence involving Academy personnel at the:

- United States Military Academy (USMA);
- United States Naval Academy (USNA); and
- United States Air Force Academy (USAFA).

The Department conducts onsite assessments at the MSAs for the reports beginning with even-numbered APYs, as is the case with this report. The Defense Manpower and Data Center (DMDC) conducts *Service Academy Gender Relations (SAGR) Focus Groups* in support of the reports beginning with even-numbered APYs as well. The focus group topics include perceptions about unwanted sexual contact (USC)ⁱ and perceived sexual harassment, reporting, retaliation for reporting sexual assault, the role of social media in retaliation, perceptions of leadership emphasis on sexual assault prevention and response (SAPR), issues involving athletes and teams, and culture with respect to sexual harassment and sexual assault. The onsite assessment observations, focus group findings, sexual harassment and sexual assault reporting data, and the Academies' responses to the

Department's data call consisting of the MSAs' policies, trainings, and Secretary of Defense initiative responses informed the observations in this report. This report also determines the MSAs' compliance status with applicable Department policy and the Services' policies on sexual harassment and sexual assault. The reports beginning with even-numbered APYs also determine the Academies' completion status of the Secretary of Defense initiatives and action items from past reports. Each MSA's compliance determination and completion status of initiatives can be found in Appendices A-C of this report.

This year's report provides action items and promising practices the Department encourages the MSAs to consider adopting. Action items are initiatives the MSAs may adopt to address areas for improvement observed at all three Academies.

DoD reports for the APYs beginning in odd-numbered years, as was the case with last year's report, includes an Academy self-assessment and an anonymous survey of cadets and midshipmen conducted by DMDC. The MSAs' self-assessments provide updates on their activities to meet the requirements of Secretary of Defense initiatives and action items the Department provided in previous reports. The survey, the biennial DMDC *SAGR Survey*, covers topics such as incidence of USC and sexual harassment, reporting and training, and characteristics of the unwanted sexual and gender-related behaviors. The reports beginning with odd-numbered APYs determine completion status of the Secretary of Defense initiatives and previous action items and discuss the survey results.

Executive Summary

The Academies' programs comply with Department and Service policies regarding sexual harassment and sexual assault prevention and response. Eliminating sexual harassment and sexual assault are top priorities for Academy leadership, resulting in high functioning programs that progress each year in meeting the Department's action items and initiatives. The Department observed that leadership, at all levels, work to establish a climate of dignity and respect where sexual harassment and sexual assault are not tolerated, ignored, or condoned. The Department believes the Academies progressed in academic program year 2014-2015 in their abilities to prevent and respond to sexual harassment and sexual assault.

The Department observed several promising practices at the Academies that will have lasting impacts on cadet and midshipmen's understanding of appropriate behavior as members of the Armed Forces. For example, the Superintendent of the United States Military Academy solicits feedback and concerns by holding sensing sessions with cadets on a monthly basis. The United States Naval Academy employs a code of conduct contract, signed by all teams, clubs, and their coaches. With this contract, athletes and coaches vow to abide by expected behavior standards and to represent the Academy in the best possible manner. The United States Air Force Academy's Athletic Department hosts regular, informal sessions where intercollegiate teams speak with officer mentors and the sexual assault response coordinator about dating and healthy relationships.

These are just a few examples of the initiatives that fall within the Academies' sexual harassment and sexual assault prevention and response programs. The Academies' programs meet or exceed recommendations made by the White House's Task Force to Protect Students from

Sexual Assault. The Department and the MSAs have much more to do in their battle against sexual harassment and sexual assault, but the recommended practices from the White House Task Force are benchmark components of the Academies' and the Department's programs.

This report highlights several promising practices from each Academy as suggested enhancements that the Department encourages the other Academies to consider adopting as well. The Department also observed areas for improvement that exist at all of the Academies and provides action items in this report to address these areas.

Sexual Harassment

The Academies received a total of 28 sexual harassment complaints during academic program year 2014-2015, up from 20 complaints in the last academic program year. The 28 complaints of sexual harassment included 11 formal complaints and 17 informal complaints. The United States Military Academy received 7 formal complaints, the United States Naval Academy received 13 informal complaints, and the United States Air Force Academy received 4 formal and 4 informal complaints.

Sexual Assault

In accordance with the assessment procedures previously noted, a survey to estimate past year prevalence of sexual assault was not conducted this year. However, last year's survey results indicated that rates of sexual assault at the Academies had decreased significantly for women and trended downward for men, when compared to rates measured in April 2012. A survey to update estimated prevalence rates will be conducted in the spring of 2016.

This year the Military Service Academies received a total of 91 reports of sexual assault, an increase of 32 reports over the reports received in academic program year 2013-2014. However, most of the change in reporting occurred at the United States Air Force Academy. This year, sexual assault reporting at the Air Force Academy returned to levels seen in previous Academic Program Years.

The 91 reports received by the three Academies included 54 Unrestricted Reports and 37 Restricted Reports. Eight reports of sexual assault were for incidents prior to military service. The United States Military Academy received 17 reports (15 Unrestricted and 2 Restricted Reports), the United States Naval Academy received 25 reports (12 Unrestricted and 13 Restricted Reports), and the United States Air Force Academy received 49 reports (27 Unrestricted and 22 Restricted Reports). The Academies' detailed reporting data from academic program year 2014-2015 and an analysis of these data are found in Appendices D and E of this report.

Observations and Action items

The Department noted evidence of progress at all three Military Service Academies. The Department's onsite assessment and focus groups found improvements in overall response program execution, innovative efforts to encourage climates of dignity and respect, incorporation of prevention principles into leadership development, and engagement of cadets and midshipmen to help solve the problems of sexual harassment and sexual assault. This report illustrates these and other areas of progress, and also provides action items and suggested enhancements for the Academies to consider adopting. This report is organized by overarching observations made by the Department during the onsite assessments and review of data call submissions. The suggested enhancements and action items in this report are intended to help the

Academies' programs continue to progress each year.

Sexual Harassment Prevention and Response

The behaviors that constitute sexual harassment do not often rise to the level of criminal behavior. Such behavior is nonetheless disturbing and disruptive to the target of this unwanted attention. Response to sexual harassment may be as simple as telling the alleged harasser that his/her behavior is unacceptable or as multifaceted as involving an investigation and the military justice system. The formal and informal response processes required by Department policy provide military members the opportunity to resolve sexual harassment at the lowest level. The Department offers a variety of resources to those members who do not want to directly confront the alleged harasser and/or prefer assistance in resolving the matter. While sexual harassment is quite different from sexual assault, research suggests that efforts taken to prevent sexual harassment may have the added benefit of preventing sexual assault as well.

The Department observed that the Academies' sexual harassment prevention and response efforts have not received the same amount of emphasis as their sexual assault prevention and response programs. Participants in the *2015 Service Academies Gender Relations Focus Groups* indicated that the sexual harassment prevention and response programs are not emphasized to the extent the sexual assault prevention and response programs are at the Academies. Military research has consistently identified a strong positive correlation between the occurrence of sexual harassment in a military unit and the occurrence of sexual assault within the unit. The Department identifies the following for the Superintendents action:

- Provide more direct supervision to the Equal Opportunity Office and sexual harassment training/education efforts; and

- Strengthen sexual harassment prevention and response efforts.

Sexual Assault Response

The Department found that each Academy has thorough and comprehensive programs with several promising practices to respond to sexual assault that go beyond the requirements in Department policy. This report highlights several of these promising practices as suggested enhancements. The suggested enhancements for the Academies to consider adopting are:

- Ensure sexual assault response phone systems have redundancy to ensure prompt victim care; and
- Develop sexual assault procedures for representatives leading off-campus activities.

The Department provides action items that are intended to ensure that the Academies' response systems continue to function as required by Department standards and policies. These action items are:

- Continue efforts to improve sexual assault reporting by cadets and midshipmen;
- Make available and require use of government-provided means to communicate with and transport victims to the hospital and other appointments;
- Enhance feedback to cadets and midshipmen by using case studies that represent the broad range of sexual assault case outcomes; and
- Provide military officers, in the chain of command overseeing cadets and midshipmen, a clear case status during case management group meetings to fulfill their responsibility of updating the victim.

Program Assessment

The Academies have yet to identify their own metrics to measure program progress, which is necessary to track progress of these programs. The Academies have the

opportunity to set national standards for collegiate programs to address sexual harassment and sexual assault, and the Department's suggested enhancement and action item will assist with this task.

The Department identifies the following for action:

- Adapt the Department's sexual assault prevention and response metrics to create Academy program metrics.

The suggested enhancement for the Academies to consider adopting is:

- Use formalized sensing sessions with cadets and midshipmen to capture feedback.

Character Development

The Academies continue to take a variety of steps to address character development throughout their academic, athletic, and military training programs. The Department learned of several promising character development practices at the Academies. The Department encourages all three Academies to consider adopting these suggested enhancements, which are:

- Examine cadet and midshipman social media footprints and promote appropriate online behavior;
- Adopt code of conduct contract for sports teams, clubs, and coaches; and
- Use sports teams and clubs as agents of change to promote healthy relationships.

White House Task Force Recommendations


The President established the White House Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault to strengthen federal enforcement efforts and provide schools with additional tools to help combat sexual assault on their campuses. The Task Force released its first set of action steps and recommendations in the report, *Not Alone*:

The First Report of the White House Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault in April 2014.ⁱⁱ Several of the Department's sexual assault program components were leveraged as benchmark practices in the White House report.

In sum, the Academies are building a climate where cadets and midshipmen are

empowered and trained to prevent sexual harassment and sexual assault. In addition, the Academies have mature response systems to support victims throughout the military justice process. Cadet and midshipman partnership in prevention and response efforts is essential to the overall Department-wide effort to reduce, with a goal to eliminate, sexual assault in the military.


Spotlight: APY 14-15 Data




U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY: APY 14-15




SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS



SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTING STATISTICS



SEXUAL HARASSMENT COMPLAINTS



SERVICE ACADEMY FOCUS GROUP PARTICIPANTS




Data Sources: SAPRO, Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), APY 14-15 Reporting Data. Namrow, N.A., Cook, P.J., Van Winkle, E.P., & Hurley, M.M. Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC). 2015. "2015 Service Academy Gender Relations Focus Groups," at p. 4.


U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY: APY 14-15



SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS



SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTING STATISTICS



SEXUAL HARASSMENT COMPLAINTS



SERVICE ACADEMY FOCUS GROUP PARTICIPANTS



Data Sources: SAPRO, Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), APY 14-15 Reporting Data. Namrow, N.A., Cook, P.J., Van Winkle, E.P., & Hurley, M.M. Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC). 2015. "2015 Service Academy Gender Relations Focus Groups," at p. 4.

Observation One: Sexual Harassment Prevention and Response Programs Should Receive Greater Emphasis

What We Observed

The Department observed that the MSAs' sexual harassment prevention and response efforts have not received the same amount of emphasis as their SAPR programs. Academy training was not providing adequate information for cadets and midshipmen to understand the complaint process, not tailored for each class year, and not provided with sufficient frequency at the Academies.

Participants in the *2015 SAGR Focus Groups* indicated that the sexual harassment prevention and response programs are not emphasized to the extent the SAPR programs are at the MSAs. The Department believes that each MSA could benefit from reviewing its approach to sexual harassment prevention and response and improving integration of such activities with sexual assault prevention.

The Department learned of the following practices through interviews with staff at each MSA.

USMA

The Department of Army is unique among the Services in that it has combined sexual harassment and sexual assault programs into the Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) Program. Headquarters, Department of the Army Execution Order 221-2012 requires that Army sexual assault response coordinators (SARC) and victim advocates (VA) handle sexual harassment complaints. All seven sexual harassment complaints reported at USMA during APY 14-15 originated in the Equal Opportunity (EO) Office. These reporters were then referred to the SARC to make a

complaint. While those seeking assistance eventually arrived at the correct resource, this illustrates that cadets do not fully understand the responsibilities of the SARC and EO Advisor. The training curriculum delivered to the U.S. Corps of Cadets (USCC) by members of the Cadets Against Sexual Harassment/Assault (CASHA) program is still under development. Consequently, the emphasis that sexual harassment receives in the program could not be determined.

USNA

Peer educators deliver the Sexual Harassment and Assault Prevention Education training program at USNA throughout the APY to midshipmen in groups of about 30. A Fleet Mentor (E-7 or above) is present during training sessions to provide additional guidance, clarification, and insight into how such matters are dealt with on active duty. SAPR and Command Managed Equal Opportunity (CMEO) staff select and train the midshipmen peer educators during a 2-week session each summer. The CMEO also briefs the Brigade of Midshipmen on sexual harassment policies, guidance, and resources twice a year, and the Department observed that the USNA CMEO was working hard to ensure midshipmen received required sexual harassment training. This training is normally given by class year in a large group setting. The content of this training session, however, is essentially identical from year to year. The Department found no evidence that the midshipmen were learning progressively refined sexual harassment prevention concepts and methods throughout their 4 years at USNA. This kind of progressive learning approach would likely reduce the Department's concern of message fatigue and improve midshipman participation.

USAFA

The 10th Air Base Wing (ABW) EO Office provides sexual harassment prevention training to the permanent party at USAFA but does not provide any awareness campaigns or training for cadets. The EO Office is responsible for receiving complaints of sexual harassment from both cadets and permanent party personnel. Sexual harassment prevention and response training for cadets falls within Human Relations Training and Education (HRT&E) department. All first year cadets receive 1 hour of training on EO and filing sexual harassment complaints. The Department observed, however, that sexual harassment prevention and response training after a cadet's first year is extremely limited, and the number of lesson topics have decreased in recent years. Cadets receive no training on gender socialization or diversity management, for example. HRT&E falls under the Academy Commandant, and the EO office operates under the 10th ABW, complicating the coherence of sexual harassment prevention and response.

Rationale for Action Items

Military research has consistently identified a strong positive correlation between the occurrence of sexual harassment in a military unit and the occurrence of sexual assault within the unit.ⁱⁱⁱ It identifies several factors that significantly increase the likelihood of sexual assault within a unit: if the climate is one that is hostile to women (e.g., demeaning, objectifying) the risk of sexual assault increases; leaders initiating or allowing demeaning comments or gestures toward women also increases the risk of sexual assault. The conclusion of this and other research is that there is a strong positive correlation between the frequency of sexual harassment and gender discrimination in a military unit and the occurrence of sexual assault within the unit. The *2014-2016 DoD Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy* recognizes the relationship between sexual harassment and sexual assault and calls on

military leaders to create climates of mutual respect and dignity where sexual harassment and sexual assault are not ignored, tolerated, or condoned.^{iv}

The *2014 SAGR Survey*, conducted by the DMDC, found that approximately half (48%) of DoD Academy women indicated perceiving sexual harassment, which is a statistically significant decrease from 2012.^v The overall decrease in perceived sexual harassment prevalence for female cadets and midshipmen, however, stems from a large decline in prevalence for Naval Academy women. Approximately 10% of Academy men indicated perceiving sexual harassment, and this rate is unchanged from 2012.^{vi} A significant number of cadets and midshipmen still perceive experiencing sexual harassment despite the drop of perceived sexual harassment by Naval Academy women.

While sexual harassment is quite different from sexual assault, research suggests that efforts taken to prevent sexual harassment may have the added benefit of preventing sexual assault as well.

Action Items

Superintendents directly supervise the EO Office and sexual harassment training/education efforts

The Academy Superintendents should provide more direct supervision over their EO offices. The Academies' SAPR programs report directly to the Superintendent, and the Department is impressed by the level of attention the SAPR programs receive. More attention from the Superintendents will emphasize the important role that the sexual harassment programs play in establishing climates of dignity and respect at the Academies.

Strengthen sexual harassment prevention and response efforts

The MSAs should review their sexual assault prevention efforts, including training, to find

appropriate venues to integrate sexual harassment prevention. Each Academy should review its Service materials and the *2014-2016 DoD Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy*.

The MSAs should enhance their sexual harassment curriculum to ensure cadets and midshipmen understand the complaint process, to tailor the material for each class year, and to provide it at sufficient frequency.

Observation Two: Aspects of Sexual Assault Response Should be Improved

What We Observed

The Department found that each MSA has thorough and comprehensive programs with several innovative victim care initiatives that exceed the requirements in DoD policy. The action items that the Department provides below are intended to ensure that the Academies' response systems continue to function as required by DoD standards found in Secretary of Defense initiatives, Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP) Code of Ethics, and DoDI 6495.02.

The MSAs' response systems empower victims and facilitate recovery (see Figure 1). The objective of these systems is to deliver consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options. The end state is to provide high quality services and support, to instill confidence and trust, strengthen resilience, and inspire victims to report.



Figure 1: DoD Victim Response System

The MSAs received 91 reports in APY 14-15 of sexual assault, which reflects an increase of 32 reports from APY 13-14. The subsequent tables below present each MSA's reporting numbers since APY 11-12. Figure 2 presents the MSAs' reporting trends since APY 07-08. All three MSAs experienced an

increase in reporting from the last APY. In addition, in APY 14-15, 7 Restricted Reports converted to Unrestricted Reports.

Eight of the 91 reports received were for a matter that occurred prior to military service. The Department views such reports as an indicator of confidence in the sexual assault response system and the support services available to cadets and midshipmen.

Suggested Enhancement:
Ensure sexual assault response phone systems have redundancy to ensure prompt victim care.

USNA and USAFA should consider establishing a redundancy with their 24-hour SAPR response telephones.

The Department also observed several promising practices to assist victims at the MSAs. The West Point SHARP team consists of four SARCs each aligned to the Garrison Command (USMA), USCC, Keller Army Community Hospital (KACH), and the U.S. Military Academy Preparatory School (USMAPS); and three VAs each aligned to KACH, USMA, and USMAPS. This community works together to provide support to victims as needed. Each of the SARCs from the three major commands takes turns carrying the two phones for the USMA 24-7 SHARP Hotline. If the on-call SARC is unable to answer the phone, the call will be automatically forwarded to the next SARC to pick up and provide assistance. This redundancy ensures that victims are able to reach a SARC or VA whenever they call and receive responsive care.

USNA's SAPR Office created an incident checklist for individuals who lead off-campus Academy activities to ensure continuity of care for all midshipmen. These procedures guide the leader through the appropriate steps should an incident of sexual assault be disclosed to them during an off-campus

activity. It also provides telephone numbers for the DoD Safe Helpline and USNA's SAPR response line. The incident checklist helps to ensure that victim support and services are

maintained even if the incident occurs off-campus.

Suggested Enhancement:
Develop sexual assault procedures for representatives leading off-campus activities.

The Department encourages USMA and USAFA to consider developing sexual assault procedures for Academy representatives leading off-campus activities. Victim care must meet the same standard regardless of where an incident occurs.




Figure 2: Reports of Sexual Assault by Academy, APY 07-08 to 14-15

Table 1: USMA Sexual Assault Reporting APY 11-12 to APY 14-15

Academic Program Year	Total Reports	Unrestricted Reports	Restricted Reports
2014-2015	17	15	2
2013-2014	11	9	2
2012-2013	10	7	3
2011-2012	15	12	3

Table 2: USNA Sexual Assault Reporting APY 11-12 to APY 14-15

Academic Program Year	Total Reports	Unrestricted Reports	Restricted Reports
2014-2015	25	12	13
2013-2014	23	14	9
2012-2013	15	4	11
2011-2012	13	9	4

Table 3: USAFA Sexual Assault Reporting APY 11-12 to APY 14-15

Academic Program Year	Total Reports	Unrestricted Reports	Restricted Reports
2014-2015	49	27	22
2013-2014	25	11	14
2012-2013	44	17	27
2011-2012	51	20	31

Given the Department's goal to increase reporting of sexual assault, DoD is encouraged by the increased number of reports received by the MSAs. However, the Academies must continue their efforts to prevent sexual assault.

Several areas of concern were identified in the findings from the *2015 SAGR Focus Groups* that present potential barriers to reporting. Cadet and midshipman participants in the focus groups highlighted several reasons why some at the Academies may be reluctant to report, including: fear of gossip and peer repercussions; skepticism from peers; concern about being punished for collateral misconduct associated with the report; presumed punishment for alleged offenders may not be severe enough to warrant reporting; and mistrust and fear of the reporting process.

The SAPR programs at the MSAs also have noted areas for improvement that the Department addresses in action items below. The USNA SAPR GUIDEs (Guidance, Understanding, Information, Direction, Education) use sexual harassment and sexual assault case studies, called XYZ cases, as a training tool to increase transparency into the sexual assault response systems and understanding of policies. The training program, while promising, only presented XYZ cases wherein the alleged offenders were convicted and did not reflect the full range of possible outcomes to allegations in the military justice system, such as the outcomes presented in the case attrition exhibit found on page 9 in Appendix D (Exhibit 2). DoD is concerned that the XYZ cases do not address the potential barriers to reporting found in the focus groups if the full range of possible outcomes to allegations are not discussed.

The Department observed at the onsite assessments the need to ensure that SARCs and SAPR VAs use government-provided means to communicate with and transport victims at all three MSAs. SARCs and SAPR

VAs also require 24-hour access to government-provided vehicles to transport victims to the hospital and other appointments. SARCs and SAPR VAs maintain healthy boundaries when they use government-provided means to interact with and support victims.

DoD observed that military officers within the chain of command overseeing cadets and midshipmen were not regularly receiving sufficient updates on the status of victims' Unrestricted Report cases. Victims' immediate commanders at USMA were not receiving case updates at the USMA Sexual Assault Review Board (the Army's nomenclature for a case management group (CMG)). They noted, however, that they were very involved with the overall well-being of their cadets. Victims' immediate commanders are individually called in to USNA's Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG) to brief on how victims are doing but do not receive case status updates from the other SACMG attendees. The Department also observed that victims are given the option of receiving case status updates from the SACMG from either the Superintendent or the SARC. USAFA cadet commanders said they were not receiving a clear, concise update at the CMG.

Rationale for Action Items

Increasing reporting at the MSAs is a top priority for the Department. The Secretary of Defense directed the Superintendents, in collaboration with Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness and their respective Military Department SAPR offices, to assess their Academy climates and develop a plan to promote greater reporting.^{vii}

The Department policy is to ensure that Service members, including cadets and midshipmen, receive professional care from a SARC and SAPR VA once they decide to make a report of sexual assault. The D-SAACP Code of Professional Ethics states that SARCs and SAPR VAs must maintain

high personal and professional standards as service providers and advocates for victims.

Enclosure 10 of DoDI 6495.02 states that SAPR training for all Service members, including cadets and midshipmen, must include updates to military justice that impact victims.^{viii} Including these updates in training will assist with cadets and midshipmen's understanding of the sexual assault response system.

Commanders play a key role in the Department's sexual assault response system. Every installation is required to conduct monthly CMG meetings to review Unrestricted cases, facilitate monthly victim updates, and direct system coordination, accountability, and victim access to quality services. Installation commanders or their deputies are required to chair the CMG.^{ix} The Superintendent chairs the CMG meetings at each MSA. A required objective of these monthly meetings is to determine the status of each Unrestricted case for commanders to share with victims.

Action Items

Continue efforts to improve sexual assault reporting by cadets and midshipmen

Sexual assault is an underreported crime. This means that sexual assault reports to law enforcement fall far short of the number of sexual assaults estimated to occur each year using scientific surveys. Academy efforts to emphasize character development to create a culture that prevents sexual assault are described in Observation Four.

While sexual assault remains an underreported crime, Department policy encourages greater reporting of sexual assault by cadets and midshipmen. Policies to increase reporting were enacted to better connect victims with support services and needed care. Reporting by victims is also the only means by which alleged offenders may be identified and held appropriately accountable.

All three MSAs should continue developing plans to further increase cadet and midshipman reporting. As highlighted by this year's focus group participants and prior years' surveys, efforts to improve a reporter's confidentiality and privacy may yield the best results.

Make available and require use of government-provided means to communicate with and transport victims to the hospital and other appointments

All SARCs and SAPR VAs should be provided with and required to use government means to communicate with and transport victims in order to maintain the level of professional behavior that is outlined in the D-SAACP Code of Ethics. Professional victim service will instill trust in the MSAs' sexual assault response system.

SARCs and SAPR VAs should only use government-provided email and phones to speak with victims and should not disclose their personal telephone numbers or email addresses.

Enhance feedback to cadets and midshipmen by using case studies that represent the broad range of SAPR case outcomes

The Department believes that the MSAs should discuss possible outcomes to allegations, in order to set reasonable expectations of the strengths and limitations of the military justice system and assist with meeting requirements outlined in Enclosure 10 of DoDI 6495.02. These case studies will combat skepticism that many cadets and midshipmen have toward sexual assault reporters because the cases will provide an opportunity for cadets and midshipmen to learn that an acquittal or an unfounded case does not necessarily mean that a false report was made.

Provide military officers, in the chain of command overseeing cadets and midshipmen, a clear case status during CMG meetings to fulfill their responsibility of updating the victim

The MSAs use military officers as commanders. Military officers in the chain of command overseeing cadets and midshipmen should receive a clear, concise

case status update at the CMG to share with victims. Enclosure 9 of DoDI 6495.02 requires commanders within the victims' chain of command to provide updates to the victim following the CMG.^x The commanders must be invited to the CMGs and receive updates from all attendees, whenever possible, in order for commanders to accomplish this requirement.

Observation Three: MSAs Have Not Identified Metrics for Program Assessment Efforts

What We Observed

The Academies have yet to identify their own metrics to measure program progress. Capturing and communicating the overall health of the Academies' sexual harassment prevention and response and SAPR programs are complex undertakings, but necessary to track progress of these programs. The MSAs have the opportunity to set national standards for collegiate programs to address sexual harassment and sexual assault.

Suggested Enhancement:
Use formalized sensing sessions with cadets and midshipmen to capture feedback

DoD encourages USNA and USAFA to consider employing USMA's sensing session model to gain feedback from cadets and midshipmen as part of the Academies' assessment efforts.

The MSAs may not have metrics in place but have developed ways to solicit informal feedback on command climate issues. USMA's Superintendent holds sensing sessions with cadets on a monthly basis to obtain feedback about the command climate, to discuss the SHARP program, and to hear concerns, while

providing cadets insights into his strategic views and issues. This is a unique method to gain bottom-up, qualitative feedback from cadets on how the SHARP program is working and to determine if the military's future leaders are gaining the skills they will need as officers.

Rationale for Action Item

There is no national standard by which to judge the effectiveness of programs to

address sexual harassment and sexual assault. Over the past several years, however, the Department has worked to identify a number of indicators of program progress. These indicators combine the findings of scientifically conducted surveys, data from sexual assault reports, outcomes of the military justice process, and other forms of research to provide an overall picture of how well the Department's programs are functioning to prevent and respond to sexual assault in the military population.

The Services have been employing metrics and non-metrics^{xi} developed for the Department's 2014 *Report to the President of the United States on Sexual Assault in the Military*, which have become the standards the Department uses to determine program progress. The table on the following pages lists all of the DoD metrics and non-metric descriptions and explains what they capture.

Action Item

Adapt the Department's SAPR metrics to create Academy program metrics

The MSAs should adapt DoD's SAPR metrics as part of a larger metrics effort to capture and communicate progress in addressing both sexual assault and sexual harassment. These metrics will assist with tracking progress in estimated prevalence rates, reporting trends, victim satisfaction with various SAPR services, experiences of retaliation, and command climate issues. The Academies' assessment will then align with the DoD-wide assessment methodology.

Table 4: DoD SAPR Metrics and Non-Metrics

Metric or Non-Metric	Description
1. Metric: Past-Year Prevalence of USC	Measures Service member experience of USC in the year prior to being surveyed. Provides best estimate of sexual assault incidents involving Service member victims.
2. Metric: Prevalence versus Reporting	Measures the estimated percentage of Service member victims captured in reports of sexual assault (Restricted and Unrestricted Reports). Provides an estimate of the proportion of the crimes being reported to DoD authorities.
3. Metric: Bystander Intervention Experience in the Past Year	Measures whether Service members observed a situation that could have led to a sexual assault and, if so, how they intervened.
4. Metric: Command Climate Index – Addressing Continuum of Harm	Measures Service member perceptions of the extent to which their leadership promotes a climate based on mutual respect and trust.
5. Metric: Investigation Length	Measures the average and median length of sexual assault investigations conducted by military criminal investigative organizations. Knowledge of the average length of a sexual assault investigation will help inform victims about the investigative progress and allows DoD to assess its resources and investigative capabilities.
6. Metric: All Fulltime, Certified SARCs and SAPR VAs	Presents number of fulltime civilian SARCs and SAPR VAs and number of fulltime uniformed SARCs and SAPR VAs. Indicator of professional capability in both garrison and while deployed.
7. Metric: Victim Satisfaction with Services from SARCs, SAPR VAs, and Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)/ Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC)	Measures the extent to which victims were satisfied with the services provided by the SARC, VA, and SVC (if assigned) to determine if these advocates are meeting victim needs. Identifies means for improving process.
8. Metric: Percentage of Subjects with Victims Declining to Participate in Military Justice Process	The percentage of subjects that cannot be entered into the military justice process because the victim declines to participate in the justice process. Helps to assess whether the Department's initiatives to encourage greater victim participation are effective.
9. Metric: Perceptions of Retaliation	Victims who report that they experienced retaliation as a result of reporting a sexual assault, according to the <i>SAGR Survey</i> . Service members' beliefs about whether their command or units would retaliate against victims who reported sexual assault, according to command climate surveys.
10. Metric: Victim Kept Regularly Informed of the Military Justice Process	Victims indicating they were regularly informed of updates as their case progressed through the response process. Determines whether victims are kept informed.
11. Metric: Perceptions of Leadership Support for SAPR	Measures Service members' perceptions of command and leadership support for SAPR program, victim reporting, and victim support. Indicator of command climate.

Metric or Non-Metric	Description
12. Metric: Reports of Sexual Assault over Time	Total sexual assault reports (Restricted and Unrestricted Reports) since APY 07-08. Indicator of victim confidence in the response system, the number of victims receiving care, and the number of victims who may be willing to participate in the justice system to hold offenders appropriately accountable. Informs prevention work.
1. Non-Metric: Command Action – Case Dispositions	Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority, displayed by penetrating crimes alleged versus sexual contact crimes alleged. Demonstrates the disposition of sexual assault cases in the military justice process.
2. Non-Metric: Court-Martial Outcomes	Sexual assault court-martial outcomes, displayed for penetrating crimes charged versus sexual contact crimes charged. Demonstrates subject outcomes in the court-martial process.
3. Non-Metric: Time Interval from Report of Sexual Assault to Court Outcome	The mean and median length of time from the date a victim signs a DD 2910 to the date that court-martial proceedings concluded. Improves the transparency of the military justice process and helps to inform victims about what to expect.
4. Non-Metric: Time Interval from Report of Sexual Assault to Nonjudicial Punishment (NJP)	The mean and median length of time from the date a victim signs a DD 2910 to the date that NJP process is concluded (e.g., punishment awarded or NJP not rendered). Improves the transparency of the NJP process and helps to set appropriate expectations.
5. Non-Metric: Time Interval from Report of Sexual Assault to Judge Advocate Recommendations	The mean and median length of time from the date a report of investigation was provided to command, until the date a judge advocate (JA) made a disposition recommendation to the commander of the accused. Indicator of legal officer resourcing.
6. Non-Metric: DoD Action in Sexual Assault Cases Declined or Not Fully Addressed by Civilian or Foreign Justice Systems	Narratives that demonstrate cases that were declined or unable to be pursued by civilians but were undertaken by the military. Will not include subject/victim names and no jurisdiction names. Demonstrates ability of DoD to hold offenders appropriately accountable.

Observation Four: MSAs Continue to Integrate Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault Prevention Concepts into Character Development Efforts

What We Observed

The MSAs continue to take a variety of steps to address character development throughout their academic, athletic, and military training programs. The Department learned of several character development initiatives at the MSAs through interviews conducted during the onsite assessments. DoD encourages all three MSAs to consider adopting these suggested enhancements.

USMA

USMA developed the *Gold Book*, a cadet character development program to supplement its Green Book, a military program-governing document. The *Gold Book* explains how USMA's programs develop moral, civic, and social facets of individual cadets, as described in USMA's Character Development Strategy. It also describes the methods USMA uses to develop leaders of character for the Army to meet its obligations to the Nation.

DoD observed that the MSAs are implementing initiatives to promote character development of their athletes. USMA's Athletic Department hired Executive Action, LLC, to conduct a demonstration-based awareness brief with staff, coaches, and cadet athletes. Executive Action performed a social media scan of the Internet for some of the athletes to show a collection of their online postings in order to display their social media footprint and show how others could perceive them. Some of the posts by cadet athletes presented opportunities for them to learn how to better conform their online behavior to the USMA code of conduct. The

demonstration taught the athletes to think before they post and enhanced their awareness of their online presence.

USNA

USNA required all athletes and coaches to sign a code of conduct contract in APY 14-15, vowing to abide by expected behavior standards and to represent USNA in the best possible manner. This code prohibits certain actions, such as underage drinking and acquiring team residences. It explains that if an individual violates the code of conduct, he or she will be removed from his or her team.

Suggested Enhancement: Examine cadet and midshipman social media footprints and promote appropriate online behavior.

The Department encourages USNA and USAFA to consider ways to cost-effectively examine cadet and midshipman social media "footprints." Such efforts may help cadets and midshipmen to consider the impact of their online materials.

Suggested Enhancement: Adopt code of conduct contract for sports teams, clubs, and their coaches.

The Department encourages USMA and USAFA to consider employing a code of conduct, similar to that used by USNA, to deter inappropriate behaviors. A code of conduct helps set appropriate expectations for all team members and enhances the MSAs' ability to hold athletes and coaches appropriately accountable for unacceptable behavior.

USAFA

The USAFA Athletic Department hosted five informal sessions, where intercollegiate

Suggested Enhancement:

Use sports teams and clubs as agents of change to promote healthy relationships, both on and off the field.

Recognizing the potential contributions from sports teams and clubs, DoD encourages the MSA Athletic Departments to consider engaging in efforts to promote healthy relationships, mutual respect, and appropriate boundaries among teammates. The informal sessions used by USAFA appeared promising because they focused on matters much broader than just sexual assault. The Department encourages USMA and USNA to review and adopt a practice appropriate to their unique academy cultures.

Cadets and midshipmen who were not Division I athletes discussed the sense of privilege they observe in some members of

teams spoke with officer mentors, athletic directors, and the SARC about dating and healthy relationships. These sessions taught cadet athletes about the importance of establishing a respectful, healthy environment for themselves and their peers without addressing sexual assault directly.

Efforts to promote excellence in athlete conduct correspond to findings in prior years' assessments that a few sports teams at all three MSAs engaged in disrespectful and harassing behavior. No such team incidents were reported this year; however, attitudes that support such behavior remain an area of concern.

the athletic teams during the 2015 SAGR *Focus Groups*. They found that some athletes focus more on their role as an athlete than their role as a cadet or midshipman. Focus group participants also observed "group think" within teams, meaning a mindset that serves to reinforce a sense of privilege or works against teammates holding each other accountable.

Participants of the 2015 SAGR *Focus Groups* also indicated that cadets and midshipmen sometimes react negatively when they find out that someone has reported a sexual assault. Participants suggested that sometimes those who report can be excluded from social acceptance, criticized both publically and privately, and have their credibility challenged. None of the participants knew of any kind of reprisal by Academy leadership against someone who reported a sexual assault.

Onsite observations and interviews disclosed that social media use is a primary means by which some victims who reported sexual assault have experienced public criticism. Observed social media use at the MSAs reflects a wide variety of opinions and attitudes, as with the civilian sector. The MSAs, unlike the civilian sector, have a unique opportunity to help shape the online behavior of cadets and midshipmen by addressing comments and material that perpetuate discrimination and disrespect. The suggested enhancements described in call-out boxes in this section will help to address this observation and the findings from the focus groups.

Observation Five: Academy SAPR Programs Meet or Exceed White House Task Force Recommendations

The President established the White House Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault on January 22, 2014. This Task Force has a mandate to strengthen federal enforcement efforts and provide schools with additional tools to help combat sexual assault on their campuses. Members of the DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office were invited to serve in an advisory role to the White House Task Force.

The Task Force released its first set of action steps and recommendations in the report, *Not Alone: The First Report of the White House Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault* in April 2014.^{xii} The report leveraged several of the Department's sexual assault program components as benchmark practices. These program components include professional advocacy, confidential reporting, bystander intervention

training, and surveying for estimated prevalence rates. Table 5 below provides the recommendations from *Not Alone* and the corresponding action the Department and MSAs are already taking to meet the recommendations.

The Superintendents of the federal service academies, which include the three DoD MSAs, published "Lessons to Share" in *Inside Higher Ed* on May 7, 2015 to foster further collaboration with civilian universities. Spearheaded by Lieutenant General Michelle Johnson, the U.S. Air Force Academy's Superintendent, the article acknowledges that sexual assault on college campuses is a national problem that occurs not only at federal academies, but also at private and public universities. It is up to their leadership to collaborate and communicate best practices to eliminate sexual assault.

Table 5: White House Recommendations and MSA Program

White House Theme	White House Recommendation	DoD MSA Programs
1. Determine the Extent of the Problem	Initiate on-campus climate surveys to survey for prevalence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department has been surveying for the past-year estimated prevalence of sexual harassment and sexual assault since 2005 at the MSAs. Surveys now occur biennially, with focus groups conducted in intervening years. The Department and the MSAs are also deploying a climate assessment survey tailored to provide officers leading cadets and midshipmen with indicators of unit health.
2. Prevent Sexual Assaults	Use evidence-based prevention recommendations from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department has been working with the CDC to develop prevention programs since 2007. The Department recently collaborated with the CDC to develop the <i>2014-2016 DoD-wide Prevention Strategy</i> published in May 2014. Military Service and MSA prevention initiatives align with this overarching guidance. A description of each MSA's prevention programs and initiatives is located in their compliance appendices (Appendices A-C).
3. Effective Response to Sexual Assault	Identify trained, confidential victim advocates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Each MSA has numerous certified SARCs and SAPR VAs assigned. Victims' communication with SARCs and SAPR VAs is privileged under military law. Each MSA has a Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel, a military attorney who represents the victim's interests throughout the justice process.
	Confidential reporting protocol	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The MSAs have offered the DoD Restricted Reporting option for sexual assault since 2005.

White House Theme	White House Recommendation	DoD MSA Programs
	Comprehensive sexual misconduct policy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The MSAs are required to comply with DoD SAPR policies (DoDD 6495.01 and DoDI 6495.02) and sexual harassment prevention and response policies (DoDD 1350.2 and DoDD 1020.02). Each MSA must comply with its Service's SAPR and sexual harassment prevention and response policies.
	Training for school officials involved in investigating and adjudicating sexual assault cases	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The MSAs are supported by their Services' Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) Capability, comprised of criminal investigators and JAs who are specially trained to respond to sexual assault offenses. Each MSA is developing and implementing MSA-modified versions of its Service's pre-command SAPR training. This training emphasizes commanders' role in support of the SAPR program and their responsibilities in supporting the victim and the accused throughout the military justice process.
	Trauma-informed training and modern investigative and adjudicative protocols	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Criminal investigators and JAs attend specialized training that teaches trauma-informed interviewing methods as part of each Service's SVIP Capability. Adjudication of sexual assault allegations follows all recent Congressionally directed reforms to the military justice system.

White House Theme	White House Recommendation	DoD MSA Programs
	<p>Coordinate efforts with local rape crisis centers, campus security, local law enforcement, and victim service groups</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each of the MSAs has documented relationships with local hospitals and community rape crisis centers to provide services to cadets and midshipmen who request them, such as counseling and sexual assault forensic examinations. • DoD has contracted with the nationally recognized Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network, which operates the DoD Safe Helpline, a 24-7 hotline. DoD also contracts with the National Organization for Victim Assistance, which supports the Department's SARC/SAPR VA certification program. Both of these DoD resources either enhance or support services provided to cadets and midshipmen.
	<p>Develop a research collaborative to find new solutions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Academy Superintendents devoted considerable attention to sexual assault and harassment programs during their annual Conference of Service Academy Superintendents in April 2015.
<p>4. Increase Transparency and Improve Enforcement</p>	<p>Become more transparent and improve enforcement of policies and law that contribute to student safety and respect</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Department submits an annual report to Congress on sexual harassment and sexual assault at the MSAs, where they publicize their efforts to improve student safety and respect. • The MSAs use various techniques to provide transparency.

Conclusions

The Superintendents' personal involvement with the SAPR program produced tangible progress at the MSAs. The Department observed clear evidence of well-organized, integrated response systems at each MSA that support victims throughout the military justice process. The Department also found innovative, engaging educational efforts to help cadets and midshipmen understand and execute their responsibility to prevent sexual assault.

Culture and climate with regard to gender relations have greatly improved. However, greater emphasis on the prevention of sexual

harassment at the MSAs is likely to not only improve gender relations but also yield continued reductions in occurrence of sexual assault. The MSAs should implement the action items presented and are encouraged to implement the suggested enhancements, along with completing the past action items in each MSA's appendix. The efforts taken by the Academies to date have established the correct conditions for working towards decreasing sexual harassment and sexual assault. The Department looks forward to seeing the results of the new initiatives implemented by the MSAs in APY 14-15, as well as progress in the new action items.

ⁱ USC is the survey term that describes the crimes in the Uniform Code of Military Justice that constitute sexual assault, which range from penetrating crimes, such as rape, to non-penetrating crimes, such as abusive sexual contact. USC involves intentional sexual contact that was against a person's will or occurred when the person did not or could not consent.

ⁱⁱ White House Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault. (2014). *Not Alone: The First Report of the White House Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault*, 7-20. Retrieved from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/1is2many/notalone>

ⁱⁱⁱ Harned, M., Ormerod, Al, Palmieri, P., Collinsworth, L., and Reed, M. (2002). *Sexual Assault and Other Types of Sexual Harassment by Workplace Personnel: A Comparison of Antecedents and Consequences*. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 7, 174-188.

^{iv} Department of Defense. (2014). *DoD Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy*, 5. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/prevention/prevention-strategy>

^v Defense Manpower Data Center. (2015). *2014 Service Academy Gender Relations Survey Overview Report*, xi. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/research>

^{vi} Defense Manpower Data Center. (2015). *2014 Service Academy Gender Relations Survey Overview Report*, xi. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/research>

^{vii} Secretary of Defense memorandum. (2015). *Assessment of Sexual Harassment and Violence at the United States Military Service Academies for Academic Program Year 2013-14 (June 1, 2013 to May 31, 2014)*, 2. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/news>

^{viii} Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Enclosure 10, 2.d.(5), “SAPR Program Procedures,” Incorporating Change 2, July 7, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/dod-policy/dod-and-service-policy#DoD-policy-mod>

^{ix} Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Enclosure 9, 1.b., “SAPR Program Procedures,” Incorporating Change 2, July 7, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/dod-policy/dod-and-service-policy#DoD-policy-mod>

^x Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Enclosure 9, 2.f., “SAPR Program Procedures,” Incorporating Change 2, July 7, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/dod-policy/dod-and-service-policy#DoD-policy-mod>

^{xi} The term “metric” is used to describe a quantifiable part of a system’s function. Inherent in performance metrics is the concept that there may be a positive or negative valence associated with such measurements. In addition, adjustments in inputs to a process may allow an entity to influence a metric in a desired direction. For example, it is the stated intent of DoD to encourage greater reporting of sexual assault. Therefore, increases in the number of sexual assault reports may be an indicator that such a policy may be having the desired effect. DoD coined the term “non-metric” to describe aspects or outputs of the military justice system that should not be “influenced,” or be considered as having a positive or negative valence in that doing so would be undesirable and may be considered inappropriate or unlawful under military law.

^{xii} White House Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault. (2014). *Not Alone: The First Report of the White House Task Force to Protect Students from Sexual Assault*, 7-20. Retrieved from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/1is2many/notalone>

Prepared by the Department of Defense
Sexual Assault Prevention and
Response Office (SAPRO) and the
Office of Diversity Management and
Equal Opportunity (ODMEO)

Learn More:
www.sapr.mil

Get Help:
877-995-5247
www.safehelpline.org



Appendix A: United States Military Academy




Table of Contents

Appendix A: U.S. Military Academy.....	2
Summary.....	2
Line of Effort 1: Prevention.....	4
Commendations.....	4
Suggested Enhancement.....	5
Line of Effort 2: Investigation.....	6
Commendation.....	6
Line of Effort 3: Accountability.....	7
Line of Effort 4: Advocacy/Victim Assistance.....	8
Commendations.....	8
Action Items.....	9
Line of Effort 5: Assessment.....	10
Commendations.....	10
Implementation Progress: Secretary of Defense Initiatives Common for All MSAs.....	11
Targeted Interventions.....	11
Improving Sexual Assault Reporting.....	11
Advance and Sustain Appropriate Culture.....	12
Expand Alcohol Policies to Address Factors other than Individual Consumption.....	12
Assessment of Action Items from Previous Reports.....	14
Submit Sexual Harassment Training for Approval.....	14
Develop Mechanism to Quickly Inform USMA of Crime Incidents.....	14
Develop, Implement, and Track Metrics.....	14

Appendix A: U.S. Military Academy



Summary

The Department of Defense (DoD) assessed the United States Military Academy's (USMA) policies, training, procedures, and initiatives for the Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) program during Academic Program Year (APY) 2014-2015. The Department uses the structure of the *2013 DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Strategic Plan* to organize its assessment of the SHARP program into five lines of effort (LOE). Compliance in each LOE was determined by comparing USMA's SHARP program to the requirements outlined in the following policies that were applicable during APY 14-15.

- DoD Directive (DoDD) 6495.01, "SAPR Program," Incorporating Change 2, January 20, 2015;
- DoD Instruction (DoDI) 6495.02, "SAPR Program Procedures," February 12, 2014;
- DoDD 1350.2 "DoD Military Equal Opportunity (MEO) Program," November 21, 2003; and

- DoDD 1020.02 "Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity (EO) in the DoD," February 5, 2009.

Additionally, the Department referenced the following Service and Academy sexual harassment and assault policies:

- Army Regulation (AR) 600-20, "Army Command Policy," November 6, 2014;
- USMA Policy Memorandum 127-10, "SAPR Program," July 20, 2012;
- United States Corps of Cadets (USCC) Circular 351-2, "New Cadet Handbook," November 15, 2012;
- USCC Policy Memorandum 11-09, "EO Policy," July 20, 2012;
- USCC Policy Memorandum 36-09, "Policy on Sexual Harassment," July 20, 2012; and
- USCC Policy Memorandum 46-09, "EO Complaint Procedures," July 9, 2012.

Overall, USMA is in compliance with the Department's policies regarding sexual harassment and assault. USMA's programs reflect leadership's oversight and investment. The Superintendent made the prevention and elimination of sexual assault his number one priority. The Superintendent expects cadets to promote command climates of dignity and respect, not only at USMA but also in the active force.

Overall Status of Compliance:

● **In Compliance**

The Department found sufficient evidence of progress to close four out of seven previous Secretary of Defense initiatives and action items from prior assessment periods. The following pages capture observations on USMA's SHARP program by LOE. The following observations supplement the Department's overarching observations and action items made for all three Military

DoD SAPRO Strategic Plan Lines of Effort (LOEs)

LOE	Objectives
LOE 1: Prevention	Deliver consistent and effective prevention methods and programs.
LOE 2: Investigation	Achieve high competence in the investigation of sexual assault.
LOE3: Accountability	Achieve high competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable.
LOE 4: Advocacy/ Victim Assistance	Deliver consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options.
LOE 5: Assessment	Effectively standardize, measure, analyze, assess, and report program progress.

Service Academies (MSA) in the body of this report.

Line of Effort 1: Prevention

USMA is in compliance with DoD and Army regulation requirements for the Prevention LOE.

This year USMA developed the *Gold Book*, a cadet character development program, to supplement its *Green Book* military development program. The *Gold Book* explains how USMA develops moral, civic, social, and leadership character. The *Gold Book* describes how the Cadet Character Development Program (CCDP), Cadet Honor

Code, Cadets Against Sexual Harassment and Assault (CASHA), and the Cadet Respect Program work together to develop leaders of good character. In addition, the *Gold Book* establishes that the Brigade Tactical Department (BTD) and the Simon Center for the Professional Military Ethic (SCPME) hold responsibility for planning and executing character development activities. The Superintendent noted that the *Gold Book* completes the full range of USMA programming to develop officers. He expects Academy leadership, faculty, and staff to apply *Gold Book* guidance and act as proper role models.

The CASHA program is a cadet-led, cadet-designed organization, with oversight from the USCC sexual assault response coordinator (SARC) and the Director of the SCPME. CASHA seeks to influence and inspire cadets to take an active role in eliminating sexual harassment and assault through service, education, and dedication to a culture of bystander intervention. CASHA uses small group, peer-led discussions in many of its training and education efforts in accordance with Department policy.

The Department notes the many positive steps USMA has taken to institutionalize

sexual assault and sexual harassment prevention in its character development program, as well as its employment of CASHA as an important part of this strategy. The Department looks forward to seeing USMA's efforts mature into robust and iterative programs that further apply *Gold Book* guidance.

The BTD is directly responsible for the day-to-day command and discipline of the Corps of Cadets.

The William E. Simon Center for the Professional Military Ethic educates, trains and inspires leaders of character in the Corps of Cadets through the development, coordination and integration of the Professional Military Ethic into curriculum and activities at USMA.

Status of Compliance Line of Effort 1:

● **In Compliance**

Commendations

Athletic Department's use of an external organization to identify inappropriate social media footprints

USMA's Athletic Department brought in an external organization to conduct a demonstration-based awareness brief with staff, coaches, and cadet athletes. The agency performed a social media scan of the Internet for some of the athletes' social media presence. Some of the cadets' posts presented opportunities for them to learn how to better conform their online behavior to the USMA Code of Conduct. The demonstration also emphasized how others outside the Academy might interpret and use the information cadets put online. The Department considers this to be a best practice and encourages the other two MSAs to consider this or a similar approach.

21st Birthday training to promote responsible alcohol use

USMA's Tactical Officers (TACs) and Tactical Non-Commissioned Officers (TAC NCOs) host a 21st birthday dinner training for cadets who are 21, or turning 21 within 60 days.

This training opportunity promotes safe drinking habits, helps cadets know their personal alcohol limits, and identifies the risks associated with intoxication. Cadets receive a 21st Birthday Card signed by the Company TAC upon completion of the training. Cadets must show the 21st Birthday Card along with proof of age in order to consume alcohol at any on-installation establishment.

Growth and application of CASHA

CASHA has grown from a small peer organization into a permanent part of USMA's formal sexual harassment and assault education program. The CASHA program is well known amongst cadets and faculty. The program also benefits from support and oversight involvement from the SCPME and the USCC SARC. The Department observed vigorous support of the program by TACs and TAC NCOs.

Incorporation of sexual assault and harassment principles into Gold Book

DoD commends the development of USMA's *Gold Book*. This programmatic roadmap includes the integration of important sexual harassment and sexual assault prevention tenets into USMA's character development efforts.

Suggested Enhancement

Include CID in an appropriate block of SHARP training

USMA Criminal Investigation Command (CID) indicated the desire to brief their program to cadets during SHARP training sessions. Allowing CID to present will better acquaint cadets with the investigative process. The Department encourages USMA to consider identifying where and how CID can best support the SHARP training program and integrate them accordingly.

Line of Effort 2: Investigation

This assessment addresses how well the Academy SHARP program supports and integrates with the criminal investigative process. USMA is in compliance with the Investigation LOE.

The CID office at USMA holds responsibility for investigations occurring in garrison, as well as throughout large parts of New England. Interviews with CID agents indicated they make an effort to accommodate the needs of sexual assault

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 2:

● **In Compliance**

victims. CID agents said they thoroughly explain the investigative process, help victims understand the justice process, and answer any questions. The agents understand that sexual assault impacts victims in different ways. Agents investigating sexual assault cases have completed the Army's special victims course and conduct victim interviews using the Forensic Experiential Trauma Interview method.

CID agents said they advise victims at the outset of the investigation of the option to have a Special Victims Counsel (SVC) present. CID agents will postpone the interview and connect the victim with the SVC if so requested.

CID coordinates with the staff judge advocate (SJA) throughout the investigation. The CID office also employs an internal review process that concentrates on timely reporting, timely investigative efforts, and thoroughness. CID provides investigative updates to Academy leadership and participates in the monthly Sexual Assault Review Board (SARB) meeting as required by policy.

The Superintendent chairs the Sexual Assault Review Board or SARB; USMA's version of the case management group.

Formal complaints of sexual harassment are not investigated by CID. Academy leadership appoints an investigative officer for the complaint in accordance with Army Regulation 15-6 and Army EO policy. The USCC SARC assists the investigative process by reviewing the complaint and helping the appointed officers craft investigative leads.

Commendation

USMA CID interview room

USMA CID and the USCC SARC effectively collaborated to update CID's interview room, making it more inviting and comforting for victims.

Line of Effort 3: Accountability

This assessment addresses how well the Academy SHARP program supports and integrates with the military criminal justice process. USMA is in compliance with the Accountability LOE.

All USMA SJAs received sexual assault legal training at the Army Judge Advocate General School.

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 3:

● ***In Compliance***

USMA also invites subject matter experts to address its SJAs. Qualified experts provide training on the sexual assault forensic exam (SAFE), counseling sessions for sexual assault victims, and perpetrator counseling sessions. The strength of USMA's program is the cooperation occurring among SJA and

its first responders, which include leadership, CID, SARC, counselors, and chaplains. This team routinely works together to ensure completion of all required actions.

The Office of the SJA, CID, and the SARC discuss the status of active cases at monthly SARB meetings and track them until final disposition of the case. Final dispositions of USMA cases are discussed during training, small group instruction, and other venues to improve familiarity with the experience of sexual assault victims in the military justice system to encourage victims to report.

The Department has no suggested enhancements or action items for USMA in LOE 3.

Line of Effort 4: Advocacy/Victim Assistance

USMA is in compliance with DoD and Army requirements for the Advocacy/ Victim Assistance LOE.

The SARCs at West Point are assigned to four units: the Garrison Commandⁱ (USMA), USCC, Keller Army Community Hospital (KACH)ⁱⁱ, and the U.S. Military Academy Preparatory School (USMAPS). The USMA SARC serves as the SHARP program manager, who guides and directs the program on

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 4:

● **In Compliance**

behalf of the Superintendent. The USMA SARC oversees the SARCs and victim advocates (VA) as the program manager. VAs are assigned at three units: KACH, USMA, and USMAPS. The USMA SARC/program manager position was vacant and the USMA VA was performing the SARC duties at the time of the Department's on-site assessment.¹ The Academy has displayed a collaborative SHARP community in which all SARCs and VAs play an important role in victim care. This includes their response redundancy efforts for the USMA 24-7 SHARP Hotline.

USMA provides cadets with the SHARP Smart Card during Cadet Summer Training (CST). The card includes the definition of sexual assault and sexual harassment, reporting options for both and phone numbers for each of the SARCs and the USMA 24-7 SHARP Hotline. Cadets are required to keep the SHARP Smart Card with their identification tags throughout CST. The Department requests that USMA add the DoD Safe Helpline number to the card to

¹ The USMA SARC/program manager position has since been filled.

provide cadets with access to this additional resource.

The West Point SHARP first responders perform quarterly Sexual Assault Response Team training together, attend the monthly SARB meetings, and collaborate to provide quality victim care. The Department observed that military officers in the chain of command overseeing cadets and midshipmen attending the SARB are not receiving the necessary case information to provide victims with updates.

Commendations

SHARP Hotline Redundancy

The SHARP community works together to provide support to victims as needed. Each of the SARCs from the three major commands take turns carrying the two phones for the USMA 24-7 SHARP Hotline. If the on-call SARC is unable to answer the phone, the call will be automatically forwarded to the next SARC to pick up and provide assistance. The Department considers this to be a best practice in ensuring responsive care and that the other two MSAs should adopt this practice.

KACH SARC and VA development of innovative programs

The KACH SARC employs a comprehensive victim assistance program. The Department observed the early developments of the SHARPii (interceptor intervention) and Be HEARD (Healthy Empowerment and Assertiveness Response Development) programs. Both intend to be direct face-to-face skill-based training programs that assist participants in developing intervention and empowerment skills and strategies. The KACH SARC is also adapting the Wellness, Advocacy, and Support Program (WASP) to

promote self-care, facilitate wellness, and help victims understand their symptoms following a sexual assault.

Action Items

Ensure cadets know where to file a sexual harassment complaint

The Department of Army is unique among the Services in that it has combined its sexual assault and sexual harassment programs into the SHARP program. Headquarters, Department of the Army Execution Order 221-2012 requires that Army SARCs and VAs handle sexual harassment complaints.

All seven sexual harassment complaints that were reported at USMA during APY 14-15 originated in the EO Office. These reporters were then referred to the SARC for assistance. While those seeking assistance eventually arrived at the correct resource, this illustrates that cadets do not fully understand the responsibilities of the SARC and EO Advisor. USMA needs to clarify its training and messaging to identify the SARC as the

point of contact for complaints of sexual harassment.

Update MOU with Westchester Hospital to address procedures for SAFEs

Enclosure 8 of DoDI 6495.02 requires a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with civilian facilities conducting sexual assault forensic examinations for DoD.ⁱⁱⁱ The MOU with the civilian facility must address the processes for notifying the SARC and for contacting the appropriate DoD agency to take custody of evidence collected during the SAFE.

The Department found that the MOU with Westchester Hospital needed to be updated with more specific information for sexual assault nurse examiners conducting a SAFE for a military member. The procedures for obtaining and storing evidence collected during a SAFE in Restricted Report cases also need to be reflected in the MOU.

Line of Effort 5: Assessment

USMA is in compliance with DoD and Army regulation requirements for the Assessment LOE.

USMA's Leader Development Branch (LDB) created the Periodic Development Review (PDR) as a mechanism to obtain quantifiable and candid feedback to help

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 5:

● **In Compliance**

prepare cadets for Army leadership. The PDR consists of an online portal where cadets are assessed on leadership traits,

including character, demeanor, intellect, ability to lead, work ethic, and ability to achieve set objectives. TACs, instructors, and cadets provide feedback, which informs a self-improvement plan that can be reviewed throughout the cadet's tenure at USMA. USMA leaders believed the PDR to be a helpful, relevant tool for SHARP, even though the PDR does not capture information about sexual assault or sexual harassment. The PDR is intended to inform and supplement other measures of climate at USMA.

The Department has been conducting scientific surveys, assessments, and focus groups at the MSAs for over 10 years. This information is available at www.sapr.mil to inform MSA prevention and response initiatives. Many SHARP stakeholders were

unaware of these resources.^{iv,v} USMA should take advantage of DoD resources.

Commendations

Used formalized cadet sensing sessions to capture feedback

The Superintendent holds monthly sensing sessions to obtain feedback and provide cadets with his strategic views and other matters. The Department considers the use of mobile technology in these face-to-face sessions to be a best practice and the other two MSAs should consider this approach. More information about cadet sensing sessions can also be found in the body of this report.

Created APY 14-15 Case Reports Template to track the locations of sexual assault reports, sexual harassment complaints, and high-risk areas

USMA plotted sexual assault incidents, areas it considers to be "high risk," and other information on a map of the Academy and the surrounding community. This kind of analysis is often used in the civilian sector to predict and prevent crime. The other two MSAs should consider employing this form of analysis as well.

Implementation Progress: Secretary of Defense Initiatives Common for All MSAs

The following section provides the actions that USMA has taken to implement Secretary of Defense initiatives. The initiatives listed below were either issued by the Secretary of Defense in February 2015 or considered “in progress” by the Department in its APY 13-14 Report. USMA has completed all but one of its remaining four initiatives.

Secretary of Defense Initiatives USMA Progress at a Glance	
	Status
Targeted Interventions	● Complete
Improving Sexual Assault Reporting	→ In Progress
Advance and Sustain Appropriate Culture	● Complete
Expand Alcohol Policies	● Complete
● Complete → In Progress ✖ No Progress	

Targeted Interventions

Requirement: In 2014, the Service Academy Gender Relations Survey found that sophomore women at all three MSAs experienced higher rates of past-year sexual assault than the other three class years. Consequently, the Secretary of Defense directed the Academies to develop and conduct specific prevention programs and initiatives for cadets and midshipmen at the conclusion of their first academic year. These programs were to address professional relationship expectations and the factors believed to be behind the higher rates of sexual assault experienced by cadets and midshipmen in their “Third Class” (sophomore) year.

USMA Action: USMA identified multiple factors that might explain why Third Class (sophomore) female cadets experienced higher rates of past-year sexual assault than the other three class years. These factors include increased social contact, increased

cadet privileges, social immaturity, social acceptance seeking, degradation of company support structures, and transition from a formalized peer-mentorship program to an informal peer-mentorship. USMA identified new methods to address the identified factors, including updated training to occur during CST and Reorganization Week that addresses the risk factors for the Yearling Class. Another new program is the Yearling Company Sponsorship Program, which notifies rising sophomores of their new company assignment and assigns a rising junior as a sponsor. USMA expects the enhanced sense of belonging and teamwork to play a key role in reducing vulnerability to sexual assault and harassment.

Status: Complete.

Improving Sexual Assault Reporting

Requirement: Academies were to assess their individual Academy climates and develop a plan to promote greater reporting of sexual assault by cadets and midshipmen.

USMA Action: USMA provided a memorandum that outlined specific actions that the Academy has taken or plans to take to encourage greater victim reporting. The Superintendent’s policy prohibiting retaliation against those reporting sexual harassment or assault provides a clear process and support system for victims concerned about retaliation. Other initiatives include CASHA use of XY Cases in company training, featuring positive role models who exemplify “upstanders;” providing all cadets with card-size smart cards before departure for summer training and overseas experiences that contain definitions, reporting options and SARC contact information; and the Cadet

Counseling Unit program for Cadet Basic Training and Cadet Candidate Basic Training.

Status: In Progress. The Department considers this to be in progress as not all planned actions have been implemented.

Advance and Sustain Appropriate Culture

Requirement: Academies were to:

- Implement solutions that address concerns of social retaliation among peers;
- Employ purposeful and direct engagement with leaders and supervisors of teams, clubs, and other cadet/midshipman organizations; and
- Provide cadet and midshipman influencers with the skills and knowledge to strengthen their ongoing mentorship programs.

USMA Action: The Department indicated in its APY 13-14 report that USMA only addressed two of the three requirements of this initiative. USMA had to further demonstrate that the Academy was responding appropriately to address concerns about social retaliation among peers. Lieutenant General Robert Caslen signed and distributed a memo prohibiting retaliation against personnel who report an allegation of sexual assault at USMA. The policy explicitly defined retaliation and bullying, and states that soldiers who violate the retaliation policy may be subjected to punishment under Article 93, Uniform Code of Military Justice. The policy emphasized that victims of sexual assault can only report and recover from an incident in an environment that is free from retaliation. The Superintendent's explicit statement of policy regarding retaliation at USMA is a positive step to curb retaliatory actions against those who report an allegation of sexual assault.

Retaliation and bystander intervention have been key components of CASHA training and

leadership discussions. The mission of the CASHA program is to inspire the Corps of Cadets to take a proactive role in eliminating sexual harassment and assault from the military profession through service, education, and dedication to a culture of bystander intervention. The CASHA peer educators seek to influence and inspire individuals to take an active role in creating a positive culture where sexual harassment and assault are not tolerated and where victims are comfortable reporting.

USMA developed the Character Development Strategy (CDS), which codifies the process by which the Academy develops leaders of character during APY 14-15. A prime component of the CDS is the CCDP that will be implemented in APY 15-16. The CCDP will promote ethical behavior by addressing moral character development via peer-led, small-group, open discussions on topics such as SHARP and gender relations. The intent of CCDP is to shift from a structured, top-down curriculum to a more adaptive, intimate, interactive learning approach.

Status: Complete.

Expand Alcohol Policies to Address Factors other than Individual Consumption

Requirement: Academies were to review and systematically expand institutional alcohol policies to address risk factors beyond the individual use of alcohol, to include such matters as training of alcohol providers, alcohol availability, and community outreach.

USMA Action: USMA personnel who serve alcohol at business establishments are required to take training to help prevent alcohol abuse and misconduct. The Directorate of Cadet Activities, which manages the Cadet Restaurant and the Firstie Club, brings in an outside agency to give training to all bartenders and also conducts face-to-face quarterly training on

customer care to restaurant personnel. The USMA Garrison also requires annual training for all alcohol servers.

Status: Complete.

Assessment of Action Items from Previous Reports

The Department assessed the three open action items USMA had from prior assessments and found sufficient evidence to close one action item, with two remaining open.

Previous Action Items USMA Progress at a Glance	
	Status
Submit Sexual Harassment Training for Approval	→ In Progress
Develop Mechanism to Quickly Inform USMA of Crime Incidents	● Complete
Develop, Implement, and Track Metrics	→ In Progress
● Complete → In Progress ✖ No Progress	

Submit Sexual Harassment Training for Approval

Requirement: The Academies were directed to comply with DoD policy by submitting their sexual harassment training programs to the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute (DEOMI) for approval.

USMA Action: USMA submitted its sexual harassment training slides to DEOMI for approval on 1 June 2015.

Status: In Progress. DoDD 1350.2 (6.2.14.) requires that all military personnel receive adequate training in EO, human relations, and prevention of sexual harassment on a recurring basis, and at all levels of professional military education.^{vi} Service-wide training programs, when not conducted by DEOMI personnel, must be submitted to DEOMI for prompt review and comment. USMA submitted its training to DEOMI outside the scope of this APY (June 1, 2014 to May 31, 2015) and therefore the action item will remain open until USMA provides

evidence of compliance in its APY 15-16 self-assessment.

Develop Mechanism to Quickly Inform USMA of Crime Incidents

Requirement: Develop a mechanism that quickly informs the Corps of Cadets and West Point community of crime incidents, while respecting victims' need for confidentiality.

USMA Action: USMA explored the idea to use social media to inform cadets and the West Point community of crime, but given the small size of the US Corps of Cadets, USMA could not find a way to effectively notify the cadets of crime incidents while preserving victim confidentiality.

Status: Complete.

Develop, Implement, and Track Metrics

Requirement: Appoint an office of primary responsibility at USMA to develop, implement, and track metrics.

USMA Action: The USMA Office of Institutional Research administered the Cadet Climate Survey of Clubs as a means to assess and track metrics that can be incorporated into strategic planning in December 2014. USMA's LDB also developed dashboard metrics to analyze character assessment ratings in the cadet PDR. The intent of the PDR is to provide a structure and a systematic means for the leader development of individual Cadets within the Corps. It captures information received from various sources and at various times to develop a self-improvement plan to maintain noted strengths and to improve those areas of deficiency.

Status: In Progress. The PDR has been developed and implemented. However, a suite of metrics to track sexual assault and

harassment prevention and response has yet to be developed.

ⁱ Subordinate of the U.S. Army Installation Management Command (IMCOM)

ⁱⁱ Subordinate of the U.S. Army Medical Command (MEDCOM)

ⁱⁱⁱ Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Enclosure 8.e, "SAFE Kit Collection and Preservation," Incorporating Change 2, July 7, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/dod-policy/dod-and-service-policy#DoD-policy-mod>

^{iv} Past reports on the Military Service Academies can be found here: <http://sapr.mil/index.php/annual-reports>

^v Research and Reports conducted by organizations outside of DoD SAPRO can be found here: <http://sapr.mil/index.php/research>

^{vi} Department of Defense Directive 1350.2, 6.2.14, "Department of Defense Military Equal Opportunity Program," November 21, 2003. Retrieved from <http://dtic.mil/whs/directives/corres/pdf/135002p.pdf>

Appendix B: United States Naval Academy



Table of Contents

Appendix B: U.S. Naval Academy	2
Summary	2
Line of Effort 1: Prevention	4
Commendations	4
Suggested Enhancements	5
Action Item	6
Line of Effort 2: Investigation	7
Action Items	7
Line of Effort 3: Accountability	8
Commendations	8
Action Items	8
Line of Effort 4: Advocacy/Victim Assistance	10
Commendations	11
Suggested Enhancement	11
Action Item	11
Line of Effort 5: Assessment	13
Commendations	13
Suggested Enhancements	13
Implementation Progress: Secretary of Defense Initiatives Common for all MSAs	15
Targeted Interventions	15
Improving Sexual Assault Reporting	15
Expand Alcohol Policies	16
Assessment of Action Items from Previous Reports	17
Implement MSA-Modified Pre-Command Training	17
Submit Sexual Harassment Training for Approval	17
Develop and Execute Additional Metrics for SAPR Program	17

Appendix B: U.S. Naval Academy



Summary

The Department of Defense (DoD) assessed the United States Naval Academy's (USNA) policies, training, procedures, and initiatives for sexual assault prevention and response (SAPR) and sexual harassment prevention and response programs during academic program year (APY) 2014-2015. The Department used the structure of the 2013 *DoD SAPR Strategic Plan* to organize its assessment of SAPR and sexual harassment prevention and response programs into five lines of effort (LOE). Compliance in each LOE was determined by comparing USNA's SAPR and sexual harassment prevention and response programs to the requirements outlined in the following policies that were applicable during APY 14-15.

- DoD Directive (DoDD) 6495.01, "SAPR Program," Incorporating Change 2, January 20, 2015;
- DoD Instruction (DoDI) 6495.02, "SAPR Program Procedures," February 12, 2014;
- DoDD 1350.2 "Department of Defense Military Equal Opportunity Program," November 21, 2003; and
- DoDD 1020.02 "Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity (EO) in the Department of Defense," February 5, 2009.

Additionally, the Department referenced the following Service and Academy sexual harassment and assault policies:

- Chief of Naval Operations Instruction 5354.1F, "EO Policy," September 20, 2011;
- Chief of Naval Operations Instruction 5800.7A, "Victim and Witness Assistance Program," March 4, 2008;

- Secretary of the Navy Instruction (SECNAVINST) 1752.4B, "Department of the Navy (DoN) Policy on SAPR," August 8, 2013;
- SECNAVINST 5300.26D, "DoN Policy on Sexual Harassment," January 3, 2006;
- United States Naval Academy Instruction (USNAINST) 1752.1G, "SAPR Program," October 7, 2013; and
- USNAINST 5354.5C, "Prevention and Deterrence of Sexual Harassment, Misconduct & Assault," June 23, 2011.

Overall, USNA is in compliance with the Department's policies regarding sexual harassment and sexual assault. USNA exhibited structured and organized sexual harassment prevention and response and SAPR programs in both the on-site assessment and its supporting documentation. USNA has a proactive, highly visible SAPR program manager who reports directly to the Superintendent.

USNA's approach to the SAPR program separates it into two teams, USNA Sexual

Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) (for prevention and program management) and the SAPR Response Team (for response and victim care). The SAPR program manager oversees both teams in addition to all SAPR training and education for the midshipmen, including the Sexual Harassment and Assault Prevention and Education (SHAPE) Peer Educator program. The SAPR program manager also works closely with the Command Managed Equal Opportunity (CMEO) staff to incorporate sexual harassment concepts into training. Having a dedicated SAPR program manager who supports Academy programs, prevention, and response is a benchmark practice. The assessment team saw evidence of the SAPR program manager's influence

Overall Status of Compliance:

● **In Compliance**

and guidance throughout all USNA operations.

**DoD SAPRO Strategic Plan
Lines of Effort (LOEs)**

LOE	Objectives
LOE 1: Prevention	Deliver consistent and effective prevention methods and programs.
LOE 2: Investigation	Achieve high competence in the investigation of sexual assault.
LOE3: Accountability	Achieve high competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable.
LOE 4: Advocacy/ Victim Assistance	Deliver consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options.
LOE 5: Assessment	Effectively standardize, measure, analyze, assess, and report program progress.

The SAPR Strategic Plan, published by USNA in October 2014, is aligned with the *DoD SAPR Strategic Plan*. USNA’s strategy clearly delineates goals, prioritizes initiatives, and identifies responsible parties for plan execution. The SAPR strategy also effectively incorporates efforts to address and prevent sexual harassment. USNA’s Strategic Plan is a benchmark for the other Academies.

The Department found sufficient evidence of progress to close three out of six previous Secretary of Defense initiatives and action items from prior assessment periods. The following pages capture observations on USNA’s SAPR and sexual harassment prevention and response programs by LOE. The following observations supplement the Department’s overarching observations and action items made for all three Military Service Academies (MSA) in the body of this report.

Line of Effort 1: Prevention

USNA is in compliance with DoD and DoN policy requirements for the Prevention LOE.

USNA leadership continues to promote an environment of mutual dignity and respect in its engagements with the midshipmen. The Superintendent is focused on developing midshipmen into leaders of character. He re-administered their Oath of Office to emphasize each midshipman's commitment, obligation, and responsibility upon the return of midshipmen from summer training in August 2014. The Superintendent and the Commandant both attend the annual Gettysburg Leadership Retreat, where discussing the attributes and expectations of a leader necessary to prevent sexual assault and sexual harassment is one of several central themes. The Commandant of Midshipmen's "Be Excellent" campaign has

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 1:

● **In Compliance**

become a mantra for the midshipmen to be excellent "to yourself, to your shipmates, and to the institution." Midshipmen survivors (men and women) of

sexual assault created a video this year depicting how sexual assault and others' comments about the crime impacted their lives. Their intent was to promote awareness of the problem and motivate preventive action by the Brigade of Midshipmen, faculty, and staff. Feedback from viewers indicated greater understanding of what victims endure.

The Academy implemented innovative and interactive prevention education into the midshipmen's academic curriculum and its SHAPE program. The Academy's SHAPE program employs peer-led, small group discussions to teach sexual harassment and sexual assault prevention fundamentals. SHAPE's intent is to empower midshipmen with bystander intervention skills to better enable them to identify and address situations at risk for sexual assault.

Midshipmen at the Academy have a high interest in becoming SHAPE peer educators. All peer educators make a one-year commitment to serve on the SHAPE peer educator team.

Volunteer Fleet Mentors were added to the SHAPE program, providing midshipmen with an officer or senior enlisted member perspective on sexual harassment and sexual assault. Mentors guide midshipmen in these sessions to find solutions that contribute to a more respectful, egalitarian, and safer environment at USNA and in the fleet. USNA's SAPR training specialists regularly update the SHAPE curriculum and have included concepts from the *2014-2016 DoD Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy*, as well as changes based on feedback from the peer educators and the midshipmen population.

"Midnight Teachable Moments", another prevention program, allow midshipmen to consume alcohol under supervision while practicing skills to counter sexual harassment, binge drinking, and peer pressure. USNA implemented this peer-led, bystander intervention training program in APY 13-14 and expanded its availability this year. Six midshipman companies conducted the program throughout APY 14-15. USNA intends to continue the program in the next APY for midshipmen of legal drinking age in the remaining companies.

Commendations

Continued emphasis on innovative alcohol policies/ interventions and community outreach

USNA conducts multiple alcohol awareness initiatives, including the "Midnight Teachable Moments," 21st birthday dinner training, and alcohol awareness events with the local community. Midshipmen are allowed up to three alcoholic drinks while a representative

from the Naval District Washington police department provides a presentation on laws involving alcohol, past alcohol incident experiences with midshipmen, and techniques for responsible drinking at the 21st birthday dinner training. The police officer demonstrates a field sobriety test and allows participants to test their blood alcohol content with a breathalyzer at the end of the dinner.

The Academy collaborated with a local establishment in Annapolis to host an event that encourages responsible drinking practices. The event emphasizes helpful behaviors, including designating someone in a group to remain sober, drinking at a moderate pace, and using practices that limit absorption of alcohol into the body. The Commandant of Midshipmen also wrote letters to local bar and restaurant owners, midshipmen sponsors, and parents urging them to help midshipmen make wise choices concerning alcohol.

Continuous updating of a comprehensive SAPR education and training program

USNA employs extensive feedback collection efforts to assess and update its SAPR education and training program. The SAPR Advisory Panel, chaired by the SAPR program manager and Director for Education and Clinical Services, also provides recommendations for improving the training and education program. One such improvement employs SHAPE peer educators to train faculty from one of the academic departments on the SHAPE program. Favorable feedback from the trained faculty is motivating USNA to expand SHAPE training to faculty from additional departments during the next APY.

Thoughtful incorporation of prevention principles in academic curriculum

Midshipmen take four *Leadership, Ethics, and Law* courses during their four years. Academy officials have worked together to infuse themes from the SAPR and sexual harassment prevention and response

programs into these classes to demonstrate the importance of leadership in countering sexual harassment and sexual assault.

Expanded code of conduct to coaches

USNA expanded its code of conduct for midshipman athletes to its coaches and coaching staff in APY 14-15. Coaches who violate this code or fail to act when they come across violations may be dismissed. All varsity athletes and coaches are required to sign a code of conduct, vowing to abide by expected behavior standards and to represent USNA in the best possible manner. This code prohibits certain actions, such as underage drinking and acquiring team residences. An individual will be removed from the team if he or she violates the code of conduct. The Department considers the code of conduct to be a best practice and encourages the other two MSAs to adopt this practice.

Suggested Enhancements

Consider restoring one full Professional Training Experience credit to Block Zero SHAPE and GUIDE training

The Department observed that USNA had been incentivizing midshipmen to take the training to become SHAPE and GUIDE (Guidance, Understanding, Information, Direction, Education) peer leaders with one full training credit. The training is typically conducted during summer Block Zero training. USNA reduced credit for this training block to half a credit. This change requires midshipmen to take SHAPE and

Both the SHAPE and GUIDE programs are conducted by midshipmen. The SHAPE program is peer-education focused, whereas GUIDEs are provided in-depth education on SAPR topics and initiatives and are available to the Brigade as in-company assets for information and referral support. More information about the GUIDE program can be found under the Victim Assistance /Advocacy LOE.

GUIDE training in addition to some other summer training that provides them full credit. The Department encourages USNA to consider restoring full credit to SHAPE and GUIDE training so that involvement in the SHAPE and GUIDE programs continues to be incentivized. Reducing these opportunities to half credit reduces them in the eyes of the midshipmen, which may negatively impact midshipmen interest and participation.

Include NCIS in an appropriate block of SAPR training

Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) indicated the desire to explain their services to midshipmen during SAPR training sessions to the brigade. Allowing NCIS to brief midshipmen will better acquaint them with the investigative process. USNA should consider identifying where and how NCIS can best support the SAPR training program and integrate them accordingly.

Action Item

Tailor prevention of sexual harassment training to each class year

SECNAVINST 5300.26D requires education and training programs to be in place at all levels.ⁱⁱ These programs will cover identification, prevention, and resolution of sexual harassment, and reprisal prevention, and will be implemented from entry through executive levels.

The Department observed that the USNA CMEO was working hard to ensure midshipmen received required sexual harassment training. However, this training does not vary from year to year.

USNA should develop an iterative sexual harassment training program. The chances of message fatigue are lessened when midshipmen learn new material throughout their four years at USNA. The body of the report features greater detail on this action item.

Line of Effort 2: Investigation

This assessment addresses how well the Academy SAPR program supports and integrates with the criminal investigative process. USNA is in compliance with the Investigation LOE.

NCIS conducts investigations of all Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault at USNA. Upon receipt of an allegation, agents immediately notify the sexual assault response coordinator (SARC) to arrange

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 2:

● In Compliance

SAPR services for the victim. NCIS agents indicate they have a productive working relationship with the SARCs, victim

advocates (VA), judge advocates (JA), Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC), trial counsel, and local police departments. At the beginning of an investigation, NCIS immediately explains and offers VLC services to the victim prior to conducting an interview.

All NCIS agents currently assigned to USNA have attended the Navy's Family and Sexual Violence training at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in Brunswick, GA. NCIS attends USNA's monthly Sexual Assault Case Management Group. NCIS at USNA also has the benefit of surge support for large cases from other local NCIS units and NCIS Headquarters in Quantico, Virginia.

Action Items

Share case outcomes with the SARCs

Enclosure 9 of DoDI 6495.02 and SECNAVINST 1752.4B require all CMG members, including all SARCs assigned to the installation, to be provided case dispositions from the Military Criminal Investigative Organization (MCIO), DoD law enforcement, and JA.ⁱⁱⁱ

USNA SARCs stated they are not always informed of the case outcomes. Victims now

often rely on the VLC case status and disposition updates, which often leaves the SARC out of the communication loop. The Superintendent's Legal Advisor and/or the NCIS Resident Agent should make the SARCs aware of all final case dispositions. SARCs can then use case outcome information as needed to improve or adjust the USNA response system.

Ensure Restricted Report SAFE Kits completed at Mercy Hospital are retrieved and stored by NCIS IAW Navy policy

According to Enclosure 7 of SECNAVINST 1752.4B: "Upon completion of the Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) Kit and securing of the evidence, the healthcare provider will turn over the material to NCIS or NCIS Consolidated Evidence Facility representative or the appropriate Military Service-designated law enforcement agency or MCIO as determined by the selected reporting option."^{iv}

Officials at Mercy Hospital indicated they have been storing completed SAFE Kits for USNA midshipmen. This is not consistent with DoD or DoN policy. NCIS or the appropriate USNA representative should make contact with Mercy Hospital to collect any SAFE Kits and store them in accordance with DoN policy.

The Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Mercy Hospital in Baltimore should also be updated to clarify that NCIS must be notified after the completion of a SAFE for a midshipman who has filed a Restricted Report. The MOU should reflect that NCIS is to retrieve the SAFE kit and store it in accordance with the Navy policy in the event that the report converts to an Unrestricted Report in the future.

Line of Effort 3: Accountability

This assessment addresses how well the Academy SAPR program supports and integrates with the military justice process. USNA is in compliance with the Accountability LOE.

The USNA JA and NCIS coordinate their efforts, from the initiation of an investigation to the final disposition of a case. USNA JAs indicate they have productive working relationships with other members of the Academy's response system.

Midshipmen found guilty of sexual harassment through the Midshipmen Conduct System are assigned four months of Dignity and Respect Remediation, rather than the standard conduct remediation assigned for

other misconduct. The Dignity and Respect Remediation focuses on themes of EO, understanding boundaries, and how

to foster an environment of dignity and respect. Midshipmen found guilty after an informal complaint of sexual harassment are required to develop a sexual harassment awareness and prevention training tool to provide to his or her company. Many times midshipmen choose to create the training in the form of XYZ cases, where the midshipmen will divulge at the end that they are midshipman X. XYZ cases are sexual harassment and sexual assault case studies that are used as a tool in discussion-based training to increase transparency and understanding of policies and standards of behavior among the Brigade of Midshipmen. XYZ cases are also provided to faculty, staff, and Naval Academy Athletic Association personnel in order to increase awareness and bridge the discussion outside the Brigade.

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 3:

● In Compliance

Commendations

Approach to collateral misconduct

Collateral misconduct by a victim is one of the most significant barriers to reporting sexual assault because of the victim's fear of punishment. USNA typically does not address the misconduct through disciplinary action and instead refers victims to appropriate counseling in the event of an Unrestricted Report where the victim may have engaged in some form of misconduct, for instance, underage drinking or other related alcohol offenses, fraternization, or other violations of certain regulations or orders. Factors such as how the misconduct came to light, the significance of the misconduct, and any other relevant facts about the case are taken into consideration when determining if disciplinary action is appropriate. USNA has made appropriate efforts to eliminate the barrier collateral misconduct creates to reporting so that victims will be encouraged to come forward, to better hold offenders appropriately accountable.

Action Items

Identify more effective accountability means for permanent party sexual harassment complaints

SECNAVINST 5300.26D outlines sexual harassment accountability measures for DoN. It states that appropriate action to resolve a substantiated incident of sexual harassment will depend upon the circumstances surrounding that incident. The full range of administrative and disciplinary actions is available to address sexual harassment. In the case of military personnel, these include informal counseling, comments in fitness reports and evaluations, administrative separation, and punitive measures under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.^v

During the Department's on-site interviews, some senior permanent party personnel indicated that corrective actions taken for permanent party members involved in sexual harassment complaints should be more consistent with the corrective actions taken for midshipmen. USNA should review their response subsequent to sexual harassment complaints to determine if this perception is accurate. Should there be evidence of inconsistency, USNA should take corrective action.

Enhance feedback to midshipmen by using XYZ cases that represent the broad range of SAPR case outcomes

Enclosure 10 of DoDI 6495.02 requires sexual assault prevention and response training to explain the nature of sexual assault in the military environment using scenario-based, real-life situations to demonstrate the entire cycle of prevention, reporting, response, and accountability procedures.^{vi}

The XYZ cases used at USNA only highlighted cases where accused offenders were convicted. USNA should expand its training to include explaining the full range of possible case outcomes sets reasonable expectations for all midshipmen about the military justice system.

Line of Effort 4: Advocacy/Victim Assistance

The USNA is in compliance with DoD and DoN policy requirements for the Advocacy/Victim Assistance LOE.

The SAPR Response Team at USNA consists of two full-time SARCs, a lead and a subsidiary, and two full-time SAPR VAs to provide services for the Brigade of Midshipmen, faculty, and staff.

The USNA VLC was the first VLC in the Navy and has been rapidly integrated into the Academy response system. The VLC provides legal services to victims of sexual assault, including helping victims to understand the investigation and military justice process, guarding their legal rights and interests, and facilitating access to recovery resources. Victims have the option of legal support from the VLC, or may choose instead to receive the assistance of the Victim Witness Assistance Coordinator (VWAC). If notified of a sexual assault, the USNA VWAC coordinates victim contact efforts with the VLC and SAPR Office. The VWAC does this to minimize the number of contacts made with the victim.

The midshipmen GUIDEs program provides resources for sexual assault outreach and education at the company level. The GUIDEs provide support service information to peers and assist company officers in various training and discussion forums. GUIDEs and SHAPE Peer Educators begin their summer training together, but the GUIDE training then focuses on ways to provide peer-to-peer support and referrals to other midshipman, as indicated.

The USNA CMEO created Character Advisors, a new company level leadership

opportunity for midshipmen to serve as well-informed counselors for fellow midshipmen on EO and sexual harassment issues. Character Advisors are trained using Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute (DEOMI) online courses. They serve as EO subject matter experts within the company to provide resources, training, and liaison with the Brigade CMEO. USNA CMEO trained thirty midshipmen who can now offer support, provide reference materials, or bring midshipmen to the CMEO office to make complaints of sexual harassment.

Education and support programs at USNA address the unique needs of male victims. The Naval Academy has a chapter of the "One in Four" Men's Program, a national college and military program that aims to confront rape myths, promote sexual assault prevention, and challenge gender stereotypes. A male midshipman in the program performed an informational presentation for USNA faculty and staff for the first time in April 2015. The Midshipmen Development Center (MDC) also offers a support group for male survivors of sexual assault and abuse, which complements its women's support group. The weekly group is designed to be a safe and confidential place for midshipmen to engage in therapeutic discussions, facilitated by an MDC Clinical Social Worker.

The USNA launched a program to allow victims of sexual assault to request a leave of absence (USNAINST 1050.2) during APY 14-15. The Academy allows midshipmen who file Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault to request a leave of absence from the Naval Academy in support of their recovery. USNA created this option as an alternative to requesting an expedited transfer.

Status of Compliance Line of Effort 4:

● *In Compliance*

Commendations

Twelve additional CMEOs

USNA brought in a mobile training team from the Center for the Professional and Personnel Development to train 12 permanent party members to become CMEOs in addition to the USNA Commandant CMEO and Command Climate Specialist. The Department encourages USNA to advertise these additional resources to midshipmen.

Well-organized SAPR program

USNA optimized its SAPR resources by dividing program responsibilities between two closely coordinated offices. USNA SAPRO works prevention and program management, which allows the SARCs in the SAPR Response Team to focus on victim assistance and the response system.

Sexual assault procedures for representatives leading off-campus Activities

USNA's SAPR Office created an incident checklist for academy officials who travel with sports teams, clubs, and midshipmen engaged in other off-campus activities. These procedures guide the leader through the appropriate steps if an incident of sexual assault is reported to them during an off-campus activity. It provides telephone numbers for the DoD Safe Helpline and USNA's SAPR Response Line. The incident checklist helps to ensure that victim support is maintained even if the incident occurs off-campus. The Department considers this a best practice, and encourages the other two MSAs to adopt it.

Continued collaboration with Mercy Medical Center

The sexual assault nurse examiner (SANE) program at Mercy Medical Center in Baltimore is a great resource for the Naval Academy. The SANE program director periodically addresses the midshipmen and

provides educational briefings to the GUIDEs twice a year on forensic evidence collection and the forensic exam process. The program director has also provided sexual assault forensic examination training to Brigade Medical Unit providers and USNA victim advocates.

High quality, specialized care for victims

The Department observed that the mental healthcare delivered by the MDC is of high quality. An expert social worker is at the core of the MDC's services for sexual assault survivors. She provides individual and group therapy for victims while also supporting SAPR educational efforts at USNA. This year she started a support group for victimized men – the first of its kind at an MSA.

Suggested Enhancement

VLC and USNA SAPRO continue to coordinate and collaborate in order to ensure VLC participation in appropriate training

The Department encourages USNA to consider integrating the VLC into its response-focused sessions to the Brigade of Midshipmen.

Action Item

Ensure military officers in the chain of command overseeing midshipmen companies provide victims with case status updates

Enclosure 5 of SECNAVINST 1752.4B requires commanders to provide victims making an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault with monthly updates on the progress of their case. This is a non-delegable commander duty.^{vii}

As discussed in the body of the report, the Department observed that victims are given several options on who delivers monthly case status updates. USNA is providing updates to victims and has a process in place to do so;

however, the update should be administered by someone within the victim's chain of command.

Line of Effort 5: Assessment

USNA is in compliance with DoD and DoN policy requirements for the Assessment LOE.

The Naval Academy employs multiple data collection methods to assess its training program. This includes inviting midshipmen and Fleet Mentors to critique training that is provided by the SHAPE peer educators and guest speakers.

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 5:

● **In Compliance**

The SAPR training team regularly uses these critiques to assess relevancy, currency, and effectiveness of presentations and curriculum.

The class of 2018 (entering in APY 14-15) participated in a newly enhanced Plebe Summer Survey to measure opinions and attitudes about rape myths, bystander intervention, and other sexual harassment and sexual assault related concepts. Responses to the survey helped tailor SHAPE training to needs of the class.

Commendations

Strategic Plan can serve as a benchmark for the other Academies

USNA's SAPR Strategic Plan, published in October 2014, is aligned with the *DoD SAPR Strategic Plan*. USNA's strategy clearly delineates goals, prioritizes initiatives, and identifies responsible parties for plan execution. The SAPR strategy also effectively incorporates efforts to address and prevent sexual harassment. USNA's Strategic Plan integrates sexual harassment program equities exceptionally well and sets the benchmark for the other Academies.

Monthly quality assurance reviews of DSAID data (sustain)

The Department observed that USNA's data in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) were complete and appropriately recorded after completing a DSAID review. This can be attributed to the USNA SARC conducting monthly quality reviews of the DSAID data. USNA responds quickly and effectively to all DoD DSAID requests.

Assessing SAPR concepts from academic curricula and training; incorporating results back into curricula and training (sustain)

USNA's Training Specialist is invaluable to the program. The Training Specialist regularly assesses how SAPR concepts are being incorporated into academic and training curricula. Year after year, she has worked to keep USNA's SAPR education fresh and interesting. The other Academies could benefit from her approach to curriculum development.

Suggested Enhancements

Administer the climate assessment in the fall (September or October)

USNA conducts climate surveys during the spring semester. USNA could improve its communication of the survey results by administering the climate surveys in the fall and use the results to inform climate improvement efforts throughout the remainder of the APY. The Department encourages USNA to consider administering the newly developed Military Academy Organizational Climate Survey (MAOCS) in the fall.

Streamline the feedback process for climate assessment results

Climate survey results are currently broken down by company, briefed to the Commandant, and compiled into company level briefings. The Commandant gives overall feedback from the results to the midshipmen, while company officers brief their respective companies. The CMEO briefs

results to all battalion and company officers and senior enlisted leaders. Multiple reviews of the climate survey result in significantly delayed out-briefs to the midshipmen. The Department encourages USNA to streamline the process so that midshipmen can be briefed in a more timely manner, and to use this streamlined process for the MAOCS once it has been developed and implemented.

Implementation Progress: Secretary of Defense Initiatives Common for all MSAs

The following section provides the actions that USNA has taken to implement Secretary of Defense initiatives. The initiatives listed below were either issued by the Secretary of Defense in February 2015 or considered “in progress” by the Department in the APY 13-14 Report. While not all actions are complete, USNA provided evidence that it is making progress on each action.

Secretary of Defense Initiatives USNA Progress at a Glance	
	Status
Targeted Interventions	→ In Progress
Improving Sexual Assault Reporting	→ In Progress
Expand Alcohol Policies	● Complete
● Complete → In Progress ✘ No Progress	

Targeted Interventions

Requirement: In 2014, the Service Academy Gender Relations Survey found that sophomore women at all three MSAs experienced higher rates of past-year sexual assault than the other three class years. Consequently, the Secretary of Defense directed the Academies to develop and conduct specific prevention programs and initiatives for cadets and midshipmen at the conclusion of their first academic year. These programs were to address professional relationship expectations and the factors believed to be behind the higher rates of sexual assault experienced by cadets and midshipmen in their “Third Class” (sophomore) year.

USNA Action: The Naval Academy provided its plans to conduct targeted discussions to both Third Class Midshipmen and those who lead, interact with, and mentor midshipmen. The midshipmen will receive tailored training in calculated intervals, designed to foster critical thinking and behavior changes.

Looking beyond third class midshipmen, USNA will conduct targeted training and discussion with key stakeholders, including those who lead, mentor, coach, and otherwise interact with this year group. These discussions will address professional relationship expectations and factors behind higher rates of sexual assault experienced during the third-class year than the other three class years.

Status: In Progress. The Department looks forward to an update on targeted training implementation and execution in the Naval Academy’s forthcoming self-assessment in the next APY.

Improving Sexual Assault Reporting

Requirement: Academies were to assess their individual Academy climates and develop a plan to promote greater reporting of sexual assault by cadets and midshipmen.

USNA Action: USNA continues to strive to decrease the margin between prevalence of sexual assault and actual reporting of these incidents. USNA conducted an online survey in April 2015 to assess ways to improve reporting and response. USNA intends to use the results from the 220 anonymous midshipman respondents to add credibility and capacity to its response system. USNA also continued its discussions between leadership and midshipmen, faculty, and staff to understand how retaliation is perceived at the Academy and its potential impact on climate and reporting.

Status: In Progress. USNA is analyzing the survey results to guide its future efforts. The Department looks forward to an update on its initiatives to improve reporting in the Naval

Academy's forthcoming self-assessment in the next APY.

Expand Alcohol Policies

Requirement: Academies were to review and systematically expand institutional alcohol policies to address risk factors beyond the individual use of alcohol, to include such matters as training of alcohol providers, alcohol availability, and community outreach.

USNA Action: The Naval Academy Business Services Division (NABSD) requires all servers at the Academy to complete TIPS (Training for Intervention ProcedureS), a third party training program that is designed to prevent intoxication, underage drinking, and drunk driving by enhancing the skills of servers. The program addresses a variety of topics, including alcohol's effects on the body,

proper serving size, checking identification, documenting incidents, server responsibilities, evaluating cues for level of intoxication, and strategies for intervening when someone has consumed too much alcohol. All servers at the Naval Academy Club, as well as servers at events on the Yard that are catered by NABSD, are required to complete TIPS training. A trained server is also provided whenever a keg of beer is purchased from NABSD. A midshipman in uniform is present to check identification and to ensure that an environment of responsible drinking is maintained at any event at the Naval Academy Club where midshipmen are served alcohol.

Status: Complete.

Assessment of Action Items from Previous Reports

The Department assessed the three open action items USNA had from prior assessments and found sufficient evidence to close two action items, with one remaining open.

Previous Action Items USNA Progress at a Glance	
	Status
Implement MSA Modified Pre-Command Training	● Complete
Submit Sexual Harassment Training for Approval	● Complete
Develop and Execute Additional Metrics for SAPR Program	➔ In Progress
● Complete ➔ In Progress ✖ No Progress	

Implement MSA-Modified Pre-Command Training

Requirement: Academies were to provide an MSA-modified version of its Service’s pre-command training for all faculty, company/squadron officers, and senior enlisted leaders.

USNA Action: Pre-Command training was developed by USNA SAPRO and provided to company officers, and senior enlisted leaders first in May 2014 and will continue on a yearly basis. USNA SAPRO provided faculty with the opportunity to take the pre-command training in addition to their mandated annual training. Faculty have received and will continue to receive mandated training per the DoDI 6495.02 under the “Training and Requirements for DoD Personnel” section. These SAPR training requirements have been met for all military and civilian faculty, using the annual general military training and annual civilian SAPR training requirements at USNA. All USNA instructors are required to complete the “SAPR Training for Instructors” module on the USNA intranet. This Naval

Education and Training Command training module specifies requirements and expectations of the naval instructor unique to the classroom environment.

Status: Complete. Pre-command training was provided to company officers and senior enlisted leaders during APY 14-15.

Submit Sexual Harassment Training for Approval

Requirement: The Academies were directed to comply with DoD policy by submitting sexual harassment training programs to DEOMI for approval.

USNA Action: The Command Climate Specialist at USNA has reviewed all training on sexual harassment awareness and prevention that midshipmen received during the APY 14-15. The training included all required topics, including the definition of sexual harassment, the types of sexual harassment, avenues to address sexual harassment, the Informal Resolution System, and the formal and informal complaint processes. Training also included points of contact for filing sexual harassment complaints at USNA.

Status: Complete. USNA’s Command Climate Specialist has been DEOMI trained and is an approved reviewer of its sexual harassment awareness and prevention training. All training complies with DoD and Navy policy.

Develop and Execute Additional Metrics for SAPR Program

Requirement: Develop and execute additional metrics for comprehensive program assessment to include prevention.

USNA Action: The USNA SAPR Advisory Panel reviews results from academy surveys and focus groups and has used them to modify training and SAPR response efforts. The SAPR Advisory Panel has offered two new initiatives based on these sources of data. The first is to create a position for a Human Dignity and Responsibility Assessment Specialist within the Office of Institutional Research. USNA's SAPR Advisory Panel recommended a full-time assessment specialist under the Director of Institutional Research, for the formal, comprehensive, continuous, and integrated assessment of SAPR, CMEO, and Alcohol and Drug Education Officer as a "human dignity and responsibility" set of programs. The second proposed initiative is the creation of an exit survey for commissioning 1/C midshipmen (seniors), designed to assess the effectiveness of SHAPE training across their tenure at the academy, by comparing these results to the 4/C midshipmen (freshman) survey. Since 2010, 4/C midshipmen (freshmen) have been provided a SHAPE Survey, designed to better understand attitudes and beliefs of incoming

freshmen and allowing for the adaptation of the SHAPE curriculum as warranted by the changing mindsets, behaviors, and education level of the entering class. A second voluntary survey has been proposed for 1/C midshipmen (seniors), to better understand the beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors. The USNA Advisory Panel discussed the issue and recommended that the initiative be re-explored. The ultimate goal would be to assess and compare changes in mindsets and behaviors from 4/C (freshmen) to 1/C (senior) midshipmen.

Status: In Progress. USNA, through its SAPR Advisory Panel, is consistently assessing feedback and reviewing data covering the full spectrum of the SAPR Program. The Department considers this action item to be in progress since the two initiatives proposed by the USNA SAPR Advisory Panel have not been completed. The Department looks forward to future updates.

ⁱ Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (2014). *2014-2016 Prevention Strategy*. Retrieved from <http://sapr.mil/index.php/prevention/prevention-strategy>

ⁱⁱ Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5300.26D 9.b., "DoN Policy on Sexual Harassment," January 3, 2006. Retrieved from <http://doni.daps.dla.mil/Directives/05000%20General%20Management%20Security%20and%20Safety%20Services/05-300%20Manpower%20Personnel%20Support/5300.26D.pdf>

ⁱⁱⁱ Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Enclosure 9.1.d., "Case Management for Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault," Incorporating Change 2, July 7, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/dod-policy/dod-and-service-policy#DoD-policy-mod>

^{iv} Secretary of the Navy Instruction 1752.4B, Enclosure 7 2.a.(8)(a), "Healthcare Providers Procedures," August 8, 2013. Retrieved from <http://doni.daps.dla.mil/Directives/01000%20Military%20Personnel%20Support/01-700%20Morale%20Community%20and%20Religious%20Services/1752.4B.pdf>

^v Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5300.26D 7.b., "DoN Policy on Sexual Harassment," January 3, 2006. Retrieved from

<http://doni.daps.dla.mil/Directives/05000%20General%20Management%20Security%20and%20Safety%20Services/05-300%20Manpower%20Personnel%20Support/5300.26D.pdf>

^{vi} Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Enclosure 10 3.c.2., "Training Requirements for DoD Personnel," Incorporating Change 2, July 7, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/dod-policy/dod-and-service-policy#DoD-policy-mod>

^{vii} Secretary of the Navy Instruction 1752.4B, Enclosure 5 3.g.(2), "Commander and Management Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Procedures," August 8, 2013. Retrieved from <http://doni.daps.dla.mil/Directives/01000%20Military%20Personnel%20Support/01-700%20Morale%2c%20Community%20and%20Religious%20Services/1752.4B.pdf>

Appendix C: United States Air Force Academy



Table of Contents

Appendix C: U.S. Air Force Academy	2
Summary.....	2
Line of Effort 1: Prevention.....	4
Commendations	4
Suggested Enhancement	5
Line of Effort 2: Investigation.....	7
Commendation.....	7
Suggested Enhancement	7
Line of Effort 3: Accountability.....	8
Commendation.....	8
Action Items	8
Line of Effort 4: Advocacy/Victim Assistance.....	10
Commendation.....	10
Suggested Enhancement	11
Action Items	11
Line of Effort 5: Assessment	13
Action Items	13
Implementation Progress: Secretary of Defense Initiatives Common for all MSAs	15
Targeted Interventions	15
Improving Sexual Assault Reporting.....	15
Enhance Strategic Planning	16
Advance and Sustain Appropriate Culture.....	16
Expand Alcohol Policies	17
Assessment of Action Items from Previous Reports.....	18
Ensure Adequate Manpower for Sexual Assault Investigation.....	18
Establish SARC and VA Positions at 10 th ABW	18
Fully Staff USAFA SAPR Office	19
Appoint a Dedicated SAPR Training Resource	19
Identify and Provide Response Training to USAFA Representatives.....	19
Continue developing GRIP and align with strategic plan	19
Develop Outcome-Based Metrics to Address Prevention	19

Appendix C: U.S. Air Force Academy



Summary

The Department of Defense (DoD) assessed the United States Air Force Academy's (USAFA) policies, training, procedures, and initiatives for sexual assault prevention and response (SAPR) and sexual harassment prevention and response programs during Academic Program Year (APY) 2014-2015. The Department used the structure of the *2013 DoD SAPR Strategic Plan* to organize its assessment of SAPR and sexual harassment prevention and response programs into five lines of effort (LOE). Compliance in each LOE was determined by comparing USAFA's SAPR and sexual harassment prevention and response programs to the requirements outlined in the following policies that were applicable during APY 14-15.

- DoD Directive (DoDD) 6495.01, "SAPR Program," Incorporating Change 2, January 20, 2015;
- DoD Instruction (DoDI) 6495.02, "SAPR Procedures," February 12, 2014;
- DoDD 1350.2 "Department of Defense Military Equal Opportunity (MEO) Program," November 21, 2003; and
- DoDD 1020.02 "Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity in the Department of Defense," February 5, 2009.

Additionally, the Department referenced the following Service and Academy sexual harassment and assault policies:

- Air Force Instruction (AFI) 90-6001, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program," May 21, 2015;
- AFI 36-2706, "Equal Opportunity Program Military and Civilian," October 5, 2011; and
- USAFA 36-3502, "Performance Measures Program for the USAFA," March 10, 2005.

Overall, USAFA is in compliance with the Department's policies regarding sexual harassment and sexual assault. The Department saw substantive evidence that the Superintendent and her leadership team were fully engaged in making sexual assault prevention and response a priority for the Academy. This year, sexual assault reporting at the Air Force Academy returned to levels seen in previous APYs. However, a review of the data entered into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) showed that additional training and oversight is required to ensure data integrity at USAFA. It should be noted that this is the first year the MSAs were required to use DSAID in support of this report. As of the publication of this report, all data errors have been rectified, appropriate documentation was located, and USAFA has agreed to engage in a monthly quality assurance process with Headquarters Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office.

Overall Status of Compliance:

● **In Compliance**

USAFA should give greater emphasis to its sexual harassment prevention and response program and consider realigning the Equal Opportunity (EO) program more directly under the Superintendent. Realigning EO would encourage collaboration on developing and implementing sexual harassment prevention and awareness training between the EO and its Human Relations Training and Education (HRT&E) office, help ensure cadets are aware of the appropriate office with which to file a sexual

harassment complaint, and better capture sexual harassment trend data.

USAFA should also provide its Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) detachment office with adequate presence in the cadet area; ensure Air Office Commanders (AOC) are receiving necessary information from the Case Management Group (CMG) and helping agencies, and implement a comprehensive set of metrics to assess the progress of its SAPR and sexual harassment prevention and response programs.

The Department found sufficient evidence of progress to close out four of the twelve Secretary of Defense initiatives and action items from prior assessment periods. While there is evidence of USAFA progress in many areas, the Superintendent should ensure appropriate focus and resources are applied to complete action in the remaining eight initiatives and action items. The following pages capture observations on USAFA's SAPR and sexual harassment prevention and response programs by LOE. The following observations supplement the Department's overarching observations and action items made for all three Military Service Academies (MSA) in the body of this report.

DoD SAPRO Strategic Plan Lines of Effort (LOEs)	
LOE	Objectives
LOE 1: Prevention	Deliver consistent and effective prevention methods and programs.
LOE 2: Investigation	Achieve high competence in the investigation of sexual assault.
LOE3: Accountability	Achieve high competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable.
LOE 4: Advocacy/ Victim Assistance	Deliver consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options.
LOE 5: Assessment	Effectively standardize, measure, analyze, assess, and report program progress.

Line of Effort 1: Prevention

USAFA is in compliance with DoD and Air Force (AF) policy requirements for the Prevention LOE.

The *2014-2016 DoD Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy* highlights that effective sexual assault prevention does not rely on a single intervention. Efforts must occur throughout the military community to create a culture of prevention. The Department observed that USAFA's prevention work extends beyond the SAPR Office and is supported by other organizations, departments, and individuals on campus.

USAFA's SAPR prevention program is comprised of two main components: training and awareness activities. Cadets begin receiving SAPR training on day 2 of Basic Cadet Training (BCT) and continue on a yearly basis until commissioning. USAFA SAPR and EO offices were developing an enhanced BCT2 course curriculum that covers the full spectrum of the continuum of harm from sexual harassment to sexual assault during the on-site assessment. Annual SAPR training is supplemented by supporting lectures from subject matter experts (SMEs) such as Mike Domitrz ("May I Kiss You?"), Russell Strand ("Perpetrators, the Air Force and You"), and Anne Munch, ("The Un-named Conspirator").

USAFA has effectively promoted the "Circle of 6" mobile application as one of its prevention initiatives. The mobile app allows cadets to easily notify a pre-designated set of contacts in their circle when they are in a compromising situation and need assistance. The cadet Personal Ethics and Education Representatives (PEERs) promote awareness of the voluntary application and its benefits during briefings to their squadrons.

USAFA SAPR contracted with Green Dot Etc. in 2011 to develop its Cadet Bystander Intervention Training (cBIT) for cadets entering their third-class (sophomore) year.

The training was implemented during the summer of APY 14-15. The training is highly interactive and discussion-based and uses a prevention scenario about a male cadet victim. The training prepares cadets to speak up whenever they hear disparaging or disrespectful comments, as well as whenever a fellow cadet is in a dangerous situation. cBIT is designed to be an important component in USAFA's leadership and officer development efforts.

The Cadet Wing's (CW) educational programs are largely focused on instilling a culture of commitment to excellence and a climate of respect. Cadets participate in these broad-based programs during all 4 years of their time at USAFA. The commissioning education program includes a variety of lessons on SAPR, human relations, sexual harassment, suicide prevention, and many other topics.

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 1:

● **In Compliance**

Commendations

Planning events that involve the community

USAFA's event for Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention Month 2015 involved the entire CW and local community leaders. The event focused on illuminating the demoralizing effects of sexual assault. The event, *Shattering the Silence*, featured the organization Take Back the Night (TBTN) and challenged all participants to reflect on what they could do to eradicate sexual assault at USAFA. Participants heard from Secretary of the Air Force, Deborah James, and TBTN's founder, Katie Koestner. Representatives from TESSA, the local rape crisis center, also attended. The Department commends USAFA's extensive planning and execution efforts with this event, and

thoughtful local community involvement in this cadet-wide event.

Faculty engagement with sexual assault initiatives

USAFA's faculty is very involved in sexual assault prevention and education initiatives, from incorporating concepts into law, leadership, political science, and management courses, to participating as volunteer victim advocates (VVAs). Twelve of the eighteen VVAs at USAFA are faculty members.

A professor in the English Department established a book club for its faculty members to discuss incidents of sexual assault portrayed in classic literature. The English professors share the insights discussed in the book club with cadets while teaching the classics.

The Dean of Faculty developed an Ombudsmen Program to establish a climate of dignity and respect and to represent faculty members on issues brought to their attention. The Ombudsmen program is able to resolve issues of dignity and respect amongst faculty members, and they believe it has been a good model to resolve such issues. There are three faculty members who currently serve as ombudsmen.

Athletic department informal sessions between intercollegiate athletes, officer mentors, athletic directors, and the SARC

The Athletic Department hosted five informal sessions, which provided intercollegiate teams the opportunity to speak to officer mentors, athletic directors, and the sexual assault response coordinator (SARC) about dating and healthy relationships. These sessions engaged cadet athletes about establishing a healthy environment for themselves and their peers, both on and off the field, without addressing sexual assault directly. The Department cites this as a benchmark effort for the other two Academies to consider.

Suggested Enhancement

Ensure cadets receive DEOMI-approved training on sexual harassment prevention

USAFA meets the sexual harassment training requirements for cadets as outlined in AFI 36-2706. However, the *2014-2016 DoD Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy* recognizes the relationship between sexual harassment and sexual assault and calls on military leaders to create climates of mutual respect and dignity where sexual harassment and assault are not ignored, tolerated, or condoned.¹

Evidence of USAFA's emphasis on prevention of sexual harassment was not as abundant as its sexual assault prevention program. At USAFA, the 10th Air Base Wing (ABW) EO Office provides Sexual Harassment Awareness Education (SHAE) to the permanent party, but does not provide any such training to cadets. SHAE for cadets falls within HRT&E. The two individuals assigned to the HRT&E staff who are responsible for developing lesson plans for sexual harassment education are trained through the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute's (DEOMI) Equal Opportunity Advisor Course as required by Department policy. All first year cadets receive 1 hour of training on EO and how to file a sexual harassment complaint, as required by AF policy. However, the Department observed that all SHAE after a cadet's first year is extremely limited, and the number of lesson topics has decreased in recent years. For example, cadets do not receive gender socialization or diversity management training.

The Department encourages USAFA to consider going beyond DEOMI instructional material and create its own iterative sexual harassment prevention material that integrates with sexual assault prevention and other leadership and/or character development curricula. While all such products must be reviewed and approved by DEOMI, USAFA-originated material may be more relevant and meaningful to cadets than

what is made available to the rest of the force. The Department encourages USAFA to | to provide localized, DEOMI-approved, training to cadets on an annual basis.

Line of Effort 2: Investigation

This assessment addresses how well the Academy SAPR program supports and integrates with the criminal investigative process. USAFA is in compliance with the Investigation LOE.

AFOSI Detachment 808 provides investigative support to USAFA. The Detachment made significant improvements during APY 14-15 to investigative timeliness. The command timeliness standard for all sexual assault investigations is 75 days; 70% of sexual assault cases at USAFA investigated by AFOSI last year met the 75 day target with an average case duration of 96 days.

AFOSI Detachment 808 is currently in the process of establishing a Joint Sexual Assault Team (JSAT) at the Academy. JSATs are a cooperative law enforcement initiative in which Security Forces investigators serve

jointly on teams led by AFOSI agents to investigate sexual assault allegations. Special agents, security forces investigators, and prosecutors

assigned to USAFA have completed AFOSI's Sexual Crime Investigations Training Program, designed to teach advanced sexual assault investigation topics and techniques.

AFOSI works hand-in-hand with the Judge Advocate (JA) on identifying evidence that is responsive to sexual assault offense elements of proof and provides command with investigative updates. AFOSI attends the CMG, chaired by the Superintendent.

Commendation

Pre-CMG meeting to discuss details of investigations to allow CMG to focus on victim care

AFOSI meets with the Superintendent ahead of each CMG to discuss sensitive investigative information that may not be suitable for all CMG attendees in order to ensure that monthly CMGs remain focused on the care and well-being of the victim. The content for the meeting beforehand between the Superintendent, AFOSI, and JA tracks closely with the agenda for the CMG meeting afterward. The Department commends this practice at USAFA as a way to effectively administer their CMG process, but would like the Academy to ensure that appropriate information from this pre-meeting is provided to AOCs to update victims.

Suggested Enhancement

Provide the local AFOSI detachment with an appropriate presence/office space in cadet area

The Department believes that the Academy's SAPR program collaborates well with AFOSI and the investigative process. The Department did, however, observe that the vast majority of AFOSI's cases are in the cadet wing, but the physical location of its offices is about 20 minutes away in the 10th ABW headquarters building. The Department encourages USAFA to provide AFOSI with a satellite space in the cadet area, in order to allow better cadet access and improve investigative timelines.

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 2:

● In Compliance

Line of Effort 3: Accountability

This assessment addresses how well the Academy SAPR program supports and integrates with the military criminal justice process. USAFA is in compliance with the Accountability LOE.

Sexual assault allegations are both difficult to investigate and require proof beyond a reasonable doubt in court. In order to promote effective cooperation, USAFA has focused on increasing communication with all the relevant parties in the response system; JA, AFOSI, Special Victims' Counsel (SVCs), and SARC. This enhanced communication

helps to resolve difficulties early in investigations, keeps victims better apprised of the case progress, and facilitates case disposition decisions.

To enable cross-function communication, USAFA's Chief of Military Justice has standing weekly meetings with AFOSI and bi-weekly meetings with the SARC to provide updates and discuss case progress. In addition, the SVC and Special Victims Paralegal provided training for the JA office to better explain the newly implemented SVC program.

All JAs at USAFA complete a local training program on sexual assault cases. This includes SAPR First Responder training for attorneys prosecuting cases, and for Legal Assistance Attorneys. JAs assigned to USAFA are provided with the opportunity to receive specialized training through the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School. Courses include: Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation, Trial and Defense Advocacy, Advanced Trial Advocacy, and Special Victims Unit (SVU) Investigation. Counsel who attended the SVU Investigation course are given priority assignment to sexual assault cases.

USAFA's Staff Judge Advocate (SJA) was creating a legal guide that will identify all AF and DoD SAPR rules and regulations pertaining to sexual assault during the on-site assessment. The guide is intended to be a one-stop shop for legal advisors, commanders and any personnel involved in SAPR on all sexual assault rules and regulations. The Department looks forward to viewing the legal guide completed.

Commendation

USAFA SJA conducts a sexual assault legal review of all Article 120 offenses

The USAFA SJA office conducts sexual assault legal reviews for all Article 120 cases. In each case, the SJA conducts a proof analysis, illustrating how evidence and testimony either met or fell short of the required offense elements. Conducting these additional reviews allows JAs greater familiarity with legal issues that arise in sexual assault cases.

Action Items

Require VWAP personnel to complete the Air Force Distance Learning Course provided by the Air Force Judge Advocate General School

The Department observed that the paralegal performing Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) duties at USAFA did not receive official training as required in DoDI 6495.02.ⁱⁱ Unlike many AF bases that have a full-time civilian employee performing VWAP duties, USAFA instead has a General Law paralegal performing the function as an additional duty. VWAP personnel should be required to obtain official AF training on victim witness assistance. The training is available as a distance learning course.

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 3:

● In Compliance

Involve EO representatives in cadet disciplinary boards considering allegations of sexual harassment

USAFA must ensure that an EO representative is informed of and involved in all cadet disciplinary boards considering allegations of sexual harassment. According to AFI 36-2706, the installation EO office is responsible for facilitating the adjudication of all cadet sexual harassment complaints.

However, it appears that some complaints of sexual harassment at USAFA were adjudicated by cadet-run disciplinary boards without notifying or involving the installation EO office. While these boards were supervised by an AOC, there was no EO representative to act as a subject matter expert, as required in AFI 36-2706, or to ensure the proceedings followed Air Force and Department policy.ⁱⁱⁱ

Line of Effort 4: Advocacy/Victim Assistance

USAFA is in compliance with DoD and AF policy requirements for the Advocacy/Victim Assistance LOE.

USAFA SAPR staff includes one SARC and three full-time VAs, with an additional 18 VVAs who are permanent party and faculty members. The VVAs are all certified to provide victim support. Having faculty member VVAs has been very helpful to USAFA. They act as faculty liaison to the registrar's office, facilitate communication within the academic departments, and act as SAPR program resources for other faculty members. Highlights of advocacy and victim assistance efforts are included in the following section.

The Cadet Integrated Delivery System (IDS)^{iv} recommended the creation of a Help Button for every desktop computer on the installation. The Help Button is a repository of phone numbers for all helping agencies so that any member of USAFA can easily find appropriate resources, including victim assistance agencies for sexual assault and sexual harassment, that they may need. The desktop icon, named "Helping Agencies," went live across USAFA in March 2015. The availability of the icon has aided in increasing awareness of helping agencies at USAFA.

The USAFA SAPR staff collaborates with the Vice Commandant of Cadets, Department of Faculty and the Registrar office on a regular basis. USAFA SAPR staff and the Registrar will work together to manage victims' work-load and classroom assignments to support the victims' needs.

A helpful resource for cadets is the PEER Program. The PEER Program is a volunteer cadet-run program. Each cadet squadron has

two PEERs who are trained to recognize when other cadets are in need of help. PEERs can provide information on a range of issues, including sexual assault and suicide, and direct cadets to the appropriate services.

This year the MSAs experienced an increase in reporting; overall reports increased by 32 reports from APY 2013-2014, with most of the change in reporting occurring at USAFA. This year, sexual assault reporting at USAFA returned to levels seen in previous APYs. Short-term changes in reporting are subject to a wide variety of factors. Academy surveys in previous years indicate that a number of considerations affect a victim's decision to report, including the perceived severity of the incident, the victim's need for medical or other assistance, the victim's desire to deal with the situation without help, the belief that reporting might protect others, a victim's concern about privacy, and several other considerations.¹

Commendation

Collaboration with Memorial Hospital and TESSA

USAFA has established strong relationships with Memorial Hospital Central in Colorado Springs and the local rape crisis center, TESSA. All sexual assault forensic exams are conducted by trained Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) at Memorial Hospital Central. The eleven full-time SANEs afford cadets little to no wait time when they arrive at the hospital. Representatives from TESSA

¹ See the 2014 Service Academy Gender Relations Survey, available: http://sapr.mil/public/docs/research/DMDC_2014_Service_Academy_Gender_Relations_Survey_Report.pdf

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 4:

● In Compliance

meet with personnel at the Academy on a quarterly basis and attended the TBTN event.

Suggested Enhancement

CCD should leverage Safe Helpline.org as a force multiplier in both prevention and response

The sexual assault response system at USAFA is comprehensive in meeting the requirements of DoD policy. The Department believes the DoD Safe Helpline is an additional asset that the USAFA cadets could benefit from.

The DoD Safe Helpline provides live 24-7 worldwide confidential and anonymous, one-on-one support, either by phone, by chat, and by text. Safe Helpline.org also provides services via its Safe HelpRoom, a group chat service that allows sexual assault survivors in the military to connect to each other in a moderated and secure online environment. The DoD Safe Helpline also has a self-care app, allowing survivors to create a customized self-care plan that is stored on their mobile device and remains completely confidential. The Department believes that the resources provided by the DoD Safe Helpline should be considered a force multiplier for victim care at USAFA. The Department encourages the USAFA Directorate for Culture, Climate, and Diversity (CCD) to find ways to better publicize it to cadets and permanent party.

Action Items

Align the EO program alongside the SAPR program under the Superintendent, and provide cadets with access to EO personnel

The 10th ABW EO Office is the central point of contact at the installation level to receive complaints of sexual harassment in accordance with Chapter 6 of DoDD 1350.2.^v It is staffed with qualified and trained EO specialists. The Department found that most

sexual harassment complaints originate in the SAPR Office and are then referred to the EO office. The EO office is several miles away, and virtually inaccessible to cadets without transportation. Although physical relocation of the entire office may not be necessary or feasible, the EO Office should be provided space in the cadet area suitable for taking reports and providing services.

The action item to realign the 10th ABW EO Office under the USAFA Superintendent was previously made in 2005 after an AF MEO audit of USAFA. The audit found that cadets were initiating potential MEO actions with squadron human relations education officers at the Cadet Counseling Center, and that several incidents of sexual harassment could have become complaints. The Department's observations from the on-site assessment conducted in May 2015 are consistent with the findings from the earlier 2005 audit. USAFA should align the EO under the Superintendent.

Ensure EO and FAP are involved in CAIB/IDS

AFI 40-301 requires the Family Advocacy Program (FAP) to be a member of the Community Action Information Board/Integrated Delivery System (CAIB/IDS).^{vi} USAFA has multiple permanent working groups in which EO and FAP should participate in order to promote cross-organizational collaboration and to help commanders to accurately assess the health of the USAFA community. The USAFA must add FAP to the CAIB/IDS as required by AF policy. The USAFA should consider adding EO to this forum as well as including FAP and EO to the other permanent working groups at the Academy. EO and FAP can make important contributions, particularly in the prevention of sexual harassment and assault.

Revise MOU with TESSA to include sexual assault

Enclosure 5 of DoDI 6495.02 requires commanders to identify and maintain a

liaison with civilian sexual assault victim resources. Where necessary, it is directed that a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the appropriate local authorities and civilian service organizations be established to maximize cooperation, reciprocal reporting of sexual assault information, and consultation regarding jurisdiction for the prosecution of Service members involved in sexual assault, as appropriate.^{vii}

The current MOU with TESSA only explicitly addresses support for reports of domestic violence. USAFA should revise the MOU so that support for sexual assault victims is included.

PPC and 10th Medical Group should facilitate a warm hand-off to a SARC or SAPR VA to complete a DD Form 2910

SARCs are the single point of contact for coordinating care for sexual assault victims in accordance with DoDI 6495.02.^{viii} Cadets who report sexual assaults to Peak Performance Center (PPC) personnel and the 10th Medical Group should be referred to the SARC or a VA. This referral is necessary so that the SARC can capture the report via a DD Form 2910 and determine the appropriate response resources needed by the cadet.

Line of Effort 5: Assessment

USAFA is in compliance with DoD and AF policy requirements for the Assessment LOE.

The first BCT survey was conducted during summer 2014 for the Class of 2018. The survey focused on detecting maltreatment and unprofessional relationships, and prior incidents of sexual assault. The survey found that a small percentage of cadets experienced negative behaviors prior to entering the Academy. Information about the cadet cadre culture and climate obtained from the survey informs the briefings and training conducted for the new cadets. USAFA intends to adjust some of the survey questions for the next group of incoming students and will continue to conduct the survey to obtain trending information.

The Department of Behavioral Science independently invited the cadet wing to take the Illinois Rape Myth Scale during the Fall Semester of APY 14-15. The survey, conducted online, was entirely voluntary for cadets. About half of the cadet population participated in the survey. USAFA plans to administer the survey in summer 2015 to the entire entering Class of 2019 during BCT and will continue to administer it to the Class of 2019 annually to track if their attitudes change from year to year.

As mentioned in previous sections, the installation EO office alone is authorized to receive cadet sexual harassment complaints. EO is the only office that has access to the DoD FAMNET computer system used to track all demographic data for both the complainant and alleged offender of sexual harassment. Any time a cadet goes to another office or resource, such as the HRT&E office, with any questions or issues requiring assistance for an incident of sexual harassment, these data will not be entered into FAMNET unless the

EO office is notified. This precludes the Academy from developing comprehensive sexual harassment trend data that could be used to adequately assess its prevention and response program.

Action Items

Implement a comprehensive set of metrics identified for GRIP or another comparable set of metrics

The Academy Superintendents were required to implement appropriate metrics and assessment tools, consistent with Department-wide methodologies. USAFA began developing in APY 12-13 a draft set of metrics, the Gender Relations Integrated Platform (GRIP), to assess the gender relations culture at the Academy. The GRIP is designed to comprehensively compile assessment data across four areas of impact, which are: Cadet Commitment (attitudes about gender related issues and tolerance), Institutional Commitment (budget and resources), Gender Related Behaviors (attitudes, feelings, and behaviors that are compatible with cultural expectations associated with a person's biological sex), and Learning and Development (changes due to maturation or training). The GRIP aims to achieve a better understanding of the gender relations culture at USAFA, monitor curricula, activities, and events across USAFA that influence gender relations and measure their impact. The draft GRIP metrics set was highlighted as a best practice for the other MSAs. However, the Department observed that development and use of the GRIP did not proceed past the Department's last visit.

The implementation of the GRIP, or another comparable set of metrics, such as the SAPR metrics, should occur as soon as possible. Metrics that include surveys developed by USAFA, such as the BCT survey, should be

Status of Compliance
Line of Effort 5:
● In Compliance

coordinated with the Defense Manpower Data Center's (DMDC) Service Academy Gender Relations Survey (SAGR) so both use comparable terms and definitions.

Data entry into DSAID must be timely and accurate

- Ensure all cases in DSAID have a corresponding signed DD Form 2910
- Ensure the SARC has the investigation case number to enter into DSAID
- Ensure the SARC opens a case in DSAID within 48 hours

DSAID was mandated by Congress as a standardized, centralized, case-level database that collects and maintains information on sexual assault reports involving members of the Armed Forces. DSAID serves as the database of record for sexual assault reporting within the Department.

The Department found that record keeping and data entry by the USAFA SARC's office was not meeting Department standards in DoDI 6495.02. Specifically, not all reports of sexual assault had a corresponding DD Form 2910 (Policy(b)(1)), cases were not being initiated within the 48 hour requirement (Policy(u)(1)(a)), complete case data was not entered for all reports, and signed DD Form 2910s were not being stored appropriately for the requisite amount of years (Policy(u)).^{ix}

The Academy is unable to employ the DSAID metrics for the Superintendent's needs when information is not categorized correctly in DSAID. In addition, the fiscal year (FY) 2013 NDAA (P.L. 112-239) directs that the information provided in DSAID be used for informing all reports to Congress, as required in the Ike Skelton NDAA for FY 2011 (P.L. 111-383; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note).^x Failing to enter the information in the database in a

timely fashion impedes the Department and the Air Force's ability to accurately manage and report on USAFA's response to sexual assault. This is the first year that the Academies were required to use DSAID in support of this report. USAFA has corrected all discrepancies and put a quality assurance process in place to improve its data in response to these findings. The quality assessment process includes:

- The interim MAJCOM SARC/Program Manager verifying and validating DSAID information 48 hours after each report is provided to USAFA leadership.
- Instituted monthly spot checks of 2910s by the interim MAJCOM SARC/Program Manager ensuring DSAID entries are 100% accurate in the collection and maintenance of information regarding sexual assaults.
- Revised intake processes so that only the installation SARC/Deputy SARC will create folders, assign report numbers, and provide leadership with notifications, thus increasing accuracy for creating a new report.

Ensure AOCs use the MAOCS as soon as it becomes available and provide the results to the next level of command

USAFA's 10th ABW EO Office has been working with DEOMI to develop the Military Academy Organizational Climate Survey (MAOCS) for cadets to assess Academy command climate. It is imperative that the MAOCS are ordered by Academy survey administrators so that AOCs receive results at the squadron level, to effectively leverage the feedback loop among cadets and leaders within the chain of command. In turn, Academy leadership should be holding AOCs accountable for addressing the issues identified by the survey.

Implementation Progress: Secretary of Defense Initiatives Common for all MSAs

The following section provides the actions that USAFA has taken to implement Secretary of Defense Initiatives. The initiatives listed below were either issued by the Secretary of Defense in February 2015 or considered “in progress” by the Department in the APY 13-14 Report. While not all actions are complete, the Department found evidence that USAFA is working towards meeting requirements.

Secretary of Defense Initiatives USAFA Progress at a Glance	
	Status
Targeted Interventions	● Complete
Improving Sexual Assault Reporting	→ In Progress
Enhance Strategic Planning	→ In Progress
Advance and Sustain Appropriate Culture	→ In Progress
Expand Alcohol Policies	● Complete
● Complete → In Progress ✖ No Progress	

Targeted Interventions

Requirement: In 2014, the Service Academy Gender Relations Survey found that sophomore women at all three MSAs experienced higher rates of past-year sexual assault than the other three class years. Consequently, the Secretary of Defense directed the Academies to develop and conduct specific prevention programs and initiatives for cadets and midshipmen at the conclusion of their first academic year. These programs were to address professional relationship expectations and the factors believed to be behind the higher rates of sexual assault experienced by cadets and midshipmen in their “Third Class” (sophomore) year.

USAFA Action: USAFA SAPR contracted *Green Dot Etc.* in 2011 to develop cBIT for rising sophomores with the intention to implement it during the 3 Summer Periods. USAFA provided cBIT to rising sophomores for the first time in APY 14-15. The training covers personal and professional relationships, along with discussions on the factors that contribute to higher rates of sexual assault among sophomores than other class years. It is highly interactive and discussion-based and uses realistic scenarios. Cadets are encouraged to speak up whenever they hear disparaging or disrespectful comments, as well as whenever a fellow cadet is in a risky situation. cBIT is critical to leadership and officer development.

Status: **Complete.** The Department considers this action to be complete as the cBIT training was implemented during summer 2014 for the APY 14-15 sophomore class.

Improving Sexual Assault Reporting

Requirement: Academies were to assess their individual Academy climates and develop a plan to promote greater reporting of sexual assault by cadets and midshipmen.

USAFA Action: USAFA uses several outreach efforts to improve sexual assault reporting and assess the cadet climate. Comments received from cadets on the Cadet Squadron Organizational Climate Survey and Cadet Health and Wellness Survey suggest the sexual assault reporting climate is favorable. This improved climate is influenced by recent and ongoing SAPR engagements, including cBIT, Social Impact Theater Project, Athletic Department informal sessions, Take Back the Night, as well as the active work of VAs, and revamped SAPR

training for cadets during their first weeks at USAFA. Additionally, USAFA is working with DEOMI to develop the MAOCS to more accurately capture cadet perceptions regarding sexual assault and sexual harassment.

Status: In Progress. The Department considers this to be in progress. Although a response to the SecDef Initiative was received, a clearly laid out plan, that includes defined goals to promote greater reporting and outlines how USAFA is going to achieve those goals, was not included. USAFA should use past DMDC focus group and survey data when developing its plan. In addition, the cadet-centered MAOCS is still in development by DEOMI. Once the MAOCS is available, USAFA should ensure its results are closely considered when developing its plan to promote dignity and respect.

Enhance Strategic Planning

Requirement: Academies were to implement strategic plans for sexual assault and harassment that aligned with their Service and Department strategic plans.

USAFA Action: The USAFA CCD has produced a USAFA SAPR Strategic Plan.

Status: In Progress. The Department considers this action to be in progress as USAFA's SAPR strategic plan is not organized along DoD SAPR Strategic Plan LOEs and lacks details on how USAFA is going to complete its intended goals. The Department encourages USAFA to use USNA's SAPR Strategic Plan as a template to clearly delineate and prioritize the Academy's initiatives, and dictate which office has responsibility over them. In addition, CCD should coordinate with the CW to see how the Cadet Military Education and Training Plan can influence prevention methods in the USAFA SAPR Strategic Plan.

Advance and Sustain Appropriate Culture

Requirement: Academies were to:

- Implement solutions that address concerns of social retaliation among peers;
- Employ purposeful and direct engagement with leaders and supervisors of teams, clubs, and other cadet/midshipman organizations; and
- Provide cadet and midshipman influencers with the skills and knowledge to strengthen their ongoing mentorship programs.

USAFA Action: USAFA is working with research-based programs to develop prevention programs for specific populations to include cadets in clubs and in athletics. The SAPR Office provided training to all cadet clubs and non-intercollegiate athletic teams, conducted regular and informal sessions with intercollegiate athletic teams, and conducted specialized SAPR training for all Athletic Department staff. The training provided (in addition to the existing healthy relationship program for the initial basic cadet trainees) has been tailored for intercollegiate athletes. During the session, healthy relationship discussions and definitions are expanded to include dating and intimacy, identifiers of a healthy relationship, establishing and maintaining personal and interpersonal boundaries, "red flags" in relationships, and assertive and respectful communication. These sessions have been well-received, allowing cadets to engage with SAPR staff in a more informal and effective manner, thus encouraging them to feel comfortable and confident to report a sexual assault.

Status: In Progress. USAFA should host informal sessions with leadership and members of other teams, clubs, and cadet organizations. In addition, the Department would like to see evidence of solutions that address concerns of social retaliation among peers in USAFA's self-assessment. The

Department would also like to learn how USAFA provides cadet influencers with the skills and knowledge to strengthen their ongoing mentorship programs in their self-assessment.

Expand Alcohol Policies

Requirement: Academies were to review and systematically expand institutional alcohol policies to address risk factors beyond the individual use of alcohol, to include such matters as training of alcohol providers, alcohol availability, and community outreach.

USAFA Action: USAFA participates in several community alcohol awareness activities, such as the "That Guy" campaign, the annual Alcohol Awareness month in December, the annual Red Ribbon campaign, and an educational alcohol awareness event with local Boy Scout troops, in October.

USAFA provides Dram Shop^{xi} Training through the 10th Force Support Squadron (10 FSS). After the Department's assessment resulting in the APY 13-14 report, the training was revised to include an added emphasis on Bystander Intervention Training, with the understanding that alcohol servers would assist vulnerable patrons as "bystanders." All alcohol servers were retrained with this

emphasis. Training has been provided, documented and is more robust than during the original evaluation. USAFA's FSS use of Dram Shop Training is documented in the individual's personnel records, and is available through the supervisor. This training is required prior to a server being authorized to provide alcohol.

All local law enforcement agencies meet quarterly for an Armed Forces Disciplinary Control Board meeting to review off-limits establishments. This ensures local law enforcement is aware of establishments that are off limits to military personnel. Local law enforcement supports USAFA during daily operations as well as at all home football games. El Paso County Sheriff enforces rules regarding unauthorized containers and overdrinking within the confines of the stadium and surrounding parking lots for civilian offenses. This is conducted in a coordinated effort with 10th Security Forces Squadron (10 SFS). Keeping the idea of coordinated effort in mind, 10 SFS regularly trains with local law enforcement to better combat alcohol offenses and awareness within the community.

Status: Complete. USAFA demonstrated how it expanded institutional alcohol policies to address risk factors beyond the individual use of alcohol through its Dram Shop Training.

Assessment of Action Items from Previous Reports

The Department provided twenty action items from the APY 12-13 Report, seven of which remained in progress after USAFA's self-assessment in APY 13-14. The Department found sufficient evidence to close two action items, with five remaining open.

Previous Action Items USAFA Progress at a Glance	
	Status
Ensure Adequate Manpower for Sexual Assault Investigation	● Complete
Establish SARC and VA Positions at 10 th ABW	→ In Progress
Fully Staff USAFA SAPR Office	● Complete
Appoint a Dedicated SAPR Training Resource	→ In Progress
Identify and Provide Response Training to USAFA Representatives	→ In Progress
Continue developing GRIP and Align with Strategic Plan	→ In Progress
Develop Outcome-Based Metrics to Address Prevention	✘ No Progress
● Complete → In Progress ✘ No Progress	

Ensure Adequate Manpower for Sexual Assault Investigation

Requirement: As reports of sexual assault increase, ensure manpower is adequate to meet mission demands.

USAFA Action: HQ AFOSI's Criminal Investigations Division periodically compares each AFOSI unit's sexual assault investigations caseload against the number of agents assigned to ensure an equitable distribution of manpower across the command. As a result of this periodic review, HQ AFOSI assigned one full-time sexual assault investigator to AFOSI Detachment

808, USAFA, in 2012. In addition, AFOSI Detachment 808 now has a JSAT at USAFA. JSATs is a cooperative law enforcement initiative in which Security Forces investigators serve jointly with AFOSI agents on adult victim sexual assault investigation teams. Security Forces investigators selected to serve on JSATs will receive their training through AFOSI's Sexual Crime Investigations Training Program. HQ AFOSI currently assesses AFOSI Detachment 808 as having sufficient manpower to conduct its mission, but will continue to assess AFOSI's manpower distribution and redistribute as necessary.

Status: Complete. The Department considers this action item to be complete as AFOSI is establishing a Joint Sexual Assault Team at USAFA and is periodically assessed to ensure manpower is adequate to meet mission demands by HQ AFOSI.

Establish SARC and VA Positions at 10th ABW

Requirement: Establish SARC and VA Positions at the 10th ABW.

USAFA Action: The SARC position request has been through the AF corporate process and based on AF's Program Objective Memorandum (POM) submission to the Office of the Secretary of Defense (ref: CCN 7R6P226A), the SARC and VA positions are pending FY17 program budget request and program budget approval to implement no later than FY17. Additionally USAFA has assigned a VA who works at the 10th ABW in the interim.

Status: In Progress. This action item was first identified in APY 10-11 in a separate action item. This action item is still in progress.

Fully Staff USAFA SAPR Office

Requirement: Fully Staff USAFA SAPR Office.

USAFA Action: USAFA SAPR Office is fully staffed.

Status: **Complete.** The Department considers this action to be complete. Future assessments will continue to assess the staffing of the SAPR Office.

Appoint a Dedicated SAPR Training Resource

Requirement: Appoint a dedicated training resource that would allow the SARC and SAPR VAs to focus on victim advocacy.

USAFA Action: The dedicated training position has been through the AF corporate process and approved by AF and forwarded as part of the FY 17 POM.

Status: **In Progress.** This action item was identified in APY 12-13. The Department considers this action to be in progress.

Identify and Provide Response Training to USAFA Representatives

Requirement: Identify appropriate level of sexual assault response training and procedures and provide on an annual basis to officer, enlisted, and civilian club and sports team representatives (to include those leading off-campus activities).

USAFA Action: USAFA SAPR office provided outreach meetings with multiple intercollegiate athletic teams during APY 14-15. The USAFA SARC conducted SAPR training for the Cadet Clubs Officers in Charge, Non-Commissioned Officers in Charge, and other leaders on April 30, 2015 and will continue this effort until all club team representatives are fully trained. Future training sessions are already scheduled for

the summer and for next fall (September 7, 2015).

Status: **In Progress.** The Department considers this to be in progress until the training is provided to all club team representatives and looks forward to reviewing information about the completion of the training in USAFA's self-assessment.

Continue developing GRIP and align with strategic plan

Requirement: Continue developing the draft USAFA Gender Relations Integrated Platform (GRIP) and align with the USAFA SAPR Strategic Plan.

USAFA Action: USAFA is hiring an analyst to review GRIP and develop a program to provide an institutional review of all SAPR areas.

Status: **In Progress.** The Department observed during the on-site assessment that USAFA is in the process of hiring an analyst who will implement the comprehensive set of metrics identified for GRIP or another comparable set of metrics, such as the SAPR metrics, in the next APY.

Develop Outcome-Based Metrics to Address Prevention

Requirement: Develop outcome-based metrics to address all prevention efforts.

USAFA Action: USAFA is hiring an analyst to review the GRIP and develop a program to provide an institutional review of all SAPR areas.

Status: **No Progress.** The Department has not seen any progress in the development of outcome-based metrics to address prevention at USAFA. The Department was made aware during the on-site assessment that USAFA is in the process of hiring an analyst in the next APY and is hopeful that this new individual will work to develop outcome-based metrics.

The Department will continue to consider no progress taken on this action until clear and concrete evidence is observed that USAFA is

developing and implementing outcome-based metrics to address prevention in the next APY.

ⁱ Department of Defense. (2014). *DoD Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy*, 5. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/prevention/prevention-strategy>

ⁱⁱ Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Enclosure 10, 7, "Training Requirements for DoD Personnel," Incorporating Change 2, July 7, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/dod-policy/dod-and-service-policy#DoD-policy-mod>

ⁱⁱⁱ Air Force Instruction 36-2706, 3.3. "The EO Specialist Role as a Subject Matter Expert (SME)," October 5, 2011. Retrieved from http://static.e-publishing.af.mil/production/1/af_a1/publication/afi36-2706/afi36-2706.pdf

^{iv} The IDS functions as the action arm of the CAIB and develops a comprehensive, coordinated plan for integrating and implementing community outreach and prevention programs (e.g., financial, relationship, family maltreatment, sexual assault, equal opportunity, suicide prevention, substance abuse, health promotion, tobacco cessation, etc.), with the goal of enhancing resilience in military communities. For more information, please see: http://static.e-publishing.af.mil/production/1/af_a1/publication/afi90-501/afi90-501.pdf

^v Department of Defense Directive 1350.2, 6.2.8, "Department of Defense Military Equal Opportunity Program," November 21, 2003. Retrieved from <http://dtic.mil/whs/directives/corres/pdf/135002p.pdf>

^{vi} Air Force Instruction 40-301, 2.2.1.6. "Family Advocacy Program," November 16, 2015. Retrieved from http://static.e-publishing.af.mil/production/1/af_sg/publication/afi40-301/afi40-301.pdf

^{vii} Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Enclosure 5, 3.k., "Commander and Management SAPR Procedures," Incorporating Change 2, July 7, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/dod-policy/dod-and-service-policy#DoD-policy-mod>

^{viii} Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Enclosure 6, 1.a., "SARC and SAPR VA Procedures," Incorporating Change 2, July 7, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/dod-policy/dod-and-service-policy#DoD-policy-mod>

^{ix} Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, "Policy," Incorporating Change 2, July 7, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.sapr.mil/index.php/dod-policy/dod-and-service-policy#DoD-policy-mod>

^x National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), Section 572 (2)(B)

^{xi} Air Force Instruction 34-219, Chapter 1.5, “Alcoholic Beverage Program”, Change 1, February 1, 2008. Retrieved from http://static.e-publishing.af.mil/production/1/usafa/publication/afi34-219_usafasup_i/afi34-219_usafasup_i.pdf

Appendix D: Statistical Data on Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault



Table of Contents

Appendix D: Statistical Data on Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault	3
Background: What It Captures	3
Reports of Sexual Assault	3
Subject Dispositions	4
Whom It Describes	6
When It Happened	6
How It Is Gathered	7
Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database	7
Why It Is Collected	8
Aggregate Statistical Data on Sexual Assault	8
APY 14-15 Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault	13
Disposition of Sexual Assault Reports Adjudicated in APY 14-15.....	14
Demographics of Unrestricted Reports.....	15
APY 14-15 Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault	16
Demographics of Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault.....	17
APY 14-15 Service Referral Information.....	18
Statistical Data on Sexual Harassment	18
Sexual Harassment Reporting.....	18

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Reports of Sexual Assault to the MSAs by Report Type, APY 07-08 to 14-15	8
Exhibit 2: Report of Sexual Assault and Investigations Completed in APY 14-15.....	9
Exhibit 3: Total Reports to the MSAs Occurring <i>Prior to</i> or <i>During</i> Military Service, APY 07-08 to 14-15	10
Exhibit 4: Reports of Sexual Assault by Academy, APY 07-08 to 14-15	11
Exhibit 5: Estimated Cadets and Midshipmen Experiencing Unwanted Sexual Contact Based on Past Year Prevalence Rates versus Number of Cadet and Midshipmen Victims in Reports of Sexual Assault Made during the Academic Program Year, for Incidents Occurring During Military Service, APY 05-06 to 14-15.....	12
Exhibit 6: Sexual Assault Crimes Investigated in Unrestricted Reports, APY 14-15	13
Exhibit 7: Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault by Victim and Subject Status, APY 14-15.....	14

Exhibit 8: Reports Initially Made Restricted, the Reports Remaining Restricted, and Restricted Reports Converted to Unrestricted, APY 07-08 to 14-15 16

Exhibit 9: Categories of Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault, APY 14-15..... 17

List of Tables

Table 1 – Sexual Assault Offenses in the UCMJ, Prior to October 1, 2007 to Present 4

Table 2 – Total Reports of Sexual Assault by Type of Report and Academy in APY 14-15 11

Table 3 – Gender of Victims and Subjects in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports in APY 14-15..... 15

Table 4 – Age of Victims and Subjects in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports in APY 14-15 15

Table 5 – Gender of in Restricted Reports Remaining in APY 14-15..... 17

Table 6 – Age of Victims in Restricted Reports Remaining in APY 14-15..... 17

Appendix D: Statistical Data on Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault

Background: What It Captures

Reports of Sexual Assault

The Department of Defense's (DoD) sexual assault data capture the Unrestricted and Restricted Reports of sexual assault made to the Military Service Academies (MSAs) during Academic Program Year (APY) 14-15.

In the context of DoD statistics that follow, an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault is an allegation by one victim against one or more suspects (referred to in DoD as "subjects of investigation" or "subjects"). Unrestricted Reports are referred for investigation to a Military Criminal Investigation Organization (MCIO; called CID, NCIS, or AFOSI by Army, Navy/Marine Corps, and Air Force, respectively). DoD bases the number of Unrestricted Reports on data entered into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) by Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs). Data transferred from MCIO information systems into DSAID provide additional information about these cases.

Data on Restricted Reports are limited, since these reports allow victims to remain anonymous while still receiving care and services. Given the victim's desire for confidentiality, MCIOs do not investigate these reports, and victims are not required to provide identifying details about these sexual assaults. As a result, the SARC only records limited data about the victim and the offense in DSAID. For Restricted Reports entered into DSAID, SARCs do not request or enter subject identities.

DoD's sexual assault reporting statistics include data about sexual contact crimes by adults against adults, as defined in Articles 120 and 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) and Article 80 (attempts to commit these offenses). These data do not include sexual assaults between spouses or intimate partners that fall under the purview of the DoD Family Advocacy Program (FAP), nor do these data include sexual harassment cases, which fall under the purview of Military Equal Opportunity (MEO). While most victims and subjects in the following data are aged 18 or older, DoD statistics also capture some victims and subjects aged 16 and 17 at the time of the report. Service members approved for early enlistment prior to age 18 are included in this category. Since the age of consent under the UCMJ is 16 years, military and civilian victims aged 16 and older could be included in these data if they do not fall under FAP's purview.

The Department uses the term "sexual assault" in policy and programs to refer to the range of crimes in military law that constitute contact sexual offenses between adults. Since 2004, there have been three versions of Article 120, UCMJ, which defines some of those crimes. Table 1 depicts revisions to the UCMJ's characterization of "sexual assault" over time.¹

¹ Since June 28, 2012, misconduct addressed by the offense "Aggravated Sexual Assault" has been captured by the offense "Sexual Assault." Likewise, misconduct previously addressed by "Wrongful Sexual Contact" has been captured by the offense "Abusive Sexual Contact."

Table 1 – Sexual Assault Offenses in the UCMJ, Prior to October 1, 2007 to Present

Sexual Assault Offenses	Prior to October 1, 2007	October 1, 2007 to June 27, 2012	June 28, 2012 to Present
Rape (Article 120)	✓	✓	✓
Sexual Assault (Article 120)	N/A	N/A	✓
Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120)	N/A	✓	N/A
Aggravated Sexual Contact (Article 120)	N/A	✓	✓
Abusive Sexual Contact (Article 120)	N/A	✓	✓
Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120)	N/A	✓	N/A
Nonconsensual Sodomy (Article 125)	✓	✓	✓
Indecent Assault (Article 134)	✓	N/A	N/A
Attempts to commit (Article 80)	✓	✓	✓

When a report is grouped under a criminal offense category in this document (e.g. Rape, Sexual Assault, Aggravated Sexual Contact), it means the crime in that category was the most serious of the infractions reported by the victim or investigated. It does not necessarily reflect the final findings of the investigators or the crime(s) addressed by court-martial charges or some other form of disciplinary action against a subject.

The number of sexual assaults reported to MSA authorities in APY14-15 *does not* necessarily reflect the number of sexual assaults that may have occurred in that APY. Civilian research indicates that victims only report a small fraction of sexual assaults to law enforcement. A survey commissioned by the Association of American Universities in 2015 found that about 23% of undergraduate women experienced nonconsensual sexual contact involving physical force or incapacitation since entering college.² Reporting rates vary significantly by the type of sexual contact (penetrating or sexual touching) and the tactic used (physical force or alcohol/ drugs). For example, the survey estimates that about 26% of college women who experienced physically forced penetration reported the crime to an agency, while only about 5% of college women who experienced sexual touching while incapacitated did.³

This civilian reporting behavior mirrors that at the Military Academies. Over the past 9 years, DoD estimates that fewer than 11% of cadet/midshipman victims reported the matter to a military authority. However, in 2014, DoD estimated that 16% of cadets/midshipmen who indicated on the 2014 *SAGR Survey* that they experienced unwanted sexual contact reported the matter to a military authority.

Subject Dispositions

Once the investigation of an Unrestricted Report is complete, the Department requires the MSAs to provide the outcome of the cases against each subject named in an investigation. The Department refers to these outcomes as “subject dispositions.” DoD holds those who have committed sexual assault appropriately accountable based on the available evidence. Legal

² The estimation provided here varies widely among the 27 institutions of higher education from which the population was sampled and should not be taken as “standard” across all universities.

³ The Association of American Universities. (2015). *Report on the AAU Campus Climate Survey on Sexual Assault and Sexual Misconduct*. Rockville, MD: Cantor, D., Fisher, B., Chibnall, S., Townsend, R., Lee, H., Bruce, C., & Thomas, G. Retrieved from: <http://www.aau.edu/Climate-Survey.aspx?id=16525>

authority for the Department is limited to those who are subject to the UCMJ and, therefore, its military justice jurisdiction. Cadets and midshipmen are Service members and are under the legal authority of the UCMJ. Except in rare circumstances, such as in deployed environments, a civilian is not subject to the UCMJ for the purpose of court-martial jurisdiction or other military justice discipline.

Although DoD investigates all sexual assault cases, each year, the Department lacks jurisdiction over some subjects in its investigations and cannot proceed with disciplinary action. These subjects are civilians, foreign nationals, and unidentified subjects alleged to have sexually assaulted Service members.

- Local civilian authorities in the U.S. and our host nations hold primary responsibility for prosecuting U.S. civilians and foreign nationals, respectively, who perpetrate sexual assault against Service members.⁴
- A civilian authority, such as a state, county or municipality, may prosecute Service members anytime they commit an offense within its jurisdiction. Service member prosecutions by civilian authorities are decisions made on a case-by-case and jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction basis. This may occur when a civilian accuses a Service member of a sexual assault, or when a Service member sexually assaults another Service member in a location where the state holds primary jurisdiction.

Upon completion of a criminal investigation, the MCIO agent conducting the investigation provides a report documenting the evidentiary findings to the subject's military commander and the servicing staff judge advocate (SJA) for review and legal action, as appropriate. However, for crimes of rape, sexual assault, nonconsensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these crimes, a senior military officer who is at least a special court-martial convening authority and in the grade of O-6 (Colonel or Navy Captain) or higher retains initial disposition authority.

The special court-martial convening authority is responsible for determining what initial disposition action is appropriate, to include whether further action is warranted and, if so, whether the matter should be resolved by court-martial, nonjudicial punishment (NJP), administrative discharge, or other adverse administrative action. The special court-martial convening authority's initial disposition decision is based upon his or her review of the matters transmitted, any independent review, and consultation with a judge advocate. At the MSAs, the Superintendent (a Lieutenant General or a Vice Admiral) is the case disposition authority.

Commanders at all levels do not make such decisions by themselves. Military attorneys assist commanders in identifying charges, appropriate means of addressing such charges, and punishments that commanders can administer if the evidence supports these charges.

There are many cases each year in which disciplinary action is precluded (i.e., not possible) due to legal issues or evidentiary problems with a case. For example, when the investigation fails to show sufficient evidence of an offense to prosecute or when the victim declines to participate in the justice process, a commander may be precluded from taking disciplinary action against a subject.⁵

In the data that follow, when more than one disposition action is involved (e.g., when nonjudicial punishment is followed by an administrative discharge), only one disposition is reported per

⁴ A host nation's ability to prosecute a Service member is subject to the Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA) between the U.S. and a particular foreign government. SOFAs vary from country to country.

⁵ Use of the term "victim" includes alleged victims and does not convey any presumption about the guilt or innocence of the alleged offenders, nor does the term "incident" substantiate an occurrence of a sexual assault.

subject. DoD reports dispositions according to the most serious disciplinary action taken, which in descending order is preferral of court-martial charges, nonjudicial punishment, administrative discharge, and other adverse administrative actions. At the MSAs, adverse administrative actions include the cadet/midshipman disciplinary system.

Whom It Describes

Unrestricted and Restricted Reports capture sexual assaults involving cadets/midshipmen as victims and/or subjects. These reports may include:

- Sexual assaults of cadets/midshipmen perpetrated by cadets/midshipmen
- Sexual assaults of cadets/midshipmen perpetrated by non-cadets/midshipmen (i.e., Service members, civilians/foreign nationals, or unknown subjects)
- Sexual assaults of non-cadets/midshipmen (i.e. Service members, civilians/foreign nationals, adult military dependents) by cadets/midshipmen

Prior to APY 14-15, an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault included one or more victims, one or more subjects, and one or more crimes. With the introduction of DSAID,⁶ DoD has greater visibility over victim reporting. **Therefore, starting in the current APY, one Unrestricted Report includes only *one* victim, but could still include multiple subjects and one or more crimes.**

Restricted Reports, by policy, have always involved one victim per reported incident. Subsequent to a change in DoD policy in 2012, military dependents (aged 18 and over) may make Restricted Reports of sexual assault. By law, the official statistics provided to Congress on the MSAs are limited to those reports of sexual assault that involve cadets or midshipmen as either a victim or a subject. Consequently, Restricted Reports by adult military dependents alleged to involve a cadet or midshipmen (other than spouse or intimate partner) as the offender are now included in DoD's annual statistics on the MSAs. Restricted Reports by adult military dependents that did not involve a cadet or midshipmen are recorded, but not included in MSA statistical analyses or reporting demographics. SAPRO derives available demographic information on victims and subjects from *completed investigations*, and from victim information in Restricted Reports, as recorded in DSAID.

When It Happened

The information in this report includes data on sexual assault reports made to the MSA authorities during APY 14-15 (1 June 2014 to 31 May 2015). However, additional time trend data are included for prior years. The data that follow are a "snapshot in time." In other words, the following information describes the status of sexual assault reports, investigations, and subject dispositions on 31 May 2015 (the last day of the APY).

Some investigations extend across APYs. For example, it often takes several months to investigate a report of sexual assault. As a result, those investigations that were opened toward the end of the APY typically carry over into the next APY.

Subject dispositions can also extend across APYs. DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) marks these dispositions as "pending" if they have not been

⁶ The "How It Is Gathered Section" provides additional information on DSAID's data collection and reporting process (p. 7).

completed or reported at the end of the APY. The Department tracks these pending dispositions and requires the Military Services to report on them in subsequent years' reports.

Under DoD's sexual assault prevention and response (SAPR) policy, there is no time limit as to when someone can report a sexual assault to a SARC or MCIO. Thus, in any given year, DoD may not only receive reports about incidents that occurred during the current year, but also incidents that occurred in previous years or prior to military service.

DoD also receives reports submitted for sexual assaults that occurred prior to a cadet/midshipman's Academy entrance. When a report of this nature occurs, DoD provides care and services to the victim, but may not be able to hold the offender appropriately accountable if he or she is not subject to military law. DoD authorities may assist the victim in contacting the appropriate civilian or foreign law enforcement agency.

How It Is Gathered

Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database

In years prior to APY 14-15, the Academies' sexual assault data incorporated incident information collected by SARCs and official investigations conducted by MCIO agents. SAPRO then aggregated these data provided by the Services in order to perform subsequent DoD-level analyses.

As of APY 14-15, DSAID is the source of data for the Academies. For each report of sexual assault, SARCs are now required to use DSAID to enter information about the victim and incident. Additionally, DSAID interfaces with MCIO information systems, which contribute additional information about subjects and offense-specific information into DSAID. MCIO information systems are the system of record for all Unrestricted Reports they investigate. Service-appointed officials enter and validate case disposition information into DSAID. The transition to DSAID alters the way in which sexual assault data are reported in two key ways:

- Previously, the Department recorded Unrestricted Reports as the number of sexual assault cases, as organized by MCIO investigations. Investigations into alleged subjects of sexual assault can include more than one victim. Thus, one MCIO investigation does not necessarily correspond to one victim report. Starting in APY 14-15, Unrestricted cases are organized by DSAID reports, not MCIO investigations. DSAID captures data for each individual who makes an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault, such that each report corresponds to one victim. As mentioned previously, Restricted Reports, by policy, have always involved one victim per reported incident.
- In past APYs, Service affiliations for subjects and victims referred to the Service to which they belonged. With the introduction of DSAID in APY 14-15, Service affiliation refers to the Service affiliation of the SARC handling the case. This shift provides valuable insight into the resources each Service expends to respond to reports of sexual assault. However, as in past APYs, when discussing subject dispositions, affiliation refers to subjects' Service.

Since DSAID is a real-time data-gathering tool, all case-level data may not be immediately available. As a result, some demographic information presented below is incomplete and categorized as "relevant data not available." DoD SAPRO aggregates and analyzes these data.

Why It Is Collected

Congress requires data about the number of sexual harassment and sexual assault reports and the outcome of the allegations made against each subject. DoD also collects these data to inform SAPR policy, program development, and oversight.

Aggregate Statistical Data on Sexual Assault

The following section describes aggregate data about sexual assault at the Academies. Reports of sexual assault have fluctuated since the Department started keeping track of MSA data in APY 04-05. This year, the Military Service Academies experienced an increase in reporting. Overall reporting increased by 32 reports from academic program year 2013-2014 (Exhibit 1). However, most of the change in reporting occurred at USAFA. This year, sexual assault reporting at USAFA returned to levels seen in previous APYs (See Exhibit 4). Short-term changes in reporting are subject to a wide variety of factors. Academy surveys in previous years indicate that a number of considerations affect a victim's decision to report, including the perceived severity of the incident, the victim's need for medical or other assistance, the victim's desire to deal with the situation without help, the belief that reporting might protect others, a victim's concern about privacy, and several other considerations.⁷ USMA and USNA also received more reports this APY than last APY.




Exhibit 1: Reports of Sexual Assault to the MSAs by Report Type, APY 07-08 to 14-15

⁷ See the 2014 Service Academy Gender Relations Survey, available: http://sapr.mil/public/docs/research/DMDC_2014_Service_Academy_Gender_Relations_Survey_Report.pdf




Exhibit 2: Report of Sexual Assault and Investigations Completed in APY 14-15

This section closely follows the flow chart shown in Exhibit 2. Points in the flow chart correspond to a letter in the text that follows. In APY 14-15, cadets and midshipmen were involved in 91 reports of sexual assault made to the MSAs (Exhibit 2, Point A), representing an increase of 32 reports from APY 13-14. Exhibit 3 breaks out the cadets and midshipmen who made a report of sexual assault for incidents that occurred prior to military Service. The top of the stacked bar chart represents these reports. Of the 91 total reports in APY 14-15, 8 cadets/midshipmen made an Unrestricted or Restricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military Service.




Exhibit 3: Total Reports to the MSAs Occurring *Prior to* or *During* Military Service, APY 07-08 to 14-15

The MSAs received 54 Unrestricted Reports involving cadets and midshipmen as either the victim or subject of a sexual assault investigation (Exhibit 2, Point B).

- Of the 54 Unrestricted Reports, 4 reports were for alleged incidents of sexual assault that occurred prior to the cadet or midshipman entering military service and 50 Unrestricted Reports were for alleged incidents that occurred during military service.
- All 54 Unrestricted Reports were referred for investigation. The Military Criminal Investigative Organizations opened 50 investigations this APY, based on Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault.⁸

The MSAs initially received 44 Restricted Reports involving cadets and midshipmen as victims of sexual assault. Of the initial 44 Restricted Reports, seven non-cadet/midshipmen victims or victims for which relevant data were unavailable made a Restricted Report against a cadet/midshipman this APY.⁹ This leaves 37 cadets/midshipmen as victims, who initially made a Restricted Report during the APY.

Of the initial 44 Restricted Reports, seven of the Restricted Reports later converted to Unrestricted Reports, leaving 37 Restricted Reports remaining at the end of APY 14-15 (Exhibit 2, Point C). Of the 37 Restricted Reports remaining, 32 reports were made by cadet or

⁸ Four of the 54 Unrestricted Reports were for incidents that occurred prior to military Service. A comprehensive explanation of all investigations opened and/or closed during APY 14-15 is below beginning on page 15.

⁹ In order for a victim or subject to be classified as a cadet/midshipmen, Service member, or civilian specific data must be completed within DSAID. This report uses the term "relevant data not available" when these fields are incomplete.

midshipmen victims, of which four reports were for incidents that occurred prior to military service and 28 reports were for incidents that occurred during military service.

Exhibit 4 shows the number of sexual assault reports made to each MSA over the past eight APYs. Specifically, reports of sexual assault increased by 6 reports at USMA, 2 reports at USNA, and 24 reports at USAFA. USMA and USNA each observed their highest reporting levels since data collection began in APY 05-06, while USAFA witnessed their highest reporting period since APY 11-12. Table 2 lists the type of sexual assault report made (Unrestricted versus Restricted) by MSA. This APY, the MSAs have collectively received the largest number of total reports—an increase of 32 reports over what the Academies received in APY 13-14.




Exhibit 4: Reports of Sexual Assault by Academy, APY 07-08 to 14-15

Table 2 – Total Reports of Sexual Assault by Type of Report and Academy in APY 14-15

Academy	Total Reports	Unrestricted Reports	Restricted Reports Remaining
U.S. Military Academy	17	15	2
U.S. Naval Academy	25	12	13
U.S. Air Force Academy	49	27	22
Total	91	54	37

Reports of sexual assault made to Department authorities provide limited insight into the overall phenomenon of sexual assault at the MSAs. As previously mentioned, sexual assault in both the civilian and military sectors is underreported, meaning that sexual assaults estimated to occur using scientific surveys of a given population outnumber reports made to the authorities. At the MSAs, the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) conducts the *Service Academy Gender Relations (SAGR)* every two years to estimate the annual prevalence rate of unwanted sexual contact in the MSA population.¹⁰

¹⁰ Although the term “unwanted sexual contact” does not appear in Articles 120, 125, or 80 of the UCMJ, for the purposes of the *SAGR* survey and this report, it refers to a range of activities that the UCMJ prohibits. These activities

Last year, the 2014 SAGR survey estimated that 8.2 percent of female cadets/midshipmen and 1.1 percent of male cadets/midshipmen experienced unwanted sexual contact, a statistically significant decrease from 2012 prevalence rates (12.4 percent for women and 2.0 percent for men).¹¹ As illustrated in Exhibit 5, the 2014 rates of unwanted sexual contact suggest that about 327 cadets and midshipmen experienced some form of unwanted sexual contact in the academic program year. In APY 13-14, MSA officials only received reports of sexual assault from 53 cadets and midshipmen for an incident that occurred **during** military service. As a result, the Department estimates that about 16 percent of the estimated 327 cadets/midshipmen who experienced unwanted sexual contact reported the matter to a military authority.¹² DMDC did not administer the SAGR survey in 2015. However, Exhibit 5 shows that 75 cadets/midshipmen made a Restricted or Unrestricted Report of sexual assault for an incident occurring **during** military Service in APY 14-15.¹³




Exhibit 5: Estimated Cadets and Midshipmen Experiencing Unwanted Sexual Contact Based on Past Year Prevalence Rates versus Number of Cadet and Midshipmen Victims in Reports of Sexual Assault Made during the Academic Program Year, for Incidents Occurring During Military Service, APY 05-06 to 14-15

include completed or attempted oral, anal, or vaginal penetration by a body part or an object, and the unwanted touching of genitalia, buttocks, breasts, or inner thighs when the victim did not or could not consent.

¹¹ DMDC develops the estimated number of cadet/midshipman victims using the weighted estimated unwanted sexual contact prevalence rate across all genders and DoD MSAs (~16%) multiplied by the Academy cadet/midshipman population at the time of the survey. Notably, the timeframe covered by the survey questions pertain to unwanted sexual contact experienced within the APY. SAGR survey victim numbers *are only estimates*. DoD uses these estimates as an indicator of the degree of underreporting at each Academy.

¹² Bars around survey point estimates represent margins of error. For 2014, SAGR survey estimated that the number of cadets and midshipmen who had experienced past-year unwanted sexual contact was between 306 and 349 with a midpoint of 327.

¹³ In total, 83 cadet/midshipmen made reports of sexual assault during APY 14-15. However, not all cadet/midshipman victims reported incidents that occurred during their military service. As depicted in Exhibit 3, there were eight cadet/midshipman victims in reports of sexual assault that occurred prior to their military service.

APY 14-15 Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault

In APY 14-15, there were 54 Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault involving cadets and midshipmen as either the subject and/or victim of a sexual assault investigation.

Once a victim makes an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault, Department policy requires that the report be referred to an MCIO for investigation. Depending upon the complexity of the alleged crime, an investigation can take a few weeks to several months to complete.

Consequently, not all of the reports made in a given APY are completely investigated by the end of that APY.

- Of the 50 criminal investigations initiated during APY 14-15, 31 investigations were completed in APY 14-15. DoD will report the outcomes of the other 19 investigations in forthcoming years' reports (Exhibit 2, Point F).
- MCIOs also completed 11 investigations of sexual assault from prior reporting periods (APY 13-14) during APY 14-15.
- In sum, MCIOs completed 42 investigations of sexual assault (Exhibit 2, Point E) involving 43 subjects (Exhibit 2, Point G) during APY14-15 for reports made in the current APY or prior APYs.

When a victim makes an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault, MCIOs investigate all alleged violations of military law contained in the report. However, to comply with legislated reporting requirements, SAPRO categorizes Unrestricted Reports by the most serious sexual assault offense alleged. Exhibit 6 shows the sexual assault offenses investigated for the 54 Unrestricted Reports made in APY 14-15.¹⁴ The offense investigated *might not always* result in the same offense being charged or addressed with disciplinary action. For example, if the crime of "Rape" is alleged and investigated, but MCIO agents only discover evidence for the crime of "Aggravated Sexual Contact" during the investigation, then only "Aggravated Sexual Contact" can be charged.




Exhibit 6: Sexual Assault Crimes Investigated in Unrestricted Reports, APY 14-15

Note: In APY 14-15, the Academies received 54 Unrestricted Reports. However, the analysis in Exhibit 6 analysis excludes 3 reports due to missing data on offense originally investigated.

¹⁴ In APY 14-15, the Academies received 54 Unrestricted Reports. However, the analysis in Exhibit 6 excludes 3 reports due to missing data on offense originally investigated.

Exhibit 7 illustrates how cadets and midshipmen were involved in Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. In APY 14-15, 28 of the 54 Unrestricted Reports involved the alleged victimization of a cadet or midshipman by another cadet or midshipman.




Exhibit 7: Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault by Victim and Subject Status, APY 14-15

Disposition of Sexual Assault Reports Adjudicated in APY 14-15

When an Unrestricted Report is investigated, the goals of the investigation are to identify what crimes, if any, have been committed, who has been victimized, and who may be held appropriately accountable for the crime. It is the intent of the Department to hold offenders appropriately accountable when it has legal authority and available evidence supports such action. The 42 criminal investigations closed in APY 14-15 involved 43 subjects from reports made in APY 14-15 as well as prior reporting periods. Out of the 43 subjects with investigations completed in APY 14-15, 23 had disposition information to report in the current APY. The remaining 20 did not have dispositions determined by the end of APY 14-15. Additionally, 20 subjects with investigations completed in prior APYs had disposition information to report in the current APY. Thus, by the end of the APY 14-15, the MSAs had disposition information for 43 subjects with investigations completed in APY 14-15 (23 subjects) or prior APYs (20 subjects). Exhibit 2 and the information below show the dispositions received by these 43 subjects.

43 Subjects of APY 14-15 investigations and Pre-APY 14-15 investigations with disposition information to report at the end of APY 14-15

- 4 Subjects could not be identified, despite a thorough investigation (Exhibit 2, Point J)
- 1 Subject is a civilian or foreign national (Exhibit 2, Point K)
- 4 Subjects whose case was unfounded by MCIO investigation and legal review (Exhibit 2, Point I)

34 Subjects under DoD legal authority to be reviewed for possible action (Exhibit 2, Point N)

- 1 Victim declined to participate in military justice process (Exhibit 2, Point O)
- 2 Investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute (Exhibit 2, Point O)

31 Subjects for whom evidence supported command action (Exhibit 2, Point P):

The actions taken for sexual assault crimes are as follows (Exhibit 2, Point Q):

- 13 Subjects had Court-Martial Charge Preferred
- 1 Subject received Nonjudicial Punishment

- 1 Subject received an Adverse Administrative Discharge
- 5 Subjects received an Adverse Administrative Action, to include action through the Cadet Disciplinary System

The actions taken for other misconduct that was discovered during the course of the sexual assault investigation are as follows (Exhibit 2, Point R):

- 0 Subjects had Court-Martial Charges Preferred
- 3 Subjects received Nonjudicial Punishments
- 1 Subject received an Adverse Administrative Discharge
- 7 Subjects received an Adverse Administrative Action, to include action through the Cadet Disciplinary System

Demographics of Unrestricted Reports

SAPRO draws the following demographic information from the 42 investigations of sexual assault completed during APY 14-15. These investigations involved 44 victims and 43 subjects.

Table 3 displays the gender of victims and subjects in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports in APY 14-15. As in previous APYs, most victims in investigations of Unrestricted Reports are female (91%) and most subjects are male (79%).

Table 4 shows victim and subject age in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports in APY 14-15. Most victims and subjects are between ages 16 and 24 (77% of victims and about 75% of subjects).

Table 3 – Gender of Victims and Subjects in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports in APY 14-15

Gender	Victims		Subjects	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Male	4	9%	34	79%
Female	40	91%	1	2%
Gender Unknown/Data Not Available	0	0%	8	19%
Total	44	100%	43	100%

Table 4 – Age of Victims and Subjects in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports in APY 14-15

Age	Victims		Subjects	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
16-19	25	57%	11	26%
20-24	9	20%	21	49%
25-34	0	0%	2	5%
35-49	0	0%	0	0%
50 and older	0	0%	0	0%
Age Unknown/Data Not Available	10	23%	9	21%
Total	44	100%	43	100%

Note: Some percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding error.

APY 14-15 Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault

Because Restricted Reports are confidential, covered communications as defined by Department Policy, SAPR personnel only collect limited data about the victim and the allegation made. As with Unrestricted Reports, individuals can make Restricted Reports for incidents that occurred in prior fiscal years and/or prior to military service.

In APY 14-15, there were 44 initial Restricted Reports of sexual assault. Of the 44 reports, 7 converted to Unrestricted Reports at the request of the victim. At the close of APY 14-15, 37 reports remained Restricted:¹⁵

- Thirty-two cadets/midshipmen made a Report remaining Restricted
 - Four cadets/midshipmen made a Report remaining Restricted for an alleged sexual assault that occurred prior to military service
 - Twenty-eight cadets/midshipmen made a Report remaining Restricted for an alleged sexual assault that occurred during military service.
- Five non-cadet/midshipman Active Duty service members made a Restricted Report against a cadet or midshipman.

The percentage of victims who converted their Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports at the MSAs has fluctuated from year to year. Exhibit 8 shows the Restricted Reports and conversion rates for the past eight APYs.




Exhibit 8: Reports Initially Made Restricted, the Reports Remaining Restricted, and Restricted Reports Converted to Unrestricted, APY 07-08 to 14-15

¹⁵ The Restricted Reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are included in the Unrestricted Report data cited earlier.

Demographics of Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault

The following information pertains to victims with a Report remaining Restricted at the end of APY 14-15. Tables 5 and 6 show victim gender and age data, respectively. As with Unrestricted Reports, females and 16 to 19 year olds make up the majority of Restricted Reports at the Academies.

Table 5 – Gender of in Restricted Reports Remaining in APY 14-15

Gender	Count	Share
Male	5	14%
Female	32	86%
Total	37	100%

Table 6 – Age of Victims in Restricted Reports Remaining in APY 14-15

Age	Count	Share
0-15	2	5%
16-19	21	57%
20-24	11	30%
25-34	1	3%
35 and older	0	0%
Data not available	2	5%
Total	37	100%

The majority of Restricted Reports involve cadet/midshipmen as subjects and victims, as depicted in Exhibit 9.




Exhibit 9: Categories of Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault, APY 14-15

APY 14-15 Service Referral Information

SARCs and SAPR VAs are responsible for providing information and help with accessing medical treatment, counseling, and legal advice. Referrals for these services can be made to both military and civilian sources. A referral for service can happen at any time while the victim is receiving assistance from a SARC or SAPR VA and may happen several times throughout the military justice process. Of the 54 victims in Unrestricted Reports this APY, SARCs and SAPR VAs made an average of 1.7 service referrals per victim. Of the 37 victims in Restricted Reports this APY, SARCs and SAPR VAs provided an average of 1.6 service referrals per victim.

Department policy requires that Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations (SAFE) are available to victims of sexual assault. A qualified healthcare professional will conduct a SAFE **only** with the victim's consent. In APY 14-15, 8 victims making Unrestricted Reports and 2 victims making a Restricted Report elected to undergo a SAFE.

Statistical Data on Sexual Harassment


Sexual Harassment Reporting

The Department approaches sexual harassment reporting differently than sexual assault reporting. While both behaviors are equally unacceptable, sexual harassment processes differ from sexual assault processes. Department policy encourages resolution of sexual harassment at the lowest interpersonal level.¹⁶ However, the Department does not mandate that Service members confront harassment personally and Service members may elect to resolve the matter by making an informal or formal complaint. In APY 14-15, cadets and midshipmen made 11 formal complaints of sexual harassment and 17 informal complaints:

- 7 formal complaints at USMA
- 13 informal complaints at USNA
- 4 formal and 4 informal complaints at USAFA

¹⁶ DoD Directive 1020.2, Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity in the Department of Defense, 5 February 2009.

Appendix E: Aggregate Data Matrices and MSA Case Synopsis



Summary Worksheet

DoD MSAs SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS CLOSED DURING ACADEMIC PROGRAM YEAR 2014-2015 INVOLVING CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS		APY14-15 Totals
Total Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in all investigations closed in APY14-15*		39
Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose reports of sexual assault could be substantiated*		42
Total Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects in all investigations closed in APY14-15**		22
Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects against whom sexual assault reports could be substantiated**		34
*Does not include Victims from Restricted Reports, per mandate in PL 111-383; Also, does not include Victims from investigations where command action had yet to be reported.		
**Does not include Subjects from investigations where command action had yet to be reported.		
SUMMARY OF RESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS RECEIVED DURING ACADEMIC PROGRAM YEAR 2014 2015 INVOLVING CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS		APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims initially making Restricted Reports		37
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15*		7
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim Reports Remaining Restricted		30

Unrestricted Reports

DoD MSAs APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS AT THE UNITED STATES IN THE ACADEMY		
A. APY14-15 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Students. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during APY14-15. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the APY. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	APY14-15 Totals	
# APY14-15 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	51	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims	49	
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in allegations against Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subject	2	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	51	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	27	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	2	
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	9	
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	4	
# Relevant Data Not Available	9	
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	27	
# On military installation	34	
# Off military installation	28	
# Unidentified location	2	
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	51	
# Victims in investigations initiated during APY14-15	26	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 31-MAY-2015	8	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 31-MAY-2015	42	
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	0	
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	23	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	0	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	0	
# Victims - Other	7	
# All Restricted Reports received in APY14-15 (one Victim per report)	44	
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	7	
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of APY14-15	37	
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals	APY14-15 Totals for Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	51	49
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	15	15
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	25	2
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	7	7
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	19	18
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	9	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1
Time of sexual assault	51	49
# Midnight to 6 am	37	29
# 6 am to 6 pm	8	7
# 6 pm to midnight	12	12
# Unknown	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Day of sexual assault	51	49
# Sunday	9	9
# Monday	4	3
# Tuesday	11	5
# Wednesday	10	10
# Thursday	4	4
# Friday	6	6
# Saturday	12	11
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1

APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (UR) OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - CADET/MIDSHIPMAN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENT STATUS BY GENDER											
C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	APY14-15 Totals		
		33	4	0	1	0	4	0	9	51	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	22	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	27		
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9		
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4		
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	9		
APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)											
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN APY14-15	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	APY14-15 Totals
D1. Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Student and Non-Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Student Categories for Cases Reported in APY	13	1	15	0	1	18	0	0	0	3	51
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	5	0	7	0	1	14	0	0	0	0	27
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	2	1	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	9
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	9
D2. # TOTAL Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Student Victims Report in Current APY											
TOTAL Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in APY14-15 Reports	12	1	15	0	1	19	0	0	0	1	49
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims: Female	12	1	13	0	1	16	0	0	0	0	43
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims: Male	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN APY14-15											
D3. Time of sexual assault	13	1	16	0	1	19	0	0	0	1	51
# Midnight to 6 am	8	1	9	0	1	11	0	0	0	0	30
# 6 am to 6 pm	2	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	8
# 6 pm to midnight	3	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	12
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	13	1	17	0	1	19	0	0	0	1	52
# Sunday	2	0	4	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	9
# Monday	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
# Tuesday	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
# Wednesday	3	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	10
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
# Friday	0	1	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	7
# Saturday	4	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	12
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During APY14-15 Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
# Investigations Initiated during APY14-15	50
# Investigations Completed as of APY14-15 End (group by MCIO #)	31
# Investigations Pending Completion as of APY14-15 End (group by MCIO #)	19
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During APY14-15	52
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	14
# Army Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	10
# Army Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	4
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	8
# Navy Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	5
# Navy Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	2
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	15
# Air Force Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	13
# Air Force Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	4
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	11
E2. Service Investigations Completed during APY14-15 Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the APY14-15. These investigations may have been initiated during the APY14-15 or any prior APY.	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during APY14-15 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	42
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during APY14-15 involving a Victim supported by your Service	43
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	10
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	6
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	4
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	9
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	6
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	2
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	12
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	10
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	2
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	3
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	7
# Victims in investigations completed during APY14-15, supported by your Service	44
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	10
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in CID investigations	10
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	11
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in NCIS investigations	9
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in NCIS investigations	1
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in NCIS investigations	1
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	20
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in AFOSI investigations	20
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Service Investigations	3
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during APY14-15	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during APY14-15 (Group by Civilian Law Enforcement Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during APY14-15, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during APY14-15 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs")	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during APY14-15 (Group by MP Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by MPs	
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by MPs	
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by MPs	
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by MPs	
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in MP investigations	
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in MP investigations	
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in MP investigations	
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in MP investigations	
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN APY14-15 Note: The information below is drawn from all investigations that were closed during APY14-15, Victims drawn from E2, E3 and E4.	Victim Data From Investigations Completed during APY14-15									
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses					
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available
F1. Gender of Victims	11	1	16	0	1	13	0	0	0	2
# Male	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
# Female	11	1	15	0	1	11	0	0	0	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F2. Age of Victims	11	1	16	0	1	13	0	0	0	2
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	3	1	11	0	0	10	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	3	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	5	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
F3. Victim Type	11	1	16	0	1	13	0	0	0	2
# Service Member	9	1	15	0	1	13	0	0	0	2
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian (including NG Title 32)	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	9	1	15	0	1	13	0	0	0	2
# E1-E4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	8	1	15	0	1	13	0	0	0	2
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	9	1	15	0	1	13	0	0	0	2
# Army	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0
# Navy	2	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	5	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	9	1	15	0	1	13	0	0	0	2
# Active Duty	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	8	1	15	0	1	13	0	0	0	2
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN APY14-15 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Academic Years)											
Subject Data From Investigations completed during APY14-15											
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134 (Pre-FY08))	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
G1. Gender of Subjects	11	1	15	0	1	13	0	0	0	2	43
# Male	9	1	12	0	1	11	0	0	0	0	34
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	5
G2. Age of Subjects	11	1	15	0	1	13	0	0	0	2	43
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	2	1	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	11
# 20-24	4	0	8	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	21
# 25-34	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	9
G3. Subject Type	11	1	15	0	1	13	0	0	0	2	43
# Service Member	8	0	11	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	32
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	6
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	8	0	12	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	33
# E1-E4	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	4	0	7	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	22
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	8	0	11	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	32
# Army	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Navy	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Air Force	4	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	13
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	8	0	12	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	33
# Active Duty	2	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	11
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	4	0	7	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	22
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED APY14-15 INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED APY14-15 INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during APY14-15, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	2		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	2		
# Subjects in investigations completed in APY14-15 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	45	# Victims in investigations completed in APY14-15	46
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects in investigations opened and completed in APY14-15	20	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in investigations opened and completed in APY14-15	31
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	2	# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations	2
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	2	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	2
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects (including civilians) with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	6		
# Unknown Offenders	3	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	1
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	2
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	3	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated reports against a Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	4		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	2	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	1
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	2	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	1
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	15	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	17
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 31-MAY-2015	18		
# APY14-15 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	18	# APY14-15 Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	20
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	8	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferals against Subject	8
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions(including Cadet Disciplinary System)	3	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	4
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	2	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	2
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	1
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	3	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	4
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion	13
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of APY14-15	2
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of APY14-15	11
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	6
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	4
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	2
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	2
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge	3
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	2
# Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial	1
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	1
# Subjects receiving confinement	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	1
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in APY14-15	1
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of APY14-15	1
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment	1
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	1
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	1
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense	1
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	1
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense	4

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.		APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in APY14-15		0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of APY14-15		0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of APY14-15		0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense		0
# Officer Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense		0
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges		0
# Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial		0
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
# Subjects with Punishment		0
# Subjects receiving confinement		0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		0
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)		0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		0
# Subjects receiving extra duty		0
# Subjects receiving hard labor		0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial		0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.		APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in APY14-15		3
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of APY14-15		0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of APY14-15		3
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed		0
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense		3
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
# Subjects with Punishment		3
# Subjects receiving correctional custody		0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		1
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		2
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		0
# Subjects receiving extra duty		0
# Subjects receiving hard labor		0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand		2
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.		APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of APY14-15		0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense		1
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of APY14-15		1
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense		6

Restricted Reports

DoD MSAs APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	APY14-15 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	44
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making Restricted Reports	37
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making Restricted Report involving a Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subject	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the APY14-15*	7
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	6
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	37
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim reports remaining Restricted	32
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim reports remaining Restricted	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Students in the following categories	37
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	17
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	3
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	4
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	10
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	APY14-15 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	37
# On military installation	13
# Off military installation	9
# Unidentified location	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	37
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	6
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	3
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	8
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	13
Time of sexual assault incident	37
# Midnight to 6 am	11
# 6 am to 6 pm	2
# 6 pm to midnight	9
# Unknown	11
# Relevant Data Not Available	4
Day of sexual assault incident	37
# Sunday	5
# Monday	3
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	1
# Thursday	1
# Friday	6
# Saturday	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	13
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims	32
# Army Victims	2
# Navy Victims	11
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	19
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	APY14-15 Totals
Gender of Victims	37
# Male	5
# Female	32
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	37
# 0-15	2
# 16-19	21
# 20-24	11
# 25-34	1
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
Grade of Service Member Victims	37
# E1-E4	2
# E5-E9	0
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	3
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	32
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	37
# Active Duty	5
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	32
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	37
# Service Member	37
# DoD Civilian	
# DoD Contractor	
# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	
# Foreign Military	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE	APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	4
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	2
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Choosing Not to Specify	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)	APY14-15 Totals
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	23.14
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	25.81
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	N/A
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the APY14-15	3
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	2
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

DoD MSAs APY14-15 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>		
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	APY14-15 Totals	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	83	
# Medical	7	
# Mental Health	19	
# Legal	26	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	12	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	17	
# DoD Safe Helpline	2	
# Other	0	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	12	
# Medical	3	
# Mental Health	2	
# Legal	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1	
# Rape Crisis Center	4	
# Victim Advocate	2	
# DoD-Safe-Helpline		
# Other	0	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	8	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0	
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	4	
B. APY14-15 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO) * AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	APY14-15 TOTALS	
# Military Protective Orders issued during APY14-15	16	
# Reported MPO Violations in APY14-15	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0	
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.		
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims of sexual assault	0	Total Number Denied
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims Denied	0	Reasons for Disapproval (Total)
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims of sexual assault	0	Moved Alleged Offender Instead
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims Denied	0	Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS	APY14-15 TOTALS	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	45	
# Medical	2	
# Mental Health	12	
# Legal	9	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	8	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	10	
# DoD Safe Helpline	1	
# Other	3	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	1	
# Medical	0	
# Mental Health	0	
# Legal	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0	
# Rape Crisis Center	1	
# Victim Advocate	0	
# DoD-Safe-Helpline		
# Other	0	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	2	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0	

Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

APF34-15 Services Member Sexual Assault Symposium Report: O-6 MDA													Administrative Actions												
No.	Most Serious Central Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Address	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Allegation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Investigation For Sex Assault?	Subject's Most Serious Offense	Subject's Other Offense(s)	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charge	Most Serious Other Offense Charge	Court Case of Article 15 Outcome	Relevant Charges Discussed in Art 15 Hearing if applicable	Most Serious Offense Continued	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive As Set Offense	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note			
1	Aggravated Sexual Contact (A1 12)	USA	Army	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Victim alleged that the Subject grabbed her by the front and back of her hair and pulled her pants down. She did not see the Subject's face. Administrative Separation Board of Chapter 14 12 with a General Discharge for unrelated misconduct.			
2a	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)	USA	Army	O-1	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	DoD-Mental Charge Pending	Aggravated Sexual Assault (A1 12)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by A1 12 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Victim alleged that the Subject had been in a consensual relationship and forced sodomy with her while she was hospitalized. The Charge pending was for a CGO Article 15 Investigating Officer determined that reasonable grounds had not been established for the charges. Administrative Separation Board of Chapter 14 12 following the Article 15. The Commanding Authority dismissed all charges and specifications without prejudice.		
2b	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)	USA	Army	O-1	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Victim: General Officer Memorandum of Reprimand was issued but later withdrawn and destroyed because concerning evidence presented did not indicate the reported misconduct did not occur.		
3	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)	USA	Army	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General				Victim alleged that the Subject touched her breasts and in a sexual manner. Administrative Separation Board of Chapter 14 12 with a General Discharge.		
4	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Army	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	DoD-Mental Charge Pending	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)			Discharge of Requisition in Lieu of Court-Martial							Victim: The Victim alleged that the Subject committed Abusive Sexual Contact. The Subject received Chapter 15. In lieu of Court-Martial (CMTC) case with the victim's consent.	
5	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Multiple Victims	Navy	O-4	Male	No	No	No	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Subject (a single victim)	Victim: Victim reported Subject inappropriately touched them behind their consent to touching their buttocks and patting her head up victim's hair and touching her vagina while not in bed on Navy's ship. Victim consulted with the victim, the command reported negligent punishment and processed for administrative separation.		
6	Sexual Assault (A1 12)	USA	US Coast	Female	Navy	C-4	Male	No	No	No	03 (April-June)	DoD-Mental Charge Pending	Sexual Assault (A1 12)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by A1 12 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution						Both Victim and Subject	Victim: Victim alleged that the Subject sexually assaulted her and she submitted her report for consent. Local authorities responded to the case and investigated with assistance from NRC. Subsequent to their investigation, local authorities recommended no prosecution. Victim consented to the charges and charges were processed. However, prior to the Article 15 hearing, victim decided to participate and charges against Subject were dismissed and following consultation with the staff judge advocate, the commanding authority dismissed the charges.	
7	Aggravated Sexual Assault (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	No	02 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Victim (single victim)	Victim: Victim reported that during the spring of her husband's year (early 2010), she was attending a party at a residence where the subject was present. The subject sexually assaulted her. Victim reported no details other than identifying the suspect as Subject.	
8	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Navy	C-2	Male	No	No	No	04 (July-September)	DoD-Mental Charge Pending	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)			Acquitted						Victim: Victim reported that while dining with the Subject, he grabbed her and led her to a room. Victim reported subject sexually assaulted her and forced her to have sex. Subject was acquitted at general court-martial.		
9	Attempt to Commit Offense (A1 9)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Navy	O-5	Male	No	No	No	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Failure to obey order or regulation (A1 12)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None					Victim: Victim reported Subject grabbed her by the hips, kissed her on the neck, and attempted to pull her bra down in victim's room. Subject received non-judicial punishment.	
10	Sexual Assault (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	No	No	No	01 (October-December)	Offense Unknown										Victim: Victim met with unit and 302 to provide an update on her status. She stated that she had been sexually assaulted. She reported to her supervisor and provided her name to the command. She was advised that she would be provided an annual medical exam. Victim declined to provide details about the assault, but reported that the assault occurred during the 2010-2011 deployment. Victim was an active duty member and did not identify the Subject. Subject was never identified to victim.		
11a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Navy	M-3	Male	No	No	No	01 (October-December)	DoD-Mental Charge Pending	Aggravated Sexual Assault (A1 12)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by A1 12 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution						All victims and subject (multiple parties to the crime)	Victim: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject and her other relationship, during a party at an off-base residence. Victim stated she consented to a date and alcohol and alcohol out, and fell in though someone had sexual intercourse with her. Victim reported that she had sexual intercourse with her while she was hospitalized. The Charge pending was for a CGO Article 15 Investigating Officer determined that reasonable grounds had not been established for the charges. Administrative Separation Board of Chapter 14 12 following the Article 15. The Commanding Authority dismissed all charges and specifications without prejudice.
11b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Navy	M-2	Male	No	No	No	02 (January-March)	DoD-Mental Charge Pending	Aggravated Sexual Assault (A1 12)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by A1 12 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution						All victims and subject (multiple parties to the crime)	Victim: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject and her other relationship, during a party at an off-base residence. Victim reported that she had sexual intercourse with her while she was hospitalized. The Charge pending was for a CGO Article 15 Investigating Officer determined that reasonable grounds had not been established for the charges. Administrative Separation Board of Chapter 14 12 following the Article 15. The Commanding Authority dismissed all charges and specifications without prejudice.
11c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Navy	M-1	Male	No	No	No	01 (October-December)	DoD-Mental Charge Pending	Aggravated Sexual Assault (A1 12)			Acquitted						All victims and subject (multiple parties to the crime)	Victim: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject and her other relationship, during a party at an off-base residence. Victim stated she consented to a date and alcohol and alcohol out, and fell in though someone had sexual intercourse with her while she was hospitalized. The Charge pending was for a CGO Article 15 Investigating Officer determined that reasonable grounds had not been established for the charges. Administrative Separation Board of Chapter 14 12 following the Article 15. The Commanding Authority dismissed all charges and specifications without prejudice.	
12	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Male	Navy	M-3	Male	No	No	No	02 (January-March)	Cadet Disciplinary System Action										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System.		
13	Sexual Assault (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Navy	C-4	Male	No	No	No	01 (October-December)	DoD-Mental Charge Pending	Rape (A1 12)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by A1 12 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution						All victims and subject (multiple parties to the crime)	Victim: Victim reported that Subject #1 attempted to kiss her in the back seat of Subject #1's car. Victim and both Subjects returned to Subject #2's hotel room, where Subject #1 pulled Victim into a bathroom, began removing her clothes, and forced Victim to perform oral sex. Victim reported Subject #2 also attempted to kiss her. Victim reported Subject #2 also attempted oral sex on her. Victim reported that she had sexual intercourse with her while she was hospitalized. The Charge pending was for a CGO Article 15 Investigating Officer determined that reasonable grounds had not been established for the charges. Administrative Separation Board of Chapter 14 12 following the Article 15. The Commanding Authority dismissed all charges and specifications without prejudice.
14	Sexual Assault (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Male	Navy	C-3	Male	No	No	No	02 (January-March)	Cadet Disciplinary System Action										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System.		
15	Sexual Assault (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Navy	O-3	Male	No	No	No	02 (January-March)	DoD-Mental Charge Pending	Sexual Assault (A1 12)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by A1 12 hearing officer	Other						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (OCHC)	Victim: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject and her other relationship, during a party at an off-base residence. Victim reported that she had sexual intercourse with her while she was hospitalized. The Charge pending was for a CGO Article 15 Investigating Officer determined that reasonable grounds had not been established for the charges. Administrative Separation Board of Chapter 14 12 following the Article 15. The Commanding Authority dismissed all charges and specifications without prejudice.
16	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Pre-School Student	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No	No	03 (April-June)	Cadet Disciplinary System Action										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System.		
17	Sexual Assault (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Navy	C-2	Male	No	No	No	01 (October-December)	Cadet Disciplinary System Action										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System.		
18	Rape (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Navy	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Navy	C-1	Male	No	No	No	02 (January-March)	DoD-Mental Charge Pending	Rape (A1 12)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by A1 12 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution						Victim (single victim)	Victim: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject and her other relationship, during a party at an off-base residence. Victim reported that she had sexual intercourse with her while she was hospitalized. The Charge pending was for a CGO Article 15 Investigating Officer determined that reasonable grounds had not been established for the charges. Administrative Separation Board of Chapter 14 12 following the Article 15. The Commanding Authority dismissed all charges and specifications without prejudice.
19	Abusive Sexual Contact (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male	No	No	No	01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR).		
20	Rape (A1 12)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	CadM/MSM/MSM/MSM	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male	No	No	No	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Failure to obey order or regulation (A1 12)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None					Victim: Victim and subject met at victim's room to discuss. They engaged in consensual sexual activity. Victim then engaged in further consensual activity, subject and victim intercourse and did not object. During the course of the investigation it was discovered the subject was having an off-base relationship with an Airman. After consultation with the staff judge advocate, the command reported negligent punishment for an unprofessional relationship with both victims.	

AFY18-19 Service Member Sexual Assault Symptom Report: OIG MISA														Administrative Actions									
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject to Investigation For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Investigator for Sex Assault	Subject's Moral Waiver Assessment	Subject's Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charge/Dismissal of Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
21	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/MidNem an	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	C-1	Male	No			02 (January- March)	Other administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim 1 reported that subject pressured her into having sexual intercourse with her. She was unable to describe what sexual actions occurred without her consent and feels threatened and was concerned. Victim 2, a fellow cadet, reported she had sexual intercourse with subject while on the phone with another person and she was coerced. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander administered a Letter of Reprimand for an unprovoked offense.
22	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/MidNem an	Female	Air Force	C-3	Male	No			03 (April-June)	Other administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject entered victim's room and they engaged in sexual activity. She stated she did not want to continue in a jolting way. She never felt threatened but wanted her to stop. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander issued a Letter of Reprimand and the subject was Reprimanded.
23	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male				02 (April-June)	Other administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim stated she went on two dates with subject. She dated after the first date, subject parked in a college parking lot and began kissing victim. Victim expressed some discomfort with the situation, but continued. Victim noticed subject masturbating and subject grabbed victim's hand and placed it on his penis. Victim said "no" and resisted. Victim went on a second date with subject. He brought her an alcoholic drink which he got up and she and subject went after that point. She later woke up and she and subject were naked. She asked subject what happened and subject told her he had to get the penis into her vagina. She stated subject later apologized for his actions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued the subject a letter of reprimand for sexually abusing in common under the legal drinking age and he was discharged with a general service characterization.
24	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	Cadet/MidNem an	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male	No			01 (October- December)	Other administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: While at a party victim blacked out from intoxication and woke up to subject on top of her having sexual intercourse. She reported sexual fondling after the incident. But she was not corroborated by the SJAIC records. Her other cadets in the room during the recuperation stated she was not corroborated. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander issued the subject a letter of reprimand for sexually abusing and engaging in sexual intercourse in front of other cadets.
25	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/MidNem an	Female	Air Force	C-3	Male	No			01 (October- December)	Other administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim and subject were in a prior relationship and had sexual intercourse. After finding out he was with another person, victim stated subject in her room to talk. Subject sat on the bed next to victim while they talked. He asked if they could have sex and she said no. He then asked for top of her and started to touch her face and neck. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander issued a Letter of Reprimand and subject was discharged.

Summary Worksheet

ARMY MSA SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS CLOSED DURING ACADEMIC PROGRAM YEAR 2014-2015 INVOLVING CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS		APY14-15 Totals
Total Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in all investigations closed in APY14-15*		10
Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose reports of sexual assault could be substantiated*		8
Total Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects in all investigations closed in APY14-15**		6
Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects against whom sexual assault reports could be substantiated**		8
*Does not include Victims from Restricted Reports, per mandate in PL 111-383; Also, does not include Victims from investigations where command action had yet to be reported. **Does not include Subjects from investigations where command action had yet to be reported.		
SUMMARY OF RESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS RECEIVED DURING ACADEMIC PROGRAM YEAR 2014 2015 INVOLVING CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS		APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims initially making Restricted Reports		4
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15*		2
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim Reports Remaining Restricted		2

Unrestricted Reports

ARMY MSA APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS AT THE UNITED STATES IN THE ACADEMY		
A. APY14-15 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Students. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during APY14-15. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the APY. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	APY14-15 Totals	
# APY14-15 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	14	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims	14	
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in allegations against Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subject	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	14	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	10	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0	
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	4	
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	14	
# On military installation	13	
# Off military installation	1	
# Unidentified location	0	
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	14	
# Victims in investigations initiated during APY14-15	14	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 31-MAY-2015	6	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 31-MAY-2015	8	
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	0	
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	0	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	0	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	0	
# Victims - Other	0	
# All Restricted Reports received in APY14-15 (one Victim per report)	4	
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	2	
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of APY14-15	2	
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals	APY14-15 Totals for Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	14	14
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	3	3
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	3	3
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	5	5
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	2	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Time of sexual assault	14	14
# Midnight to 6 am	11	11
# 6 am to 6 pm	2	2
# 6 pm to midnight	1	1
# Unknown	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Day of sexual assault	14	14
# Sunday	4	4
# Monday	0	0
# Tuesday	2	2
# Wednesday	2	2
# Thursday	2	2
# Friday	1	1
# Saturday	3	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (UR) OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - CADET/MIDSHIPMAN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENT STATUS BY GENDER											
C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	APY14-15 Totals		
		13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10		
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4		
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)											
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN APY14-15	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	APY 14-15 Totals
D1. Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Student and Non-Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Student Categories for Cases Reported in APY	2	0	1	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	14
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	10
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D2. # TOTAL Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Student Victims Report in Current APY											
TOTAL Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in APY14-15 Reports	2	0	1	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	14
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims: Female	2	0	1	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	13
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN APY14-15											
D3. Time of sexual assault	2	0	1	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	14
# Midnight to 6 am	1	0	1	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	11
# 6 am to 6 pm	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	2	0	1	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	14
# Sunday	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Friday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Saturday	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During APY14-15 Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
# Investigations Initiated during APY14-15	15
# Investigations Completed as of APY14-15 End (group by MCIO #)	8
# Investigations Pending Completion as of APY14-15 End (group by MCIO #)	7
# Subjects in Investigations Initiated During APY14-15	17
# Service Member Subjects Investigated by CID	14
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	10
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	4
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects Investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects Investigated by AFOSI	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Service Member Subjects Investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	3
E2. Service Investigations Completed during APY14-15 Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the APY14-15. These investigations may have been initiated during the APY14-15 or any prior APY.	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during APY14-15 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	10
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during APY14-15 involving a Victim supported by your Service	10
# Service Member Subjects Investigated by CID	10
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	6
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	4
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects Investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects Investigated by AFOSI	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during APY14-15, supported by your Service	10
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	10
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in CID investigations	10
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Service Investigations	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during APY14-15	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during APY14-15 (Group by Civilian Law Enforcement Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during APY14-15, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during APY14-15 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs")	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during APY14-15 (Group by MP Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN APY14-15 Note: The information below is drawn from all investigations that were closed during APY14-15, Victims drawn from E2, E3 and E4.	Victim Data From Investigations Completed during APY14-15										APY14-15 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
F1. Gender of Victims	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Female	2	0	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F2. Age of Victims	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
# 20-24	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	5
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
F3. Victim Type	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Service Member	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian (including NG Title 32)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Army	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Active Duty	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN APY14-15 (Investigation Completed Within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Academic Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during APY14-15										APY14-15 Totals
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
G1. Gender of Subjects	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Male	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G2. Age of Subjects	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# 20-24	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	6
# 25-34	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G3. Subject Type	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Service Member	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# E1-E4	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	6
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Army	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	10
# Active Duty	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	6
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED APY14-15 INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED APY14-15 INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during APY14-15, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	0		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	0		
# Subjects in investigations completed in APY14-15 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	10	# Victims in investigations completed in APY14-15	10
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects in investigations opened and completed in APY14-15	6	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in investigations opened and completed in APY14-15	8
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	2	# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations	2
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	2	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	2
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects (including civilians) with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	1		
# Unknown Offenders	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	0
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	0
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated reports against a Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	0		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	0
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	3	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	3
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 31-MAY-2015	4		
# APY14-15 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	4	# APY14-15 Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	4
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	2	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals against Subject	2
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	0
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	1
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	0
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion	3
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of APY14-15	3
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	2
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	2
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge	1
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
# Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial	1
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	1
# Subjects receiving confinement	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	1
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	0

Restricted Reports (continued)

J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment	0
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	0
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense	1
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.		APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in APY14-15		0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of APY14-15		0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of APY14-15		0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense		0
# Officer Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense		0
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges		0
# Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial		0
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
# Subjects with Punishment		0
# Subjects receiving confinement		0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		0
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)		0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		0
# Subjects receiving extra duty		0
# Subjects receiving hard labor		0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial		0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.		APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in APY14-15		0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of APY14-15		0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of APY14-15		0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed		0
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense		0
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
# Subjects with Punishment		0
# Subjects receiving correctional custody		0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		0
# Subjects receiving extra duty		0
# Subjects receiving hard labor		0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand		0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.		APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of APY14-15		0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense		1
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of APY14-15		0
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense		0

Restricted Reports

ARMY MSA APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	APY14-15 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	4
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making Restricted Reports	4
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making Restricted Report involving a Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the APY14-15*	2
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	2
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	2
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim reports remaining Restricted	2
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Students in the following categories	2
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	APY14-15 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	2
# On military installation	1
# Off military installation	1
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	2
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
Time of sexual assault incident	2
# Midnight to 6 am	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	2
# Unknown	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Day of sexual assault incident	2
# Sunday	1
# Monday	0
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	0
# Thursday	0
# Friday	0
# Saturday	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims	2
# Army Victims	2
# Navy Victims	0
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	0
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		APY14-15 Totals
Gender of Victims		2
# Male		1
# Female		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident		2
# 0-15		0
# 16-19		2
# 20-24		0
# 25-34		0
# 35-49		0
# 50-64		0
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
Grade of Service Member Victims		2
# E1-E4		0
# E5-E9		0
# WO1-WO5		0
# O1-O3		0
# O4-O10		0
# Cadet/Midshipman		2
# Academy Prep School Student		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
Status of Service Member Victims		2
# Active Duty		0
# Reserve (Activated)		0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)		0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		2
# Academy Prep School Student		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
Victim Type		2
# Service Member		2
# DoD Civilian		
# DoD Contractor		
# Other US Government Civilian		
# Non-Service Member		0
# Foreign National		
# Foreign Military		
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE		APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service		0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18		0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18		0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Choosing Not to Specify		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)		APY14-15 Totals
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		4
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		3
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		N/A
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE APY14-15		APY14-15 Totals
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the APY14-15		1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15		1
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.		

ARMY MSA APY14-15 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>		
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	APY14-15 Totals	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)		16
# Medical		1
# Mental Health		5
# Legal		1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		3
# Rape-Crisis-Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		6
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)		0
# Medical		0
# Mental Health		0
# Legal		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate		0
# DoD-Safe-Helpline		0
# Other		0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted		0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam		0
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service		0
B. APY14-15 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO) * AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	APY14-15 TOTALS	
# Military Protective Orders issued during APY14-15		8
# Reported MPO Violations in APY14-15		0
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects		0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault		0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both		0
<i>* In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.</i>	Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were denied:	APY14-15 TOTALS
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims of sexual assault	Total Number Denied	0
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims Denied	Reasons for Disapproval (Total)	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims of sexual assault	Moved Alleged Offender Instead	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims Denied	Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS	APY14-15 TOTALS	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)		3
# Medical		0
# Mental Health		2
# Legal		1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape-Crisis-Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)		0
# Medical		0
# Mental Health		0
# Legal		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate		0
# DoD-Safe-Helpline		0
# Other		0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted		0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam		0

APFIS - IS Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report - Release (AIA)														Administrative Actions									
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject to Investigation For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject Under Assessment?	Subject Referral Type	Charge/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Action 13 Outcome	Reasons Charged Downward at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender?	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
1	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	CalS1M0bN04n	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other		Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject grabbed the victim by the breast and thrust his hand down the front of her pants. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. Administrative Separation Based On Chapter 14-12, with a General Discharge for unrelated misconduct.	
2a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	CalS1M0bN04n	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Prohibited	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charge diverted subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject had sex consensual sexual intercourse and for-30A sodomy with her while she was incapacitated by alcohol. Charge preferred to Art. 32. Article 32 Investigating Officer determined that reasonable grounds did not exist to believe that the accused committed the offense. On 31 March 2014, following the Article 32, the Commanding Authority dismissed all charges and specifications without prosecution.	
3	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	CalS1M0bN04n	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject touched her buttocks and put in a sexual member. Administrative Separation Of Chapter 14-12, with a General Discharge.	
4	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	CalS1M0bN04n	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (April-June)	Court-Martial Charge Prohibited	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge as Investigator in lieu of Court-Martial						Involved but not specified	Notes: The Victim alleged that the Subject committed Abusive Sexual Contact. The Subject received Chapter 15. In lieu of Court-Martial (SAC/TC) cooperation with victim concurrence.
5	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	CalS1M0bN04n	Female	Army	O-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Court-Martial Charge Prohibited	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted				Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes	Unknown	The Victim alleged that the Subject exposed his penis to her and attempted to make her touch it. Convicted of Abusive Sexual Contact and Involunt Exposure at a GCM. Sentence imposed on 29 May 2015. Continued.

USMA Sexual Harassment Case Synopses

Synopsis of Sexual Harassment Investigations Involving Cadets/Midshipmen								
Month Closed	Subject Status	Subject Gender	Complainant Status	Complainant Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Misconduct Charged	Disposition	Brief Synopsis of Incident and Outcome
Nov-14	CDT, 2017 CDT, 2016	M M	CDT 2017; resigned	F	Hostile work environment	Verbal and Nonverbal Sexual Harassment	Not Substantiated Cadets-RMP	Complainant alleged a hostile work environment on the swim team and due to perceived inappropriate verbal comments and gestures. Allegations for sexual harassment not substantiated.
Sep-14	CDT: 2018 Separated for other misconduct	M	CDT: 2016	F	Hostile work environment and physical contact	Intimidating gestures and blocking space	Not Substantiated	Complainant alleged a hostile work environment while providing counseling to subject during cadet basic training. Subject violated personal space by touching her knee with his knee.
Dec-14	CDT: 2018 Separated for other misconduct	M	CDT: 2017	F	Verbal sexual harassment	Verbal Sexual Harassment	Not Substantiated	Cadet alleged that subject made unwanted comments of a sexual nature while they were having dinner at Grant Hall.
Dec-14	Civilian Employee Counseled	M	CDT: 2017	F	Verbal sexual harassment	Verbal Sexual Harassment	Not Substantiated	Cadet alleged that a contract employee made inappropriate comments of a sexual nature to her. Investigation could not confirm sexual harassment.
Dec-14	Contractor/instructor GOMAR	M	CDT: 2017	F	Verbal sexual harassment	Verbal Sexual Harassment	Not Substantiated	Cadet alleged that a contracted instructor continually made inappropriate comments of a sexual nature while cadet was in Qatar during academic trip.
May-15	CDT: 2015 Punished Under ART 10 USMA REG 351-2	M	CDT: 2015 Graduated	F	Verbal sexual harassment	Verbal Sexual Harassment	15-6 Completed. Not substantiated for sexual harassment.	Alleged Sexual Harassment due to inappropriate verbal comments and text messages.

Summary Worksheet

NAVY MSA SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS CLOSED DURING ACADEMIC PROGRAM YEAR 2014-2015 INVOLVING CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS		APY14-15 Totals
Total Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in all investigations closed in APY14-15*		11
Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose reports of sexual assault could be substantiated*		14
Total Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects in all investigations closed in APY14-15**		6
Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects against whom sexual assault reports could be substantiated**		10
*Does not include Victims from Restricted Reports, per mandate in PL 111-383; Also, does not include Victims from investigations where command action had yet to be reported.		
**Does not include Subjects from investigations where command action had yet to be reported.		
SUMMARY OF RESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS RECEIVED DURING ACADEMIC PROGRAM YEAR 2014 2015 INVOLVING CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS		APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims initially making Restricted Reports		11
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15*		1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim Reports Remaining Restricted		10

NAVY MSA APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS AT THE UNITED STATES IN THE ACADEMY		
A. APY14-15 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Students. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during APY14-15. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the APY. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAD) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	APY14-15 Totals	
# APY14-15 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	11	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims	10	
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in allegations against Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subject	1	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	11	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	3	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	3	
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	3	
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	11	
# On military installation	6	
# Off military installation	5	
# Unidentified location	0	
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	11	
# Victims in investigations initiated during APY14-15	10	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 31-MAY-2015	0	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 31-MAY-2015	10	
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	0	
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	1	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	0	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	0	
# Victims - Other	1	
# All Restricted Reports received in APY14-15 (one Victim per report)	14	
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	1	
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of APY14-15	13	
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals	APY14-15 Totals for Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	11	10
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	4	4
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	2	1
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	3	3
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Time of sexual assault	11	10
# Midnight to 6 am	4	4
# 6 am to 6 pm	3	2
# 6 pm to midnight	4	4
# Unknown	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Day of sexual assault	11	10
# Sunday	1	1
# Monday	2	2
# Tuesday	1	1
# Wednesday	0	0
# Thursday	0	0
# Friday	3	3
# Saturday	4	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (UR) OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - CADET/MIDSHIPMAN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENT STATUS BY GENDER											
C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	APY14-15 Totals		
	6	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	11		
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3		
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3		
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3		
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1		
APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)											
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN APY14-15	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	APY14-15 Totals
D1. Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Student and Non-Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Student Categories for Cases Reported in APY	1	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	11
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
D2. # TOTAL Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Student Victims Report in Current APY											
TOTAL Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in APY14-15 Reports	1	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	10
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims: Female	1	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	8
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims: Male	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN APY14-15											
D3. Time of sexual assault	1	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	11
# Midnight to 6 am	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	1	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	11
# Sunday	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Monday	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Friday	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Saturday	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During APY14-15 Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
# Investigations Initiated during APY14-15	11
# Investigations Completed as of APY14-15 End (group by MCIO #)	10
# Investigations Pending Completion as of APY14-15 End (group by MCIO #)	1
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During APY14-15	11
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	8
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	5
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	2
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	3
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	0
E2. Service Investigations Completed during APY14-15 Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the APY14-15. These investigations may have been initiated during the APY14-15 or any prior APY.	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during APY14-15 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	13
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during APY14-15 involving a Victim supported by your Service	14
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	9
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	6
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	2
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	2
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	3
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during APY14-15, supported by your Service	13
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in CID investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	11
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in NCIS investigations	9
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in NCIS investigations	1
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in NCIS investigations	1
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Service Investigations	2
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during APY14-15	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during APY14-15 (Group by Civilian Law Enforcement Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during APY14-15, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during APY14-15 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs")	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during APY14-15 (Group by MP Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN APY14-15 Note: The information below is drawn from all investigations that were closed during APY14-15, Victims drawn from E2, E3 and E4.	Victim Data From Investigations Completed during APY14-15										
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
F1. Gender of Victims	3	1	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# Male	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Female	3	1	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F2. Age of Victims	3	1	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 16-19	1	1	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# 20-24	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F3. Victim Type	3	1	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# Service Member	2	1	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# US Civilian (including NG Title 32)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	2	1	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# E1-E4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	1	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	2	1	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Navy	2	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	2	1	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# Active Duty	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	1	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN APY14-15 (Investigation Completed Within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Academic Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during APY14-15										APY14-15 Totals
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
G1. Gender of Subjects	3	1	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14
# Male	2	1	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	11
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G2. Age of Subjects	3	1	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
# 20-24	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
G3. Subject Type	3	1	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14
# Service Member	2	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	2	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
# E1-E4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	2	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	2	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
# Active Duty	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED APY14-15 INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED APY14-15 INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during APY14-15, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	1		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in APY14-15 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	15	# Victims in investigations completed in APY14-15	14
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects in investigations opened and completed in APY14-15	4	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in investigations opened and completed in APY14-15	8
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	0	# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects (including civilians) with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	3		
# Unknown Offenders	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	1
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	0
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	2	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated reports against a Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	2		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	0
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	5	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	7
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 31-MAY-2015	5		
# APY14-15 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	5	# APY14-15 Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	5
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	3	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals against Subject	3
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	1
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	1
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	0
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion	9
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of APY14-15	1
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of APY14-15	8
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	6
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	4
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge	2
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	2
# Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial	0
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	0
# Subjects receiving confinement	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in APY14-15	1
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of APY14-15	1
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment	1
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	1
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	1
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense	4

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	0
# Officer Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense	0
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
# Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial	0
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	0
# Subjects receiving confinement	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in APY14-15	2
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of APY14-15	2
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense	2
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	2
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	1
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	1
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	1
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense	0

Restricted Reports

NAVY MSA APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	APY14-15 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	14
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making Restricted Reports	11
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making Restricted Report involving a Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subject	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the APY14-15*	1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	1
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	13
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim reports remaining Restricted	11
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim reports remaining Restricted	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Students in the following categories	13
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	5
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	2
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	2
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	APY14-15 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	13
# On military installation	4
# Off military installation	3
# Unidentified location	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	13
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	4
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	3
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	4
Time of sexual assault incident	13
# Midnight to 6 am	3
# 6 am to 6 pm	1
# 6 pm to midnight	1
# Unknown	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Day of sexual assault incident	13
# Sunday	3
# Monday	1
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	0
# Thursday	1
# Friday	1
# Saturday	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	4
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims	11
# Army Victims	0
# Navy Victims	11
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	0
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	APY14-15 Totals
Gender of Victims	13
# Male	2
# Female	11
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	13
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	7
# 20-24	6
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Grade of Service Member Victims	13
# E1-E4	2
# E5-E9	0
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	0
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	11
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	13
# Active Duty	2
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	11
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	13
# Service Member	13
# DoD Civilian	
# DoD Contractor	
# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	
# Foreign Military	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE	APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)	APY14-15 Totals
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	21
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	N/A
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	N/A
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the APY14-15	1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	0
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

NAVY MSA APY14-15 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>		
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	APY14-15 Totals	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	30	
# Medical	2	
# Mental Health	3	
# Legal	6	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	7	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	10	
# DoD Safe Helpline	2	
# Other	0	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	11	
# Medical	3	
# Mental Health	2	
# Legal	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0	
# Rape Crisis Center	4	
# Victim Advocate	2	
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other	0	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	5	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0	
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	1	
B. APY14-15 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	APY14-15 TOTALS	
# Military Protective Orders issued during APY14-15	3	
# Reported MPO Violations in APY14-15	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0	
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.		
	Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were denied:	APY14-15 TOTALS
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims of sexual assault	0	Total Number Denied
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims Denied	0	Reasons for Disapproval (Total)
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims of sexual assault	0	Moved Alleged Offender Instead
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims Denied	0	Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS	APY14-15 TOTALS	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	21	
# Medical	2	
# Mental Health	3	
# Legal	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	5	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	8	
# DoD Safe Helpline	1	
# Other	2	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	1	
# Medical	0	
# Mental Health	0	
# Legal	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0	
# Rape Crisis Center	1	
# Victim Advocate	0	
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other	0	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	1	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0	

APF14-19 Services Member Sexual Assault Symptom Report: NAVY JCSA														Administrative Actions									
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject to Investigation For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject Status (Active/Retired)	Subject Referral Type	Current/Planned Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case of Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charged Downgraded at Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive or Severe	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Notes		
1	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Multiple Victims Female	Navy	O-4	Male	No	No	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			In Failure of Pay and Allowance: No. Fine: No. Restitution: No. Extra Duty: No. Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported Subject inappropriately fondled them without their consent by touching their buttocks and putting his hand up Victim's shirt and touching her vagina while out to him on liberty. Following consultation with the victim, the command imposed non-judicial punishment and processed for administrative separation.		
2	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Oulban	Female	Navy	C-4	Male	No	No	03 (April-June)	Over-Marked Charge Prohibited	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reasons prior to Court-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Civilian victim reported that subject sexually assaulted her while returning her apartment complex. Local authorities responded to the case and investigated with assistance from NCIS. Subject to their investigation, local authorities declined to prosecute. NCIS took over the investigation and charges were processed; however, prior to the Article 15 hearing, victim declined to participate and charges were dismissed.	
3	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Unknown		Male			02 (January-March)	Subject is On-duty or Foreign National									Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that during the spring of her freshman year (early 2010), she was sleeping in a room at a residence when she became intoxicated and was sexually assaulted. The victim provided no details other than identifying the suspect as Subject.	
4	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Navy	C-2	Male	No	No	04 (July-September)	Over-Marked Charge Prohibited	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted							Notes: Victim reported that while attending with the Subject, he grabbed her and put on top of her. Victim reported subject strongly opposed and would not return to command. Subject was acquitted at general court-martial.	
5	Attempts to Control Offense (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Navy	O-5	Male	No	No	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 10)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed				None			Notes: Victim reported subject grabbed her by the legs, kissed her on the mouth and attempted to put his tongue in Victim's mouth. Subject received non-judicial punishment.	
6	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Unknown		Unknown	No	No	01 (October-December)	Offense is Unknown									Unknown	Notes: Victim met with unit XO to provide an update on her medical treatment for injuries. During the update, victim reported to XO that her civilian doctor was requesting a medical file between her deployment and pending a hearing on sexual assault. Victim declined to provide details about the assault, but reported the sexual assault occurred during COMBATWID Winter in 2012 while she was on active duty. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process and did not identify the Subject. Subject was never identified due to victim's reluctance.	
7a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Navy	M-3	Male	No	No	01 (October-December)	Over-Marked Charge Prohibited	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 15 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					All victims and subject (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by subject while on duty. Victim stated she was in an off-duty residence. Victim stated she consumed a lot of alcohol and passed out, and that subject entered her room and had sexual intercourse with her while she was intoxicated. Charges were processed and dismissed as a result of the investigating officer's recommendation that through appropriate counseling and military counseling with the staff judge advocate, the convening authority discharge the charges.	
7b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Navy	M-2	Male	No	No	02 (January-March)	Over-Marked Charge Prohibited	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 15 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					All victims and subject (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by subject, and later identified, during a party at an off-duty residence. Victim reported that she had consumed a large amount of alcohol and passed out, and that subject had sexual intercourse with her while she was intoxicated. Charges were processed and dismissed as a result of the investigating officer's recommendation that through appropriate counseling and military counseling with the staff judge advocate, the convening authority discharge the charges.	
7c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Navy	M-1	Male	No	No	01 (October-December)	Over-Marked Charge Prohibited	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						All victims and subject (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by subject, and later identified, during a party at an off-duty residence. Victim stated she consumed a lot of alcohol and passed out, and that subject entered her room and had sexual intercourse with her while she was intoxicated. Following an Article 15 hearing, the Staff Judge Advocate (SJA) recommended that subject be found not guilty of violating Article 120 of the UCMR. Subject received no punishment, and the hearing charges of violating the honor code for making false official statements.	
8	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Male	Navy	M-3	Male	No	No	02 (January-March)	Cadet/Boysen System Action									Administrative Action Type: Cadet/Boysen Disciplinary System Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed Victim's genital area over her clothing while in the mess hall. A performance review board was held and the Commanding Officer recommended administrative removal from the MBOIC program. The package was approved by the Assistant Secretary of the Navy and the Subject was removed from the MBOIC program.		
9	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Navy	C-4	Male	No	No	01 (October-December)	Over-Marked Charge Prohibited	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 15 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					All victims and subject (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that subject attempted to enter the back seat of Subject's car. Victim and both Subjects entered Subject's hotel room where Subject #1 pulled Victim into a bedroom, began removing her clothes, and forced Victim to perform oral sex. Victim reported Subject #2 also forced Victim to perform oral sex and then digitally penetrated her. After the hearing, the Staff Judge Advocate (SJA) recommended that Subject #1 be found guilty of violating Article 120 of the UCMR. Subject #2 was found not guilty of violating the honor code for making false official statements.	
10	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Male	Navy	C-3	Male	No	No	02 (January-March)	Cadet/Boysen System Action									Administrative Action Type: Cadet/Boysen Disciplinary System Notes: Victim reported Subject forced him to perform oral sex on Subject. Then Subject performed non-consensual oral sex on Victim and orally penetrated Victim. Victim told Subject to stop, but Subject continued. After a review of all available investigative materials, the SJA recommended against prosecuting charges due to insufficient evidence. Subject was administratively charged for fraternization through the U.S. Naval Academy conduct system.		
11	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No	02 (January-March)	Over-Marked Charge Prohibited	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 15 hearing officer	Other			Under Other than Honorable Discharge (OODHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Portfolios of Pay and Allowance: No. Fine: No. Restitution: No. Discretion Limit: Other: Discretion Length (Days): 45. Deduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2. Extra Duty: No. Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported the alleged Subject to digitally penetrate her vagina, but clearly indicated she would not have participated in sexual intercourse. During the digital penetration, Victim stated Subject if he was only using fingers, and he penetrated the vagina, but she would not continue the rape and the Subject's penis was made her vagina. Court-Martial charges were dismissed due to victim's reluctance to participate further in the military justice process. Based on recommendations by the Art. 15 Officer and Staff Judge Advocate, command imposed non-judicial punishment for additional misconduct. Subject received and Other Than Honorable Discharge.	
12	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Prep School Student	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No	03 (April-June)	Cadet/Boysen System Action									Subject (a single subject)	Administrative Action Type: Cadet/Boysen Disciplinary System Notes: Victim reported victim and Subject were passengers in the back seat of a car returning from the club to barracks when subject (officer) sat within a sexual nature on the back seat and made inappropriate sexual comments. Victim further reported that subject exposed himself while penetrating and asked victim to help him get his trousers. Command and commandant were not involved because of a sexual assault. However, the command discharged him for assault and other infractions via Head Academy proprietary officer's conduct system and discharged him from the MBO.	
13	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Navy	C-2	Male	No	No	01 (October-December)	Cadet/Boysen System Action									Unknown	Administrative Action Type: Cadet/Boysen Disciplinary System Notes: Victim reported that she and Subject were engaged in consensual kissing in a bathroom when Subject asked if he could digitally penetrate Victim, to which Victim responded, No. Victim and Subject continued to kiss and Victim reported that Subject removed her pants before she could react and digitally penetrated her. A command hearing was held and resulted in Subject being administratively separated with a general administrative discharge.	
14	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Boysen	Female	Navy	C-1	Male	No	No	02 (January-March)	Over-Marked Charge Prohibited	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 15 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution						Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that one year earlier, she was invited to a party by subject, her superior. Victim reported at the party, she drank to the point of vomiting, but recalled being on the floor in a bathroom before subject began pulling down her pants. Victim reported that Subject then sexually penetrated her with his penis. Victim stated that subject charged her with additional sexual assault by Subject while they were drinking. The convening authority did not take charges to court martial and no further action was taken against Subject.
15	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	M-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 10)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually grabbed her buttocks without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. After review of the investigation and consultation with the SJA, the convening authority found no further action on the reported offense due to insufficient evidence. However, the convening authority imposed RJP on subject for violation of Art. 102A before to duty on order for non-related offense.	

USNA Sexual Harassment Case Synopses

Synopsis of Sexual Harassment Investigations Involving Cadets/Midshipmen								
Month Closed	Subject Status	Subject Gender	Complainant Status	Complainant Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Misconduct Charged	Disposition	Brief Synopsis of Incident and Outcome
June	Midshipmen-Separated	Male	Civilian	Female	Sexual Harassment	Sexual Harassment	Guilty	MIDN on summer training was highly intoxicated and propositioned the NGSIS front desk employee, offering \$500 to spend the night with him. Found guilty at adjudication and forwarded for separation.
Aug	Midshipman	Male	Commandant Staff/Active Duty Military	Female	Sexual Harassment/Hostile Work Environment	Sexual Harassment	Guilty	While on roving watch, complainant noticed a calendar hanging in the subject's room of women posing in revealing swimsuits, visible from the entryway. Subject found guilty and awarded 30 days of restriction/50 demerits
Sep	unknown	Unknown	Active Duty Military	Female	Sexual Harassment	N/A	N/A	Complainant reported multiple lewd sexual comments on YIKYak during a summer training evolution. Investigation unable to produce subjects due anonymous nature of YIKYak
Sep	Civilian	Male	Midshipman	Female	Sexual Harassment/Hostile Work Environment	None	None	While at a civilian substance abuse treatment facility, complainant reported hearing multiple sexist comments and slurs from civilian patients. USNA asked facility to investigate, yielded no results. USNA no longer uses this facility due to concerns over a professional environment.
Sep	Midshipman	Male	Active Duty Military	Male	Sexual Harassment/Hostile Work Environment	Sexual Harassment	Guilty	Midshipman mistakenly forwarded a picture to his entire Company of a woman in a thong and a comment about gym motivation. He was found guilty and awarded 10 days of restriction.
Nov	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Sexual Harassment	Sexual Harassment	Dismissed	Midshipman sent a picture of himself wearing only gym shorts through snapchat, which the receiving Midshipman found unprofessional and unwanted sexual attention. The case was dismissed and both parties counseled.
Nov	Midshipmen	Male	Midshipman	Male	Sexual Harassment	Sexual Harassment	Guilty	On the march over to the football stadium, Subject led chants that were sexual in nature. Found guilty and awarded 100 demerits, 60 days restriction and Dignity and Respect Remediation
Jan	Midshipman	Unknown	Midshipman	Unknown	Sexual Harassment	N/A	N/A	At a Holiday party, anonymous letters were read that referenced a 4/C Midshipman's sexual attractiveness. Complaint was made to SAPR staff, Company Officer and Senior Enlisted leader counseled and trained the entire company on professional behavior
Jan	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Sexual Harassment	Fraternization	Guilty	A team Captain expressed concern over the overly familiar nature of a 4/C and upperclass teammates. Investigation found that relationship was fraternization and upperclassmen found guilty and awarded 45 days restriction, 90 demerits.
Jan	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Male	Sexual Harassment	Sexual Harassment	Guilty	Subject pulled the shower curtain back on his roommate and later hugged his roommate/complainant after he'd asked him not to touch him. Subject transferred companies and counseled.
May	Active Duty Military	Male	Midshipman	Female	Sexual Harassment	N/A	N/A	While on summer training, Midshipmen reported that while underway, subject singled her out and twice mentioned male genitalia when speaking to her. Subject was counseled on his behavior.
May	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Sexual Harassment	N/A	N/A	Midshipman reported that the subject had a pattern of inappropriate behavior towards women. Subject was counseled on behavior and standards of professionalism
May	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Sexual Harassment	N/A	N/A	Subject hit complainant with his cover on her back, which the complainant found unduly familiar and made her uncomfortable. She addressed the individual and explained how his actions made her uncomfortable.

Summary Worksheet

AIR FORCE MSA	
SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS CLOSED DURING ACADEMIC PROGRAM YEAR 2014-2015 INVOLVING CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS	APY14-15 Totals
Total Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in all investigations closed in APY14-15*	18
Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose reports of sexual assault could be substantiated*	20
Total Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects in all investigations closed in APY14-15**	10
Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects against whom sexual assault reports could be substantiated**	16
*Does not include Victims from Restricted Reports, per mandate in PL 111-383; Also, does not include Victims from investigations where command action had yet to be reported. **Does not include Subjects from investigations where command action had yet to be reported.	
SUMMARY OF RESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS RECEIVED DURING ACADEMIC PROGRAM YEAR 2014 2015 INVOLVING CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS	APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims initially making Restricted Reports	22
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15*	4
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim Reports Remaining Restricted	18

AIR FORCE MSA APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS AT THE UNITED STATES IN THE ACADEMY		
A. APY14-15 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Cadets/Midshipmen/Prep School Students. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during APY14-15. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the APY. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAD) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	APY14-15 Totals	
# APY14-15 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)		26
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims		25
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in allegations against Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subject		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories		26
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		14
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		1
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		2
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		8
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring		2
# On military installation		15
# Off military installation		22
# Unidentified location		2
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation		26
# Victims in investigations initiated during APY14-15		2
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 31-MAY-2015		2
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 31-MAY-2015		24
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming		0
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement		22
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ		0
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations		0
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service		0
# Victims - Other		6
# All Restricted Reports received in APY14-15 (one Victim per report)		26
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		4
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of APY14-15		22
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR APY14-15		
	APY14-15 Totals	APY14-15 Totals for Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	26	25
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	8	8
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	22	0
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	13	12
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	6	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1
Time of sexual assault	26	25
# Midnight to 6 am	22	14
# 6 am to 6 pm	3	3
# 6 pm to midnight	7	7
# Unknown	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Day of sexual assault	26	25
# Sunday	4	4
# Monday	2	1
# Tuesday	8	2
# Wednesday	8	8
# Thursday	2	2
# Friday	2	2
# Saturday	5	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1

APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (UR) OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - CADET/MIDSHIPMAN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENT STATUS BY GENDER											
C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	APY14-15 Totals		
		14	2	0	1	0	1	0	8	26	
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	11	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	14		
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8		
APY14-15 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)											
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN APY14-15										
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	APY14-15 Totals
D1. Cadets/Midshipman/Prep School Student and Non-Cadets/Midshipman/Prep School Student Categories for Cases Reported in APY	10	1	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	3	26
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	4	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	14
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	8
D2. # TOTAL Cadets/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims Report in Current APY											
TOTAL Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in APY14-15 Reports	9	1	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	25
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims: Female	9	1	7	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	22
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN APY14-15											
D3. Time of sexual assault	10	1	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	26
# Midnight to 6 am	6	1	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	15
# 6 am to 6 pm	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# 6 pm to midnight	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	7
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	10	1	8	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	27
# Sunday	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
# Monday	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Tuesday	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Wednesday	3	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Friday	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Saturday	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During APY14-15 Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
# Investigations Initiated during APY14-15	24
# Investigations Completed as of APY14-15 End (group by MCIO #)	13
# Investigations Pending Completion as of APY14-15 End (group by MCIO #)	11
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During APY14-15	24
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	15
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	13
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	8
E2. Service Investigations Completed during APY14-15 Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the APY14-15. These investigations may have been initiated during the APY14-15 or any prior APY.	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during APY14-15 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	19
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during APY14-15 involving a Victim supported by your Service	19
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	12
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	10
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	7
# Victims in investigations completed during APY14-15, supported by your Service	21
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in CID investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	20
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in AFOSI investigations	20
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Service Investigations	1
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during APY14-15	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during APY14-15 (Group by Civilian Law Enforcement Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during APY14-15, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during APY14-15 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs")	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during APY14-15 (Group by MP Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in reports made to your Service and Investigations completed during APY14-15	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member (non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student) Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN APY14-15 Note: The information below is drawn from all investigations that were closed during APY14-15, Victims drawn from E2, E3 and E4.	Victim Data From Investigations Completed during APY14-15										
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
F1. Gender of Victims	6	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
# Female	6	0	7	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F2. Age of Victims	6	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 16-19	2	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	
# 20-24	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	
F3. Victim Type	6	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	
# Service Member	5	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# US Civilian (including NG Title 32)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	5	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	5	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	5	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Air Force	5	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	5	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	
# Active Duty	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	5	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN APY14-15 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Academic Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during APY14-15										APY14-15 Totals
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
G1. Gender of Subjects	6	0	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	19
# Male	5	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	13
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	5
G2. Age of Subjects	6	0	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	19
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
# 20-24	2	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	9
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	6
G3. Subject Type	6	0	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	19
# Service Member	4	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	13
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	6
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	4	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	14
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	3	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	10
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	4	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	13
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	4	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	13
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	4	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	14
# Active Duty	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	3	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	10
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED APY14-15 INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED APY14-15 INVESTIGATIONS	APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOS or other law enforcement for investigation during APY 14-15, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	1		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in APY14-15 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	20	# Victims in investigations completed in APY14-15	22
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects in investigations opened and completed in APY14-15	10	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in investigations opened and completed in APY14-15	15
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	0	# Total Victims associated with MCIOS unfounded allegations	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIOS	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in MCIOS unfounded allegations	0
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subjects (including civilians) with allegations unfounded by MCIOS	0	# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in MCIOS unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIOS	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIOS	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIOS unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	2		
# Unknown Offenders	2	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	0
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	2
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated reports against a Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	2		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	1
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	1
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	7	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	7
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 31-MAY-2015	9		
# APY14-15 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	9	# APY14-15 Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	11
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	3	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals against Subject	3
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions(including Cadet Disciplinary System)	2	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	3
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	1
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	3	# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense (including Cadet Disciplinary System)	4
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion	1
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of APY14-15	1
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge	0
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
# Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial	0
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	0
# Subjects receiving confinement	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment	0
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	0
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	1
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense	0

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	0
# Officer Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense	0
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
# Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial	0
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	0
# Subjects receiving confinement	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	APY14-15 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in APY14-15	1
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of APY14-15	1
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense	1
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	1
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	1
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	1
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	APY14-15 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of APY14-15	1
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense	6

Restricted Reports

AIR FORCE MSA APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	APY14-15 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	26
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making Restricted Reports	22
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making Restricted Report involving a Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Subject	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the APY14-15*	4
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	3
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	22
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim reports remaining Restricted	19
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victim reports remaining Restricted	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Students in the following categories	22
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	11
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student on Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	2
# Unidentified Subject on Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	APY14-15 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	22
# On military installation	8
# Off military installation	5
# Unidentified location	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	22
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	5
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	8
Time of sexual assault incident	22
# Midnight to 6 am	8
# 6 am to 6 pm	1
# 6 pm to midnight	6
# Unknown	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	4
Day of sexual assault incident	22
# Sunday	1
# Monday	2
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	1
# Thursday	0
# Friday	5
# Saturday	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	8
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims	19
# Army Victims	0
# Navy Victims	0
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	19
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR APY14-15 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	APY14-15 Totals
Gender of Victims	22
# Male	2
# Female	20
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	22
# 0-15	2
# 16-19	12
# 20-24	5
# 25-34	1
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
Grade of Service Member Victims	22
# E1-E4	0
# E5-E9	0
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	3
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	19
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	22
# Active Duty	3
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	19
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	22
# Service Member	22
# DoD Civilian	
# DoD Contractor	
# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	
# Foreign Military	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE	APY14-15 Totals
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	4
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	2
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Choosing Not to Specify	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)	APY14-15 Totals
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	33.25
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	29.58
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	N/A
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE APY14-15	APY14-15 Totals
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the APY14-15	1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	1
# Non-Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in APY14-15	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	


AIR FORCE MSA APY14-15 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>		
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO CADETS/MIDSHIPMEN/PREP SCHOOL STUDENTS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	APY14-15 Totals	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)		37
# Medical		4
# Mental Health		11
# Legal		19
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		2
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		1
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)		1
# Medical		0
# Mental Health		0
# Legal		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		1
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted		3
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam		0
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service		3
B. APY14-15 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	APY14-15 TOTALS	
# Military Protective Orders issued during APY14-15		5
# Reported MPO Violations in APY14-15		0
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects		0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault		0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both		0
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.		
	Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were denied:	APY14-15 TOTALS
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims of sexual assault		Total Number Denied
		0
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims Denied		Reasons for Disapproval (Total)
		0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims of sexual assault		Moved Alleged Offender Instead
		0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student Victims Denied		Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead
		0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS	APY14-15 TOTALS	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)		21
# Medical		0
# Mental Health		7
# Legal		8
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		3
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		2
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		1
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)		0
# Medical		0
# Mental Health		0
# Legal		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted		1
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam		0

AFMPS 15 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report - AIR FORCE USA														Administrative Actions						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Charged to Investigator For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation (of Same Assault?)	Subject: Minor Waiver Accusation?	Subject: Behavioral Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Dismiss Charges Dismissed at Art 12 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Connected	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis Note
1	Assault Sexual Contact (Art 12C)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Minorman	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male				01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense							Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported that she and subject had been in a dating relationship for several months, which she described as "casual." Victim stated that she and subject went to an early morning and began to have sex. Subject told her to stop but she did not and he kept going. She complained. Victim stated that subject then removed the condom and finished her. She stated that she verbally objected to his actions and told him to stop, but subject continued. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued subject a letter of reprimand for making inappropriate comments to female cadets and subject was discharged with a general service characterization (LOR) and a general service characterization (LOR) of Pay and Allowance. (See also the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (SCRA) for more information on SCRA). No Reduction in rank. No Extra Duty. No Hard Labor. No Confinement. (Staff Judge Advocate)
2	Rape (Art 12C)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Minorman	Female	Air Force	D-1	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order regulation (Art 92)	Article 15 Punishment imposed				None	Notes: Victim and subject met at victim's room to dance. They engaged in some consensual sexual activity. Victim then told subject she did not want to have sex. As they engaged in sexual activity, subject and victim had intercourse and she did not object. During the course of the investigation it was discovered the subject was having an unprofessional relationship with an Airman. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander imposed non-judicial punishment for an unprofessional relationship with both parties.
3	Sexual Assault (Art 12C)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Minorman	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	C-1	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense							Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim 1 reported that subject pressured her into sexual intercourse with him. She was unable to describe what sexual intercourse with her consisted of and had no recollection of any sexual intercourse with subject on the phone with another person but the act was consensual. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander administered a Letter of Reprimand for an unprofessional relationship.
4	Assault Sexual Contact (Art 12C)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Minorman	Female	Air Force	C-3	Male	No			01 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense							Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject entered victim's room and they engaged in some kissing. She stated she did not want to continue in a dating relationship. She never had intercourse but wanted to stop. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander issued a Letter of Reprimand and the subject was discharged.
5	Sexual Assault (Art 12C)	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male					02 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense							Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim stated she went on two dates with subject. She stated after the first date, subject picked her up in a pickup truck and began kissing victim. Victim expressed some discomfort with the situation, but continued. Victim advised subject masturbated and subject grabbed victim's hand and placed it on his penis. Victim said "no" and resisted. Victim went on a second date with subject, in which he brought her to an alcoholic drink despite her having underage. Victim stated she consumed the alcoholic drink and remembers very little after that point. She later woke up and she and subject were naked. She asked subject what happened and subject told her he tried to put his penis into her vagina but she said "no." Subject later apologized for his actions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued the subject a letter of reprimand for providing alcohol to someone under the legal drinking age and he was discharged with a general service characterization (LOR).
6	Rape (Art 12C)	Air Force	Cadet/Minorman	Female	Air Force	D-1	Male	No				01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense							Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: While at a party victim blackout from intoxication and woke up in subject's room at the end of her housing sexual intercourse. She reported seeing bleeding after the incident, but she was not concerned by the Staff Judge. Post other cadets in the room during the encounter stated the sex was consensual. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander issued the subject a letter of reprimand for providing alcohol to someone under the legal drinking age and he was discharged with a general service characterization (LOR).
7	Assault Sexual Contact (Art 12C)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/Minorman	Female	Air Force	C-3	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense							Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim and subject were in a prior relationship and had sexual intercourse. After talking to her room mate, victim's room mate called subject to her room to talk. Subject told her he had come to room while she called. He said if they could have sex and she said no. He then rolled on top of her and started to have sex and she said no. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander issued a Letter of Reprimand and subject was discharged.

USAFA Sexual Harassment Case Synopses

Synopses of Sexual Harassment Investigations Involving Cadets/Midshipmen								
Month Closed	Subject Status	Subject Gender	Complainant Status	Complainant Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Misconduct Charged	Disposition	Brief Synopsis of Incident and Outcome
Dec	Cadet Candidate/Prior Enlisted	Male	Prior Cadet Candidate/Enlisted	Male	The complainant alleged the Cadet Candidate sent him nude pictures of his buttocks via Facebook Messenger	Sexual Harassment	Substantiated	A WM E4 alleged sexual harassment against a BM Cadet Candidate coworker. The complainant alleged the Cadet Candidate sent him nude pictures of his buttocks via Facebook Messenger. The EO Office conducted a clarification and substantiated sexual harassment with SJA concurrence. The Cadet Candidate (who disenrolled and reverted back to the rank of E3 prior to clarification completion) received an Article 15, reduction to E1 and a reprimand. Total processing time (36 duty days): EO (11 duty days); SJA (17 duty days); CC (8 duty days)
Dec	Cadet Candidate/Prior Enlisted	Male	Cadet Candidate	Male	The complainant alleged the BM Cadet candidate showed him nude pictures of women, offered to give the complainant a blow job, offered to let the complainant use his pocket pussy, and came into his room uninvited while complainant was sleeping.	Sexual Harassment	Substantiated	A MRM Cadet Candidate alleged sexual harassment against a BM Cadet Candidate coworker. The complainant alleged the BM Cadet candidate showed him nude pictures of women, offered to give the complainant a blow job, offered to let the complainant use his pocket pussy, and came into his room uninvited while complainant was sleeping. The EO Office conducted a clarification and substantiated sexual harassment with SJA concurrence. The BM Cadet Candidate (who disenrolled and reverted back to the rank of E3 prior to clarification completion) received an Article 15, reduction to E1 and a reprimand. Total processing time (36 duty days): EO (11 duty days); SJA (17 duty days); CC (8 duty days)
Jan	Cadet	Male	Cadet	Female	The WF alleged the WM stated he needed "one night" alone with her and this would "fix everything." WM also held a disciplinary board for WF's rebuking WM.	Sexual Harassment	Unsubstantiated	A WF C3C filed a formal military equal opportunity complaint based on sexual harassment against a WM C2C. The WF alleged the WM stated he needed "one night" alone with her and this would "fix everything." WM also held a disciplinary board for WF's rebuking WM. WF C3C was humiliated and felt this was degrading and a result of her rebuking WM C2C sexual advances. EO conducted a clarification and unsubstantiated the allegations with SJA concurrence. Total processing time (27 duty days): EO (19 duty days); SJA (4 duty days); CC (4 duty days)
May	Cadet	Male	Cadet	Female	A WF alleged the MRM fired her from her cadet group position for rebuking his advances.	Sexual Harassment	Unsubstantiated	A MRF C2C filed a formal military equal opportunity complaint based on sexual harassment against a MRM C1C. The MRF alleged the MRM picked her up tickled her, threw her over his shoulder and held her upside down and did not release her until multiple requests to stop were made. Additionally, MRM sat down next to MRF in her room and put his hand on her knee and face then picked her up (like a baby) and started rocking her and did not put her down until multiple requests were made. MRF further alleged MRM attempted to open the locked door of her room and enter without her permission. MRF alleged after she expressed concerns of feeling uncomfortable with his unwanted physical attention and verbal comments, MRM fired her from a cadet leadership position and issued disciplinary action (Form 10) for a Cadet Sight Picture (CSP) violation. Following her removal from a leadership position, MRF alleged MRM released specific details regarding her release in an attempt to undermine her credibility. EO conducted a clarification and unsubstantiated the allegations with SJA concurrence. Total processing time (11 duty days): EO (5 duty days); SJA (4 duty days); CC (2 duty days).
Jun	Commander O5	Male	Cadet	Male	O5 told the Cadet, "your flying is really good, but you could do better with penis size."	Sexual Harassment	Substantiated	The EO Office was notified of an issue worked in the unit. A WM Cadet alleged a WM O5 commander sexually harassed him when the O5 told the Cadet, " your flying is really good, but you could do better with penis size." The commander conducted a CDI and substantiated the allegation. The WM O5 was removed from command and received an LOR.

Synopsis of Sexual Harassment Investigations Involving Cadets/Midshipmen								
Month Closed	Subject Status	Subject Gender	Complainant Status	Complainant Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Misconduct Charged	Disposition	Brief Synopsis of Incident and Outcome
July	Civilian	Male	AOC	Male	The complainant alleged the BM retiree made inappropriate comments towards numerous C4Cs during a briefing about service before self	Sexual Harassment	Substantiated	The EO office was notified of an issue worked within the unit. A WM O4 alleged unlawful discrimination based on sex and sexual harassment against BM Retiree. The complainant alleged the BM retiree made inappropriate comments towards numerous C4Cs during a briefing about service before self by stating "sex between brothers and sisters must take place in Nebraska because of how poor they are at driving," "MPs aren't allowed to pat them (sisters) down but I wouldn't mind if they told me to" and further made comments eluding to women and oral sex. The C4Cs reported the incident to their AOC. The AOC conducted an investigation and substantiated the allegations. The BM retiree was contacted and advised he will not be invited back to the academy for any future visits or lectures.
Oct	E6	Male	Cadet/Civilian	Male	while administering a urine analysis test stated "I drop my pants around my ankles" while looking the complainant up and down and suggested he should do the same. Once WM Cadet complied, the WM E6 stated "nice" and continued to look him up and down while smiling. Additionally the WM E6 asked complainant if he had a fake penis to help pass his urine analysis.	Sexual Harassment	Unsubstantiated	The EO Office was notified of an issue worked within the unit. A WM Cadet alleged sexual harassment against a WM E6. The complainant alleged the E6, while administering a urine analysis test stated "I drop my pants around my ankles" while looking the complainant up and down and suggested he should do the same. Once WM Cadet complied, the WM E6 stated "nice" and continued to look him up and down while smiling. Additionally the WM E6 asked complainant if he had a fake penis to help pass his urine analysis. The complainant reported the allegations to the commander. The commander appointed an investigating officer who unsubstantiated the allegations.
Dec	O3 Coach	Male	Cadet	Female	The complainant alleges the WM O3 sent her an email that stated the WF Cadet couldn't run in Clune Arena when the men's basketball team was practicing. He stated, "It seems you started running around up there and we lost focus of the entire team for some reason. LOL."	EO Policy	Substantiated	The EO office was notified of an issue worked with the unit. A WF cadet alleged sexual harassment against a WM O3. The complainant alleges the WM O3 sent her an email that stated the WF Cadet couldn't run in Clune Arena when the men's basketball team was practicing. He stated, "It seems you started running around up there and we lost focus of the entire team for some reason. LOL." The complainant reported the allegations to her commander. The Superintendent of USAFA appointed an investigating officer who substantiated the allegations. The WM O3 received an Article 15 with a reprimand and was removed from his position with the Men's basketball team. WM O3 has been RIF'd and is expected to be removed from the Air Force.



Appendix F: Acronym List



Appendix F: List of Acronyms

ABW	Air Base Wing
AF	Air Force
AFI	Air Force Instruction
AFJAGS	Air Force Judge Advocate General's School
AFOSI	Air Force Office of Special Investigations
AOC	Air Officers Commanding
APY	Academic Program Year
BCT	Basic Cadet Training
BTD	Brigade Tactical Department
CAIB/IDS	Community Action and Information Board/Integrated Delivery Systems
CASHA	Cadets Against Sexual Harassment/Assault
CBIT	Cadet Bystander Intervention Training
CCD	Directorate for Culture, Climate, and Diversity
CCDP	Cadet Character Development Program
CDC	U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CDS	Character Development Strategy
CID	Criminal Investigation Command
CMEO	Command Management Equal Opportunity
CMG	Case Management Group
CST	Cadet Summer Training
CW	Cadet Wing
DEOMI	Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute
DMDC	Defense Manpower Data Center
DoD	Department of Defense
DoDD	DoD Directive
DoDI	DoD Instruction
DoN	Department of the Navy
D-SAACP	Department of Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program
DSAID	Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database
EO	Equal Opportunity
FAP	Family Advocacy Program
FSS	Force Support Squadron
FY	Fiscal Year
GRIP	Gender Relations Integrated Platform
GUIDE	Guidance, Understanding, Information, Direction, Education
HEARD	Healthy Empowerment and Assertiveness Response Development

HRT&E	Human Relations Training and Education
JA	Judge Advocate
JAG	Judge Advocate General
JSAT	Joint Sexual Assault Team
KACH	Keller Army Community Hospital
LDB	Leader Development Branch
LOE	Line of Effort
MAOCS	Military Academy Organizational Climate Survey
MCIO	Military Criminal Investigation Organization
MDC	Midshipman Development Center
MEO	Military Equal Opportunity
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MSA	Military Service Academy
MVP	Mentors in Violence Prevention
NABSD	Naval Academy Business Services Division
NCIS	Naval Criminal Investigative Service
NCO	Non Commissioned Officer
NDAA	National Defense Authorization Act
NJP	Nonjudicial Punishment
ODMEO	Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity
PEER	Personal Ethics and Education Representatives
PDR	Periodic Development Review
POM	Program Objective Memorandum
PPC	Peak Performance Center
SAFE	Sexual Assault Forensic Exam
SAGR	Service Academy Gender Relations
SANE	Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner
SARB	Sexual Assault Review Board
SARC	Sexual Assault Response Coordinator
SAPR	Sexual Assault Prevention and Response
SAPRO	Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office
SCPME	Simon Center for the Professional Military Ethic
SECNAVINST	Secretary of the Navy Instruction
SFS	Security Forces Squadron
SHAE	Sexual Harassment Awareness Education
SHAPE	Sexual Harassment and Assault Prevention Education
SHARP	Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention
SHARPi	SAPRO Interceptor Intervention
SJA	Staff Judge Advocate
SVC	Special Victims' Counsel
SVIP	Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution

SVU	Special Victims Unit
TAC	Tactical Officers
TBTN	Take Back the Night
TIPS	Training for Intervention ProcedureS
UCMJ	Uniform Code of Military Justice
USAFA	United States Air Force Academy
USC	Unwanted Sexual Contact
USCC	United States Corps of Cadets
USD(P&R)	Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness
USMA	United States Military Academy
USMAPS	United States Military Academy Preparatory School
USNA	United States Naval Academy
USNAINST	United States Naval Academy Instruction
VA	Victim Advocate
VVA	Volunteer Victim Advocate
VLC	Victims' Legal Counsel
VWAP	Victim Witness Assistance Program
WASP	Wellness, Advocacy, and Support Program