



Enclosure 3: Department of the Air Force





DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON DC

MAR 05 2020

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

MEMORANDUM FOR OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (PERSONNEL
AND READINESS)

FROM: Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

SUBJECT: Data Call for the Fiscal Year 2019 Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual
Assault in the Military

Reference: Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) memo dated 23 September 2019

In response to your request, please see attached for Air Force input to the *Fiscal Year 2019 Department of Defense (DoD) Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military*.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program continuously seeks to reduce sexual assault and increase victim reporting, thereby narrowing the gap between the prevalence rate and the number of incidences reported. Fiscal Year 2019 saw the greatest number of reports of sexual assault received in the 14 years of our program's existence. While the latest prevalence survey, *2018 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members*, showed that sexual assault prevalence increased from previous survey iterations, the Air Force remains confident that the increase in victim reporting between FY18 and FY19 demonstrates the positive impact of our Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program.

The Air Force remains dedicated to combating sexual assault through a collaborative and comprehensive approach, teaming multiple functional partners together to strengthen prevention efforts and response capabilities. We are committed to fostering a culture of dignity and respect where sexual violence is not tolerated.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "John Fedrigo".

JOHN A. FEDRIGO, SES
Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary
(Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

Attachment:

1. Air Force Fiscal Year 2019 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

FY 2019 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: United States Air Force

The following Executive Summary Template should be used to capture a strategic summary of your submission regarding the progress made and principal challenges confronted by your SAPR program from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019. This summary should be written from a high-level perspective, and emphasize key messaging points for your Military Service that link major actions taken throughout the year.

The United States Air Force maintains the position that sexual assault is a crime in stark opposition to our core values and our culture of dignity and respect. This crime negatively impacts victims and their families, dissolves unit trust and cohesion, and undermines the Air Force's readiness, lethality, and mission success. The Air Force continues to emphasize sexual assault prevention and provide an agile, yet robust response capability, ensuring that victims receive quality care and enabling commands to hold offenders appropriately accountable. The Air Force is committed to continually building trust and confidence across the force in order to ensure that every Airman and family member thrives. We continue to develop and implement strategy to increase reporting to meet the level of incidence, while driving both figures to zero.

The Air Force experienced multiple successes with its sexual assault prevention and response (SAPR) program in fiscal year 2019. However, we also endured continuing challenges. Despite these challenges, the Air Force adopts a collaborative approach amongst its functional communities and echelons of command in order to combat sexual assault. Collaborative efforts begin with prevention and continue through final case disposition and accountability of offenders. In terms of prevention, the Air Force embraced the Department of Defense's Plan of Prevention and Action Self-Assessment and used this tool as a means to emphasize how important the prevention of sexual assault is in our Service. Additionally, the Air Force intends to expand this tool in fiscal year 2020 as a forum for Major Commands to provide vital feedback to continuously improve prevention efforts. The Air Force also engaged the Major Commands in fiscal year 2019 in the Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate's first-ever Headquarters-Major Command Roundtable. This forum enabled Major Command program managers to collaborate with Headquarters to provide vital feedback on program successes and failures and to influence program execution and policy across the Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate's portfolio.

The Air Force emphasized collaboration amongst SAPR, medical, law enforcement and legal personnel in sexual assault response. As such, high-quality, victim-centered services remained a key priority in fiscal year 2019. The Air Force Surgeon General continued providing high quality and timely care, and in fiscal year 2019, Air Force victims did not experience any considerable delays or access restriction to Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits. The Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) and the Office of the Judge Advocate General (JA) also teamed together on multiple efforts enhancing investigation and legal support. The first of these included creating a shared operating processes to streamline efforts and processes between these two entities. Additionally, AFOSI hosted the Sex Crimes Investigator Training Program at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center, where both agents and judge advocates received up-to-date information on investigating and prosecuting sex crimes. Other

functions involved in the prevention and response of sexual assault also participate in this course, which facilitates a synchronized effort for the Air Force team. Moreover, AFOSI and JA both utilized victim satisfaction surveys, which allowed victims to provide feedback regarding their individual experiences with their investigators and Special Victim's Counsel. The Air Force then analyzed these surveys and utilized them for training to ensure consistent high-quality services. AFOSI specifically spearheaded the Air Force's adoption of the Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) database. They teamed with the Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate to ensure SAPR personnel received training and policy for the program, which enabled a quick transition from launch to full operating capability. JA also pursued numerous efforts to enhance victim legal support and offender accountability mechanisms. To support the former, JA ensured rigorous vetting of Special Victims' Counsel at the headquarters level in order to ensure that the most fitting attorneys and paralegals were placed in these critical roles. Additionally, JA updated guidance specifically relating to victim's preference for jurisdiction and prosecution. Finally, JA continued rigorous and thorough inspection and compliance for their capabilities through their routine Article 6 inspections. Each of these efforts combines to enhance accountability for sexual assault, and these agencies will continue to support Air Force efforts in the implementation and execution of Sexual Assault Accountability and Investigation Task Force (SAAITF) recommendations.

Training remained an integral focus for the Air Force in fiscal year 2019. The Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate (AF/A1Z) launched and continued numerous initiatives aimed at the first responders and the total force. The Air Force continued tablet-based prevention training at Basic Military Training. Additionally, the Air Force championed efforts to combat retaliation associated with sexual assault reporting by arming SAPR staff with dedicated retaliation training. The Air Force launched a Retaliation and the Inspector General training module to teach SAPR staff how to handle cases of retaliation as well as inform victims of their right to discuss their case with a general or flag officer. Finally, Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database training efforts continued in fiscal year 2019 with routine training webinars.

The Air Force continued to encourage reporting of sexual assaults in fiscal year 2019 and experienced a 9% increase from fiscal year 2018. This left the fiscal year 2019 report total at 1683 for restricted and unrestricted reports. The increase in reports could be for multiple reasons, but ultimately it demonstrates that victims trust the Air Force's processes enough to feel comfortable enough to report. Of the 1683 reports, 1161 were unrestricted and 522 were restricted. Of the 1161 unrestricted reports, 172 reports were previously restricted and converted to unrestricted. The Air Force continued strenuous efforts to support victims and help propel their recovery. As such, commanders granted 217 of the 224 requested expedited transfers in order to help victims recover in a supportive environment away from their offender. Additionally, victim referrals increased for both unrestricted and restricted reports (28% and 38% for total referrals, respectively). Mental Health and Victim Advocates were in the top referrals for both, and Unrestricted Reporting also included Special Victim's Counsel referrals.

In terms of investigation and disposition, the Air Force propelled cases using established processes and policies. In fiscal year 2019, 509 cases moved forward from investigation to command action. Of these, DoD did not take action on 98 cases due to jurisdictional issues. The remaining 411 cases proceeded to command action, where 271 resulted in

administrative or legal action; the remaining 140 cases were precluded due to insufficient evidence or victim non-participation. However, none of these cases resulted in the determination of an unfounded allegation. Cases reached court martial an average of 15 days faster than in fiscal year 2018, but cases reached final disposition of non-judicial punishment an average of 39 days slower than the prior fiscal year. Lastly, commander action against offenders that led to discharge more than doubled in this fiscal year.

Finally, the Air Force met or is in the process of finishing all requirements of the National Defense Authorization Acts cited in this report. The Air Force embraced the multi-disciplinary approach that these acts demanded and collaborated to ensure compliance. These efforts enabled the Air Force to provide its victims with top quality care, thereby establishing a system where individuals feel comfortable and trusting enough to report. The Air Force remains committed to their SAPR efforts in continuing fiscal years, as this will be a long-term objective until we reach zero sexual assaults.

1. Goal 1—Prevention: “institutionalize evidenced-based, informed prevention practice and policies across the Department so that all Military Service members are treated with dignity and respect, and have the knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assaults.”

1.1 Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA) Self-Assessment: Provide a summary of your Military Service’s PPoA Self-Assessment findings. Include in the description the scope of your Self-Assessment prevention activities. (Secretary of Defense (SecDef) Memorandum, *Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military* (May 1, 2019) / Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD(P&R)) Memorandum, *Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action* (April 26, 2019) / DoD Prevention Plan of Action 2019-2023 (April 2019))

The Air Force conducted an assessment of capabilities to prevent sexual assault. The assessment found the Air Force made significant progress toward sexual assault prevention. The Air Force has pressed forward on prevention efforts because it is the right thing to do for our Airmen and families. We recognize there is much more to be done to sustain, advance, and institutionalize prevention across our Total Force (that is Active Duty, Air National Guard, and Air Force Reserve military members and civilian employees). Identified strengths of our program include leadership, collaborative relationships, and a comprehensive prevention approach. Identified challenges include prevention workforce, resources, quality implementation and continuous evaluation. We are committed to this long-term endeavor.

1.2 Self-Assessment Elements: For each Self-Assessment element (Human Resources, Collaborative Relationships, Infrastructure, Comprehensive Approach, Quality Implementation, and Continuous Evaluation) provide a brief summary and examples of key strengths and gaps. (SecDef Memorandum, *Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military* (May 1, 2019) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, *Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action* (April 26, 2019) / DoD Prevention Plan of Action 2019-2023 (April 2019))

Please see response in Section 1.1.

1.3 Future Plans: Based on your Military Service’s Self-Assessment, summarize leadership-approved priority actions and next steps for sexual assault prevention,

including any key considerations or barriers to achieving the priorities. Include a description of progress towards Phase II of the PPOA execution – plan of action and milestones and logic model development. (SecDef Memorandum, *Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military* (May 1, 2019) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, *Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action* (April 26, 2019) / DoD Prevention Plan of Action 2019-2023 (April 2019))

The Air Force has four priority action items for our future plans with PPOA. First, we are exploring resourcing and manpower opportunities. Additionally, we seek to identify professional development opportunities for both the Integrated Resilience Directorate's leadership and staff. In an effort to expand feedback, we will be conducting the PPOA self-assessment below the Headquarters Air Force level and review and implement feedback, as appropriate. Finally, in accordance with this effort, the Air Force will implement the remaining steps of the PPOA Plan of Action and Milestones and the Logic Model as we continue to champion this long-term effort.

2. Goal 2—Victim Assistance & Advocacy: “deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness.”

2.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service in FY19. As applicable, include any initiatives employed with targeted subgroups (e.g., male victims) or specific locations (e.g., barracks). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, p. 7)

The Air Force SAPR program continues all efforts under this goal as identified in the previous annual report and has embarked on several other initiatives to strengthen delivery of consistent and effective advocacy for all military Service members.

SAPR Operations Guide

Improving the performance of SAPR field offices has always been a primary interest of the Air Force SAPR program. The recent re-write of Air Force Instruction 90-6001, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program*, presented the opportunity to create an operations guide for the field offices. The Air Force assembled a team of subject matter experts from across all Air Force Major Commands and base level SAPR offices to author the guide. The team developed the operations guide to fulfill three primary goals: (1) Standardize and enhance the organizational and administrative skills of SAPR field offices; (2) Deliver real-time guidance in SAPR processes but written in both an instructional and a conversational manner to foster mentorship; and to (3) Standardize operations across the field and develop continuity for SAPR personnel across all experience levels. The guide is scheduled for test base implementation at one base in each Major Command in Winter 2020, with enterprise-wide implementation shortly thereafter.

Major Command Roundtable

The Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate recognized an opportunity to enhance communication and collaboration across Air Force Major Commands in order to strengthen relationships and capitalize on talent and expertise across the Air Force. In line with this effort, AF/A1Z hosted a Major Command Roundtable in September 2019 to solicit feedback, discuss organizational goals and priorities, and establish a list of actionable unresolved questions and tasks within the portfolio. Overall, the discussion of SAPR programs was positive, to include the decision to delegate annual refresher training to the Major Commands, as an effort to allow them to tailor training to their individual missions and needs. Additionally, the dialogues from the Roundtable continued throughout the fiscal year at the monthly program calls as well as the quarterly combined call, which addresses all programs across the Air Force Integrated Resilience portfolio.

True North

The Air Force continued to evaluate the True North initiative, where mental and spiritual support teams are embedded in 12 groups across 4 bases with the goals of enhancing Airman and family well-being, increasing resilience, and decreasing negative outcomes. The placement and access of the mental and religious support teams within the unit is designed to build trust and confidence in help-seeking actions for unit members. While True North is not solely established for victims of sexual assault, the services provided offer an opportunity for victims to receive care in their units.

2.2 Metrics for Assessing Victim Assistance and Advocacy: What metrics or assessment processes are being used to address the effectiveness of victim assistance and advocacy efforts intended to deliver consistent care for all Service members and/or their adult dependents? Are these metrics identifying any trends and/or indicators on the effectiveness of your SARCs and SAPR VAs in providing a “quality response” to Service members (and others eligible for SAPR services)? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Task #7, p. 3)

The Air Force SAPR program continues to closely monitor program effectiveness through routine reports and quality assurance reviews. The Air Force reviews 24-hour notifications and eight-day reports in order to observe the accuracy of reporting data and to assess continuity of care. Additionally, Headquarters Air Force regularly monitors expedited transfer reports and provides consultation, guidance, and intervention on cases, as needed. Finally, quarterly reports from the field provide a snapshot of the training and reflect advocacy efforts, community collaboration and awareness initiatives being offered across the enterprise. Each of these metrics and efforts assist with assessing the full engagement of all parties to consistently provide referral and support to victims and survivors.

2.3 SARCs and SAPR VA Suspension, Revocation, and Reinstatement: How many SARCs and SAPR VAs in your Military Service received a suspension? A revocation? A reinstatement? (Identify how many SARCs and SAPR VAs for each category) (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, “Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP),” (September 10, 2015), Encl 3, para 3)

During this period, the following DSAACP actions occurred: two suspensions, five revocations and one reinstatement as indicated in the table below:

SAPR ROLE	SUSPENSION	REVOICATION	REINSTATEMENT
SARC	1	2	
SAPR VA			1
Volunteer Victim Advocate	1	3	

Commanders continue to take swift action when violations occur as required by Department of Defense and Air Force Instructions. When DSAACP action occurs, the Air Force ensures notification is made to the appropriate agencies so victims only receive advocacy and care from credentialed personnel.

2.4 Medical Support: How many Service members who reported a sexual assault had their medical care hindered due to a lack of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits, timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources, or other resources? (Note: This answer should be consistent with the number reported in blocks A and C of the Victim Services matrices). (NDAA for FY 2006, section 596)

According to the Office of the Air Force Surgeon General, no adult Service members experienced a hindrance in medical care due to a lack of SAFE kits or timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources or other resources.

2.5 Military Protective Orders: How many Military Protective Orders were issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report (include the number issued and number violated)? What new steps (if any) were taken in the last year to improve protections? (DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), Encl 5, para 7)

The Air Force issued 93 Military Protective Orders (MPOs) as a result of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assaults in fiscal year 2019.

In order to improve protections, the office of the Judge Advocate General (AF/JA) updated Air Force Instruction (AFI) 51-201, *Administration of Military Justice*, to provide additional guidance on MPOs. The updated guidance outlines the procedures in unrestricted sexual assault cases as noted in DoDI 6495.02. Additionally, AFI 51-201 provides guidance on the following: (1) Issuing “Informal” No-Contact Orders (NCOs), which are generally utilized in matters not rising to a criminal investigation; (2) Outlines roles and responsibilities for commanders and Judge Advocates as it pertains to MPOs and NCOs; (3) Reiterates that both parties of an MPO receive copies of the DD Form 2873, (4) Highlights the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) indexing requirements, (5) Provides guidance on issuing MPOs and NCOs in conjunction with a civilian protective order, and (6) Outlines requirements when a commander denies a sexual assault victim’s MPO or NCO request, specifically documenting the basis for denial in writing and forwarding the request and denial to the installation commander or equivalent. Finally, the Air Force Legal Operations Agency, Military Justice Division (AFLOA/JAJM) created template training materials on MPOs for new commanders, which is provided in conjunction with the Article 137, UCMJ briefing received upon taking command.

2.6 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Victim Assistance and Advocacy goal.

SARC Special Duty Identifier

In order to increase the breadth of candidates for SARC duties, the Air Force is in the process of including the SARC role as a special duty for military members. Currently, SARC falls under the purview of the Force Support Officer Air Force Specialty Code (AFSC) with the option of becoming a career broadening opportunity for officers of other AFSCs with career field release. However, because SARC does not currently have its own unique AFSC, the career broadening opportunity reflects in the SARC's records as them performing Force Support Officer duties, which implies a much broader career field. By converting to a special duty, SARC will become its own AFSC. This action will benefit both the individual officers and the Air Force. It will benefit the Air Force by increasing the pool of candidates for SARC positions. By increasing the pool of candidates, the Air Force can also ensure that it is selecting volunteers with the correct skillset for these key positions. Because holding a special duty AFSC is a positive distinguishing factor amongst Air Force officers, establishing a special duty incentivizes the SAPR program to those who are interested and have the correct skillset and leadership capacity but were previously deterred from the effect it would have on their records. Incentivizing these positions increases the Air Force's advertising capability and allows the positions to stay 100 percent volunteer. The SARC position is critical to the health and success of the force, so it is paramount that the Air Force attracts the widest pool of candidates in order to select the most qualified personnel for the job and maintain the highest quality of victim care.

True North Expansion

The Air Force recognizes the innumerable benefits associated with co-locating Airmen and helping resources, which True North provides. As such, the Air Force is planning to expand this initiative by phases to ten additional bases beginning in fiscal year 2021.

3. Goal 3—Investigation: “sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault using investigative resources to yield timely results.”

3.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts for achieving the Investigation goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service in FY19. As applicable, include enhancements made to your Military Services' Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for Military Criminal Investigative Organizations. Additionally, as applicable, comment on new training enhancements for military criminal investigators, law enforcement personnel, or first responders on sexual assault investigations and preservation of evidence. Also, consider including any new or updated efforts to collaborate and/or share military protective orders and/or conviction information with civilian law enforcement. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9)

The median time for Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) to conduct and publish reports of investigations in over 1300 adult victim sexual assault cases was 83 days. This was an increase of eight days from fiscal year 2018. This increase was anticipated for two primary reasons. First, AFOSI's sexual assault caseload increased by almost 14 percent over the last two years. Second, given a research study conducted by an outside contract source in fiscal year 2019 found that as many as 20% of Air Force sexual assault cases involve repeat offenders, AFOSI expanded its investigation protocol to focus on attempting to identify additional victims. This protocol involves conducting more interviews and, in turn, more time required to complete these interviews.

AFOSI continued its robust case quality review process in fiscal year 2019, whereby headquarters case quality reviewers randomly select and review 10 percent of all closed sexual offense investigations. Case quality reviews help identify trends and patterns that assist AFOSI to refine policy and procedures, target units needing additional oversight, and inform improvements in investigator training and protocols.

In fiscal year 2019, AFOSI held four iterations of its 64-hour Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program (SCITP) course at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) in Georgia. In total, 115 AFOSI agents, Air Force Judge Advocate officers and Security Forces members attended the training. The course curriculum provides additional training in: cognitive biases, counter-intuitive victim behaviors, cognitive interviewing, trauma and chemical impaired memory, dynamics of domestic and intimate partner sexual assaults, as well as information on new or changing UCMJ sexual offenses. Additionally, AF/A1Z briefs a variety of topics in the course to include familiarizing students with the roles of SARCs and SAPR personnel as well as the reporting processes that SAPR manages. By including AF/A1Z personnel in the team, SCITP emphasizes the collaborative relationships needed between law enforcement members, Judge Advocates, and SAPR personnel in order to combat sexual assault in the Air Force. SCITP end-of-course attendee critiques continued to be favorable with an overall rating average of 4.6 on a five-point scale.

In an effort to further enhance the Air Force's Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability, AFOSI and the Air Force Legal Operations Agency (AFLOA) initiated actions for earlier, increased collaboration between AFOSI field investigators and Air Force Circuit Trial Counsels (CTC). Earlier and increased involvement of CTCs will help ensure decision-ready cases in instances where military justice action is determined to be appropriate.

Mindful of the importance of appreciating victims' views about the quality of support they receive from investigators, AFOSI leadership closely tracks Air Force Special Victim Counsel (SVC) victim services survey results (surveys offered to victims by SVCs at the completion of SVC services). In fiscal year 2019, 65 victims chose to complete the survey question pertaining to their satisfaction with how they were treated by investigators. Of these, 58 of 65 (89%) victims reported being "satisfied" or "very satisfied" with the way they were treated by investigators. If a victim provides comments regarding the investigative process, those comments are shared with Headquarters AFOSI's Criminal

Investigations Division and the AFOSI Investigations, Collections, Operations Nexus (ICON) Center to help improve our training and investigative processes. The provided comments did not create a need for any significant shifts in our investigative process, but the comments (good and bad) play a vital role with training discussions such as those occurring during our Sex Crimes Investigator Training Program (SCITP).

3.2 Evidence Processing Challenges: Has your Military Service had any challenges with evidence being processed at the Defense Forensic Science Center (e.g., turnaround time for processing of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits and other evidence). How did you address these challenges? (Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR (May 7, 2012), p. 11)

AFOSI experienced no significant challenges with evidence processing in fiscal year 2019. AFOSI continued to receive high-quality forensic sciences laboratory support to sexual assault cases from the Defense Forensic Science Center (DFSC). DFSC's turn-around-times for analyses of DNA evidence in AFOSI sexual assault cases averaged 64 days in fiscal year 2019, four days below the fiscal year 2018 average.

3.3 (NGB only) GAO Report: Coordination with Office of Complex Investigations (OCI): Describe NGB's efforts to comply with the cited GAO report, specifically the recommendation to include a requirement in its guidance to collect and maintain supporting documentation as part of its case files that verifies whether and how (1) the National Guard nexus exists for verifying how state National Guard officials determined that sexual assault case acceptance criteria have been met, and (2) the allegation has been referred to the appropriate military criminal investigative organization or civilian law enforcement organization prior to opening an OCI investigation into a sexual assault allegation. (Government Accountability Office Report, GAO-19-109 (December 2018), Office of Complex Investigations Should Update Policies to Require Additional Documentation for Sexual Assault Cases)

N/A

3.4 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Investigation goal.

AFOSI will continue to ensure sexual assault policy, training, resources and oversight are sustained into fiscal year 2020. SCITP will continue to be held four times per year; 120 Special Agents, Security Forces and Judge Advocates will continue to be trained in Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program in fiscal year 2020. In addition, Headquarters AFOSI quality case reviews will continue to occur on adult victims sexual assault cases. AFOSI and AFLOA collaboration to evolve Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) capabilities will continue. AFOSI will continue to receive and review SVC victim surveys as they pertain to investigator satisfaction. Finally, AFOSI will do its part to support and implement approved recommendations and legislation stemming from the Sexual Assault Accountability and Investigation Task Force (SAITF), as set forth in the April 30, 2019 SAITF report.

4. Goal 4—Accountability: “maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable.”

4.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service in FY 2019. As applicable, include enhancements made to the SAPR training provided to those who are affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability program (paralegals, trial counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) for responding to allegations of sexual assault. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, p. 9)

AF/JA initiated a comprehensive plan to, among other initiatives, enhance the SVIP capability as part of the Air Force SAAITF implementation plan.

AF/JA overhauled the mandatory annual and initial SAPR First Responder Trainings for military justice and legal assistance personnel and specialized training for Judge Advocates in fiscal year 2019. These updates reflect extensive changes in the law resulting from the Military Justice Act of 2016.

In fiscal year 2019, AF/JA procured a Department of Justice (DoJ) grant to develop and conduct specialized training for Air Force Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) Coordinators and Liaisons. Two pilot iterations of this in-residence, four-day training were held in fiscal year 2019, featuring collaboration with the Special Victims' Counsel directorate. Moreover, after the DOJ Office of Victims of Crime (OVC) reviewed the pilot VWAP Symposium curriculum, the OVC invited the Air Force as the sole service to participate in the Victims of Crime Act Administrators Conference.

The VWAP Symposium is now institutionalized, extended to five days, and trains approximately 80 VWAP personnel annually.

In fiscal year 2019, the Air Force updated AFI 51-201, *Administration of Military Justice*, to reflect enhancements to the SVIP capability. Specific changes include: 1) recommendation that base-level SVIP personnel should, if possible, have received specialized training in sexual assault investigations and prosecutions, such as the Sex Crimes Investigations Training Program, or other advanced litigation course (and if not, collaborate early, frequently, and at specified investigative stages with regional Special Victims Unit Circuit Trial Counsel); 2) detailed guidance and requirements on activation of the SVIP capability; 3) collaboration of legal office personnel, to include VWAP, with the MCIOs; and 4) notification requirements and consultations with sexual assault victims.

Additional projects begun in fiscal year 2019 include development and testing of a mandatory VWAP Checklist to document victim notifications and services, establishment of a communication network for base legal office VWAP coordinators, establishment of an appellate VWAP program, and establishment of optional victim feedback procedures.

The Circuit Trial Counsel (CTC) program continues to set the standard for Special Victim's prosecution in the AF. Enhanced efforts to ensure CTC integration at earlier stages of the

case investigation and prosecution are ongoing. A draft Operating Instruction outlining initiatives to formalize these efforts has been reviewed by AFOSI and Major Command Staff Judge Advocates and will be implemented upon approval by The Judge Advocate General (TJAG). Once implemented, publication and training efforts will follow ensuring that all investigators and base-level judge advocates and paralegals are fully ready to maximize CTC knowledge and experience to fully integrate those resources in leveraging the highest level of SVIP capabilities. This integration and training plan is anticipated to be fully implemented by early 2020.

4.2 Metrics for Assessing Effectiveness: Provide the metrics your Military Service employs to assess the effectiveness of your Special Victims' Counsel (SVC) / Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) program. Discuss this years metrics' outcomes and efforts to enhance SVC / VLC program effectiveness. Please update your Military Service's efforts to fund the SVC / VLC program in the POM process. (section 532 of the NDAA for FY 2016 / section 573 of the NDAA for FY 2013 / SecDef Memorandum, *Improving Victim Legal Support*, (August 14, 2013) p. 1)

In fiscal year 2019, the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC) Division continued to meet the Department's metrics for measuring success. The first metric evaluates the selection process for SVCs. TJAG's Office of Professional Development (JAX) coordinates all SVC candidates with the Special Victims' Counsel Division Chief to ensure each candidate meets the expectations of the SVC leadership. TJAG then selects a candidate to become an SVC only after aforementioned vetting is complete. To facilitate his decision making, TJAG receives the candidate's complete duty history, to include Officer Performance Reports, awards and decorations, assignment history, and any instances or allegations of misconduct. The second metric ensures that incoming personnel are certified to be SVCs and Special Victims' Paralegals (SVP).

The Air Force Judge Advocate Generals' School (AFJAGS) continues to facilitate a certification course for both adult and child clients annually. In fiscal year 2019, 43 incoming AF SVCs and SVPs attended the May 2019 course and 41 Victims' Legal Counsels (VLC) and SVCs from our sister Services attended as well. The third and fourth metrics evaluate the service's ability to maximize face-to-face interaction between the SVCs and their clients. In the reporting period, the SVC Division continued to equip, upgrade facilities and manage a total of 48 offices worldwide. Additionally, the SVC Division reviewed all locations where SVCs were responsible for providing services with no SVCs assigned on the installation. The review and assignment of these locations ensure the SVCs' and SVPs' ability to provide coverage for victims assigned to facilities with no local SVC office. The SVC Division leadership ensures there is adequate funding made available to the field whenever they need to travel to see clients and have up-to-date communications equipment, including iPhones.

The final metric evaluates other metrics the Services use to evaluate their effectiveness. The SVC Division continues to rely upon SVC client feedback as the best measure of success. SVC client feedback continued to be exceptionally positive for fiscal year 2019. An impressive 98% of clients surveyed indicated they were "extremely satisfied" or "satisfied" with their SVC's representation. Not only was virtually every represented victim

satisfied with their SVC, 98% of victims would recommend seeking SVC representation to another victim of sexual assault.

4.3 Victim's Preference for Prosecution: Describe your Military Service's process to ensure documentation and tracking of the victim's preference for prosecution by a court-martial or a civilian court with jurisdiction over the alleged offense. (DoD IG Report 2019-064 (March 20, 2019), *Audit of DoD Efforts to Consult with Victims of Sexual Assault Committed by Military Personnel in the United States Regarding the Victim's Preference for Prosecution*)

In fiscal year 2019, AF/JA updated AFI 51-201 with detailed requirements to ensure documentation and tracking of the victim's jurisdictional preference for prosecution (e.g., court-martial or a civilian court proceeding with jurisdiction over the offense).

The SJA for the Special Court-Martial Convening Authority (SPCMCA) must, by written memorandum, solicit the victim's jurisdictional preferences. In cases where both state and federal civilian authorities have concurrent jurisdiction, the SJA must determine which, if either, the victim prefers. To ensure all notification requirements are met, a standardized memorandum is included in AFI 51-201 that 1) notifies victims of this right, 2) solicits their jurisdictional preferences, and 3) includes a victim indorsement section. While use of the template is mandatory, SJAs may modify the verbiage to accommodate unique circumstances.

If the victim does not submit a response, the SJA documents on the memorandum the victim's refusal to do so. The completed notification memorandum with the victim's preference must be maintained in the military justice case file.

If the victim's preference is for civilian prosecution, the SJA must notify the applicable prosecutorial agency or agencies. A standardized memorandum is included in AFI 51-201. The SJA must also notify the victim of the civilian agency's response. A standardized memorandum is included in AFI 51-201.

In addition to requiring the victim notification and views on jurisdiction memoranda be maintained in the case file, AF/JA also added a tab to the Air Force's Automated Military Justice Administration and Management System (AMJAMS) to track this information. Base legal office personnel are responsible for entering whether the victim was advised of his or her rights to submit jurisdiction preferences, what those preferences are, and the date the victim's preferences were made known. This permits the General Court-Martial Convening Authority's SJA and AFLOA/JAJM to quickly access this information.

The case paralegal documents the applicability of and compliance with these requirements in all General Courts-Martial (GCMs) on the GCM Checklist mandated by AFI 51-201 and provided by AFLOA/JAJM.

Compliance with these notification, tracking, and documentation requirements is inspected through The Judge Advocate General's Article 6, UCMJ Inspection of wing legal offices.

Although the victim's views on jurisdiction are not binding, the SPCMCA must consider the victim's views prior to taking action.

4.4 Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program: Describe your efforts to implement the CATCH Program, to include the plan of action and milestones for force education and response personnel training. (SecDef Memorandum, *Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military*, (May 1, 2019) p. 2)

The Air Force championed CATCH training and awareness advance of the June 2019 program implementation in order to ensure a seamless roll-out and immediate use by victims. Beginning in August 2018, the Air Force included instruction on CATCH in the SAPR program's refresher training. Moreover, in October 2018, the Air Force published Air Force Guidance Memorandum to AFI 90-6001, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program*, which formally included CATCH in program policy. AFI 90-6001, which is scheduled for publication in early 2020, cements CATCH in Air Force policy. Training efforts continued across the field for SAPR personnel, Judge Advocates, and AFOSI until implementation. Each respective agency integrated CATCH into their formal training course curricula for introduction at their prescribed update periods, occurring throughout 2019 and early 2020. Additionally, the Air Force conducted CATCH site tests from March 2019 until June 2019 to provide program testing and evaluation prior to enterprise-wide implementation. In order to educate the entire force, the Air Force pushed the DoD's Public Affairs Guidance on CATCH with supporting fact sheets and frequently asked questions to SAPR personnel in August 2019 and is including CATCH in the 2020 SAPR Talking Points, which leaders must deliver to their units. This training must be completed enterprise-wide by December 2020. Mirroring this effort, Air Force Public Affairs committed to a social media campaign to socialize CATCH on various platforms since CATCH's implementation through at least December 2020.

4.5 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Accountability goal.

As discussed in 4.1 above, a draft Operating Instruction outlining initiatives to formalize accountability efforts is pending TJAG approval. Once implemented, publication and training efforts will follow ensuring that all investigators and base-level judge advocates/paralegals are fully ready to maximize CTC knowledge and experience to fully integrate those resources in leveraging the highest level of SVIP capabilities. This integration and training plan is anticipated to be fully implemented by 1 January 2020.

5. Goal 5—Assessment: “effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report SAPR Program progress to improve effectiveness.”

5.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts for achieving the Assessment goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service in FY 2019. As applicable, include any new training your Military Service has implemented for SARCs and SAPR VAs during the past year and how you measure the training's effectiveness. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and

Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, p. 10)

Basic Military Training Tablet Training

In 2019, the Air Force fielded an innovative pilot study to determine the feasibility and acceptability of tailored training at Basic Military Training (BMT). This approach integrates tablet-based content into standard classroom training and the tablets are loaded with an interactive multi-media program that provides the trainee tailored sexual assault prevention training based upon their responses to an anonymous screening measure. The training includes classroom activities, which serves to provide common learning points to the trainees and covers required SAPR training. The tailored training has potential to reduce sexual assaults and better prepare service members by aiding recovery and enhancing prevention skills, ultimately leading to enhanced squadron readiness.

DSAID Efforts

The Air Force is committed to ensuring Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data quality, reliability and validity. To achieve these objectives, the Air Force leverages Major Command leadership in monthly quality control meetings utilizing the Department of Defense Quality Assurance Tool. Further, Headquarters Air Force staff work directly with other stakeholders such as the AFOSI, JA, and installation SARCs as necessary to ensure records are correct and complete. The Air Force also provides training opportunities to build user proficiency through DSAID webinars for new SARCs upon completion of the SARC initial training course. The annual refresher training for Major Command SARCs also offered DSAID training focused on frequently asked questions, trends in data entry errors and pertinent upgrades to the system. Lastly, the Air Force shares DSAID error trends and pertinent information as part of the monthly Major Command teleconference to ensure transparency and a reduction in errors.

5.2 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Assessment goal.

Continual improvement and enhancement are critical to providing innovative prevention and response capabilities across the Air Force. For 2020, the Air Force intends to streamline the reporting process and data collection methodology. Streamlining the process will be accomplished by communicating errors, recognizing performance gaps, and improving training and tools to reduce future errors. Specifically, the Air Force plans to emphasize the importance of reporting accuracy and oversight by including Major Command leadership in the distribution of monthly DSAID reports. The goal is for command emphasis to further drive a reduction in errors, which will enhance accurate reporting and accountability of victim service. Additionally, performance gaps will be measured through error rates to ensure top-priority issues are highlighted and addressed in training sessions. This will ensure the entire field is knowledgeable on common errors and questions and properly equipped to resolve them. Finally, AF/A1Z will track training to validate compliance and competency.

6. Core Functions: Communication and Policy: Provide a brief summary for new efforts taken in FY 2019 on the following:

6.1 General/Flag Officer Discussion on Career Impacts Due to Retaliation: How is your Military Service ensuring that sexual assault victims, uniformed witnesses, bystanders, and first responders involved in a sexual assault report are provided information on their right to discuss the career impacts with a General/Flag Officer if they believe those impacts were due to their report of retaliation or the assistance they provided to the retaliation reporter. (DoD Retaliation Prevention and Response Implementation Plan (January 2017), p. 13-14)

The Air Force's annual sexual assault training curriculum emphasizes retaliation, prevention strategies, and how to respond to and manage retaliation effectively. The training addresses the Department of Defense's core competencies and learning objectives regarding retaliation and seeks to empower all Airmen so that they fully understand their roles and protections as a victim, witness, or bystander who feels they have been subject to retaliation. Specifically, the training highlights that these individuals have the right to discuss career impacts with a General or Flag Officer if they believe the impacts were due to their report of retaliation or the assistance they provided to the retaliation reporter.

6.2 Retaliation Educational Materials: What educational materials have been developed for retaliation reporters to familiarize them with retaliation processes and procedures? (DoD Retaliation Prevention and Response Implementation Plan (January 2017), Task Number 3.4, p. 19)

The Air Force Integrated Resilience Directorate incorporated a *Retaliation and The Inspector General* module into the foundational course for all SARC and SAPR Victim Advocates, as well as a Retaliation module for our Volunteer Victim Advocate Training. These modules provide education on the standard definition of retaliation to include reprisal, ostracism and maltreatment. The training contains methods of creating a strong and supportive system of response and accountability to ensure SAPR personnel understand the various ways to report incidents of retaliation and obtain supportive services for all retaliation reporters. The SARC and SAPR VA training highlights the benefits of creating a culture intolerant of retaliatory behavior and discusses the mechanism to hold leaders appropriately accountable. Air Force Integrated Resilience is developing additional educational materials for SARC and other response personnel to address the specific needs of witnesses, bystanders and first responders who are experiencing retaliation due to their involvement in a sexual assault report.

7. National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Requirements:

Provide your Military Service's status on the NDAA sections listed below. There are unique requirements embedded within each NDAA section's language, so referring to the entire section is necessary.

After reviewing the designated NDAA section:

- If the requirement(s) has/have been implemented, provide the completion date and a short narrative (150 words or less) describing the action taken. For example: "Completed January 15, 2019. Requirement added to AR 600-20, Army Command Policy."

- If the requirement(s) has/have not been implemented, provide the projected completion date and a short narrative (150 words or less) on the status. For example: "Projected completion date is October 2019. Addition of the policy to AR 600-20, Army Command Policy, is currently pending legal review."

7.1 FY 2019 SEC. 545. Development of Resource Guides Regarding Sexual Assault for the Military Service Academies

Legal Guide completion: 2017
Cadet Guide ECD: January 2020

The United States Air Force Academy (USAFA) accomplished this goal in two parts. First, prior to this section of the fiscal year 2019 NDAA, USAFA published a SAPR guide with guidance on sexual assault, which detailed various roles and responsibilities for helping agencies and stakeholders. USAFA/JA implemented this guide in 2017. Additionally, USAFA is currently creating a SAPR Resource Guide for cadets, which will discuss the following in plain language: policies, reporting options and processes, legal processes, definitions, and local/national resources. This guide is projected for publication in January 2020.

7.2 FY 2018, SEC. 520. Consideration of Additional Medical Evidence by Boards for the Correction of Military Records and Liberal Consideration of Evidence Relating to Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder or Traumatic Brain Injury

Completed: August 2017. The Discharge Review Board (DRB) and Air Force Board of Correction to Military Records (AFBCMR) are in compliance with this section of the NDAA. All applications are screened to determine if applicants were diagnosed with a mental health disorder, if they claim to have or had a mental health disorder, or if they indicate that they were a victim of sexual assault or harassment. In these cases, liberal consideration is applied through the consideration of all evidence provided by the applicant and/or counsel and an advisory opinion from a clinical psychologist or psychiatrist or through their presence as a voting member of the DRB.

7.3 FY 2018, SEC. 521. Public Availability of Information Related to Disposition of Claims Regarding Discharge or Release of Members of the Armed Forces When the Claims Involve Sexual Assault

Completed: September 2017. OUSD P&R collects data from each of the Service Boards, compiles the data in tables, and submits the statistic reports for posting on the DoD Boards Reading Room website (<https://boards.law.af.mil>) under the heading "Board Statistics" toward the bottom of the screen. Statistics from CY2017 3rd Quarter (when this new requirement was levied by NDAA17) to the present are posted there. AFBCMR provides OUSD P&R the required quarterly statistics 45 days following the end of the reporting quarter.

7.4 FY 2018, SEC. 522. Confidential Review of Characterization of Terms of Discharge of Members Who Are Victims of Sex Related Offenses

Completed: September 2019. The Air Force applies the same protections to all cases referred to the DRB. Each case is only shared with those individuals who have a need to know. This is limited to the individual support personnel tasked with entering, updating, and answering the

cases and those board members appointed to or required by law to be present on the board itself.

The AFBCMR established a Sexual Assault/Harassment (SA/SH) Cell consisting of three staff members who handle all SA/SH cases. This cell ensures only those individuals who have a need to know will access the case files. This cell also makes direct contact with each eligible applicant (email and/or phone call) walking them through the entire process and providing them with a POC they can contact if they have any questions or concerns. Additionally, this cell ensures the applicant's consent to release is received prior to posting the redacted version of the ultimate decision in the Reading Room.

7.5 FY 2018, SEC. 523. Training Requirements for Members of Boards for the Correction of Military Records and Personnel Who Investigate Claims of Retaliation

Completed: May 2018. On 15 Mar 18, OUSD P&R sent out a memorandum addressing Uniform Training Curricula for Members of the Boards for the Correction of Military/Naval Records. The AFBCMR complied with OUSD P&R requirement by submitting an approved Training Report on 3 May 2018. AFBCMR provides the required training to all Board members IAW the approved Training Plan.

7.6 FY 2017, SEC. 533. Availability of Certain Correction of Military Records and Discharge Review Board Information Through the Internet

Completed: September 2017. The Air Force provides OUSD P&R the required quarterly statistics 45 days following the end of the reporting quarter. OUSD P&R compiles the data from all services and posts the statistics to the Reading Room.

7.7 FY 2017 SEC. 542. Effective Prosecution and Defense in Courts-Martial and Pilot Programs on Professional Military Justice Development for Judge Advocates

Completed October 2017. Military justice experience designators, known as "Military Justice Experience Tracker" (MJET) levels, signify counsel skill and experience and are centrally managed by The Judge Advocate General's (TJAG) Professional Development Directorate (AF/JAX).

Deliberate development is ongoing. TJAG is personally involved in assigning every Air Force judge advocate through a rigorous process centrally managed by JAX. The assignment process and decisions by TJAG take into account multiple data points, including but not limited to: duty history, legal competencies, assignment preference information, feedback from supervisors, promotion timing, professional and personal goals, and family needs.

Each assignment is treated as a deliberate step in the member's professional development. Defense counsel are assigned only after reaching MJET level 1 and with the recommendations of their Staff Judge Advocate, Trial Defense Division, and JAX. Circuit trial counsel are assigned only after obtaining considerable experience (over MJET level 2) and upon being recommended.

7.8 FY 2017, SEC. 547. Notification to Complainants of Resolution of Investigations into Retaliation

Completed: December 2018. The Air Force provides multiple avenues for reporting and investigating retaliation. Typically, the complaint is either investigated by the Inspector General or through the command by a Command Directed Investigation (CDI). When the Inspector General reviews and investigates a complaint, Air Force policy mandates that the complainant receive notification of the complaint resolution (to include if the case is dismissed due to insufficient evidence). Air Force policy does not currently mandate that commanders investigate complaints of retaliation, nor does it require notification of the resolution of a CDI. However, Air Force policy empowers commanders with the authority to investigate incidents under their jurisdiction. In order to assist commanders with the CDI process, the Air Force Inspector General Complaints Resolution Directorate provides Commanders with a CDI Investigation Guide, which outlines bases for cases and case closure procedures. Part of these case closure procedures include a sample case closure letter to notify a complainant of case resolution.

7.9 FY 2015, SEC. 508. Required Consideration of Certain Elements of Command Climate in Performance Appraisals of Commanding Officers

Completed: September 2014. Air Force policy requires evaluators to document substantiated sex-offenses (whether convicted via court-martial or administered via non-judicial or administrative punishment) in an Airman's permanent record via the Airman's performance report. The performance report then becomes a referral, which becomes a part of the Airman's permanent record. Additionally, evaluators rate commanding officers on the same performance report criteria as all officers, which includes setting a healthy organizational climate. If the commanding officer is found to not set a healthy command climate, his or her performance report becomes a referral report.

7.10 FY 2014, SEC. 1721. Tracking of Compliance of Commanding Officers in Conducting Organizational Climate Assessments for Purposes of Preventing and Responding to Sexual Assaults

Completed: October 2014. In accordance with the National Defense Authorization Act, A1Q oversees the implementation of the Defense Equal Opportunity Climate Survey (DEOCS). Commanders at all levels are held responsible and accountable for their climate and as such, must ensure a climate assessment is conducted within 120 days after assumption of command and every 12 months after the completion of their previous assessment for units of 50 or more personnel.

Quarterly DEOCS tracking data is sent to respective Strategic Advisors using DEOCS reporting spreadsheet. Strategic Advisors collect and consolidate the data for their respective commands and forward the DEOCS reporting spreadsheets to the Air Force Personnel Center Equal Opportunity Office (AFPC/EO). AFPC/EO collects and consolidates the data from each MAJCOM and forwards to AF/A1Q and other HHQ agencies.

8. Analytics Discussion

8.1 Military Services & NGB*: Provide an analytic discussion (1,500 words or less) of your Statistical Report of reported sexual assault cases from the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID). Required elements included on this template are information on Unrestricted Reports; Restricted Reports; service referrals for victims alleging sexual assault; and case synopses of completed investigations.

*NGB should provide comments based on its available information and data.

This section must briefly address each of the following:

- Notable changes in the data over time
- Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, in data
- The application of insights from data analyses for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research
- Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since FY 2008) **(Metric #11)**
- The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in the FY and the corresponding mean and median investigation length. Case open date can be in any year, but the close date must be by the end of the FY **(Non-Metric #6)**
- The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process **(Metric #7)**
- Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority (to be captured using the most serious crime investigated, comparing penetration to contact crimes) **(Non-Metric #1)**
- Sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes) **(Non-Metric #2)**
- Summary of referral data – Unrestricted and Restricted Reports - either referrals received from other sources or referrals made to other sources (e.g., medical/mental health, command, criminal investigation/security services, legal, civilian, or VA authorities, etc.)
- Any other information relating to sexual assault case data

8.1 Analytic Discussion Background

Sexual Assault Definition: The Department of Defense and Air Force SAPR programs utilize the term “sexual assault” to refer to the range of crimes in military law that constitutes contact sexual offenses between adults. These crimes include specific offenses of rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy (forced oral or anal sex), or any attempts to commit these offenses, as defined in Articles 80, 120, and 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

Sexual Assault Reporting Options: Under the Department of Defense’s Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Policy, Service members and their adult military dependents have two reporting options – restricted and unrestricted reporting.

Filing a Restricted Report provides sexual assault victims with access to medical care, mental health care, advocacy services, and legal advice without initiating a criminal investigation or

notifying their commander. In order to file a Restricted Report, victims must report the crime directly to the SARC, SAPR Victim Advocate, or healthcare personnel.

Filing an Unrestricted Report, provides the same access to care and services as an individual filing a Restricted Report. Per Department of Defense policy, the Air Force refers Unrestricted Reports for investigation with a Military Criminal Investigative Organization and notifies the command of the report.

Victims who initially make a Restricted Report may convert it to an Unrestricted Report. Once a victim has filed an Unrestricted Report or chosen to convert their previously filed Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report, it is not possible to convert it to a Restricted Report.

The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database: Since fiscal year 2014, the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database has been the Department of Defense's authoritative, centralized case-level database used to collect and maintain information on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces. The Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office operates the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database and works collaboratively with the Services to implement and sustain the system. The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database meets requirements set forth in the fiscal year 2009 National Defense Authorization Act. The Air Force uses it to conduct oversight, inform Department and Service-level SAPR program planning and analysis, and meet Congressional reporting requirements.

SARCs use the database to provide comprehensive and standardized victim case management. SARCs are required to enter victim demographic, safety concerns, referrals and incident data for each report of sexual assault into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Additionally, for Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault, the database interfaces with Military Criminal Investigative Organization information systems, which "push" additional subject demographic and offense-specific information into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Military Criminal Investigative Organization information systems remain the system of record for all Unrestricted Reports they investigate. Service appointed legal officers also enter subject case disposition information into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database and validate entries.

Scope: This report contains data about sexual assault crimes that involve at least one Service member (either as the victim or as one or more of the subjects) reported to the Air Force. Sexual assault reporting data between spouses or intimate partners fall under the purview of the Air Force Family Advocacy Program and is not included in this report. Data regarding sexual harassment falls under the purview of the Air Force Equal Opportunity Program and is not included in this report.

Unrestricted and Restricted Report data captures sexual assault reports made by Service members and adult military dependents. The data also includes sexual assaults committed by Service members on victims outside the Armed Forces. Information describing these victims and subjects is also in the following statistics.

Sexual assault data continually changes due to case progress. The data contained in this report is a snapshot, reflecting the status of sexual assault reports, investigations, and subject dispositions in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database on September 30, 2019 (the last day of fiscal year 2019).

8.1.1. Sexual Assault Reporting in the Air Force

The Air Force has received a record of 1,683 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in fiscal year 2019. As depicted in Chart 8.1.1., this is the largest number of sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in the history of its SAPR program, at least 9% higher than in any previous fiscal year. The percentage of reports that remained restricted at the end of fiscal year 2019 is 31%, similar to the end of fiscal year 2018.




Chart 8.1.1. – Annual Reports of Sexual Assault

The Air Force believes that the sustained high levels of reporting between fiscal years 2014 to 2019 indicates that victims feel increasingly more comfortable coming forward to report these

crimes, receive care, and allow investigations to take place so that commanders and the military justice system can hold subjects appropriately accountable.

The 1,683 reports of sexual assault received by the Air Force in fiscal year 2019 represents a 9% increase from the 1,544 reports made in fiscal year 2018. The increase in reporting is higher than the increase in the active duty Air Force population which increased by 2%, from approximately 321,000 at the end of fiscal year 2018 to 328,000 at the end of fiscal year 2019.

For comparison, the Air Force received a total of 1,544 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in fiscal year 2018, which represented a 4% increase from the 1,480 reports made in fiscal year 2017. The total active duty Air Force population increased 1% during fiscal year 2018, from approximately 318,000 at the end of fiscal year 2016 to 321,000 at the end of fiscal year 2017.

It is important to note that people do not always report sexual assaults in the same fiscal year as they happen, although the majority do. Of the 1,683 sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in fiscal year 2019, 953 (57%) reported incidents that occurred in fiscal year 2019, 579 reports (34%) were incidents that occurred in prior fiscal years, the remaining 151 reports (9%) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

For comparison, of the 1,544 sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in fiscal year 2018, 923 (60%) were for incidents that occurred in fiscal year 2018, 477 (31%) were for incidents that occurred in prior fiscal year, and the remaining 144 (9%) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

Types of Sexual Assault Reports: Department of Defense policy allows eligible victims to make either a restricted or an unrestricted sexual assault report. A victim who initially makes a Restricted Report has the option of later converting the Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report (policy prevents converting an Unrestricted Report to a Restricted Report). Of the 1,683 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects, the Air Force received in fiscal year 2019:

- 172 (10%) were Restricted Reports initially reported in fiscal year 2019 that were converted to Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2019
- 38 (2%) were Restricted Reports initially reported prior to fiscal year 2019 that were converted to Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2019
- 522 (31%) were Restricted Reports initially reported in fiscal year 2019 that remained restricted at the end of fiscal year 2019.

8.1.1.1. Sexual Assault Prevalence in the Air Force

It is important to draw a distinction between the number of sexual assaults that occur (i.e., sexual assault prevalence) and the number of sexual assaults that are reported to authorities (i.e., sexual assault reporting) in a given timeframe. Since people, in both civilian and military populations, do not report most sexual assaults that occur to authorities, sexual assault prevalence will typically exceed sexual assault reporting.

Sexual assault is a highly underreported crime which means the number of reported sexual assaults in a given timeframe may not accurately indicate the number of sexual assaults that occurred in that timeframe. To estimate the number of sexual assaults that occur in the active duty Department of Defense population, the Department of Defense administers an anonymous, confidential survey to active duty Department of Defense members biannually. The four most recent Department of Defense sexual assault active duty prevalence surveys were the 2018 and 2016 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members, the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study, and the 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members.

Chart 8.1.1.1. shows the estimated past-year sexual assault prevalence estimates (red dots) with 95% confidence intervals (black lines) for active duty Airmen from the past four Department of Defense prevalence surveys. According to these surveys, an estimated 3,200 active duty Airmen experienced a past-year sexual assault in 2012, decreasing to about 2,300 in 2016, and increasing to 3,900 in 2018.¹




Chart 8.1.1.1. Past Year Sexual Assault Prevalence Estimates for Active Duty Airmen

¹ The 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members used a slightly different measure than did the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study and the 2016 and 2018 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members. The 2012 survey measured unwanted sexual contact, while the 2014, 2016, and 2018 surveys measured sexual assault. Also, the 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members used a much smaller sample size than did the 2014, 2016, or 2018 surveys, which is reflected in the relatively large width of the 2012 survey confidence interval compared to the widths of the other survey confidence intervals.

8.1.1.2. Sexual Assault Reporting Compared to Sexual Assault Prevalence

The Air Force SAPR program has stated a two-pronged goal of reducing sexual assault prevalence while increasing sexual assault reporting. According to the most recent biannual sexual assault prevalence study (the 2018 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members), an estimated 3,920 active duty Airmen were sexually assaulted in fiscal year 2018. While sexual assault reporting increased between fiscal years 2016 and 2018 by 14% the estimated prevalence of sexual assault increased by almost 70% over the same time.

Chart 8.1.1.2. illustrates this trend of relatively flat estimated prevalence between fiscal year 2014 and 2016 and increased estimated prevalence in fiscal year 2018 with increased reporting between fiscal year 2016 and 2019 by comparing the estimated number of sexually assaulted active duty Airmen in the past year to the number of sexual assaults reported by active duty Airmen in each fiscal year. However, because the Air Force accepts sexual assault reports from a much wider population than the population to which the prevalence estimates pertain, not all sexual assault reports are directly comparable to the sexual assault prevalence estimates.²

² The sexual assault prevalence studies estimate the number of active duty Airmen who experienced a sexual assault in the past 12 months while in military service. The Air Force accepts sexual assault reports from active duty members of other services, certain non-active duty personnel, and imposes no limit on how long ago the sexual assault occurred or whether or not the victim was in the military at the time of the assault. Therefore, the graphic shows the number of reports that were by victims who were Service members at the time of the report.




Chart 8.1.1.2. – Active Duty Prevalence vs. Reporting of Sexual Assault

In fiscal year 2018, the Air Force received a number of reports equal to approximately 32% of the number of estimated sexual assaults indicated by prevalence studies. This is a decrease from fiscal year 2016 when the number of received reports was equal to approximately 45% of the number indicated by prevalence studies. The Air Force expects to reduce the “gap” between the survey-estimated number of sexually assaulted Airmen and the number of Airmen who choose to report in two ways, over time:

- Sexual assault prevention initiatives will reduce past-year prevalence of sexual assault; and
- Initiatives that encourage victims to report and improve the military justice system will increase the number of victims who choose to report.

Although fiscal year 2018 saw the second highest reporting number in the history of the Air Force SAPR program, about two-thirds of estimated past-year sexual assaults of active duty Airmen remain unreported. The Air Force continues to strive to narrow the gap between prevalence and reporting in order to reduce the underreporting of sexual assault in the military community.

8.1.2. Unrestricted Reporting

8.1.2.1. Analysis of Victims in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section provides data about victims in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. In this section, the term “fiscal year” refers to the fiscal year in which the investigation associated with a report concluded, not the fiscal year of the report of the sexual assault. For example, Unrestricted Reports under the fiscal year 2019 column are not necessarily sexual assaults reported in fiscal year 2019. Rather, they are sexual assault reports whose associated investigations concluded in fiscal year 2019. The dates of these reports were in fiscal year 2019 or in any prior fiscal year.

Type of Offense Investigated: Table 8.1.2.1.1. breaks out the Unrestricted Report investigations completed each fiscal year by type of offense investigated. Military Criminal Investigative Organizations categorize Unrestricted Reports by the most serious offense alleged in the report, which may not ultimately be the same offense for which evidence supports a misconduct charge, if any.

Type of Offense Investigated in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Total Victims	795	-	766	-	874	-	865	-	1017	-
Type of Offense Investigated										
Penetrating Offenses	404	50.8%	400	52.2%	439	50.2%	448	51.8%	555	54.6%
Contact Offenses	346	43.5%	309	40.3%	392	44.9%	380	43.9%	413	40.6%
Attempts to Commit Offenses	20	2.5%	36	4.7%	22	2.5%	23	2.7%	21	2.1%
Offense Code Data Not Available	25	3.1%	21	2.7%	21	2.4%	14	1.6%	28	2.8%

Table 8.1.2.1.1. – Type of Sexual Assault Offense for Unrestricted Reports

The type of offense investigated in completed investigations has been relatively stable since fiscal year 2015. Penetrating offenses, which include rape, aggravated sexual assault, sexual assault, and forcible sodomy, account for about half of all completed investigations. Contact offenses, which include aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, and indecent assault account for 41% of all completed investigations in fiscal year 2019. Attempts to commit offenses and unknown offense type account for the remainder, approximately 2% of all completed investigations.

Demographic Analysis of Victims in Completed Investigations

Table 8.1.2.1.2. below provides a demographic analysis of victims in investigations completed each fiscal year by gender, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

Gender: Women consistently represent the majority (84%) of sexual assault victims in completed investigations each fiscal year (2015-2019), while comprising only 20% of the Total Air Force population during the same period. Male victims in completed investigations averaged 15%, between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2019 while comprising 80% of the Total Air Force population during the same period.

Age at Time of Incident: Victims in the 16-19 age group in completed investigations are over-represented compared to their representation in the Total Air Force population. The percent of victims in the 16-19 age group averaged 20% between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2019.

In fiscal year 2019, this age group accounts for 22% of victims with investigations completed, while comprising of 5% of the Total Air Force population.

Victims in the 20-24 age group are also over-represented compared with the Total Air Force population. Between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2019 the 20-24 age group averaged 40% of victims with investigations completed. In fiscal year 2019, this age group accounts for 42% of victims with investigations, while comprising 28% of the Total Air Force population.

Victims in each of the older age groups are under-represented compared to their respective cohorts in the fiscal year 2019 active duty Air Force population. In fiscal year 2019 the 25-34 age group represented 20% of victims and 43% of the Total Air Force. In fiscal year 2019 the 35-49 age group represented 4% of the victims and 22% of the Total Air Force. In fiscal year 2019 the 50+ age group represented less than 1% of the victims and 1% of the Total Air Force.

Grade: Junior enlisted Airmen (E1-E4) are over-represented as victims in completed investigations between fiscal years 2015 and 2019 averaging 75% of the completed investigations. In fiscal year 2019, E1-E4 Airmen accounted for 76% of victims in completed investigations while comprising 39% of the active duty Air Force population. Senior enlisted Airmen (E5-E9) and officers each account for a smaller share of the victims in completed investigations (17% and 5%, respectively in fiscal year 2019).

Victim Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Total Victims	795	-	766	-	874	-	865	-	1017	-
Gender										
Male	131	16.5%	118	15.4%	122	14.0%	129	14.9%	151	14.8%
Female	654	82.3%	635	82.9%	725	83.0%	732	84.6%	861	84.7%
Unknown	10	1.3%	13	1.7%	27	3.1%	4	0.5%	5	0.5%
Age (Time of Incident)										
0-15	4	0.5%	6	0.8%	6	0.7%	8	0.9%	2	0.2%
16-19	127	16.0%	154	20.1%	150	17.2%	186	21.5%	228	22.4%
20-24	323	40.6%	304	39.7%	342	39.1%	324	37.5%	429	42.2%
25-34	127	16.0%	147	19.2%	150	17.2%	166	19.2%	199	19.6%
35-49	37	4.7%	33	4.3%	34	3.9%	34	3.9%	36	3.5%
50-64	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	3	0.3%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Unknown	176	22.1%	120	15.7%	189	21.6%	145	16.8%	120	11.8%
Military Affiliation										
Military	608	76.5%	608	79.4%	686	78.5%	735	85.0%	862	84.8%
Non-military	176	22.1%	142	18.5%	159	18.2%	124	14.3%	150	14.7%
Unknown	11	1.4%	16	2.1%	29	3.3%	6	0.7%	5	0.5%
Duty Status (Military Victims)										
Active Duty	547	90.0%	549	90.3%	632	92.1%	687	93.5%	802	93.0%
Reserve (Activated)	32	5.3%	39	6.4%	33	4.8%	37	5.0%	39	4.5%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	5	0.8%	3	0.5%	9	1.3%	4	0.5%	4	0.5%
Cadet/Prep School Student	24	3.9%	17	2.8%	12	1.7%	7	1.0%	17	2.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grade (Military Victims)										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	24	3.9%	17	2.8%	12	1.7%	7	1.0%	17	2.0%
E-1 to E-4	442	72.7%	427	70.2%	521	75.9%	569	77.4%	653	75.8%
E-5 to E-9	109	17.9%	128	21.1%	112	16.3%	116	15.8%	146	16.9%
WO1 to WO5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%
O-1 to O-3	24	3.9%	24	3.9%	37	5.4%	37	5.0%	36	4.2%
O-4 to O-10	9	1.5%	12	2.0%	4	0.6%	6	0.8%	8	0.9%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 8.1.2.1.2. – Victim Demographics for Unrestricted Reports

Military Protective Orders: Table 8.1.2.1.3. provides a summary of military protective orders. There were 93 military protective orders issued in fiscal year 2019, of which 2 were violated.

Military Protective Orders										
FY Military Protective Order Issued	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Military Protective Orders Issued	85	-	82	-	105	-	104	-	93	-
Military Protective Orders Violated	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	2	1.9%	3	2.9%	2	2.2%

Table 8.1.2.1.3. – Military Protective Orders

Expedited Transfers: Table 8.1.2.1.4. provides a summary of expedited transfer requests by Service member victims. The number of expedited transfer requests in fiscal year 2019 was 224, of which 7 were denied. Expedited transfers were denied due to the victim pending Uniform Code of Military Justice actions, victim pending separation, the alleged offender no longer being assigned to the base, or the Commander determined that the report was not credible. The number of requests increased from all previous years.

Expedited Transfers										
FY Expedited Transfer Requested	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Expedited Transfer Requests by Service Member Victims	112	-	87	-	148	-	178	-	224	-
Expedited Transfer Requests Approved	109	97.3%	86	98.9%	145	98.0%	170	95.5%	217	96.9%
Expedited Transfer Requests Denied	3	2.7%	1	1.1%	3	2.0%	8	4.5%	7	3.1%

Table 8.1.2.1.4. – Expedited Transfers for Unrestricted Reports

Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process: Table 8.1.2.1.5. provides a summary of victim participation in the military justice process. The number and percentage of cases in which the victim declined to participate in the military justice process decreased from 39 (11%) in fiscal year 2018 to 26 (5%) in fiscal year 2019.

Victim Participation in Military Justice Process (Unrestricted Reports)										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects that can be Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders	517	-	403	-	495	-	344	-	524	-
Number of Subject Cases with Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Action	74	14.3%	59	14.6%	144	29.1%	39	11.3%	26	5.0%

Table 8.1.2.1.5. – Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process

8.1.2.2. Analysis of Subjects in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section analyzes demographic data about subjects in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. In this section, the term “fiscal year” refers to the fiscal year in which the investigation associated with a sexual assault report concluded. For example, Unrestricted Reports under the fiscal year 2019 column are not necessarily reports filed in fiscal year 2019. Rather, they are sexual assault reports whose associated investigations concluded in fiscal year 2019. These reports include those filed in fiscal year 2019 or in any preceding fiscal year. Additionally, while each Unrestricted Report of sexual assault has a single victim, a report may have more than one subject. For these reasons, the

number of subjects shown in a given fiscal year will not necessarily match the number of Unrestricted Reports with completed investigations during that fiscal year.

Demographic Analysis of Subjects in Completed Investigations:

Table 8.1.2.2.1. provides a demographic analysis of subjects in investigations completed each fiscal year by gender, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

Gender: The majority of subjects in completed investigations are male. The percentage of subjects in completed investigations that are male has remained relatively stable over the last five fiscal years at about 85%. Men comprised about 80% of the active duty Air Force population during this time.

Compared to their share of the active duty population, women are under-represented as subjects in completed investigations. In fiscal year 2019, women represented 6% of subjects in completed investigations and 21% of the active duty Air Force population.

Age: On average between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2019, the top three age groups of subjects in completed investigations are age groups 20-24 at 38%, 25-34 at 29%, and 35-49 at 10%. In fiscal year 2019, the top three age group of subjects in completed investigations are similar to the five-year average.

Grade: On average between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2019, grade groups of subjects in completed investigations are E-1 to E-4 at 61%, E-5 to E-9 at 30%, O-1 to O-3 at 5% and O-4 to O-10 at 3%. In fiscal year 2019, E-1 to E-4 remained the rank group with the highest percentage of subjects in completed investigation at 64%.

Subject Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Total Subjects	750	-	696	-	813	-	843	-	952	-
Gender										
Male	642	85.6%	586	84.2%	699	86.0%	698	82.8%	802	84.2%
Female	33	4.4%	45	6.5%	34	4.2%	61	7.2%	57	6.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	75	10.0%	65	9.3%	80	9.8%	84	10.0%	93	9.8%
Age (Time of Incident)										
0-15	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	7	0.9%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%
16-19	62	8.3%	51	7.3%	71	8.7%	84	10.0%	93	9.8%
20-24	265	35.3%	261	37.5%	322	39.6%	329	39.0%	359	37.7%
25-34	238	31.7%	217	31.2%	216	26.6%	217	25.7%	283	29.7%
35-49	83	11.1%	70	10.1%	77	9.5%	84	10.0%	77	8.1%
50-64	9	1.2%	9	1.3%	17	2.1%	15	1.8%	18	1.9%
65+	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	92	12.3%	85	12.2%	102	12.5%	111	13.2%	120	12.6%
Military Affiliation										
Military	608	81.1%	551	79.2%	621	76.4%	652	77.3%	753	79.1%
Non-military	43	5.7%	37	5.3%	62	7.6%	61	7.2%	56	5.9%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	99	13.2%	108	15.5%	130	16.0%	131	15.5%	143	15.0%
Duty Status (Military Subjects)										
Active Duty	555	91.3%	497	90.2%	580	93.4%	618	94.8%	697	92.6%
Reserve	33	5.4%	36	6.5%	30	4.8%	26	4.0%	35	4.6%
National Guard	2	0.3%	2	0.4%	5	0.8%	4	0.6%	8	1.1%
Cadet/Prep School Student	14	2.3%	14	2.5%	6	1.0%	4	0.6%	13	1.7%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	4	0.7%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grade (Military Subjects)										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	14	2.3%	14	2.5%	6	1.0%	4	0.6%	13	1.7%
E-1 to E-4	342	56.3%	307	55.7%	408	65.7%	400	61.3%	485	64.4%
E-5 to E-9	201	33.1%	185	33.6%	155	25.0%	192	29.4%	212	28.2%
WO-1 to WO-5	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
O-1 to O-3	29	4.8%	30	5.4%	31	5.0%	35	5.4%	27	3.6%
O-4 to O-10	17	2.8%	12	2.2%	21	3.4%	20	3.1%	14	1.9%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	4	0.7%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	2	0.3%

Table 8.1.2.2.1. – Subject Demographics for Unrestricted Reports

8.1.2.3. Investigative and Military Justice Process Discussion


Subject Dispositions: Once the investigation of an Unrestricted Report is complete, Congress requires the Military Services to provide the outcome of the allegations against each subject named in an investigation – subject dispositions. Table 8.1.2.3.1. analyzes subject dispositions reported in fiscal year 2019. Of the 509 subjects with dispositions reported in fiscal year 2019, 411 had consideration for command action and the remaining 98 did not because the subject was outside the Department of Defense’s legal authority or a civilian or foreign authority exercised jurisdiction over the subject. Of the 411 subjects having consideration for command action, completion of command action occurred for 397 in fiscal year 2019. Of the 397 subjects with command actions completed in fiscal year 2019, for 271 (66%) the Air Force substantiated either a sexual assault charge or other misconduct, for 140 (34%) the circumstances precluded command action, and for 0 command or legal review found the allegation unfounded.

FY19 DISPOSITONS	Total Count	Actions Completed in FY19 (Subset of Total Count)
SUBJECTS OF INVESTIGATION WITH DISPOSITION INFORMATION TO REPORT IN FY19	509	
<i>DoD did not Consider Action</i>	98	
Subject outside DoD's legal Authority	97	
<i>Offender is Unknown</i>	51	
<i>Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National</i>	45	
<i>Subject Died or Deserted</i>	1	
Civilian/Foreign Authority Exercised Jurisdiction over Service Member Subject	1	
<i>Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects Considered for Possible Action</i>	411	
Evidence Supported Commander Action	271	14
<i>Sexual Assault Charge Substantiated</i>	171	11
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	90	6
Nonjudicial Punishments	17	0
Administrative Discharges	4	1
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	60	4
<i>Other Misconduct Substantiated</i>	100	3
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	4	1
Nonjudicial Punishments	45	1
Administrative Discharges	3	0
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	48	1
Command Action Precluded	140	
Victim Declined to Participate	80	
Insufficient Evidence	60	
Statute of Limitations Expired	0	
Victim Died before completion of justice action	0	
Allegation Unfounded by Command/Legal	0	

Table 8.1.2.3.1. – Fiscal Year 2019 Subject Dispositions for Unrestricted Reports

Completed Command Actions: Chart 8.1.2.3.2. analyzes completed command actions for penetrating and sexual contact crimes over time. Command actions for non-sexual assault offenses increased by two percentage points between fiscal year 2018 and 2019. Command actions for sexual assault offenses that led to discharge more than doubled in count and percentage terms over the same time period resulting in the highest number of Service members discharged for sexual assault offenses. Command actions for sexual assault offenses that led to non-judicial punishment or Court-Martial decreased by 12 percentage points, over the same time. Cases where command action was not possible increased by 3 percentage points between fiscal year 2018 and 2019.

Completed* Command Actions for Penetrating and Sexual Contact Crimes Investigated



*NOTE: This figure only includes command actions in which the action was completed in FY 2019. Command actions pending completion (e.g., court-martial preferred but pending trial) are not included in this graph. Additionally, there were 27 completed command actions that could not be classified as penetrating or sexual contact crimes because the crime charged was attempted sexual assault.

Chart 8.1.2.3.2. – Completed Command Actions by Fiscal Year

Court-Martial Outcomes: Charts 8.1.2.3.3. and 8.1.2.3.4. analyze sexual assault Court-Martial outcomes for penetrating and sexual contact crimes, respectively, over time. In fiscal year 2019, the Air Force charged 65 subjects with a penetrating crime, of which 31 proceeded to trial. Of the 31 subjects tried, there were 17 convictions (55%). The Air Force charged 19 subjects with a sexual contact crime, of which 15 proceeded to trial. Of the 15 subjects tried, there were nine convictions (60%). The overall conviction rate for cases tried with an Article 120 offense was 57%.




Chart 8.1.2.3.3. – Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes for Penetrating Crimes




Chart 8.1.2.3.4. – Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes for Sexual Contact Crimes

Duration of Investigative and Military Justice Processes

The length of a sexual assault investigation depends on a number of factors, including:

- The alleged offense;
- The location and availability of the victim, subject, and witnesses;
- The amount and kind of physical evidence gathered during the investigation; and
- The length of time required for crime laboratory analysis of evidence.

Depending on these and other factors, sexual assault investigation length may range from a few months to over a year.

Chart 8.1.2.3.5. shows the mean and median lengths of time to complete sexual assault investigations in the Air Force. The median and mean both increased between fiscal years 2018 and 2019.




Chart 8.1.2.3.5. – Average Sexual Assault Investigation Length

Chart 8.1.2.3.6. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an Unrestricted Report (i.e. signs the Department of Defense 2910) to the completion of the Courts-Martial process, sentence or acquittal. In fiscal year 2019, the mean time from filing an unrestricted sexual assault report to completion of the Courts-Martial process was 15 days less than in 2018.




Chart 8.1.2.3.6. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report until Courts-Martial Outcome

Chart 8.1.2.3.7. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an Unrestricted Report (i.e. signs the Department of Defense 2910) to completion of non-judicial punishment actions. In fiscal year 2019, the mean time between filing an Unrestricted Report and completion of the non-judicial punishment increased by almost 40 days from fiscal year 2018.




Chart 8.1.2.3.7. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report Until Non-Judicial Punishment Outcome

8.1.2.4. Analysis of Incident Details in Unrestricted Reports

Table 8.1.2.4.1. analyzes incident details associated with Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault by the fiscal year in which the Air Force received the sexual assault report. Whereas previous sections classified unrestricted sexual assault reports by the fiscal year in which the associated investigation was completed, this section classifies sexual assault reports by the fiscal year of the filing of the report. In cases where investigations are not complete, the information provided by the victim is the basis for incident details. Table 8.1.2.4.1. does not include any sexual assault reports that were initially filed as restricted in one fiscal year but converted to unrestricted in a later fiscal year. However, it does include any sexual assault reports initially filed as restricted in one fiscal year and converted to unrestricted in the same fiscal year.

The overall number of Unrestricted Reports made to the Air Force increased 6% from fiscal year 2018 to fiscal year 2019, from 1055 in fiscal year 2018 to 1123 in fiscal year 2019.

Assault Location: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on base decreased for the second year in a row from 46% in fiscal year 2018 to 43% in fiscal year 2019.

Subject-Victim Service Affiliation: Unrestricted Reports in which Service members sexually assault non-Service members has remained relatively constant at 13% between fiscal years 2017 and 2019. Unrestricted Reports in which non-Service members sexually assault Service members remained relatively constant at 5% in fiscal years 2018 and 2019.

Subject-Victim Gender: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports involving subjects and victims of different genders (i.e., males sexually assaulting females or females sexually assaulting males) decreased by four percentage points, from 66% in fiscal year 2018 to 62% in fiscal year 2019. Conversely, the percentage of reports involving subjects and victims of the same gender (i.e., males sexually assaulting other males or females sexually assaulting other females) increased by two percentage points, from 8% in fiscal year 2018 to 10% in fiscal year 2019.

Reporting Delay: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports filed within 30 days of the occurrence of the sexual assault decreased from 50% in fiscal year 2018 to 46% in fiscal year 2019.

Assault Day of Week and Time of Day: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on the weekend (Friday through Sunday) has increased every year since fiscal year 2015. In fiscal year 2019 60% of the reported sexual assaults occurred on the weekend. The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred between midnight and 6AM has stayed relatively consistent since fiscal year 2015 between 40% and 50%. In fiscal year 2019, 48% of the reported sexual assaults occurred between midnight and 6AM.

Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports*										
FY of Report	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Total Reports	912	-	905	-	1042	-	1055	-	1123	
Assault Location										
On-Base	376	41.2%	438	48.4%	537	51.5%	487	46.2%	485	43.2%
Off-Base	386	42.3%	407	45.0%	427	41.0%	491	46.5%	541	48.2%
Unidentified	150	16.4%	60	6.6%	78	7.5%	77	7.3%	97	8.6%
Subject-Victim Service Affiliation										
Member on Member	426	46.7%	427	47.2%	500	48.0%	575	54.5%	580	51.6%
Member on Non-Member	140	15.4%	142	15.7%	133	12.8%	137	13.0%	144	12.8%
Non-Member on Member	45	4.9%	41	4.5%	63	6.0%	54	5.1%	54	4.8%
Unidentified on Member	55	6.0%	25	2.8%	45	4.3%	92	8.7%	88	7.8%
Relevant Data Not Available	246	27.0%	270	29.8%	301	28.9%	197	18.7%	257	22.9%
Subject-Victim Gender										
Male on Female	483	53.0%	534	59.0%	633	60.7%	668	63.3%	669	59.6%
Male on Male	76	8.3%	71	7.8%	79	7.6%	65	6.2%	84	7.5%
Female on Male	16	1.8%	20	2.2%	26	2.5%	33	3.1%	27	2.4%
Female on Female	19	2.1%	12	1.3%	11	1.1%	19	1.8%	30	2.7%
Unknown on Male	10	1.1%	5	0.6%	7	0.7%	26	2.5%	27	2.4%
Unknown on Female	29	3.2%	11	1.2%	25	2.4%	56	5.3%	56	5.0%
Mutiple Mixed Gender	8	0.9%	12	1.3%	6	0.6%	14	1.3%	11	1.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	271	29.7%	240	26.5%	255	24.5%	174	16.5%	219	19.5%
Reporting Delay										
Within 3 days	236	25.9%	232	25.6%	303	29.1%	303	28.7%	304	27.1%
4-30 days	199	21.8%	175	19.3%	203	19.5%	229	21.7%	214	19.1%
31-365 days	227	24.9%	263	29.1%	293	28.1%	305	28.9%	330	29.4%
> 1 year	154	16.9%	198	21.9%	195	18.7%	177	16.8%	229	20.4%
Relevant Data Not Available	96	10.5%	37	4.1%	48	4.6%	41	3.9%	46	4.1%
Assault Time of Day										
6AM - 6PM	180	19.7%	203	22.4%	189	18.1%	171	16.2%	204	18.2%
6PM - Midnight	248	27.2%	243	26.9%	298	28.6%	268	25.4%	308	27.4%
Midnight - 6AM	375	41.1%	407	45.0%	479	46.0%	542	51.4%	541	48.2%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	109	12.0%	52	5.7%	76	7.3%	74	7.0%	70	6.2%
Assault Day of Week										
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	351	38.5%	488	53.9%	566	54.3%	609	57.7%	672	59.8%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	438	48.0%	378	41.8%	428	41.1%	405	38.4%	405	36.1%
Relevant Data Not Available	123	13.5%	39	4.3%	48	4.6%	41	3.9%	46	4.1%

*The counts in this table do not include prior-FY restricted reports that were converted to unrestricted in the indicated FY.

Table 8.1.2.4.1. – Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports

8.1.3. Restricted Reporting

Restricted Reports of sexual assault are confidential, covered communications as defined in Department of Defense policy. The Air Force cannot investigate allegations made in Restricted Reports because there is no requirement for victims to provide many details about these sexual assaults and SAPR personnel do not enter information about alleged subjects into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Therefore, the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data about Restricted Reports are more limited than data about Unrestricted Reports.

8.1.3.1. Restricted Report Conversions

Table 8.1.3.1.1. shows the number of initially Restricted Reports, the number of initially Restricted Reports those victims converted to unrestricted in the same fiscal year, and the number of Restricted Reports remaining restricted at the end of each fiscal year.

In fiscal year 2019, 694 victims initially filed Restricted Reports with the Air Force. Of these, 172 chose to convert their Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report in fiscal year 2019 (the

unrestricted reporting sections include these reports), leaving 522 Restricted Reports remaining restricted at the end of fiscal year 2019. The percentage of Restricted Reports that were converted stayed relatively consistent between 2018 and 2019.

Restricted Report Conversions										
FY of Report	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Initially Restricted	471	-	524	-	535	-	628	-	694	-
Converted to Unrestricted	90	19.1%	109	20.8%	120	22.4%	164	26.1%	172	24.8%
Remaining Restricted	381	80.9%	415	79.2%	415	77.6%	464	73.9%	522	75.2%

Table 8.1.3.1.1. – Restricted Report Conversions

8.1.3.2. Analysis of Victims in Restricted Reports

Table 8.1.3.2.1. and the following discussion provides a demographic analysis of victims of sexual assault who made Restricted Reports that remained restricted through the end of the reporting fiscal year, without conversion. The unrestricted reporting section above includes converted Restricted Reports.

Gender: The portion of male and female victims in Restricted Reports remained consistent between fiscal years 2018 and 2019. The portion of male victims who made a Restricted Report in 2019 was 20%, this is higher than the portion of males who made Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2019 (15%).

Age at Time of Incident: There is little variation between the age distribution of individuals making Unrestricted and Restricted Reports. Victims in the 16-19 age group accounted for 23% of the Restrict Reports and 22% of Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2019. Victims in the 20-24 age category accounted for 44% of the Restricted Reports and 42% of the Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2019.

Grade: Officers make up a higher proportion of Restricted Reports compared with Unrestricted Reports. The proportion of officers making a Restricted Report in fiscal year 2019 was 11% compared with 5% of the Unrestricted Reports. Senior enlisted Airmen (E5-E9) also make up a higher proportion of Restricted Reports compared with Unrestricted Reports. Senior enlisted Airmen make up 23% of the Restricted Reports in fiscal year 2019 compared with 17% of the Unrestricted Reports.

Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports										
FY of Report	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Total Victims	381	-	415	-	415	-	464	-	522	-
Gender										
Male	87	22.8%	74	17.8%	55	13.3%	93	20.0%	105	20.1%
Female	292	76.6%	341	82.2%	358	86.3%	371	80.0%	417	79.9%
Relevant Data Not Available	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Age (Time of Incident)										
0-15	35	9.2%	33	8.0%	25	6.0%	29	6.3%	27	5.2%
16-19	85	22.3%	87	21.0%	109	26.3%	85	18.3%	119	22.8%
20-24	150	39.4%	157	37.8%	177	42.7%	205	44.2%	228	43.7%
25-34	80	21.0%	103	24.8%	88	21.2%	122	26.3%	118	22.6%
35-49	19	5.0%	23	5.5%	15	3.6%	23	5.0%	24	4.6%
50-64	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	12	3.1%	11	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	1.0%
Military Affiliation										
Military	366	96.1%	400	96.4%	396	95.4%	447	96.3%	503	96.4%
Non-military	13	3.4%	14	3.4%	17	4.1%	17	3.7%	18	3.4%
Relevant Data Not Available	2	0.5%	1	0.2%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
Duty Status (Military Victims)										
Active Duty	317	86.6%	354	88.5%	365	92.2%	408	91.3%	444	88.3%
Reserve (Activated)	23	6.3%	25	6.3%	15	3.8%	21	4.7%	28	5.6%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	3	0.8%	5	1.3%	7	1.8%	4	0.9%	8	1.6%
Cadet/Prep School Student	23	6.3%	16	4.0%	9	2.3%	14	3.1%	23	4.6%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grade (Military Victims)										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	23	6.3%	16	4.0%	9	2.3%	14	3.1%	23	4.6%
E-1 to E-4	213	58.2%	250	62.5%	264	66.7%	264	59.1%	310	61.8%
E-5 to E-9	101	27.6%	94	23.5%	84	21.2%	122	27.3%	114	22.7%
WO1-WO5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
O-1 to O-3	21	5.7%	28	7.0%	31	7.8%	34	7.6%	35	7.0%
O-4 to O-10	8	2.2%	12	3.0%	8	2.0%	12	2.7%	21	4.2%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 8.1.3.2.1. – Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports

8.1.3.3. Analysis of Incident Details in Restricted Reports

Table 8.1.3.3.1. provides analysis of the incident details for Restricted Reports that remained restricted at the end of each fiscal year.

Incident Details for Restricted Reports										
FY of Report	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Total Reports	381	-	415	-	415	-	464	-	522	-
Incident Location										
On-Base	88	23.1%	124	29.9%	140	33.7%	147	31.7%	180	34.5%
Off-Base	183	48.0%	220	53.0%	230	55.4%	240	51.7%	289	55.4%
Unidentified/Relevant Data Not Avail.	110	28.9%	71	17.1%	45	10.8%	77	16.6%	53	10.2%
Subject-Victim Military Affiliation										
Member on Member	172	45.1%	185	44.6%	204	49.2%	265	57.1%	302	57.9%
Member on Non-Member	13	3.4%	14	3.4%	17	4.1%	17	3.7%	18	3.4%
Non-Member on Member	75	19.7%	106	25.5%	130	31.3%	105	22.6%	131	25.1%
Unidentified on Member	56	14.7%	46	11.1%	61	14.7%	77	16.6%	70	13.4%
Relevant Data Not Available	65	17.1%	64	15.4%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
Reporting Delay										
Within 3 days	55	14.4%	85	20.5%	79	19.0%	101	21.8%	104	19.9%
4-30 days	56	14.7%	54	13.0%	54	13.0%	89	19.2%	95	18.2%
31-365 days	59	15.5%	60	14.5%	82	19.8%	75	16.2%	95	18.2%
> 1 year	78	20.5%	119	28.7%	116	28.0%	102	22.0%	124	23.8%
Relevant Data Not Available	133	34.9%	97	23.4%	84	20.2%	97	20.9%	104	19.9%
Assault Time of Day										
6AM - 6PM	42	11.0%	45	10.8%	48	11.6%	50	10.8%	62	11.9%
6PM - Midnight	124	32.5%	177	42.7%	176	42.4%	187	40.3%	231	44.3%
Midnight - 6AM	115	30.2%	129	31.1%	140	33.7%	153	33.0%	171	32.8%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail	100	26.2%	64	15.4%	51	12.3%	74	15.9%	58	11.1%
Assault Day of Week										
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	137	36.0%	185	44.6%	227	54.7%	241	51.9%	270	51.7%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	77	20.2%	141	34.0%	106	25.5%	129	27.8%	151	28.9%
Relevant Data Not Available	167	43.8%	89	21.4%	82	19.8%	94	20.3%	101	19.3%

Table 8.1.3.3.1. – Incident Details for Restricted Reports

8.1.4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

Table 8.1.4.1. analyzes service referrals for Unrestricted Reports. The number of referrals continues to increase from year-to-year. Referrals increased by 28% between fiscal years 2018 and 2019 compared with a 6% increase in Unrestricted Reports over the same time. The most common service referrals for Unrestricted Reports were Legal, Victim Advocate, and Mental Health.

Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports										
FY of Service Referral	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Total Service Referrals	1,394	-	1,429	-	1,760	-	2,057	-	2,626	-
Type of Service										
Medical	92	6.6%	124	8.7%	161	9.1%	148	7.2%	264	10.1%
Mental Health	307	22.0%	298	20.9%	370	21.0%	383	18.6%	475	18.1%
Legal	288	20.7%	302	21.1%	368	20.9%	458	22.3%	567	21.6%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	223	16.0%	187	13.1%	238	13.5%	257	12.5%	329	12.5%
Rape Crisis Center	41	2.9%	47	3.3%	34	1.9%	52	2.5%	82	3.1%
Victim Advocate	277	19.9%	295	20.6%	350	19.9%	474	23.0%	519	19.8%
DoD Safe Helpline	93	6.7%	110	7.7%	145	8.2%	211	10.3%	269	10.2%
Other	73	5.2%	66	4.6%	94	5.3%	74	3.6%	121	4.6%

Table 8.1.4.1. – Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports

Table 8.1.4.2. analyzes service referrals for Restricted Reports. The most common service referrals for Restricted Reports were Mental Health and Victim Advocate.

Service Referrals for Restricted Reports										
FY of Service Referral	FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18		FY19	
	Num	%								
Total Service Referrals	727	-	919	-	889	-	1104	-	1524	-
Type of Service										
Medical	62	8.5%	93	10.1%	83	9.3%	110	10.0%	175	11.5%
Mental Health	194	26.7%	239	26.0%	226	25.4%	251	22.7%	326	21.4%
Legal	108	14.9%	119	12.9%	127	14.3%	151	13.7%	230	15.1%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	126	17.3%	151	16.4%	145	16.3%	155	14.0%	210	13.8%
Rape Crisis Center	28	3.9%	31	3.4%	29	3.3%	39	3.5%	52	3.4%
Victim Advocate	131	18.0%	159	17.3%	168	18.9%	231	20.9%	293	19.2%
DoD Safe Helpline	50	6.9%	81	8.8%	77	8.7%	111	10.1%	170	11.2%
Other	28	3.9%	46	5.0%	34	3.8%	56	5.1%	68	4.5%

Table 8.1.4.2. – Service Referrals for Restricted Reports

8.2 Complete the following table with your numbers as of the end of the fiscal year. Use the job/duty descriptions provided and the following inclusion criteria:

- Include all Reserve and Active Duty military personnel. Army and Air Force do not need to include their respective National Guard component information as it will be included in the National Guard Bureau’s response.
- Include civilian and contractor personnel, as applicable
- Only include filled positions
- Indicate the number of full-time and part-time personnel
- Provide the exact number of current personnel, whenever possible. If the number is an estimate, please indicate how the estimate was reached and any other relevant information.

(DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), Encl 2, para 6ac)

Job/Duty Title	Description of Job/Duty	Full-Time	Part-Time
Program Managers	Capability in developing policy, or program management and execution; and completion of 40+ hours of Military Service-specific National Advocate Credentialing Program and approved SARC training.	16	3
Dedicated Headquarters-Level Professionals	Include policy, advocacy, and prevention professionals who support the headquarters-level SAPR program offices at each Military Service/National Guard Bureau (<i>not including program managers, who are counted in their own category</i>).	19	0
Uniformed SARCs	Serve as the single point of contact at an installation or within a geographic area to oversee sexual assault awareness, prevention, and response training; coordinate medical treatment, including emergency care, for victims of sexual assault; and track the services provided to victims from the initial report through final disposition and resolution.	31	42

	Certified under the nationally-accredited DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP).		
Civilian SARCs	See above.	64	9
Uniformed SAPR-VAs	Provide non-clinical crisis intervention, referral, and ongoing non-clinical support to adult sexual assault victims; offer information on available options/resources to victims; coordinate liaison assistance with other organizations and agencies on victim care matters; and report directly to the SARC. Certified under the nationally-accredited D-SAACP.	0	1039
Civilian SAPR-VAs	See above.	79	88
Sexual Assault-Specific Legal	Legal personnel who specialize in sexual assault cases including prosecutors, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel, paralegals, legal experts, and Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel.	106 (CLSV) 12 (JAJG) 2 (JAJM)	0
Sexual Assault – Specific Investigators	Military Criminal Investigation Office investigators who specialize in sexual assault cases.	24	350*
Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners	Medical providers that have completed the DoD course at Fort Sam Houston, or equivalent.	1	47
	<i>Notes: *This number reflects the approximate number of all criminal lead agents working criminal cases in the field.</i>		

Unrestricted Reports

AIR FORCE FY19 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY		
A. FY19 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY19. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAD) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.		FY19 Totals
# FY19 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)		1123
# Service Member Victims		977
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		144
# Relevant Data Not Available		2
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories		1123
# Service Member on Service Member		580
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		144
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		54
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		88
# Relevant Data Not Available		257
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring		1123
# On military installation		485
# Off military installation		541
# Unidentified location		97
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation		1123
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY19		986
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2019		213
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2019		773
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming		49
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement		88
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ		36
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations		0
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service		11
# Victims - Other		41
# All Restricted Reports received in FY19 (one Victim per report)		694
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		172
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY19		522
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY19		FY19 Totals
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report		977
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault		263
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault		93
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault		82
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault		295
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault		198
# Relevant Data Not Available		46
Time of sexual assault		977
# Midnight to 6 am		471
# 6 am to 6 pm		183
# 6 pm to midnight		256
# Unknown		22
# Relevant Data Not Available		45
Day of sexual assault		977
# Sunday		121
# Monday		93
# Tuesday		79
# Wednesday		92
# Thursday		98
# Friday		195
# Saturday		253
# Relevant Data Not Available		46

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY19 Totals		
	669	84	27	30	27	56	11	219	1123		
# Service Member on Service Member	447	72	23	23	1	3	10	1	580		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	132	5	0	5	0	0	1	1	144		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	42	2	2	1	2	2	0	3	54		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	10	2	1	0	24	51	0	0	88		
# Relevant Data Not Available	38	3	1	1	0	0	0	214	257		
FY19 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)											
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY19	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY19 Totals
D1.	128	3	410	7	14	415	1	1	24	120	1123
# Service Member on Service Member	35	2	246	1	3	274	0	0	13	6	580
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	18	0	76	0	1	49	0	0	0	0	144
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	11	0	13	2	2	23	0	0	1	2	54
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	16	1	37	1	0	16	0	0	7	10	88
# Relevant Data Not Available	48	0	38	3	8	53	1	1	3	102	257
D2.	TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY19 Reports										
	110	3	334	7	13	364	1	1	24	120	977
# Service Member Victims: Female	100	1	286	3	9	282	0	0	19	101	801
# Service Member Victims: Male	10	2	48	4	4	82	1	1	5	19	176
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY19											
D3. Time of sexual assault	128	3	410	7	14	415	1	1	24	120	1123
# Midnight to 6 am	62	1	249	4	3	178	0	0	10	34	541
# 6 am to 6 pm	18	1	58	0	2	108	1	0	3	13	204
# 6 pm to midnight	36	1	101	3	8	128	0	0	9	22	308
# Unknown	12	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	2	6	25
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	45
D4. Day of sexual assault	128	3	410	7	14	415	1	1	24	120	1123
# Sunday	20	0	53	0	0	53	0	0	3	12	141
# Monday	12	0	28	2	0	43	1	0	4	9	99
# Tuesday	13	1	25	1	3	39	0	0	0	4	86
# Wednesday	14	0	32	1	2	44	0	0	1	11	105
# Thursday	5	0	57	0	2	37	0	1	6	7	115
# Friday	33	1	82	0	3	95	0	0	2	12	228
# Saturday	31	1	133	3	4	104	0	0	8	19	303
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	46

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	FY19 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY19	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
# Investigations Initiated during FY19	925
# Investigations Completed as of FY19 End (group by MCIO #)	645
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY19 End (group by MCIO #)	280
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY19	915
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	14
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	4
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	10
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	8
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	8
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	662
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	652
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	10
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations	46
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations	96
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	8
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	6
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	2
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	14
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	5
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	62
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY19	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY19. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY19 or any prior FY.	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	889
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	70
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	49
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	7
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	932
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	19
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	17
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	18
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	18
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	711
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	702
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	9
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	45
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	89
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	50
# Victims in investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	995
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	22
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	17
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	5
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	19
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	9
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	10
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	800
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	796
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	4
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	149
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	5

E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY19	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	20
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	1
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	20
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	5
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	3
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	2
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	11
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	4
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	22
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	21
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	20
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	1
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	1
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY19 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs")	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Victims and Subjects in Investigation Completed in FY19	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY19										FY19 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY19 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)											
F1. Gender of Victims	102	4	445	4	9	404	0	0	21	28	1017
# Male	4	1	54	2	3	80	0	0	2	5	151
# Female	98	3	390	2	6	320	0	0	19	23	861
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5
F2. Age of Victims	102	4	445	4	9	404	0	0	21	28	1017
# 0-15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# 16-19	31	0	105	0	1	82	0	0	7	2	228
# 20-24	41	2	199	3	5	163	0	0	8	8	429
# 25-34	14	2	80	1	3	91	0	0	3	5	199
# 35-49	4	0	10	0	0	20	0	0	0	2	36
# 50-64	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	11	0	50	0	0	46	0	0	3	10	120
F3. Victim Type	102	4	445	4	9	404	0	0	21	28	1017
# Service Member	83	3	367	4	9	351	0	0	19	26	862
# DoD Civilian	1	0	5	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	13
# DoD Contractor	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Other US Government Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# US Civilian	16	1	68	0	0	39	0	0	2	2	128
# Foreign National	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	83	3	367	4	9	351	0	0	19	26	862
# E1-E4	59	1	299	2	6	259	0	0	15	12	653
# E5-E9	14	1	46	2	0	73	0	0	3	7	146
# WO1-WO5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# O1-O3	5	1	12	0	2	10	0	0	0	6	36
# O4-O10	3	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	8
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	1	1	15
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	83	3	367	4	9	351	0	0	19	26	862
# Army	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	6
# Navy	4	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	11
# Marines	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Air Force	77	3	363	4	9	342	0	0	19	25	842
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	83	3	367	4	9	351	0	0	19	26	862
# Active Duty	75	3	348	4	9	322	0	0	17	24	802
# Reserve (Activated)	7	0	11	0	0	19	0	0	1	1	39
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	1	1	15
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY19 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY19										FY19 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
G1. Gender of Subjects	99	4	439	4	9	358	0	0	21	18	952
# Male	81	3	380	3	8	301	0	0	16	10	802
# Female	3	1	18	0	0	33	0	0	0	2	57
# Unknown	13	0	35	1	1	21	0	0	5	6	82
# Relevant Data Not Available	2	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	11
G2. Age of Subjects	99	4	439	4	9	358	0	0	21	18	952
# 0-15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# 16-19	7	0	44	1	0	38	0	0	3	0	93
# 20-24	35	2	202	1	2	109	0	0	8	0	359
# 25-34	26	0	121	0	4	119	0	0	4	9	283
# 35-49	7	1	18	0	0	50	0	0	0	1	77
# 50-64	1	0	3	0	1	13	0	0	0	0	18
# 65 and older	14	1	34	1	1	13	0	0	3	6	73
# Unknown	4	0	3	0	1	11	0	0	1	1	21
# Relevant Data Not Available	4	0	14	1	0	5	0	0	2	0	26
G3. Subject Type	99	4	439	4	9	358	0	0	21	18	952
# Service Member	69	3	359	1	3	294	0	0	14	10	753
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# DoD Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	15
# DoD Contractor	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	8	0	9	0	5	7	0	0	1	0	30
# Foreign National	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	4
# Foreign Military	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	15	1	41	2	1	22	0	0	4	7	93
# Relevant Data Not Available	6	0	25	0	0	17	0	0	2	0	50
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	69	3	359	1	3	294	0	0	14	10	753
# E1-E4	44	1	259	1	2	167	0	0	9	2	485
# E5-E9	20	2	81	0	0	100	0	0	4	5	212
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	4	0	9	0	1	11	0	0	2	2	27
# O4-O10	0	0	5	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	14
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	5	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	13
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	69	3	359	1	3	294	0	0	14	10	753
# Army	8	0	8	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	24
# Navy	3	0	9	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	15
# Marines	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	7
# Air Force	57	3	339	1	3	281	0	0	14	9	707
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	69	3	359	1	3	294	0	0	14	10	753
# Active Duty	64	3	341	1	3	264	0	0	13	8	697
# Reserve (Activated)	5	0	10	0	0	19	0	0	0	1	35
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	8
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	5	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	13
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY19 INVESTIGATIONS	FY19 Totals		FY19 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOS or other law enforcement for investigation during FY19, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	3		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	1		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY19 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	970	# Victims in investigations completed in FY19	1017
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY19	524	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY19	602
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	53		
# Unknown Offenders	36	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	9
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	19
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	15	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	5
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	10
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	1	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	1	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	52		
<i># Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action</i>	26	<i># Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action</i>	21
<i># Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute</i>	26	<i># Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute</i>	22
<i># Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations</i>	0	<i># Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations</i>	0
<i># Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command</i>	0	<i># Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command</i>	0
<i># Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action</i>	0	<i># Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action</i>	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	756	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	807
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2019	89		
# FY19 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	89	# FY19 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	104
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	15	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	20
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	8	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	18
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	2	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	21	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	20
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	2
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	18	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	20
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	2	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	3
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	22	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	20
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY19	FY19 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion	90
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY19	6
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY19	84
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	24
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	10
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	13
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	14
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	14
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge	46
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	20
# Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial	26
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	26
# Subjects receiving confinement	19
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	22
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	18
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	21
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	1
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	1
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	19
J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY19	FY19 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY19	17
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY19	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY19	17
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	2
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment	15
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	15
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	3
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	10
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	7
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	2
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	14
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	FY19 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY19	1
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense	3
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	2
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	1
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY19	4
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense	56

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.		FY19 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY19		4
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY19		1
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY19		3
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense		0
# Officer Subjects who were officers that were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense		3
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges		0
# Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial		3
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
# Subjects with Punishment		3
# Subjects receiving confinement		2
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		3
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		1
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)		0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		1
# Subjects receiving extra duty		0
# Subjects receiving hard labor		0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial		0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.		FY19 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY19		45
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY19		1
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY19		44
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed		1
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense		42
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
# Subjects with Punishment		42
# Subjects receiving correctional custody		0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		34
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		18
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		5
# Subjects receiving extra duty		11
# Subjects receiving hard labor		0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand		38
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge		3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge		3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.		FY19 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY19		0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense		3
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		3
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY19		1
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense		47

Restricted Reports

AIR FORCE FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY19 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	694
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	659
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	19
# Relevant Data Not Available	16
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY19*	172
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	156
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	15
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	522
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	503
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	18
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	522
# Service Member on Service Member	302
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	131
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	18
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	70
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	FY19 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	522
# On military installation	180
# Off military installation	289
# Unidentified location	35
# Relevant Data Not Available	18
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	522
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	104
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	52
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	43
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	95
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	124
# Relevant Data Not Available	104
Time of sexual assault incident	522
# Midnight to 6 am	171
# 6 am to 6 pm	62
# 6 pm to midnight	231
# Unknown	50
# Relevant Data Not Available	8
Day of sexual assault incident	522
# Sunday	55
# Monday	41
# Tuesday	30
# Wednesday	38
# Thursday	42
# Friday	85
# Saturday	130
# Relevant Data Not Available	101
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY19 Totals
# Service Member Victims	503
# Army Victims	3
# Navy Victims	2
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	498
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		FY19 Totals
Gender of Victims		522
# Male		105
# Female		417
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident		522
# 0-15		27
# 16-19		119
# 20-24		228
# 25-34		118
# 35-49		24
# 50-64		1
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		5
Grade of Service Member Victims		503
# E1-E4		310
# E5-E9		114
# WO1-WO5		0
# O1-O3		35
# O4-O10		21
# Cadet/Midshipman		23
# Academy Prep School Student		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
Status of Service Member Victims		503
# Active Duty		444
# Reserve (Activated)		28
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)		8
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		23
# Academy Prep School Student		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
Victim Type		522
# Service Member		503
# DoD Civilian		
# DoD Contractor		
# Other US Government Civilian		
# Non-Service Member		18
# Foreign National		
# Foreign Military		
# Relevant Data Not Available		1
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE		FY19 Totals
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service		68
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18		43
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18		24
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)		FY19 Totals
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		34.12
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		57.94
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		3
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY19		FY19 Totals
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY19		38
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19		36
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19		2
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.		

AIR FORCE FY19 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>		
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY19 Totals	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	2600	
# Medical	247	
# Mental Health	467	
# Legal	606	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	333	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	544	
# DoD Safe Helpline	287	
# Other	116	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	234	
# Medical	36	
# Mental Health	50	
# Legal	6	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	333	
# Rape Crisis Center	8	
# Victim Advocate	106	
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other	21	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	127	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	1	
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	60	
B. FY19 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	FY19 TOTALS	
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY19	93	
# Reported MPO Violations in FY19	2	
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	2	
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0	
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when</i>		Use the following categories or add a new category
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	5	Total Number Denied
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	1	Reasons for Disapproval (Total)
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	212	Victim is pending separation
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	6	Victim is pending UCMJ Action
		Alleged offender is no longer assigned to command base
		Moved alleged offender instead
		Victim is subject in a separate criminal investigation
		No credible report determination of sexual assault
		Other
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS	FY19 TOTALS	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	1452	
# Medical	164	
# Mental Health	302	
# Legal	235	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	210	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	303	
# DoD Safe Helpline	176	
# Other	62	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	118	
# Medical	14	
# Mental Health	37	
# Legal	3	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	210	
# Rape Crisis Center	4	
# Victim Advocate	54	
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other	5	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	49	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0	

CIVILIAN DATA		FY19 Totals
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER		
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:		122
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member		30
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member		6
# Relevant Data Not Available		86
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members		122
# Male		11
# Female		91
# Relevant Data Not Available		20
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident		122
# 0-15		1
# 16-19		10
# 20-24		9
# 25-34		8
# 35-49		16
# 50-64		4
# 65 and older		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		73
D4. Non-Service Member Type		122
# DoD Civilian		40
# DoD Contractor		2
# Other US Government Civilian		2
# US Civilian		46
# Foreign National		6
# Foreign Military		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		25
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)		113
# Medical		11
# Mental Health		13
# Legal		22
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		14
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		31
# DoD Safe Helpline		13
# Other		9
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)		76
# Medical		6
# Mental Health		19
# Legal		7
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		7
# Rape Crisis Center		8
# Victim Advocate		15
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other		14
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted		11
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam		0
E. FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS		FY19 Totals
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report		16
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19		3
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted		13
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:		13
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)		11
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member		2
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims		13
# Male		1
# Female		12
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident		13
# 0-15		1
# 16-19		4
# 20-24		4
# 25-34		3
# 35-49		1
# 50-64		0
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
E4. VICTIM Type		13
# DoD Civilian		
# DoD Contractor		
# Other US Government Civilian		
# Non-Service Member		13
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources		36
# Medical		4
# Mental Health		5
# Legal		5
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		5
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		10
# DoD Safe Helpline		6
# Other		1
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)		8
# Medical		1
# Mental Health		3
# Legal		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		4
# Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other		0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted		5
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam		0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY19 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY19 Reports of Sexual Assault.		
A. FY19 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY19. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	FY19 Totals	
# FY19 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	33	
# Service Member Victims	33	
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	33	
# Service Member on Service Member	19	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	5	
# Relevant Data Not Available	7	
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	33	
# On military installation	30	
# Off military installation	3	
# Unidentified location	0	
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	33	
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY19	28	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2019	3	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2019	25	
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	4	
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	1	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	0	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	0	
# Victims - Other	1	
# All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY19 (one Victim per report)	23	
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	5	
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY19	18	
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY19	FY19 Totals	FY19 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	33	33
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	13	13
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	3	3
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	2	2
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	10	10
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	5	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Time of sexual assault	33	33
# Midnight to 6 am	17	17
# 6 am to 6 pm	7	7
# 6 pm to midnight	8	8
# Unknown	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Day of sexual assault	33	33
# Sunday	6	6
# Monday	2	2
# Tuesday	2	2
# Wednesday	3	3
# Thursday	6	6
# Friday	5	5
# Saturday	9	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY19 Totals		
	17	4	0	2	1	3	0	6	33		
# Service Member on Service Member	14	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	19		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	5		
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	7		
FY19 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)											
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY19	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY19 Totals
D1.	1	0	10	0	1	17	0	0	0	4	33
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	7	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	19
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	7
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY19 Reports	1	0	10	0	1	17	0	0	0	4	33
# Service Member Victims: Female	0	0	8	0	0	14	0	0	0	3	25
# Service Member Victims: Male	1	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY19											
D3. Time of sexual assault	1	0	10	0	1	17	0	0	0	4	33
# Midnight to 6 am	1	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	17
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	7
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	8
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	1	0	10	0	1	17	0	0	0	4	33
# Sunday	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	6
# Monday	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
# Thursday	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	6
# Friday	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
# Saturday	0	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE											
FY19 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT. Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY19. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY19 Totals
	TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	1	0	10	0	1	17	0	0	0	4
Afghanistan	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	5
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Djibouti	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Kosovo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somalia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkey	0	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	13
Uae	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	1	0	10	0	1	17	0	0	0	4	33

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY19 in Combat Areas of Interest	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
# Investigations Initiated during FY19	30
# Investigations Completed as of FY19 End (group by MCIO #)	23
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY19 End (group by MCIO #)	7
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY19	30
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	3
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	3
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	18
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	18
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations	
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	2
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations	
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	6
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	1
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY19 in Combat Areas of Interest	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY19. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY19 or any prior FY.	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	31
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	33
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	3
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	21
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	21
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	2
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	6
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Victims in investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	33
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	3
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	3
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	30
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	30
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY19 in Combat Areas of Interest	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY19 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") in Combat Areas of Interest	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY19 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY19 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY19, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

Victims in Investigations Completed in FY19 in Combat Areas of Interest	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY19										FY19 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY19 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
F1. Gender of Victims	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# Male	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	7
# Female	1	0	9	0	0	15	0	0	1	0	26
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F2. Age of Victims	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
# 20-24	1	0	4	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	14
# 25-34	0	0	4	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	11
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
F3. Victim Type	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# Service Member	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# E1-E4	0	0	9	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	18
# E5-E9	1	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	11
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# Active Duty	1	0	12	0	0	16	0	0	1	0	30
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY19 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY19										FY19 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses				Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)			
G1. Gender of Subjects	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# Male	0	0	11	0	0	15	0	0	1	0	27
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G2. Age of Subjects	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# 20-24	0	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	11
# 25-34	0	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	9
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# 65 and older	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G3. Subject Type	1	0	12	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	33
# Service Member	0	0	10	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	24
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	0	0	10	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	24
# E1-E4	0	0	8	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	13
# E5-E9	0	0	2	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	10
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	0	0	10	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	24
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	10	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	23
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	0	0	10	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	24
# Active Duty	0	0	10	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	22
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY19 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY19 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY19, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	1		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY19 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	33	# Victims in investigations completed in FY19	33
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY19	18	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY19	24
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	1	# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations	1
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	1	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	1
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	6		
# Unknown Offenders	4	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	1
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	2
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	2	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	1		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	1	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	1
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	0	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	0
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	19	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	19
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2019	6		
# FY19 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	6	# FY19 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	7
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	2
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	2	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	2
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	1
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	1
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	23
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	23
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY19*	5
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	5
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	18
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	18
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	18
# Service Member on Service Member	8
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	5
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	18
# On military installation	16
# Off military installation	2
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	18
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	5
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	3
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
Time of sexual assault incident	18
# Midnight to 6 am	7
# 6 am to 6 pm	3
# 6 pm to midnight	6
# Unknown	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Day of sexual assault incident	18
# Sunday	1
# Monday	2
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	2
# Thursday	1
# Friday	3
# Saturday	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
# Service Member Victims	18
# Army Victims	1
# Navy Victims	0
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	17
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
Gender of Victims	18
# Male	2
# Female	16
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	18
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	11
# 25-34	6
# 35-49	1
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Grade of Service Member Victims	18
# E1-E4	6
# E5-E9	10
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	1
# O4-O10	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	18
# Active Duty	18
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	18
# Service Member	18
# DoD-Civilian	0
# DoD-Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	0
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	30.6
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	43.54
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	3
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY19 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 Totals
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY19	3
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	3
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
TOTAL # FY19 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY19 Totals
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	18
Afghanistan	2
Bahrain	0
Djibouti	0
Iraq	1
Jordan	2
Kosovo	0
Kuwait	3
Lebanon	0
Pakistan	0
Qatar	4
Saudi Arabia	0
Somalia	0
Syria	0
Turkey	5
Uae	1
Yemen	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

AIR FORCE CAI FY19 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>		
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY19 Totals	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	88	
# Medical	13	
# Mental Health	18	
# Legal	19	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	13	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	18	
# DoD Safe Helpline	4	
# Other	3	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	2	
# Medical	0	
# Mental Health	0	
# Legal	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0	
# Rape Crisis Center	2	
# Victim Advocate	0	
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other	0	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	6	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0	
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0	
B. FY19 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 TOTALS	
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY19	3	
# Reported MPO Violations in FY19	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0	
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when</i>		
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	1	Total Number Denied
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0	Reasons for Disapproval (Total)
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	5	Moved Alleged Offender Instead
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0	Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY19 TOTALS	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	43	<i>Enter reason</i>
# Medical	7	<i>Enter reason</i>
# Mental Health	9	<i>Enter reason</i>
# Legal	5	<i>Enter reason</i>
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	7	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	13	
# DoD Safe Helpline	2	
# Other	0	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	2	
# Medical	0	
# Mental Health	1	
# Legal	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0	
# Rape Crisis Center	1	
# Victim Advocate	0	
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other	0	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	1	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0	

CIVILIAN DATA		FY19 Totals
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST		
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:		4
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member		0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		3
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members		4
# Male		0
# Female		3
# Relevant Data Not Available		1
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident		4
# 0-15		0
# 16-19		0
# 20-24		0
# 25-34		0
# 35-49		0
# 50-64		0
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		4
D4. Non-Service Member Type		4
# DoD Civilian		1
# DoD Contractor		1
# Other US Government Civilian		0
# US Civilian		0
# Foreign National		0
# Foreign Military		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		2
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)		4
# Medical		1
# Mental Health		0
# Legal		1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		1
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		1
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)		0
# Medical		0
# Mental Health		0
# Legal		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted		2
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam		0
E. FY19 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST		FY19 Totals
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report		0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY19		0
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted		0
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:		0
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)		0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims		0
# Male		0
# Female		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident		0
# 0-15		0
# 16-19		0
# 20-24		0
# 25-34		0
# 35-49		0
# 50-64		0
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
E4. VICTIM Type		0
# DoD Civilian		0
# DoD Contractor		0
# Other US Government Civilian		0
# Non-Service Member		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources		0
# Medical		0
# Mental Health		0
# Legal		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)		0
# Medical		0
# Mental Health		0
# Legal		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted		0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam		0

Unrestricted Report Case Synopsis

No.	Host Services Sexual Assault Investigation For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault?	Subject Misuse Account?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Host Services Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Host Services Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Downgraded At Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Host Services Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender?	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis/Note
58	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-7	Female	Air Force		Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported Subject, a DoD civilian, seized her hands around Victim's ankle and ran his hands up Victim's leg to the top of her chest and Subject did not consent to Victim, Later, Subject placed his hands on Victim's knee and ran his hands up her chest. Victim did not consent. When Victim attempted to leave, Subject moved in front of her and attempted to touch her again. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
59	Attempts to Commit Offense (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Female	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject) Notes: Victim reported Subject tried to make derogatory and sexually explicit comments, and used his hands to touch Victim's thigh, all without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Subject a Letter of Reprimand (LOR), that the LOR is Subject's Unfavorable Information File, and released Subject to the next duty station.	
60	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject used her hands to grab Victim's shoulder/neck without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for Sexual Offense/Inappropriate Information.	
61			Air Force	O-1	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male					Offender's Unlawful								Notes: Unfavorable information report. There is no additional information available concerning the case. Case closed.	
62	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Adultery (Art. 134-2)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed					Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his hands to penetrate Victim's vagina without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
63	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		General Article (Art. 134)			Notes: Subject was investigated teaching two Victims (V1 and V2) without their consent, including V1, V2, Victim Three (V3), and Victim Four (V4) to drink alcohol. V1, V2, and V3 were unable to consume or purchase alcohol due to their age. At V4, Subject was confirmed of using force to V4 for sexual language and soliciting another to commit the offense of penetration of V4. V4, V1, V2, and V3 were released to their duty stations.	
64	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: O2 investigated Subject for trying to kiss Victim, attempting to force Victim's face toward Subject's genitalia, grabbing Victim's arm, and requiring Victim's shirt with consent. Victim did not formally make a report of sexual assault. Subject was released to his next duty station. Subject pointed a loaded firearm at Victim and threatened her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject non-judicial punishment (NJP) for sexual assault. Subject was recommended to prefer charges to a special court-martial; however, active, pending her O2, Subject did not participate in the matter. After consulting the Victim's values and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the subject a Letter of Reprimand for drink and disorderly conduct.	
65	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed				Notes: Victim reported that while she and Subject were returning to base after an evening of drinking, Subject grabbed Victim's wrist and attempted to remove Victim's hand to and on Subject's groin. Victim did not consent. Subject also threatened Victim's breast over clothing with Subject's hand. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15.	
66	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims-Male	Air Force	E-4	Female				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 120)	General	Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Female Subject was accused of abusive sexual contact of several males. Subject and Subject were reported to have separately, and on different occasions grabbed the penis and testicles of several males. Subject was reported to have threatened the Subject admitted a pen that agreement (PTA) to the commander. After consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander approved the PTA and Subject pleaded guilty under Article 15 of the UCMR, assault.	
67	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims-Female	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed					Notes: Subject was investigated for inappropriately teaching multiple victims sexual intercourse. Victim One (V1) reported Subject grabbed her buttock without her consent and Victim Two (V2) reported Subject grabbed her buttock on multiple occasions without her consent after she asked him to stop. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, commander issued Subject non-judicial punishment, issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand (LOR), and filed the LOR in Subject's Unfavorable Information File.	
68	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject was investigated for using his hands to inappropriately touch two victims (V1 and V2) without their consent. Subject touched V1's thigh without her consent. Victim Two (V2) reported Subject grabbed V2's buttock and thigh without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15.	
69	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims-Female	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed				Notes: Subject was alleged to have touched the buttocks of several victims and breasts of a female victim at a social function. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject with a reduction in rank for assault.	
70	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: After reviewing and considering all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
71	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Other Adverse Administrative Action								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported to witness that Subject ran his hand down Victim's back, over Victim's buttocks, and exposed Victim's buttocks. After receiving the report of investigation, considering Victim's age, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
72	Rape (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	O-2	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported she was drinking when the evening Subject approached her and attempted to kiss her. (Threatener) Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vagina without her consent. Victim did not consent. Victim's shirt was used to have sex and due to her level of inebriation. During the course of the investigation, Victim did not consent to Subject's sexual contact. Victim's shirt was used to have sex and due to her level of inebriation. During the course of the investigation, Victim did not consent to Subject's sexual contact. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for Sexual Assault.	
73	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Cuba	Navy	O-3	Female	Air Force	O-3	Female				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Female Subject was accused of attempting to kiss two female service members without their consent. After receiving and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
74	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q1 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed				Notes: Victim One (V1) reported that coworker Subject sexually abused Victim's back, lower back, knee, and thigh without consent. Propositional Victim One (V1) reported Subject attempted V1's work with Subject's penis and finger on several occasions without her consent during the period of time V1 was in a consensual relationship with Subject. Victim Two (V2) reported she consensually knew Subject. (Threatener) She grabbed V2's hand and placed it on Subject's penis, who V2 pulled her hand away and said Subject "Yes". Subject again grabbed V2's hand and placed it on Subject's penis and then put his hands down V2's pants while Victim continued to work. (Threatener) Subject was not allowed to be in the military judge prior to court-martial. Subject requested permission to be allowed to testify to the Good of the Service. O2AF approved Subject's request and directed Subject reimburse the government for the cost of Subject's defense.	
75	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-7	Female	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for committing consensual sexual acts against two victims. Victim One (V1) reported Subject attempted V1's work with Subject's penis and finger on several occasions without her consent during the period of time V1 was in a consensual relationship with Subject. Victim Two (V2) reported she consensually knew Subject. (Threatener) She grabbed V2's hand and placed it on Subject's penis, who V2 pulled her hand away and said Subject "Yes". Subject again grabbed V2's hand and placed it on Subject's penis and then put his hands down V2's pants while Victim continued to work. (Threatener) Subject was not allowed to be in the military judge prior to court-martial. Subject requested permission to be allowed to testify to the Good of the Service. O2AF approved Subject's request and directed Subject reimburse the government for the cost of Subject's defense.	
76	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadre/Midshipman	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that when she met Subject, Subject hugged Victim and touched Victim's buttocks. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
77	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force		Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that when she met Subject, Subject hugged Victim and touched Victim's buttocks. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
78	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			General Article (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed				Notes: Victim was called on to pick up fellow Airman who was inebriated from an establishment. During the ride on base Subject grabbed Victim's face and kissed her cheek with his tongue and using his hands to grab her. Subject was not allowed to be in the military judge prior to court-martial. Subject requested permission to be allowed to testify to the Good of the Service. O2AF approved Subject's request and directed Subject reimburse the government for the cost of Subject's defense.	
79	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-5	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-7	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was accused of teaching the buttocks of Victim One (V1) with Subject's hand and kissing V2's cheek without consent. Subject was also accused of touching the cheek and neck of Victim Two without consent. After receiving and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
80	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian in a Support								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject is a civilian not subject to the UCMR. No further action.	

FF33 Services Report Sexual Assault Response Report Form (2018)														Additional Offense											
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Charged For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Race	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject's Prior Investigation (If Not Assault)	Subject's Most Recent Account?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charges Dismissed at Art 12 (Waiving, If Applicable)	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Recent Art 15 Sanction	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note			
105	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Air Force	E-3	Female	No	No	Q2 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action	Q2 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Female Subject was investigated for inappropriately touching multiple individuals on the same occasion. Male Victim One (V1) reported Subject had his hand on Victim's lower back and grabbed Victim's breasts. Victim Two (V2) reported that Subject had his hand on her thigh while passing her cell phone. Victim Three and four (V3 and V4), Subject put his hands over V3's breasts and attempted to kiss V4's breasts and squeezed them. Victim Five (V5) reported Subject had his hand on V5's buttocks and attempted to kiss V5's buttocks. V5's buttocks were only touching V2's buttocks. V2 and V4 throughout the night.		
106	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	O-2	Male			Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Acquitted							Notes: Victim and Subject over a dating application. On the date of the incident, after engaging in recreational sexual activity, when Victim attempted to leave, Subject used his hands to penetrate Victim's vagina without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. The subject was acquitted at trial because of a lack of admissible evidence for engaging in an unprofessional relationship with an enlisted aviator.		
107	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Kanawi	Air Force	E-6	Female	Unknown		Male			Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Child or Foreign National										Unknown	Notes: During the process of having her uniform altered, Victim reported Subject touched her breasts. Subject then asked/commented "big boob," and had his hand over Victim's breast area for a few seconds. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject barred Subject from assessing the installation. The case was referred to installation law enforcement which declined to pursue further action because Victim declined to participate in the case as a witness.		
108	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Other Adverse Administrative Action	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject was reported to have grabbed Victim's buttocks without Victim's consent. Victim declined to participate in the prosecution of the case. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the Victim's wishes, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, commander closed the case with LOR and suitable disposition (LOR).		
109	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial								Notes: Victim reported that while she was sleeping, she awakened to her shirt and bra pushed above her breast and Subject's mouth on Victim's right breast. His hands on both of Victim's breast, and his body rubbing against Victim's side through Victim's leggings. Following the incident, the commander issued Subject barred Subject from assessing the installation. The case was referred to installation law enforcement which declined to pursue further action because Victim declined to participate in the case as a witness. The commander approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a LOR and suitable disposition (LOR).	
110	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female				Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes	Yes	Subject (in single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Restraint: No; Reduction in Rate: No; Involuntary Separation/Release (DSR): 4D; Reduction in Rate: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (DSR): 11.		
111	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	None	Yes	Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement (DSR): The Life; Confinement (DSR): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restraint: No; Reduction in Rate: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.		
112	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action											Victim (single subject)	Notes: Victim was attending a party hosted by Subject and consumed a large amount of alcohol. Victim reported that Subject engaged in sexual activity with Victim even though she was unable to consent due to alcohol consumption, but Victim's memory of the incident is unclear. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for sexual assault and the member was discharged.	
113	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim's spouse returned to her party and when she returned the next morning, she stated she had sexual intercourse with Subject. The next morning, Subject called Victim and told her she had sexual intercourse with Subject. Victim's spouse and Subject did not have sex. During the course of the investigation, a witness revealed that Subject showed Victim photos and video of Victim while she was sleeping and had his hands on her breasts, groin, and neck, and of Subject using the finger to penetrate Victim's vagina. Witness also saw video footage of the sexual intercourse. The victim was not interviewed at the time. At a General Court-Martial, Subject was convicted of sexual assault.
114	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male				Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense				Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)		Article 15 Acquittal							Notes: Subject was accused of touching Victim's breast. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for sexual assault.
115	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No	Q2 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action	Other Adverse Administrative Action											Notes: Subject was reported to have touched Victim's buttocks without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand and Subject was discharged.	
116	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-2	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vagina without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. However, after preferred and before an Article 15 preliminary hearing was held, Subject corrected himself. The commander dismissed the charges in the matter due to the Subject's death.
117	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Air Force	O-2	Male	No	No	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)	None	Yes	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: Demerol; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restraint: No; Reduction in Rate: No; Hard Labor: No.		
118	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Non-judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed								Notes: Subject investigated for nonconsensual touching of the buttocks of Victim who was lying on a bed at a party. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined that non-judicial punishment under Article 15 of the UCMR was appropriate. After reviewing and considering all available evidence, the commander found the member guilty of violating Art. 120 (sexual contact) by a battery and the Subject's was punished consisting of a formal reprimand under Art. 15.	
119	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Unknown	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for nonconsensual touching of multiple individuals. Victim One (V1) reported Subject placed his hand on her thigh while passing her cell phone. Victim Two (V2) reported that Subject put his arm around and held on V2's thigh to deliver a "smiley" individual. One individual who was not interviewed stated that Subject had his hand on V3's thigh. V3 brushed Subject's hand off her thigh. Through the course of the investigation, the commander issued Subject barred Subject from assessing the installation. The case was referred to installation law enforcement which declined to pursue further action because Victim declined to participate in the case as a witness. The commander approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a LOR and suitable disposition (LOR).	
120	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Acquitted								Notes: Victim, Subject, and others went to a club where Victim had a number of alcoholic drinks. Subject was interviewed and advised of his rights to a military judge and used the words to Victim to kiss her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand and Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
121	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			General Article Offense (Art. 134)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Notes: Subject was accused of sexually assaulting Victim by using his penis to penetrate Victim's vagina on several occasions. The investigation revealed Subject was also engaged in an unprofessional relationship with another person, which is a member. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand and Subject was discharged.	
122	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	No	No	Q2 (October-December)	Non-judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed								Involved but not specified	Notes: Subject was investigated for inappropriately touching multiple individuals at a work event and with individual at a separate occasion. Victim One (V1) reported Subject used his hands to touch Victim's breasts. Victim Two (V2) reported that Subject used his hands to grab Victim's buttocks while hugging her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject non-judicial punishment and a Letter of Reprimand (LOR).
123	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense				Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Notes: Subject was accused of abusive sexual contact in that Subject, on two separate occasions, Subject told Victim that Subject would not let Victim have unless Victim kissed Subject. Victim advised that she became just wanted to get away from Subject. Victim and Subject were conversed and Victim was seen in the company of Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander punished Subject under Article 15 (DSR).	
124	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Air Force	E-8	Male			Q1 (Jan-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was reported to have pulled down Victim One's (V1) pants and underwear and attempted to penetrate Victim's vagina with Subject's penis. V1 pinned Subject off of V1's buttocks indicated that she was the instigator. V1 and Subject had consensual intercourse on one previous occasion and that thereafter, V1 attempted to end the relationship with Subject. Subject, however, Subject was reported to have kissed Victim Two (V2) without her consent. Both Victim's declined to participate in the military judge and used the words to Victim to kiss her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	

Unrestricted Report Case Synopsis

No.	Host Serious Sexual Assault Investigation Subject ID Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Offense	Subject: Most Recent Conviction	Subject: Referral Type	Quarter/Disposition/Completion	Case Disposition	Most Serious Offense Charge	Host Serious Offense Charge	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 21 Hearing, if applicable	Host Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Host Regular or Sex Offender?	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
166	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	O-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)							Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for engaging in multiple instances of consensual sexual activities, verbal harassment, and contact involving a number of female victims including sending inappropriate text messages and involving verbal aggression. The investigation resulted in a Letter of Reprimand (LOR) for sexual harassment for sex and contact. Subsequent to Subject's LOR, Subject reportedly contacted another victim and grabbed her hair. Victim's husband without her consent. After receiving the report and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued non-judicial punishment for Abusive Sexual Contact and Contact.	
167	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Notes: Subject was accused of sexually assaulting victim by penetrating her vagina with Subject's penis when victim was asleep and did not consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.		
168	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-7	Female	Air Force	E-8	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject slept victim on the back. No alcohol use by either perpetrator or victim. Office of Criminal Investigations substantiated the sexual assault but substantiated assault. Permissible behavior was determined on the Subject. Subject was not discharged. There were no prior sexual assault offenses.	
169	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q2 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject did his hands down victim's back and on to her buttocks. Victim did not consider it sexual assault but did consider it sexual harassment. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, commander issued a letter of reprimand to Subject. Victim was not investigated for sexually harassing victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined no action could be taken because the Subject was not under military jurisdiction.	
170	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that she consented to receiving a massage from Subject. During the massage, Subject touched victim's thigh from behind and placed his hand under victim's leg as he breast-feeding victim. Victim consented to the massage. Subject touched victim on the multiple occasions without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred Subject Article 15 of the UCMJ.	
171	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No				Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Notes: Victim reported that she consented to receiving a massage from Subject. During the massage, Subject touched victim's thigh from behind and placed his hand under victim's leg as he breast-feeding victim. Victim consented to the massage. Subject touched victim on the multiple occasions without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred Subject Article 15 of the UCMJ.	
172	Approved Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force		Female					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject kissed victim's neck and neck. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined no action could be taken because the Subject was not under military jurisdiction. There is no additional information available concerning this case. Case closed.
173	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Male	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: There is no additional information available concerning this case. Case closed.	
174	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Suspension in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim consented at a party and Subject was one of three men who assaulted victim in her home. Victim remembered being the driver with Subject and finding evidence on her vehicle. Victim was covering and hiding and Subject was seen running away from victim's room. Subject admitted a request for a Change of Discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Charge 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Charge 4 discharge with a UCMJ, service administrative discharge.
175	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Male & Female	Air Force	E-5	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was reported to have grabbed victim's genitalia without victim's consent on two separate occasions. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for sexual harassment.	
176	Approved Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his hands to penetrate victim's vagina without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
177	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Notes: Victim reported that Subject forcibly penetrated victim's mouth with Subject's tongue, used his hands to touch victim's breasts and genital area and attempted to touch victim's breasts. Victim did not consent to any of the contact. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for unprofessional relationship.	
178	Rape (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that on several occasions Subject used his penis to penetrate victim's vagina. Victim indicated that she did not consent to any sexual activity with Subject because he was not wearing a condom. During the course of the investigation, victim declined to participate. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject with a Letter of Reprimand for an unprofessional relationship.	
179	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Italy	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	O-5	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Both Victim and Subject Notes: Investigation revealed that Subject may have kissed victim without her consent. Victim however, did not report any action to be taken against Subject and refused to participate in the investigation. Investigation also revealed Subject was a physical abuser of another member. After receiving the report of investigation, victim was accused of sexual harassment, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for unprofessional relationship.	
180	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	None (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 21 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Both Victim and Subject Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate victim's vagina without consent. Subject attempted to penetrate victim's vagina with Subject's penis. After consultation with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined there was not sufficient evidence to warrant UCMJ action.	
181	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male				Q2 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Other Sexual Harassment (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed					Notes: Victim did not submit to a physical contact with Subject and his friends were victim and took a picture and video. After consultation with the staff judge advocate, Subject received nonjudicial punishment for indecent exposure.	
182	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	DFAS						Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject, a civilian and victim's supervisor, grabbed victim's arms, body, and exposed on numerous occasions without victim's consent. Subject is not subject to the Uniformed Code of Military Justice and victim's allegations of sexual harassment by victim's supervisor/Subject was referred to the civil complaint office for action.	
183	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4						Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for touching the bodies of two victims without their consent. Subject touched victim (V1) in her home, buttocks, breasts, and V1's genital area. Subject touched victim (V2) and attempted to have sexual intercourse with V2 when V2 was asleep. Victim V2 was asleep. Subject V2 was asleep and grabbed her genital area against V2's genital area. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
184	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Male	Air Force	E-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial Discharge (CD); Offense(s): Sexual Assault (Art. 120); Confession: Yes; Confession Type: Verbal; The Judge's Confession: 84; Punishment of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Fine: No; Restraint: No; Reduction in Rate: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated for separate and multiple instances of sexual harassment and sexual assault. Subject was convicted on numerous counts including sexual harassment and sexual assault. Subject was convicted on numerous counts including sexual harassment and sexual assault. Subject was convicted on numerous counts including sexual harassment and sexual assault. Subject was convicted on numerous counts including sexual harassment and sexual assault.	
185	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Subject, a DOD civilian, was investigated for using his penis to penetrate victim's vagina without consent. Subject was investigated on multiple occasions both on-base and off base. Civilian authorities investigated the allegation. The civilian prosecuting agency declined to prosecute the Subject.	
186	Rape (Art. 120)	South Korea	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed					Notes: Subject was accused of sexual assault in that he used his penis to penetrate victim's vagina without her consent. Victim reportedly said "no." Subject was reported to another individual at the time. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred Subject with a reprimand under Article 15, UCMJ.	
187	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject was investigated for touching two victims on multiple occasions without their consent. Victim (V1) was hospitalized and Subject (V2) was hospitalized. Subject (V2) was hospitalized and Subject (V2) was hospitalized. Subject (V2) was hospitalized and Subject (V2) was hospitalized. Subject (V2) was hospitalized and Subject (V2) was hospitalized.	
188	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Male	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Notes: The case involved victim, all of who were in same barracks and involved victim's supervisor. Subject, all victims were included in particular in court-martial. After receiving the report of investigation, consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject with a reprimand under Article 15, UCMJ.	
189	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported to witness 1, mandatory reporter, that she was sexually assaulted by 3 unidentified Subjects. Victim, however, refused to speak with OSI and, since making her initial statement to witness 1, has refused to cooperate in the investigation. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander closed the case with no action.	
190	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported to witness 1, mandatory reporter, that she was sexually assaulted by 3 unidentified Subjects. Victim, however, refused to speak with OSI and, since making her initial statement to witness 1, has refused to cooperate in the investigation. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander closed the case with no action.	
191	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported to witness 1, mandatory reporter, that she was sexually assaulted by 3 unidentified Subjects. Victim, however, refused to speak with OSI and, since making her initial statement to witness 1, has refused to cooperate in the investigation. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander closed the case with no action.	

Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

F20 Review Member Sexual Assault Reports Report: AIR FORCE													Administrative Action						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Attention	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Investigation For Sex Assault?	Subject Report/Investigation/Complaint	Case Disposition	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed if Applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Recent or Same Offense	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
214	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Collar	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					All victims and subjects (including parties to the crime)
215	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	
216	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			
217	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Female		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense							Victim (single victim)	
218	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-7	Female	Air Force	E-5	Female			Subject is a Child or Foreign National							Victim (single victim)	
219	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes		Other Adverse Administrative Action								
220	Apparent Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-1	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male			Other Adverse Administrative Action							Both Victim and Subject	
221	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Turkey	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male			Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Convicted	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 52)			None	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 52)	
222		UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown			Offender is Unknown								
223	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male			Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	General Article Offense (Art. 124)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			
224	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Discharge or Suspension in Lieu of Courts-Martial						
225	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	O-6	Male			Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		
226	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	Yes		Other Adverse Administrative Action								
227	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown			Offender is Unknown								
228	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-6	Female		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment		None			
229	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-2	Male			Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Subject (single suspect)	
230	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 15 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				
231	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 15 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				
232	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	O-4	Male			Non-judicial punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			
233	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male			Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			
234	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Collar	Female	Air Force		Male			Subject is a Child or Foreign National								
235	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male		Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action							Victim (single victim)	
236	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male			Subject is a Child or Foreign National								

F735 Service Member Sexual Assault Suspense Report - ASD FORSC														Administrative Actions										
No.	Most Serious Sexual Offense Alleged/Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim's Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject's Pay Grade	Subject's Gender	Subject's Prior Investigation For Sex Assault?	Subject's Prior Waiver/Accession?	Subject's Referral Type	Quarter/Offense Commitment	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charge	Most Serious Offense Charge	Court/Civil or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Referred to Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Mail Referred To Staff Officer	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note		
250	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Other Notes: During the course of an investigation of an active duty member accused of committing abusive sexual contact involving the victim and other females, it was revealed that Subject was among a group of male airmen who were grabbing or slipping each other's buttocks in plain or jacking manner. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander provided Subject verbal counseling to address the home playing behavior.		
251	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (October/December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Other Notes: During the course of an investigation of an active duty member accused of committing abusive sexual contact involving the victim and other females, it was revealed that Subject was among a group of male airmen who were grabbing or slipping each other's buttocks in plain or jacking manner. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander provided Subject verbal counseling to address the home playing behavior.	
256	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q2 (January/March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Air Force Office of Special Investigations investigated allegation that Subject used his penis to repeatedly penetrate Victim's vulva while Victim was without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation, Victim declined to participate in military justice action against Subject. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the Victim's wishes, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand. Subject was also administratively discharged via a discharge board.	
257	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Uae	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (October/December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject began kissing and touching victim without consent. Subsequently, Victim told Subject and Subject contacted. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for violation of community standards and find the letter in his <u>Unfavorable Evaluation File</u> .	
258	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject was investigated for sexual assault against the victim of a rape. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander withdrew the charges and determined the case <u>against the Subject</u> .	
259	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim did not know Subject until she was called next to him at a bar. Subject and Victim were both intoxicated. Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks and groped her breasts. Subject then grabbed Victim's buttocks. Victim's clothing and her hair were pulled. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
260	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q2 (January/March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Other Sexual Recidivist (Art. 120C)					Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject was investigated for sexually assaulting two active duty women without their knowledge or consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. At court martial, Subject was found guilty of Art. 120 (B) (1) (a) to (c) and Art. 120C (Other sexual misconduct-relevant victim, sexual assault, and harassment). Subject was sentenced to a reduction in rank to E-1, confinement for 3 years and 6 months, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and a <u>against the Subject</u> .	
261	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Male & Female	Air Force	E-7	Female				Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim One (V1), a female, reported that female Subject sat on V1's lap without consent, made inappropriate comments of a sexual nature, and sexually propositioned V1 making V1 extremely uncomfortable. The investigation revealed that Subject also sexually propositioned V2's partner over V2's dining without consent. Subject contacted the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. At court martial, Subject was found guilty of Art. 120 (B) (1) (a) to (c) and Art. 120C (Other sexual misconduct-relevant victim, sexual assault, and harassment). Subject was sentenced to a reduction in rank to E-1, confinement for 3 years and 6 months, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and a <u>against the Subject</u> .
262	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Male Victim reported that male Subject kissed Victim and used his tongue to penetrate Victim's mouth as the Victim was without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred Subject under Article 15, USFC.
263	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q2 (January/March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported Subject placed his hand on her shirt and touched Victim's breast. Thereafter, at some point, Victim was asked to perform oral sex. Subject refused. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. At court martial, Subject was found guilty of Art. 120 (B) (1) (a) to (c) and Art. 120C (Other sexual misconduct-relevant victim, sexual assault, and harassment). Subject was sentenced to a reduction in rank to E-1, confinement for 3 years and 6 months, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and a <u>against the Subject</u> .
264	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 120)						Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject was investigated for the physical and/or sexual assault of three women on an aircraft carrier. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. At court martial, Subject was convicted of assault and false statement and found guilty of rape and forcible. Subject was sentenced to a year confinement, reduction to the grade of E-1, and a <u>against the Subject</u> .	
265	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) investigated the allegation of sexual assault. Due to the civilian status of the subject, AFOSI referred case to the Assistant U.S. Attorney's Office (AUSA), AFOSI declined prosecution.	
266	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Unknown	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed, pushed, and attempted to kiss the victim without her consent. The Subject touched her breast and groped her buttocks. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
267	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject was accused of touching two victims. Subject touched Victim One by grabbing her buttocks without her consent. Subject touched Victim Two by touching her leg near the edge of her seat. After receiving and consulting all available evidence and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
268	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No				Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense										Under Other than Honorable Conditions (DOTHC)	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject was alleged to have engaged in sexual harassment against two female airmen and improperly touched two of those women in a sexual nature. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand for sexual harassment and subsequently involuntarily discharged him from the Air Force with an Under Other Than Honorable Conditions (DOTHC) discharge characterization.
269	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-8	Male	Air Force	O-4	Female					Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Male Victim reported that female Subject grabbed Subject's buttocks while at a bar. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.	
270	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject was investigated for committing abusive sexual contact and attempted sexual assault on victim on multiple occasions during a three week period. Victim reported that while she performed some disciplinary action taken against Subject, she did not wish to proceed to court martial and did not wish to participate any further. After consulting with the staff judge advocate and based on the results of the evidence, the commander determined a Letter of Counseling was the <u>appropriate administrative action</u> .	
271	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January/March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 120)						Involved but not specified	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vulva without her consent. Separately, another victim reported that Subject used his penis to penetrate her sexual intercourse. Subject to Victim's head against the wall, subject Victim, and the Victim on the floor when she had no sexual intercourse. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Pursuant to a pretrial agreement, Subject admitted guilty under Article 120B of the USFC (sexual intercourse by force).
272	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Amy	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject touched Victim's inner thigh while she was in a shower. This was the only incident reported. After receiving the second time he touched her inner thigh. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was <u>acquitted</u> .	
273	Rape (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims-Female	Air Force	E-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)					Yes	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject was accused of sexually assaulting multiple victims in separate instances. The incident involved but was not limited to kissing the victim, grabbing their genitals, and attempting to commit Victim's clothing. Further, when Victim was unable to consent due to alcohol intoxication, Subject would insert his penis into Victim's mouth. As a General Court-Martial, Subject was convicted for (a) members sexual intercourse.	
274	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Unknown offender report. There is no additional information available concerning this case. Case closed.	
275	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action										Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR) Notes: Subject was a member of Victim's friends. After a night of drinking and then falling asleep at a friends home, Victim reported that she awakened to Subject grabbing Victim's buttocks and breasts. Victim was able to get away to another room and fall asleep. Subject followed her to another room to touch her. Victim was able to intervene and pull Subject off Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued the case with a Letter of Reprimand.

