

ENCLOSURE 2:
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY





THE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
WASHINGTON DC 20350-1000

March 2, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
(PERSONNEL AND READINESS)


SUBJECT: Department of the Navy Submission to the Secretary of Defense's Report to Congress on Sexual Assaults Involving Members of the Armed Forces for Fiscal Year 2011

As requested by your memo of 8 September 2011, TAB A is provided as Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 input from the Department of the Navy (DON) for your Annual Report to Congress on Sexual Assaults in the Military, that is mandated by Public Law 111-383, as expanded by Public Laws 111-84 and 109-364.

The DON and its two Military Services – the United States Navy and the United States Marine Corps – envision a culture of gender respect and shared responsibility for eliminating sexual assault. Our Department-level prevention strategy has three main components. The first involves the progressive dissemination of a clear, consistent, top-down leadership message that sexual assault is never acceptable anywhere in the Department of the Navy, and that all Sailors and Marines have a shared responsibility to protect each other from sexual assault. The second component involves the broad application of updated Service-level training tools across the Navy and Marine Corps respectively. Our goal is to effectively reach all Sailors and Marines with information that is current and relevant. Our third main strategy component involves pilot demonstration initiatives at selected sites to objectively assess additional new approaches to sexual assault prevention.

Underlying all of these concepts is our commitment to candid self-assessment using insights from world-wide site visits, focus groups, and stakeholder interviews; new approaches to organizing and reviewing available case data; and the periodic conducting of anonymous large-scale surveys.

Should you need additional information, my point of contact for this action is Ms. Jill Loftus, who may be reached at (703) 614-4645 or jill.loftus@navy.mil.



Ray Mabus

Attachments:
As stated

COORDINATION PAGE

<u>Command</u>	<u>Point of Contact</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Date</u>
AGC	Ms. Catherine Kessmeier	(703) 614-3053	
CMC (MRRS)	Ms. Melissa Cohen	(703) 784-9359	
Judge Advocate Division	Maj. Suzan Thompson	(703) 693-8955	
OJAG	LCDR Nell Evans	(202) 685-7429	
NCIS	Mr. Robert Vance	(202) 433-9234	
NCIS	Ms. Julie Anderson	(202) 433-9243	
CNIC	Mr. Javan Owens	(703) 433-3108	
CNP N135	CAPT Paul Rosen	(703) 614-1320	
N093 BUMED	CAPT Janice Manary	(202) 762-9003	
N097A (Chaplains)	CDR Thomas Walcott	(703) 614-4438	
NETC (CPPD)	CAPT Chuck Hollingsworth	(757) 492 -5600	6 Feb 12
NETC (COO)	RDML C. S. Sharpe	(757) 322-9759	7 Feb 12
NETC	RADM D. P. Quinn	(850) 492-4810	10 Feb 12
Navy OLA	RADM Copeman	(703) 697-7146	2/21/12 JTK
USMC OLA	BGEN Rudder	(703) 614-1686	17 Feb 2012
SN PAO	CAPT Kunze	(703) 697-7491	2/21/12 JMO
SAL	CDR Eldred	(703) 697-6935	992 2/21/12
SN SA	Mr. Tom Oppel	(703) 693-4339	no 3/1/12

Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military: Department of the Navy

Executive Summary (Department of the Navy)

The Department of the Navy (DON) is committed to eliminating sexual assaults Department-wide and to ensuring compassionate support of sexual assault victims. We seek a culture of gender respect where sexual assault is never tolerated.

Our Department-level prevention strategy has three main components. The first involves the progressive dissemination of a clear, consistent, top-down leadership message that sexual assault is never acceptable anywhere in the Department of the Navy, and that all Sailors and Marines have a shared responsibility to protect each other from sexual assault. The second component involves the broad application of updated Service-level training tools across the Navy and Marine Corps respectively. Our goal is to effectively reach all Sailors and Marines with information that is current and relevant. Our third main strategy component involves pilot demonstration initiatives at selected sites to objectively assess additional new approaches to sexual assault prevention. Underlying all of these concepts is our commitment to candid self-assessment using insights from world-wide site visits, focus groups, and stakeholder interviews; new approaches to organizing and reviewing available case data; and the periodic conducting of anonymous large-scale surveys. Site visits conducted during FY11 included Bahrain; Djibouti; Sigonella and Naples (Italy); Rota (Spain); Souda Bay (Greece); Sasesbo, Yokosuka, Atsugi and Okinawa (Japan); Guantanamo Bay (Cuba); Guam and Hawaii.

Our Department-level strategy of leadership engagement began in 2009 when Secretary Mabus convened the first DON Sexual Assault Prevention Summit, bringing senior uniformed and civilian leadership together with civilian experts for a three-day program followed by two-day Service-level break outs. In 2010, Department of the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (DON-SAPRO) organized the first Department-wide summit for all Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) from across the Navy and Marine Corps. We expanded that forum in 2011 to include shore installation commanders and regional leaders, with powerful messages delivered in person by the Secretary of the Navy, the Under-Secretary, the Vice Chief of Naval Operations, the Deputy Commandant of the Marine Corps, both Services' senior enlisted leaders, and civilian academic experts. The 2010 and 2011 Summits also included presentations by sexual assault victims – male and female. Our plans for 2012 focus on expanding beyond shore installation communities – we envision multiple summit forums targeting operational leaders at Navy and Marine Corps sites world-wide. The Director of DON-SAPRO holds weekly coordination with Flag/General Officer Executive Agents and program managers from the Navy and Marine Corps.

Recognizing their different Service cultures, the Department has coordinated with both the Navy and Marine Corps in their development of Service-appropriate training tools on sexual assault prevention and awareness. This year, the Marines have begun Service-

wide implantation of their new “Take a Stand” training that targets junior Marines through interactive sessions facilitated by small-unit leaders, using professionally produced video segments that are especially powerful – some involving personal comments from the Commandant and the Sergeant Major of the Marine Corps. In addition to upgrading numerous specific curricula, including annual General Military Training on sexual assault, the Navy has implemented its own “Bystander Intervention” program at “A” Schools Navy-wide. This training utilizes local facilitators in a structured curriculum involving junior Sailors in three sequential, interactive, small-group sessions. In addition, DON-SAPRO sponsored “No More Zebras”, offered by Mr. Steve Thompson, a national recognized expert on sexual assault prevention, at several sites Department-wide. This well-received program uses interactive role play to address sexual assault situations from the perspectives of at-risk young people.

Our Department-level pilot initiative on sexual assault prevention focuses primarily on junior Sailors at Training Support Center Great Lakes. Our own reviews suggested that young Sailors just after recruit training may be especially vulnerable to sexual assault. Great Lakes combines a unique concentration of at-risk Sailors with a dedicated local leadership. Starting in late 2010, DON-SAPRO has collaborated with local commanders in planning and support, and a two-day Stakeholder Planning Summit on Sexual Assault Prevention Strategies held at Great Lakes in February 2011 categorized numerous suggestions. Efforts underway or about to begin include the Navy’s “Bystander Intervention” program during student orientation week; contracted professional large-group and small-group reinforcing sessions later during training; adjustments to student liberty policies and NCIS coordination with local law enforcement to prevent alcohol-fueled hotel parties; and coordinated engagement of leadership at the command, installation, and regional level. In addition to working closely with local SARCs to monitor trends in reported sexual assaults, we have already begun an anonymous survey program of departing students to help assess impacts on the underlying incidence of sexual assault.

During June-September 2011, DON-SAPRO conducted a Department-wide survey on sexual assault that was web-based, anonymous, and voluntary. Its immediate purpose was to establish a baseline measure of sexual assault incidence using a standardized process that could be repeated periodically to assess Departmental prevention efforts. Our survey form and methods were reviewed and approved by an academic Institutional Review Board. Over 115,000 active duty Sailors and Marines participated in the survey. Although we are still analyzing the final results, preliminary impressions suggest little change in the underlying incidence of sexual assault over some time, and a strong relationship between young age and sexual assault risk. We anticipate repeating this effort in 2-3 years to assess the effectiveness of continued Departmental efforts.

In addition to our Department-level efforts towards sexual assault prevention, we have also been active in improving our support of sexual assault victims. Periodic tracking by the Naval Audit Service has triggered dramatic improvement in the responsive performance of Navy and Marine Corps telephone access for sexual assault victims calling Victim Advocates or SARCs. The Naval Criminal Investigative Service has hired

sexual assault criminal investigators at numerous locations, and DON-SAPRO has supported special training for other agents. The Department also supported mobile team training on “Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution” for Navy and Marine Corps judge advocates. The Bureau of Medicine and Surgery has trained numerous Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners and taken steps to expand the availability of Sexual Assault Forensic Exams, particularly at overseas locations.

The Director of DON-SAPRO has met on several occasions with staff representatives from the offices of various Congressmen and from the Senate Armed Services Committee. Each of those sessions has been productive and positive in presenting Department of the Navy priorities and current activities.

Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military: United States Navy

Executive Summary: (Executive Agent/OPNAV N1)

The Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program (formerly known as the Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) Program) was established in 1994 to provide awareness and prevention education, victim advocacy, and data collection in order to ensure a consistent and standardized response to sexual assault incidents. In September 2009 the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) designated the Deputy Chief of Naval Operations (DCNO), Manpower Personnel Training and Education (N1) as the Executive Agent (EA) for Navy’s Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) and directed the EA to align the services strategy, policy, and programs with the newly established Secretary of the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (DON SAPRO).

As the SAPR Executive Assistant, OPNAV N1 is responsible for oversight, coordination and synchronization of all SAPR actions across Navy, to include the active component, the reserve component and the civilian workforce. OPNAV N1 provides CNO regular status reports on the SAPR Program to include data reporting, training and education programs, incident reporting measures, investigation and prosecution, victim advocacy and support programs, and resource requirements. Additionally, CNO directed establishment of a SAPR Cross Functional Team (CFT), chaired by a Flag Officer (N135), and consisting of membership from each of the major stakeholder organizations. The CFT ensures all critical components of Navy’s SAPR are represented, defined, developed, relevant, resourced, synchronized and harmonized across the spectrum of Navy’s efforts.

Sexual assault is a crime. It is inconsistent with Navy’s Ethos and detrimental to Sailor and mission readiness. Navy’s goal is to prevent and reduce sexual assault by targeting both prevention and victim care. Navy seeks to cultivate a culture of prevention through aggressive education and training as a methodology to increase awareness of its workforce. Additionally, Navy focuses on its response capability, victim support, reporting procedures, and accountability in order to enhance the safety

and well-being of all. As such, Navy provides a 24/7 response capability for victim support and standardized reporting procedures. Data indicates that alcohol mis-use and abuse is an associated factor in many sexual assault cases; therefore, training and the strategic communication plan focuses on promoting and supporting the responsible use of alcohol, including abstinence, as a method to reduce sexual assault. Program success will be realized by an all hands, top-to-bottom, concerted effort to eliminate sexual assault from our ranks.

In FY11, Navy engaged nationally-recognized Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) to help refine numerous curricula used for SAPR training in a myriad of settings – annual General Military Training (GMT) for all Navy personnel, officer indoctrination schools, enlisted leadership courses, and many others. Bystander Intervention (BI) training was implemented at Training Support Center (TSC) “A” schools in Great Lakes, Illinois; Pensacola, Florida; and Meridian, Mississippi with further expansion to all other TSCs anticipated in FY12. BI teaches Sailors to “step-up” and stop potential sexual assaults and other dangerous situations and provides tangible tools to intervene in potential assault situations. A collaborative Sexual Assault Prevention initiative at Great Lakes, Illinois was initiated by OPNAV, DON SAPRO and Great Lakes’ leadership to focus on Navy’s highest risk demographic (E1-E4, 20-24 years old) using a suite of prevention strategies.

During the fiscal year, Navy placed strong emphasis on the standardization of SAPR processes both ashore and afloat. OPNAV N1 coordinated and implemented a Sexual Assault (SA) Supplemental Report which must be submitted by commands within ten days of unrestricted reports of sexual assault. Report information will yield trending, demographic, and response metrics and will be used to inform policy, training, and outreach plans. Efforts to standardize SAPR response across the Navy included issuance of guidance (CNICINST 1752.2) on standard procedures for validating SAPR Program response protocols. This instruction requires establishment and monthly validation of 24/7 SAPR response capability by every installation. Commander, Navy Installations Command (CNIC) developed four new on-demand training webinars to reinforce the goal of standardized training for SARC and other first responder responsibilities. Webinars focus on the proper protocol for conducting Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG) meetings and Train-the-Trainer instructions for SARCs on delivery techniques for Navy-specific training for command SAPR personnel. CNIC provided annual training to SARCs during a 5-day DON SAPR SARC Conference. Senior Navy leadership, facility and regional commanders, and civilian SMEs also attended the conference, helping to solidify the efforts of Navy key leaders.

SAPR continued implementation of the SAPR Case Management System (CMS) in order to standardize case management. The stored data includes information for restricted and unrestricted sexual assault cases. CNIC also created a new SAPR Commander’s Toolkit, which is provided by SARCs to newly assigned Commanders/Commanding Officers during their mandatory SAPR briefings.

Navy installations held more than 150 key activities throughout the month of April in recognition of Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM).

1. Program Overview

Service Regulations and Instructions:

- OPNAVINST 1752.1B, “Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) Program,” 29 Dec 06, provides Navy’s comprehensive policy of sexual assault prevention and response. It encompasses requirements from DODD 6495.01 and DODI 6495.02. Changes published in both DoD documents are being incorporated into the revision of OPNAVINST 1752.1B, which is pending approval expected in FY12.
- OPNAVINST 3100.6J, “Special Incident Reporting Procedures”, 22 Dec 09, stipulates requirements for command submission of situation reports (SITREP) through official resolution of the case and supplemental reports for all unrestricted cases of sexual assault. Interim changes published in 2009 and 2011 will be incorporated into the revision of OPNAVINST 1752.1B which is pending approval expected in FY12.
- SECNAVINST 1752.4A, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response,” 1 Dec 05, provides guidance for establishment of a sexual assault prevention/victim assistance program within the Department of the Navy (DON).
- SECNAVINST 5430.108, “Department of the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office,” 10 Jun 10, outlines the mission and functions of Department of the Navy’s Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (DON-SAPRO).
- BUMEDINST 6310.11, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program, 23 Jun 09, provides guidance for evaluation and care of sexual assault victims.
- The below CNIC Policy Implementation Directives complement Navy policies for implementation and execution of Navy’s SAPR Program and will be incorporated into pending revision of the current policy:
 - a. SAVI-001, “Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) Position Guidance,” 10 May 05.
 - b. SAVI-003, “Navy Confidentiality Policy for Victims of Sexual Assault and Collection of Forensic Evidence,” 30 Nov 05.

The below lists Navy Leadership Messages promulgated to the Fleet for Navy’s SAPR Program:

- NAVADMIN 179/05 – Changes to the Navy Sexual Assault Intervention Program
- NAVADMIN 282/09 - Sexual Assault Prevention and Response
- NAVADMIN 315/09 - Personal For - Sexual Assault
- NAVADMIN 372/09 - OPNAVINST 3100.6Jc. (Special Incident Reporting Procedures)
- NAVADMIN 019/10 - Personal For - Consulting a JAG when Responding to Sexual Assault Allegations
- NAVADMIN 098/10 - General Military Training
- NAVADMIN 119/10 - Sexual Assault Awareness Month
- NAVADMIN 377/10 - Sexual Assault
- NAVADMIN 379/10 - Coalition of sailors Against Destructive Decisions Monthly Topics)
- NAVADMIN 381/10 - FY11 Professional Development Training Course for Chaplains and Religious Ministry Program Specialists
- NAVADMIN 122/11 - Sexual Assault Awareness Month
- NAVADMIN 154/11 - OPNAVINST 3100.6J Interim Change 1 (Special Incident Reporting Procedures)

Organizational Structure:

The Deputy Chief Naval Operations (DCNO) (N1) serves as the SAPR Executive Agent (EA), overseeing SAPR policy, program and initiatives across the Navy. OPNAV N135 (Navy Flag Officer) chairs the SAPR CFT, a body established by CNO to ensure coordination among major stakeholders on SAPR-related issues, strategies, and initiatives. The following is a list of key members of the CFT:

- Office of Chief of Naval Operations (OPNAV N135)
- Commander, Navy Installations Command (CNIC)
- United States Fleet Forces Command (USFFC)
- Commander, Pacific Fleet (PACFLT)
- Naval Education and Training Command (NETC) Center for Personal and Professional Development (CPPD)
- U.S. Navy Chief of Information (CHINFO)
- Office of the Judge Advocate General (OJAG)
- Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED)
- Chief of Chaplains Corps (CHC N097)
- Navy Personnel Research, Studies, and Technology (NPRST)
- Naval Criminal Investigative Services (NAVCRIMINVSERV)
- Office of the Master Chief Petty Officer of the Navy (MCPON)
- Commander, Naval Reserve Forces Command (CNRFC)
- Military Sealift Command (MSC)

Navy SAPR is a command program consisting of multiple key stakeholders and first responders. As the program manager for Navy SAPR execution, CNIC (N91) is

responsible for managing, implementing, and overseeing installation SAPR programs to include maintaining a coordinated approach between medical, legal, investigations, security, chaplains, Fleet and Family Support Centers (FFSC), operational and tenant commands, and civilian resources. The structure of Navy SAPR is hierarchical and consistent both afloat and ashore:

Echelon II and III commands establish and maintain the SAPR program, ensuring subordinate commands support and maintain effective SAPR programs.

Regional Commanders promulgate local, updated, SAPR regional or installation guidelines for installation commanders who are responsible for ensuring Service members and their family members have access to well-coordinated, highly responsive SAPR programs. .

Commanders, Commanding Officers and Officers in Charge designate required SAPR program personnel including SAPR Victim Advocates, SAPR Command Points of Contact (POCs), SAPR Command Liaisons, and Data Collection Coordinators (DCCs) and ensure each receives required initial and annual refresher training provided by Installation SARCs.

As SAPR SMEs for the command, installation SARCs are responsible for providing consistent, standardized program support to victims and facilitating communication and transparency among responders who provide victim support services.

SAPR Victim Advocates are assigned to individual commands and deploy with commands. They receive training and support from installation SARCs (regardless of the deployment location).

Sexual assault victims receive support from the team of a trained SAPR Victim Advocate and the installation SARC. Victims serving as an Individual Augmentee (IA), or assigned to a non-Navy installation are supported by the affiliated/lead Military Service SARC and SAPR VA within that installation/environment (e.g., Kuwait, Marine Base, etc.).

Other SAPR personnel and their roles:

In coordination with CNIC, NETC CPPD is responsible for the development and delivery of a communication, education and training strategy and program that is aligned with Navy's overall SAPR Program.

Judge Advocates provide instruction and guidance regarding the legal aspects of sexual assaults and responder training as well as consultation to Commanders, installation SARCs and health care providers (HCPs).

The NAVCRIMINVSERV investigates all unrestricted reports of sexual assaults and maintains the authoritative database of unrestricted reports of sexual assault incidents

within the DON.

The Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) ensures comprehensive medical management for victims of sexual assault Under Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs). Civilian medical facilities conduct Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations (SAFEs) to maintain a 24/7 response capability when such services are not available at the local military medical facility/command. BUMED's Office of Women's Health provides oversight and policy to Navy's healthcare providers and commands which includes Navy Medicine Regions, Military Treatment Facilities (MTF) and branch clinics.

Upon request, Chaplains provide pastoral and spiritual counseling to sexual assault victims.

CHINFO is responsible for development and implementation of Navy's SAPR Program Strategic Communications Plan. This plan is coordinated with OPNAV N1 and other major stakeholders to ensure its messaging and activities promote sexual assault awareness and education and are synchronized with each major initiative.

USFF and PACFLT are active members of the CNO's SAPR Cross Functional Team (CFT), and bring expertise and insight from the Fleet to create synergy and focused effort among constituents.

2. Institutionalize Prevention Strategies in the Military Community

2.1. Under the Department's adopted "The Spectrum of Prevention," and its 6 components, describe the policies, procedures, and initiatives implemented or advanced during FY11 to prevent sexual assault. For the purposes of this report, prevention is defined as those policies, procedures, and initiatives designed to stop the crime before it occurs. If "awareness" activities are discussed here, please describe the aspects of the awareness activities that meet this definition of prevention.

2.1.1. Identify efforts by your Service to promote prevention.

Navy's prevention initiatives include several programs focused on furthering a culture intolerant of sexual assault and dedicated to reducing the stigma associated with seeking help. This includes the sexual assault prevention pilot program conducted by Great Lakes leadership, with support from OPNAV N1 and DON SAPRO, which uses locally developed prevention techniques to address the specific demographics and circumstances of assaults. Ongoing focus groups, student surveys, training engagements and site visits are being conducted to assess the effectiveness of this multi-faceted approach to combating sexual assaults. Although analysis is ongoing as the pilot continues, results to date have been positive. Bystander Intervention (BI) training has been implemented at the three largest "A" Schools with expansion to other "A" Schools by January 2012. Additionally, development of SAPR training for senior

leaders (SAPR-L), which will be delivered using a combination of video and facilitated discussion, is currently in progress and on track for completion by December 2011 and Fleet-wide delivery by February 2012. Implementation of training for Fleet Sailors (SAPR-Fleet) is expected to be completed by June 2012 and Fleet-wide delivery by late June 2012 to ensure baseline training of all Officers, Sailors and civilians in 2012. Coordination and collaboration with the Navy Alcohol and Drug Program (NADAP) and joint messaging with the Naval Safety Center will aggressively continue to address the link between alcohol misuse and sexual assaults.

In addition to working closely with DON SAPRO and OSD SAPRO, Navy representatives participated in various working groups responsible for reviewing prevention policy, relevant legislation and language proposals. Specific working group engagements included: (a) reviewing DTF-SAMS recommendations and, DON Audit Service and GAO reports; (b) conducting analysis; and (c) recommending policy and legislation revisions to OSD and DON SAPRO.

Due to outreach efforts and increased program awareness, SARCs report increased interest and requests from leaders for additional SAPR training in order to gain further understanding of sexual assault and program requirements. Navy's commitment to a comprehensive training effort contributes to fostering a climate of prevention through enhanced awareness. In addition, SARCs Fleet-wide are collaborating with civilian SMEs to provide innovative and cutting edge awareness and training activities. For example, SARCs collaborate with highly effective civilian networks of local organizations to provide: (a) innovative venues of outreach and education events; (b) improved victim care and support by implementing certification programs at local medical facilities; (c) established local procedures while taking into account victims' rights; (d) professional Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) exams; and (e) information when State mandated reporting exists while taking into account victims' rights.

2.1.2. Identify the ways your Service is changing organizational prevention-based practices.

CNIC is responsible for updating educational materials on a continuous basis and utilizing new opportunities to better equip SARCs in the role as installation SAPR SMEs. In FY11, CNIC held SAPR Question & Answer Webinars for SARCs and other invited Regional staff personnel to ask questions and address concerns about issues they encountered onboard their installations. Due to their interactive nature, the webinars were hugely successful and quickly became a regular forum for SARCs to share best practices and lessons learned. CNIC also facilitated a Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM) follow-up webinar to discuss details regarding SAAM 2011 activities and then preparation, marketing, and potential plans for SAAM 2012.

USFF conducted SAPR workshops in thirteen (13) CONUS and OCONUS Fleet Concentration Areas (FCA)s. Content focused on a multi-faceted approach to prevention by targeting command leadership personnel using SMEs in program/policy compliance, substance abuse prevention, Bystander Intervention (BI), and victim

reintegration. Workshops were provided in the following four (4) sessions at each site: Command Leadership, Front Line Supervisors (E4-E9 and O1-O4, JAGS and Chaplains), Program Managers, and All Hands.

PACFLT and USFF routinely engage in collaborative efforts to ensure consistency across the Fleets. In coordination with USFF and consistent with DON SAPRO's desire to have SARCs assigned at the Fleet level, PACFLT directed Echelon III Commanders to establish SAPR Program Manager (PM) positions within their respective staffs: (a) to provide program oversight; (b) to ensure subordinate commands comply with the SAPR program requirements; and (c) to liaise with the Fleet SAPR program managers. This Echelon III SAPR PM is currently not a required position, but when assigned he/she must be an E7 or above equivalent to provide the requisite level of expertise commensurate with Navy Region's Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs). The SAPR PMs at each Echelon III command will be responsible for the following: (a) overseeing program/policy compliance by subordinate units/commands; (b) disseminating program updates and policy guidance; and (c) coordinating/collaborating on prevention and awareness events within PACFLT.

PACFLT and OPNAV N1 aligned efforts and delivered a "beta test" Personal Readiness (PR) Summit in the Pacific Northwest for the expressed purpose of providing the region with relevant and timely information on all things SAPR. The initiative served as a means to consolidate resources and leverage subject matter experts' availability to the Fleet. By ensuring alignment of messages at both Echelons I and II, the "beta test" initiative paved the way for Personal Readiness Summits to become fully integrated during FY12. DON SAPRO and Navy Regional Commanders have also consolidated efforts to deliver Personal Readiness Summits directed at leadership triads around the world.

PACFLT FLTCM is actively engaged with senior enlisted leadership in efforts to reduce Sailor misconduct through a renewed emphasis on Navy Core Values and Ethos. PACFLT internal organization efforts involve multi-disciplinary active dialogue/participation among N00, N01, Fleet JAG, Fleet Chaplain, FLTCM, CMDCM, N1, PACFLT ADCO and PACFLT SAPR PM. Sexual Assault efforts have the full endorsement and support of the PACFLT Commander.

2.1.3. Describe the methods used to foster prevention-related coalitions and networks (i.e., Name prevention subject matter experts consulted and involved at the Service level).

Navy organizations (e.g., OPNAV N1, NETC CPPD, CNIC, NCIS, OJAG, BUMED, USFF, PACFLT and other CFT members) collaboratively work with DON leadership, SMEs, Alcohol and Drug Abuse Program personnel, Health and Human Services, the Center for Disease Control and other Prevention-oriented researchers and organizations to improve prevention and response to sexual assault Navy wide.

CNIC has aggressively pursued partnering with civilian sources through multiple venues. The staff not only conducted a brief at the annual DON Sexual Assault Summit but they also attended Green Dot Violence Prevention Training and the National Sexual Assault Conference, sponsored by the National Sexual Violence Resource Center.

SARCs successfully created alliances at the installation level with Navy and DoD SAPR stakeholders as well as community coalitions and networks working against sexual assault and/or sexual violence. This include collaboration with other Navy programs such as the Family Advocacy Program (FAP), Work and Family Life programs, Ombudsman Assemblies, Family Readiness Groups and Clinical counseling service providers. In FY11, SARCs reported membership on 27 local Sexual Assault Response Teams (SARTs) and other community prevention committees. They also worked closely with 45 Rape Crisis Centers and local and state coalitions and 19 schools, universities, or other civilian community groups. They also collaborated heavily with 23 legal and law enforcement agencies and 21 medical facilities and organizations that handle Sexual Assault Forensic Exams (SAFEs) or monitor the accuracy and availability of Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) nurses. Many of these collaborations have official MOUs in place. SARCs regularly collaborate with fellow Navy SARCs as well as those from the other Services. They routinely evaluate the effectiveness of their sexual assault response, programming efforts, and victim services to ensure they are streamlined and addressing victims' needs.

Dr. Alan Berkowitz, Ph.D., a Highly Qualified Expert (HQE) in the area of BI, was the guest speaker at each of USFF's 13 SAPR workshops, widely attended by command leadership, front line supervisors and program managers. These 37 individual sessions laid the critical foundation for USFF and Navy SAPR strategies which include BI as an integral element. USFF also selected and monitored commands' participation in the Mentors in Violence (MVP) pilot which culminated in the current BI training conducted in select Navy accession pipelines.

PACFLT leveraged Ms. Anne Munch's consulting services as well as Mr. Christian Murphy and Ms. Gail Stern's presentations to bring relevant and unique perspectives to leadership (e.g., "What Every Leader Should Know") and our most junior Sailors (i.e., "Sex Signals", "She Asked For It"). These resources have drawn considerable praise from all audiences across the Personal Readiness Summits.

PACFLT is currently developing a Common Operating Picture (COP) of all SAPR resources/events planned for its Area of Responsibility (AoR) by all entities (e.g., OPNAV, CNIC, NETC CPPD, DON SAPRO, etc.) to maximize collaborative efforts, de-conflict competing initiatives, and minimize operational impact on the Fleet. PACFLT routinely networks with Echelon III SAPR Program Managers to coordinate/partner on prevention and awareness events in the PACFLT AoR.

In FY11, peer mentoring was largely accomplished by a Sailor led, grass roots effort. Coalition of Sailors Against Destructive Decisions (CSADD) Chapters have emerged

across the navy and has produced innovative videos about Sexual Assault and BI. This program is a resource and tool for Active and Reserve Sailors, Reserve Officers Training Corps (ROTC) candidates and Junior Reserve Officers Training Corps 8(JROTC) programs: (a) to promote good decision making processes; (b) to enable leadership development; and (c) to influence peer to peer interventions and support at the most junior levels. CSADD encourages positive influence and behavior while at the same time bringing together online social networking, peer to peer interaction, and in person networking, ultimately reinforcing the message of Shipmates helping Shipmates. On a monthly basis, reference material and information is posted on the CSADD Facebook page at <http://www.facebook.com/pages/coalition-of-sailors-against-destruction-decisions-csadd/299642495316> for use by chapters in developing key messages of success for their Shipmates. Additionally, chapters post information on best practices via the CSADD Blogspot at <http://csadd-navylive.dodlive.mil>. Information can also be accessed through the Naval Safety center website (<http://www.safetycenter.navy.mil>) by visiting the Command Master Chief (CMC) Toolbox. The list of thought provoking and inspirational training topics are designed for young Navy leaders to further discuss the issues among their peer group and create awareness through a variety of social networks and activities. With command support of CSADD Chapters, Navy has experienced improvements in all areas of personal conduct and safety. Efforts to support peer mentoring groups (e.g., CSADD) and similar activities will enable Navy to continue to succeed as young Sailors take on leadership challenges on and off duty.

2.1.4. List the prevention education and training initiatives and programs your Service offers for responders, particularly those that impart individual skills associated with bystander intervention or appropriate risk reduction that does not blame victims.

Throughout FY11, Navy staffs directly informed Commanders and leaders about SAPR prevention and response initiatives and programs. The majority of these communications were held during periodic Shore Station Leaders (SSL) courses for newly reported installation Commanding Officers (COs), Command Master Chiefs (CMDCM), and Regional COs; quarterly Regional Counseling, Advocacy, Prevention (RCAP) Manager meetings; Regional Advisory Board (RAB) meetings with Regional Fleet and Family Support Program (FFSP) Directors; and training sessions for newly hired Fleet and Family Support Center (FFSC) Director/Managers.

CNIC provided updated information and tools for SARCs to conduct SAPR activities and training at the Installation level to include SAPR Commander's Toolkit presentations and training for key SAPR Command personnel, local base Police Academy and Security Department personnel, Regional CMDCMs, Command Duty Officers (CDOs), Ombudsman, civilian medical first responders, and Naval Health Clinic staff members. Additionally, the Annual SAPR general military training (GMT) was frequently delivered by the SARC or by SARC trained SAPR POCs or SAPR VAs. Trainings include information on the SAPR Program, bystander intervention techniques, and response protocol.

Presently, over 1,000 NCIS special agents are trained to be “first responders” to sexual assault and other types of criminal activities. All NCIS special agents are required to complete annual in-service training on sexual assault awareness, prevention, investigative procedures and victim sensitivity.

In FY11, NCIS facilitated two advanced courses designed to expand the capabilities of investigators. Specifically, the NCIS Advanced Family and Sexual Violence course and the NCIS/OJAG/Marine Corps Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP) Mobile Training Team (MTT) on “Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution” provided comprehensive investigative training. Through the two courses, 39 NCIS special agents received investigative theory and practical application instruction. Further, nine additional special agents attended the U.S. Army CID Advanced Sexual Assault Investigations course.

During the 2011 DON Sexual Assault Prevention Summit, NCIS provided training to SARCs and victim advocates in an effort to further their understanding of NCIS investigations and strengthen the relationship between investigators and advocates. It is anticipated that a stronger bond between investigators and advocates will result in improvements across the SAPR program.

While the primary role of NCIS is investigative, NCIS offers education and training to commands via specific briefs. The current sexual assault brief focuses on awareness, sexual assault prevention and bystander intervention. NCIS special agents are also encouraged to participate in local training opportunities such as victim advocate training, command stand-downs and other sexual assault focused events and training.

PACFLT’s training focus is three-fold, with the long-term goal of creating command climate across the Fleet that is intolerant of inappropriate behavior at any time, and in any location that might lead to sexual assault. PACFLT’s SAPR training is strategically geared to help leaders/Sailors understand and recognize social factors that contribute to a climate tolerant of sexual misconduct.

Commander, PACFLT engages directly with Fleet leadership during Executive Leadership Training Symposiums (ELTS) to emphasize the role of leadership in creating the appropriate command climate to foster both bystander intervention and risk reduction.

PACFLT messages and FLTCM’s newsletter, “Fleet’s Quarters”, consistently underscore Navy Core Values and Ethos, the incompatibility of Navy Core Values with sexual violence, and the call for Shipmates to respect fellow Shipmates.

Navy MTFs provide education at command and/or newcomer orientation for newly assigned staff members. This training is augmented with annually required SAPR GMT provided by the installation SARC or via Navy Knowledge Online.

In FY11, Navy’s Chaplain Corps developed Professional Development Training for

delivery in FY12. The course title “Pastoral Care in Support of Individual and Family Readiness and Resiliency” includes Module 4 (“Sexual Assault Prevention & Response Policies & Procedures for Religious Ministry Teams”) and Modules 10 & 11 (“Chaplain Pastoral Care Involvement in Military Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Efforts”).

2.1.5. Identify your Service’s efforts to promote community education in the area of prevention (i.e., Communications, social marketing, and media initiatives).

In FY11, the Fleet and Family Support Program (FFSP) published 25 SAPR-specific articles, video clips, and social media posts, which sparked discussion and fostered awareness of Navy SAPR prevention efforts. CNIC continues to focus efforts on increasing the skills of the SARCs as installation SAPR SMEs in support of their respective command’s prevention initiatives. SARCs attended the DON SAPRO SARC Summit and multiple CNIC-sponsored webinars that provided information and resources for outreach and prevention.

At the installation level, SARCs continue to educate the community by providing information booths/displays in a variety of venues as well as distributing printed materials (e.g., pamphlets, posters) with local, 24/7 SAPR POC information. SARCs worked with Public Affairs Officers (PAOs) and other available media outlets to publicize SAPR Program information. Specifically, many use installation web pages and popular social media sites (i.e., Facebook) to advertise SAPR 24/7 contact information and promote sexual assault prevention and outreach. SARCs also trained high school students, college undergraduate and graduate students, and civilian sexual assault responders on the Navy SAPR Program while inviting SMEs from these civilian organizations to train Navy responders on the local civilian programs available in order to increase resource availability. The mutual collaboration between the military and civilian sector serves to identify best practices.

A large sexual assault strategic communications plan is being developed for FY12. The plan will communicate the upcoming SAPR-Leadership, SAPR Fleet and Bystander Intervention trainings. A comprehensive media outreach, both internally and externally, will ensue with the aim of promoting a culture of zero tolerance. Communication items that will be involved in the training include navy.mil stories, All Hands Updates, photos, NAVADMINS, P4 message, blog entries, video messages by senior leaders and in-depth, comprehensive trainings similar to those published in FY11 as listed below:

Published navy.mil stories:

http://www.navy.mil/search/display.asp?story_id=63935

All Hands Updates:

www.navy.mil/swf/mmu/mmplyr.asp?id=14304

www.navy.mil/swf/mmu/mmplyr.asp?id=14392

All Hands sexual assault survey announcement:

http://www.navy.mil/Search/display.asp?story_id=62119&page=2

Speeches by various senior leaders:

<http://www.navy.mil/navydata/people/secnav/Mabus/Speech/sexualassault03May11.pdf>

http://www.navy.mil/navydata/people/cno/Roughead/Testimony/CNO%20Roughead_Testimony_030111.pdf

Blogs posted:

<http://navylive.dodlive.mil/index.php/tag/sapr/>

ALNAVS released:

<http://www.public.navy.mil/bupers-npc/reference/messages/Documents/ALNAVS/ALN2011/ALN11042.txt>

SAPR events held:

http://www.navy.mil/search/display.asp?story_id=60081

DoD SAFE Helpline:

http://www.camplejeuneglobe.com/news/inside_lejeune/article_f03c8d68-8c87-11e0-9c09-001cc4c002e0.html

Rhumb Line released:

https://www.chinfo.navy.mil/chinfo/Assets/RhumbLines/Rhumb_Lines_110404_-_Sexual_Assault_Prevention_and_Response.pdf

2.1.6. Describe the ways that your Service is strengthening Service member knowledge and skills (i.e., Bystander intervention).

Leveraging lessons learned from BI pilot completed in 2011, NETC CPPD coordinated a train-the-trainer model to use Navy instructors to facilitate a three 90-minute protocol of training within Navy A-schools and Training Support Centers (TSCs). In October, the initial phase of BI training commenced with training instructors and students at Great Lakes, Pensacola and Meridian. Completion of Train-the-Trainer efforts and expansion to the remaining "A" School locations anticipated by early 2012.

Additionally, CNIC developed a Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG) on-demand training to assist SARCs with holding a useful and productive SACMG meeting. Three Train-the-Trainer on-demand webinars were also developed, one for each command SAPR position (SAPR Command Liaison, SAPR Command POC, SAPR Command Data Collection Coordinator). The standardized training will be delivered by SARCs to enhance continuity of the Navy SAPR Program worldwide. Newly revised SAPR Victim Advocate Quick Series Desk Reference Guide, a tool for Service members to learn about Navy's SAPR Program, will be available for dissemination by SARCs in FY12.

SARCs also pooled resources with local civilian and military stakeholders to provide local prevention summits and other training opportunities where participants gain more in-depth knowledge about bystander intervention, drug facilitated sexual assault, male victims, etc. Ongoing coordination and collaboration with civilian agencies provide SARCs additional venues for advertising support services, for gaining information about sexual assault related issues, and for participating in workshops conducted in their local communities.

USFF SAPR workshops included sessions for mid-level leaders (E5-E9 and O1-O4) and All Hands. The additional forum allowed BI and awareness training to be reinforced with target audiences by reiterating the message and skills learned in GMT and other command-led SAPR training.

SAPR Leadership Mini-summits and PACFLT Commander Executive Leadership Training Symposiums, PACFLT reached more than 4,900 Sailors in the AoR. A number of these venues included special training by SMEs, Anne Munch and Gail Stern, for leadership and All Hands audiences and “Sex Signals” for All Hands. SAPR briefings were also provided to leadership, program managers and deck plate supervisors as part of the PR Summit agenda. All events included emphasis on bystander intervention.

All Sailors must complete the SAPR GMT (Awareness and Risk Reduction Education Program) annually. This command facilitated course addresses the common myths and prevention methods to avoid becoming a victim or perpetrator, and how to report incidents of sexual assault. It also provides information regarding reporting options and how to access services. Completion is documented in the Fleet Training, and Management Planning System (FLTMPS).

2.1.7. Other (Please explain)

None

2.2. List all studies of sexual assault prevalence and/or prevention programming effectiveness supported or performed by your Service.

The CNIC-funded “Evaluation of a sexual assault education/prevention program for female U.S. Navy personnel” study was completed in FY11 to investigate changes in the level of rape knowledge, rape myth acceptance, and rape empathy after participants completed Navy Sexual Assault Intervention Training (SAIT). Results are scheduled for publication in FY12.

NETC CPPD research continues to reinforce that “face-to-face” training is much more effective than GMTs via online training.

Navy Personnel Research, Studies, & Technology (NPRST) is scheduled to conduct the SAPR Quick Poll (QP) (sponsored by OPNAV N1) in Fall 2012. As with the 2010 survey, the 2012 SAPR QP will evaluate the perceptions and understanding of Sailors

regarding the SAPR program based on questions from prior Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) QPs completed in 2004, 2005, and 2008. Additionally, the timing will allow personnel to comment on the impact of the SAPR-L and SAPR-Fleet training, two of NAVY's leading training initiatives for the year.

2.3. Describe any plans for Service actions in FY12 related to the prevention of sexual assault.

OPNAV N1 is working with stakeholders to develop a strategic roadmap (campaign plan) for Navy's SAPR program and is also working to assess SAPR program efficiency and associated costs across the Future Years Defense Program/Plan (FYDP). Resultant strategy will inform updated SAPR policy to include revision of the Navy's operating instruction OPNAVINST 1752.1B "SAPR Program" and development of relevant SAPR metrics to provide program feedback and results. In addition to enhancing SA training for JAGs and investigators and implementation of BI training Fleet-wide, Navy will develop and execute SAPR training using a combination of video and facilitated discussion for every single leader (SAPR-Leadership) and Sailor (SAPR-Fleet) during 2012. It is imperative that all Sailors understand and trust Navy's commitment to the prevention and response to SAs. Navy will also continue assessing the effectiveness of prevention strategies and initiatives implemented at Great Lakes to address specific demographics and circumstances of assaults. Although in its preliminary stage, Navy has also requested an audit from the Naval Audit Service to determine long-term statistics surrounding SA victims. Details surrounding the audit will be available by the Spring of 2012. Additionally, Navy will continue promoting the Department of Defense sponsored Safe Helpline and outreach while leveraging technology for training, advertising, and prevention education efforts.

Plans are being developed for the review of several of the more popular, evidence-based, interactive and facilitator-led bystander intervention programs such as "Green Dot" and "No Zebras" in FY12. CNIC also plans to review programs and organizations that focus on engaging men in the prevention of sexual assault, such as Men Can Stop Rape, Inc. This research, along with collaboration with NETC CPPD, will help to develop a tailored Train-the-Trainer training for SARCs that will further prepare them to present BI training to respective command representatives, who then in turn provide BI training to their peers.

CNIC also plans to continue collaborative efforts with the National Guard Bureau and to work more closely with the Navy Reserve Component to improve the availability of SAPR services and prevention training. CNIC and NETC CPPD collaboration will continue to ensure that Naval Reserve Officers' Training Corps (ROTC) personnel have proper access to SAPR services and effective prevention and awareness training. CNIC is currently also investigating challenges that remotely located recruiting command personnel face when in need of SAPR services and training.

Installation and command SAPR personnel will continue collaborations on prevention

programs and training with key stakeholders (civilian and military entities). They will also continue to integrate the SAPR Program with other Navy programs (Navy Alcohol/Drug Abuse Program), especially other FFSC programs, to provide prevention education and awareness during designated months.

During FY12, USFF will continue providing SAPR workshops for leaders and Sailors in 13 FCAs as conducted in FY11. The agenda's focus will remain on prevention and incorporating resilience training for a more holistic approach to shaping behavior. SMEs will be consulted regarding approaches to strengthening Sailor character through BI techniques and improved command climate. Training will help leaders, senior and midgrade, be more cognizant of the dynamics and social factors involved in initiating a climate change. USFF SAPR PMs will continue to monitor compliance with SAPR GMT requirements and command level SAPR program implementation. PACFLT efforts of creating Fleet wide intolerance to inappropriate behavior that may lead to sexual assault will continue through FY12. Our Commanding Officers lead to a command climate and over time the command climate becomes the Navy culture. By focusing SAPR workshops on prevention and zero tolerance of sexual assault, the Navy will create a culture of mutual respect.

Leveraging FY11 success in partnering efforts, OPNAV N1 and PACFLT have scheduled FY12 PR Summits in 18 locations including FCAs and remote locations. The FY12 PR Summit agenda has been refined and expanded to include influencing/changing the spectrum of Sailor behaviors as related to sexual assault, alcohol and drug abuse, domestic violence and other issues. This integrated approach identifies common threads that impact personal and command resiliency. PR Summits include senior leadership (Flag and Master Chief) kick-offs to set the tone and emphasize the level of commitment to the training. FY12 PR Summits are designed cover all levels of rank and responsibility with tailored forums for particular target audiences. These groups include:

- 1) Senior leadership (CO, XO, CMC)
- 2) Front Line Supervisors (Junior Officers and Enlisted leaders (LPOs, CPOs)
- 3) Program Managers, SAPR POCs, SARCs, VAs, etc. (includes group and breakout sessions for specific programs).
- 4) Ombudsman and Family Readiness Groups (evening session)
- 5) All Hands training (e.g., "Sex Signals," "No Zebras," "Comedy is the Cure")

PACFLT is also committed to aligning with DON SAPRO sponsored resources including Central Michigan University Professor Steve Thompson's "No Zebras" presentations which further emphasize BI and creation of safer environments. PACFLT will also continue to utilize, support, and strengthen the Echelon III SAPR Program network to promote collaborative efforts and enhance response effectiveness.

PACFLT SMEs monitor situational reports (SITREPs) on a variety of Personal Readiness Indicators including incidents in Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, Domestic Violence, Suicides, Drug and Alcohol. SITREP monitoring provides current

trend analysis that is reported to Fleet leadership on a quarterly basis via PACFLT's Tone of The Force (TOTF) Report. The TOTF Report provides leadership with a current snapshot as well as a historical benchmark on how we are doing in the Personal Readiness Indicators. Trends are compared to overall Navy data and evaluated from a geographic perspective to ensure PR Summits information is tailored to meet the specific needs of a geographic area. In conjunction with Navy Region Southwest, PACFLT is developing an automated SITREP data collection tool which will enhance efficiency of the process and facilitate data analysis.

Navy's SAPR CFT is actively working on development of a SAPR program strategy, objectives, training continuum, reporting methodology, measurable goals for sexual assault reduction, organizational alignment and additional actions to tangibly improve and institutionalize a zero tolerance environment. The SAPR CFT is currently pursuing a way ahead for institutionalizing standard SAPR Program questions on annual DEOMI Command Climate (DEOCS) Surveys for all Naval commands. The surveys will be modified to solicit Sailor perception and responses to predetermined questions about sexual assault in the Navy.

Fleet Commanders periodically issue personal messages (P4s) to subordinate Commanders to further emphasize expectations regarding their leadership, program execution, command, training completion, etc.

2.4. Describe any treatment or rehabilitation programs implemented by your Service for those Service members who have been convicted of a sexual assault. Include any educational programs designed to change the behavior of those members issued non-judicial and/or administrative punishments for an offense related to a DoD report of sexual assault.

The Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar (NCBM) in San Diego, California is designated as Navy Corrections' exclusive site for the Violent Offender Treatment Program (VOTP) and the Sex Offender Treatment Program (SOTP). NCBM provides comprehensive mental health and rehabilitation services to court-martialed offenders sentenced to confinement for five years or less. Male prisoners sentenced to confinement over five years are assigned to the U.S. Disciplinary Barracks, Ft Leavenworth Kansas. All DOD female offenders are confined and treated at Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar, which is also designated as a Level III women's facility.

All Naval Consolidated Brigs are Level II correctional facilities. Naval Consolidated Brig Charleston also provides substance abuse education and treatment, sex offender education, anger and stress management, and mental health crisis intervention. The staff at consolidated brig sites includes licensed clinical psychologists and social workers, certified drug/ alcohol abuse counselors, mental health specialists, case managers and correctional counselors. A military medical officer and a psychiatrist are assigned part-time.

Directed by a licensed clinical psychologist (Ph.D.), the Miramar Sex Offender

Treatment Program staff is clinically credentialed through the Naval Medical Center San Diego and meets the standards for clinical members of the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers. The treatment staff includes licensed clinical psychologists, licensed clinical social workers and mental health specialists with training and experience in sex offender treatment.

Services include psychological and biopsychosocial assessments, a full range of substance abuse/ addiction treatment, violent offender treatment, and group therapies focused on changing criminal thinking attitudes and behavior. The clinical staff evaluates and treats a full range of psychiatric disorders and arranges hospitalization through the military regional medical center for those infrequent occasions/ circumstances beyond the capabilities of the brig. Many other specialty treatment services are available to prisoners with dual diagnoses (such as substance abuse/dependence, anger management/ violent offense treatment, emotional regulation, etc.).

All brig prisoners convicted of a sexual offense, including possession of child pornography, are mandated to attend a ten-week long Sex Offender Education Course. The goals of the classes are to provide education on the dynamics of sexual deviance and sexual perpetration, provide information regarding offense-specific treatment available during confinement, and motivate the prisoner to participate in such treatment. The prisoner is not required to make personal disclosures during the class. At the conclusion of the class or any time after, a prisoner may request entry into the Sex Offender Treatment Program.

The Sex Offender Treatment Program is a 24-month comprehensive, specialized cognitive-behavioral treatment program that includes physiological assessment, intensive structured group therapy, educational seminars, training in cognitive-behavioral management techniques, and relapse prevention.

To enroll in the Sex Offender Treatment Program (SOTP), the individual must be in the brig for at least 24 more months, admit some responsibility for the confining offenses and be willing to discuss his/ her sexually deviant behavior in detail. Prisoners arriving with 27 months or less to serve, or those between 28 and 35 months who do not voluntarily forfeit their abatement time (upon arriving or at sentencing) are not entered into the SOTP because they would be unable to complete the treatment. They are provided guidance to arrange a community-based treatment plan before release. These inmates voluntarily may address other problem areas while in brig confinement, through substance abuse and/ violent offender treatment, stress management and other related programs. Addressing these problems help them to be ready, immediately upon release, to enter sexual offender treatment in the community.

Upon satisfactory completion of the treatment program, the prisoner participates in a "maintenance" group to review and modify relapse prevention strategies and solidify aftercare plans. Participation may continue until either paroled or released due to sentence completion. Prisoners are eligible for parole at one third of the sentence and

may be released under strict parole supervision restrictions in the community if there is sufficient time remaining on the sentence after completion of the SOTP. Sex offender community follow-up treatment and other specific restrictions are conditions of parole or Mandatory Supervised Release (MSR). A substantial period of supervised release in the community is crucial to successful long-term success in preventing recidivism.

The offender typically participates in post-release planning and contact between his/her therapist and the family/ community resources. Satisfactory completion of the treatment program does not constitute a "cure" of the sexual deviance. Following completion of the structured treatment program, the individual should participate in a structured aftercare program continuously to ensure appropriate behavioral management and reduce the risk of recidivism. This is typically a condition of parole or mandatory supervised release.

Available at both the NCB Miramar, California and NCB Charleston, South Carolina, the Sex Offender Education Program (not treatment) is offered to offenders with insufficient sentences for brig SOTP. This is an educational program to motivate and prepare them for seeking treatment in the community once they are released.

3. Increase Climate of Victim Confidence Associated with Reporting

3.1. Provide major steps taken to publicize and encourage the use of both reporting options (Restricted and Unrestricted) by Service members (i.e., Local command initiatives that demonstrate the commander's role in creating a climate of confidence).

Since FY10, the Naval Audit Service has tested the accuracy of publicized 24/7 SAPR response telephone numbers for Naval installations on a periodic basis. Due to this and follow-up efforts, the 24/7 SAPR response telephone numbers have been updated on all Navy FFSC and CNIC websites and local publications/materials. During the fourth quarter of FY11, the Regional Operations Centers (ROCs) and Battle Watch Captains (BWCs) reported a 98% compliance rate for the 24/7 SAPR response telephone number audit. This level of consistency across the Navy creates a feeling of program permanence and importance. In addition, the establishment of the DoD Safe Helpline has encouraged confidence in the SAPR Program by increasing consistency of response through highly trained advocates who are able to connect victims directly with SARCs and/or SAPR VAs at the appropriate installation - no matter where they are in the world.

The updated CNIC webpage provides sexual assault prevention and response information to all site visitors. The webpage provides victims with information regarding crisis response services and an explanation of reporting options; bystanders/allies with tools to prevent a sexual assault and information on available services to victims; and Commanders with their roles and responsibilities relative for preventing and responding to incidents of sexual assault.

The revised SAPR Commander's Toolkits are provided by SARCs to Commanding Officers within 90 days of their assumption of command. The Toolkit helps Commanders understand their vital role in creating a climate of prevention, where victims feel comfortable reporting and Sailors feel supported when intervening to prevent sexual assaults and other inappropriate behaviors.

The revised SAPR VA Desk Reference Guide is a quick reference of information to help SAPR VAs support victims of sexual assault. The information is presented in a concise manner and highlights the most important information on advocacy techniques and legal/medical procedures. The guide is also available as a mobile application that can be accessed on iPhones, iPod Touch, iPad, all Android devices, and BlackBerry Torch App. The reference guide will be released in FY12.

USFF monitors completion of SAPR GMT by assigned personnel and has placed SAPR Program Managers at the Type Commander (TYCOM) level to ensure achievement of SAPR program objectives within each subordinate command. USFF SAPR workshop content covers restricted and unrestricted reporting options during command leadership, front line supervisor, and program manager sessions.

PACFLT issued additional guidance to all Commanders and commands on sexual assault reporting requirements via PACADMIN 004/11 ("PERSONNEL INCIDENT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS"). The message specified format, clarified definitions, and listed requirements to include the impact of the incident upon command climate and a summary of command actions taken to prevent future sexual assaults. Additionally in accordance with OPNAVINST F3100.6J, commanders are required to consult a judge advocate before determining the disposition of any alleged sexual assault or sexual harassment cases. This requirement adds consistency and credibility to the investigative and adjudication processes. The guidance also clearly articulates the Commander's accountability for command climate and the link between command climate and personal behavior incidents.

Establishment of the Echelon III SAPR PMs sends the resounding message of PACFLT's commitment to ensuring commands have expertise in the field to facilitate reporting requirements and response capability.

PACFLT training evolutions, including All Hands events, commence with opening remarks from leadership to emphasize and demonstrate their support and commitment to victim response, offender accountability, and prevention of sexual assault within their respective commands. The training includes information about both restricted and unrestricted reporting options.

Consistent leadership messages, training events, and social marketing are ongoing across Navy to provide personnel with information about of restricted and unrestricted reporting options.

3.2. Discuss Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting process challenges encountered, as well as the solutions your Service developed and implemented

during FY11 within the context of:

3.2.1. Joint environments

The absence of policy guidance has been a major challenge to establishing protocols that consistently meet the needs for victim response at Joint Commands, Joint Bases and in Joint Regions. CNIC is developing interim guidance to provide Navy SARCs with a framework in which to handle these situations until a DoD-wide policy is developed. In the interim, SARCs have successfully created Joint SARC committees, Memorandums of Agreement (MOAs), Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs), and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to ensure complete comprehension of the differences between each Service's SAPR Program requirements. Additionally, cross-training all stakeholders has ensured appropriate victim service provision across Services.

SARCs continue to maintain contact with deploying commands before they depart for Joint environment assignment to ensure personnel have accurate information to access available SAPR services and support at the deployed destination.

SARCs use multiple media resources to perform outreach and disseminate information about SAPR and community resources available on and off base, SAPR personnel contact information, and both reporting options.

3.2.2. Combat Areas of Interest

In Combat Areas of Interest (CAIs), the main challenge continues to involve the ability to provide pre-deployment briefings to every deploying Individual Augmentee (IA). SARCs continue to collaborate with IA Support/Deployment Specialists at the FFSCs to ensure sexual assault reporting options and SAPR POC information is highlighted during pre-deployment briefs.

In the *2010 SAPR Quick Poll*, Service members were asked questions regarding sexual assault reporting options, who receives a sexual assault report, and who serves as the SAPR POC. Responses were reported based on deployment status and gender. Overall, there was little difference noted between deployed and not deployed personnel among the 85% respondents who indicated they were aware of the sexual assault reporting process. Despite awareness of the two reporting processes, Navy SARCs consistently report that only the restricted reporting option is used in CAIs due to the close nature of commands personnel, being in IA status, and concerns about who might receive details of the incident.

3.2.3. Tracking victim services

One method of tracking services is Navy's SAPR CFT which provides a platform to discuss identified system barriers that may impact victim services and implement solutions. For example, the SAPR CFT immediately acted to resolve growing concern

that some initial responders lacked full understanding of their roles and responsibilities in sexual assault response and failed to regularly participate in SACMG meetings. In response to the issue, responder-specific training was conducted across Navy to reintroduce established response protocols and the responsibilities of all fulfilling first responder duties. Additionally, SARCs have increasingly reached out to local military and civilian stakeholders to ensure mandatory meetings were in compliance with policy and that designated representatives were in attendance. SARCs also worked with installation and community responders to ensure privacy for victims of restricted reports of sexual assault as maintained and SAFE kits were properly labeled, transferred, and stored once used.

The SAPR Case Management System experienced a number of technical difficulties in FY11. CNIC has included issues reported by installation SARCs as part of the system upgrades scheduled to be completed by the end of FY12.

3.2.4. Restricted Reporting in any environment (including known incidents, if any, where the confidentiality of the report was breached for any reason)

Small commands, controlled educational environments such as “boot camp” and the Naval Brig continue to be challenging environments for preserving confidentiality of restricted reports. SARCs continue to educate and forge partnerships with leadership and other stakeholders in these environments to develop local protocols that preserve the ability for victims of sexual assault to elect to make restricted reports and access services on a confidential basis.

3.2.5. Other (Please explain)

CNIC is working closely with USFF and CPF to ensure commands clearly understand the role of SAPR Command Point of Contact (POC) whose primary responsibility is to conduct SAPR training within the command and SAPR VAs who are responsible for coordinating case management with respective SARCs. CNIC has developed an on-demand training series for SARCs. One component is the SAPR Command POC training which provides SARCs with the required knowledge, skills, and tools to provide these individuals training. The SARC/SAPR VA Self-Inspection segment provides information on SAPR VA service provision in deployed environments to improve commands referral to the SAPR VAs in all reported incidents of sexual assault.

3.3. Describe efforts, policies, and/or programmatic changes taken to improve Service member confidence and/or victim participation in the investigative and military justice processes.

Throughout the last year, OJAG has been instrumental in assisting and developing programs to further the advocacy skills of litigators involved in sexual assault cases.

Navy JAG continues to train both prosecutors and defense counsel in trial advocacy and also special techniques on the litigation of sexual assault cases. Enhancing the

ability of JAGs to litigate sexual assault cases, in turn, improves Service member confidence and victim participation in the investigative and military justice processes. Additionally, Navy JAG has finalized a complete revision of the advanced trial advocacy courses that train litigators involved in sexual assault cases as well as filled the Deputy Director of the Trial Counsel Assistance Program position with a senior civilian sexual assault litigator.

In September 2011, Navy JAG hosted the first Conference on Providing Legal Assistance to victims of crime. This inaugural course was held in San Diego, CA and attended by over 75 judge advocates. Navy JAG worked to update/revise the sexual assault reporting mechanisms so that leadership will be better informed regarding the incidents of sexual assault within their areas of responsibility. Additionally, Navy JAG updated the Victim Witness Program Instruction, JAG/COMNAVLEGSVC COM 5800.4A, to ensure that victims receive sufficient support throughout the process. Navy JAG has been instrumental in providing legal advice on sexual assault policy matters pertaining to the complete revision of the DOD Instruction 6495.02, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures and Navy's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response policy.

Navy JAG also created a multi-disciplinary training module to train Commanders on all aspects of the military justice system. This training was given to all newly reporting Region Commanders, who comprise the majority of the General Courts-martial Convening Authorities and are responsible for referring the majority of cases to trial. OJAG staff provided training to NCIS agents twice in FY11 at the Advanced Family and Sexual Violence course. Topics included the consent defense, alcohol facilitated sexual assault, multiple accused, intimate partner sexual assault and tips for testifying.

Navy JAG spearheaded a USN/USMC case review project which critically analyzed over 20 records of trial (both convictions and acquittals) from sexual assault cases to determine future focus areas (e.g., advocacy, training, etc). The results of that project will be used to drive training during the next few years.

In FY11, the NCIS Crime Reduction Program (CRP) continued to publicly address criminal activity that impacts our military community. Partnering with DON agencies (SAPRO, OJAG, Public Affairs, Family Advocacy Program (FAP), Chaplain Corps), the CRP uses meetings, rallies, speeches and briefs to raise sexual assault awareness, increase victim and Service member confidence, promote bystander intervention, and ultimately reduce the occurrence of sexual assaults.

NCIS continues to evaluate and revise in-service training for NCIS personnel, focusing on victim dynamics. In-service training includes Victim and Witness Assistance Program training to ensure NCIS personnel delivers respectful, compassionate service to victims/witnesses.

NCIS staff continues to participate in SAPR working groups/subgroups and the Navy SAPR Cross Functional Team. Working groups are involved in development and

implementation of sexual assault prevention strategies focused on Service member confidence and victim participation.

3.3.1. Describe how your Service is addressing the number of victims that decline to participate in the military justice process each year.

SARCs are strengthening their relationships with responders through Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG) meetings and responder training. This systemic change improves the climate of stakeholders (e.g., NCIS, JAG, etc) and fosters more confidence in the information and treatment that sexual assault victims receive. When victims receive positive treatment, they spread the word which creates a more robust climate of trust that encourages others to participate in the military justice process.

Navy JAG developed a victim declination acknowledgement to obtain information about victims' experiences throughout the process to case resolution or up to the point when they choose not to participate in the process. By reviewing these responses, JAGs can assess if any systemic barriers/problems exist that dissuade victims from participating in the military justice process.

NCIS continues macro and micro level strategies to increase victim participation and improve accountability. Recognizing a victim's lack of confidence in the military justice process as a significant contributor to the number of victim declinations, NCIS continued a vibrant sexual assault awareness briefing strategy and crime reduction campaign to expose greater numbers of Service members and DON civilians to the presence and capabilities of NCIS. NCIS anticipates victim apprehension to reporting incidents of sexual assault will diminish as result of increase awareness and crime reduction efforts.

During FY11, NCIS conducted 683 sexual assault awareness briefings to more than 43,000 Navy and Marine Corps Service members and civilian attendees. Additionally, over 50 special agents and investigators received advanced sexual assault investigation training that included victim interviewing and interaction techniques. On an ongoing basis, NCIS conducts advanced investigator training - especially in the areas of victim interviewing and interaction.

3.4. List initiatives and programs implemented to reduce the stigma associated with reporting sexual assault.

CNIC and NETC CPPD are working collaboratively to enhance the Annual SAPR GMT by increasing the amount of BI information and updating other material. Revisions to GMT are expected to be released in FY12.

SARCs report positively impacting command climate as a result of their briefings with Commanders to convey the importance of remaining unbiased when reports of sexual assault are made. In addition, strong media messages by senior leaders (Active Duty and Reserve Force), a wide variety of innovative Sexual Assault Awareness Month

(SAAM) events, and command policy, and regular safety stand-downs help reduce the stigma associated with reporting.

USFF SAPR workshop topics and speakers serve to further increase knowledge of reporting options and SAPR points of contact within a command/department/division. Presenter, Bernie McGrenahan of "Comedy is the Cure", specifically encourages victims of unreported sexual assault to seek help through command and community resources, and encourages others in attendance to support victims who come forth for assistance.

PACFLT Personal Readiness Summits, GMT, and leadership engagements are all geared towards creating a Fleet command climate that is intolerant of sexual assaults and other behavior misconduct. Training also dispels many of the myths associated with sexual assaults that may hinder reporting behavior. Crucial components are leadership encouragement of reporting incidents, respectful treatment of victims, and appropriate adjudication of the case.

3.5. Describe any plans for Service actions in FY12 to increase a climate of confidence associated with reporting.

CNIC actively worked with PAOs to develop a media campaign to familiarize the community with all facets of the SAPR Program. Increasing program awareness helps to build confidence in reporting sexual assault.

USFF will build upon FY11 efforts in FY12 to ensure that command leaders in all positions are fully aware of resources available and support Navy's strategies on an ongoing basis. Fleet and TYCOM SAPR PMs and SAPR Workshops will be key to accomplishing these objectives.

Leadership support, presence and opening remarks will continue to be a part of all Fleet wide SAPR training and awareness events.

4. Improve Sexual Assault Response

4.1. Describe the policies, procedures, and initiatives implemented or advanced during FY11 to respond to, or improve the response to, allegations of sexual assault.

CNIC revised the training for SACMGs and all key SAPR Command Personnel positions in order to improve response to victims' needs. Not only do these modules provide the SARCs with the updated training, but also a standardized training format. Each of these training modules has been developed as on-demand webinars accessible to SARCs upon demand. SAPR Command Personnel training modules are in a train-the-trainer format.

NCIS special agents are required to complete annual in-service training on sexual

assault awareness, investigative procedures and victim sensitivity.

Medical personnel routinely receive first responder training at command indoctrination, during annual GMT, and at various venues within medical treatment facilities (MTFs), such as unit level general medical education.

Navy Medicine Prospective COs and XOs received briefings on the program and their role in ensuring appropriate and timely medical care and support of victims. Discussions include the importance of medical care and support, forensic evidence collection, concerns with reporting options, and MTF requirement for a 24/7 response capability. A review of DON SAPRO site visit findings is also conducted.

FY11 initiatives include revision of BUMEDINST 6310.11 and the MOU template which should be completed in FY12 along with annual competency review and periodic documentation review. One large MTF and subordinate branch clinics have revised and standardized patient care policies to facilitate and ensure appropriate and timely care is provided.

Fifteen sites received initial and refresher SAFE training, to include military and civilian MTF, deploying personnel, and U.S. Air Force personnel stationed in Okinawa, Japan; Rota, Spain; and Naples, Italy. Training for medical personnel is collaborative with local stakeholders and include lectures from installation SARCs, NCIS special agents, staff judge advocates, and Chaplains. In addition, an interactive, computer based program is used to supplement the instructor-led training and provide periodic refresher on enlisted advancement exam specifics. With an adequate number of trained individuals to respond and provide care to victims of sexual assault, MTFs report an improved response time as well as enhanced quality of care for victims.

4.2. List the number of new SARCs (include Deployable) and VAs (include Deployable) trained; the types of training received, which must include refresher training; and if the training was received prior to deployment.

4.2.1. SARCS (include Deployable)

The Navy has 70 SARCS assigned worldwide, but they are not deployable. VAs deploy with commands and are trained and supported by installation SARCS (reach back for support). Victims are supported by trained VAs and the installation SARCS. Sailors serving as Individual Augmentees (IAs) or who are assigned to non-Navy installation are supported by the affiliated/lead Military Service SARC and VA in that installation/ environment (e.g. Iraq, Marine Base, etc.).

4.2.1.1. List the number of new personnel trained.

In FY11 approximately 25% of SARCS (20) were newly hired employees who also participated in foundational training online.

4.2.1.2. Provide the types of training received (i.e., initial, refresher).

The required initial SARC training consists of 40-hour online training for SARCs, 30-hour training for SAPR Victim Advocates, and on demand training on CMS requirements. During the DON SAPRO Summit in May 2011, CNIC provided 40 hours of training for all SARCs to meet the annual refresher training requirements. Currently, all Navy SARCs are in the process of taking the newly launched on-demand training modules for SACMGs procedures and Train-the-Trainer information for SAPR Command POCs, Command Liaisons, and DCCs.

4.2.1.3. Indicate if training occurred prior to deployment.

Navy SARCs do not deploy, but often conduct the required pre-deployment training for deploying units.

4.2.2. VAs (include Deployable)

In FY11, there are 3,352 active SAPR Victim Advocates across the Navy.

4.2.2.1. List the number of new personnel trained.

In FY11, a total of 2,245 SAPR Victim Advocates received initial training.

4.2.2.2. Provide the types of training received.

In FY11, 2,322 SAPR Victim Advocates (69%) received the required 10 hours of refresher training. SARCs provided a variety of additional refresher training opportunities via webinars and during actual visits to local hospitals when escorting sexual assault victims for forensic examinations.

4.2.2.3. Indicate if training occurred prior to deployment.

Prior to being deployed as Individual Augmentees in FY11, 151 SAPR Victim Advocates received initial and/ or refresher training.

4.3. List the number of personnel who received sexual assault training:

4.3.1. Commanders (i.e., Pre-command, Flag and General Officer)

In addition to required annual, refresher, pre-deployment, accession and first responder, and career progression training, SAPR training was provided during FY11 as follows:

SARCs trained 2,353 Commanders on their roles and responsibilities within the Navy's SAPR Program.

NCIS conducted 683 sexual assault awareness briefings to more than 43,000 Navy and Marine Corps Service members and civilian attendees.

Over 6,800 were in attendance over the course of 43 sessions of USFF SAPR Workshops. The training covered policy requirements, reintegration, BI, substance abuse prevention, and local SAPR resources. During the All Hands sessions of SAPR training, Bernie McGrenahan presented his substance abuse prevention training titled "Happy Hour".

Over 50 prospective Commanding Officers and Executive Officers within Navy Medicine received SAPR training in FY11. An informational brief was provided at BUMED twice during FY11 offering education and understanding of the sexual assault program as it relates to managing patients and setting leadership responsibilities and policy updates.

Additionally, Navy is developing a robust and comprehensive training program which will be delivered to the entire Fleet in FY12.

4.3.2. Criminal investigators

SARCs trained 138 military and 161 civilian criminal investigators on their role in the Navy SAPR Program.

Currently, NCIS has more than 1,000 special agents who have all been trained as "first responders" to sexual assaults and other types of criminal activity. In FY11, 48 NCIS special agents received advanced training on sexual assault investigations to expand their response and investigative abilities. NCIS special agents are required to complete annual in-service training on sexual assault awareness, investigative procedures and victim sensitivity.

Also, NCIS participated in the OJAG sponsored Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault course held at the Naval Justice School. The course, designed to improve the quality of sexual assault prosecutions and investigations, facilitated valuable interaction between NCIS and prosecutors. Instruction was provided by SMEs in diverse fields such as sexual assault forensic examination/evidence collection, toxicology, DNA evidence and courtroom testimony.

Additionally, NCIS participated in the NCIS/OJAG/ Marine Corps TCAP MTT on Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution. Held in Norfolk, Virginia and Miramar, California, MTT courses utilized a format combining prosecutors and NCIS investigators in a shared classroom. The shared environment enhanced cross discipline understanding, improved communication and established unity between prosecutors and investigators. Fifteen NCIS special agents attended the course, each receiving instruction from nationally recognized SMEs on offender focused investigations and prosecutions.

4.3.3. Law enforcement

Although Navy does not currently track statistics specific to law enforcement personnel, they attend installation-level SAPR training. SARCs trained 1,116 military and 565 civilian law enforcement personnel on their role in the Navy SAPR Program.

4.3.4. Medical personnel

SARCs trained 2,370 military and 437 civilian medical personnel on their role in the Navy SAPR Program.

There were a total of 20,194 healthcare providers and 99 forensic examiners trained within Navy this past year as follows:

Region	First Responders	Forensic Examiners
Navy Medicine West	7,595	41
National Capital Area	1,203	1
Navy Medicine East	11,396	57
Total	20,194	99

4.3.5. Judge Advocates

SARCs trained 346 staff judge advocates on their role in the Navy SAPR Program.

The Naval Justice School (NJS) provides the majority of Navy Judge Advocate training and prepares each judge advocate for courtroom litigation. In FY11, the total number of Navy judge advocates trained by NJS on sexual assault was 180. Specific course descriptions are as follows:

- The Basic Lawyer Class is attended by all judge advocates for receipt of their Article 27(b), UCMJ certification and provides the initial training required by DODI 6495.02.
- Sexual Assault Investigation & Prosecution (San Diego) & Sexual Assault Investigation & Prosecution (Norfolk) is a multi-disciplinary, multi-service course that assists sexual assault investigators and prosecutors with instruction from NCIS, civilian experts, and military lawyers. Topics include working with the victim from the initial interview through direct/cross examination, case corroboration, the undetected rapist and un-indicted co-conspirators (formerly known as Mobile Training Teams).
- Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault Cases (PAFSA) is an advanced trial advocacy course that includes trial skills seminars and substantive lectures on various aspects of prosecuting alcohol facilitated sexual assault taught by nationally known speakers, including attorney advisors from AEquitas, the Prosecutors Resource on Violence Against Women.

- Beginner Trial Advocacy Course, Intermediate Trial Advocacy Course, and Advanced Trial Advocacy Course comprise the series of courses that starts out with the basics of trial litigation for the new trial practitioner and moves towards teaching on the complexities of litigating complex cases such as sexual assaults, child homicide, child pornography cases and more.
- The Senior Trial Counsel / Senior Defense Counsel Course is an initiative that builds off of the PCO/PXO framework to help prepare the senior litigator in developing office management and litigation skills and knowledge in all areas of criminal law, including sexual assault.
- The Defending Sexual Assault Trial Advocacy Course is an advanced trial advocacy course that includes substantive lectures and practical segments regarding how to provide an effective defense in sexual offense cases. The course includes instruction from the academic community, practitioners in both the military and civilian courts, and experts.
- A two-day Providing Legal Assistance to Crime Victims Conference is the forum for discussions about the evolution of a sexual assault case through the military justice system and the Navy's SAPR Program.
- Legal Assistance Attorneys are also trained to assist crime victims with victim's rights and other victim assistance programs.

4.3.6. Chaplains

SARCs trained 115 chaplains on their role in the Navy SAPR Program. In addition to chaplains attending various CPF SAPR leadership training provided within their respective regions, 17 Force/Fleet Chaplains in the CPF AOR were also provided SAPR training by the CPF SAPR PM. A total of 586 chaplains completed some form of sexual assault training.

4.4. Describe efforts to provide trained personnel, supplies, and transportation to deployed units to provide appropriate and timely response to reported cases of sexual assault.

SARCs maintain contact with deployed ships, submarines, and other tenant commands to ensure continued compliance with SAPR Program requirements. SARCs meet with SAPR Victim Advocates and Command personnel prior to their deployments to ensure that SAPR personnel are properly trained and receive vital contact and procedural information and unrestricted case updates. No deficiencies in this area have been reported to date. To ensure maximum participation and dissemination of information, operational units within CPF are also invited to participate in scheduled PR Summits.

4.4.1. Provide information regarding any existing gaps in supply inventory results, as well as the shortage of supplies, trained personnel, and transportation resources to support deployed units in responding to allegations of sexual assault.

Having properly trained and knowledgeable SAPR command personnel in place is crucial to the success of any SAPR program. This becomes increasingly difficult when working with deploying commands. Therefore, SARCs work extensively with Commanders on an ongoing basis as well as prior to deployment to help them understand the importance of having optimal response capabilities to sexual assault incidents in place regardless of their deployment status.

Neither NCIS nor BUMED have reported any documented FY11 case in which a victim was unable to receive services due to gaps or shortages in supplies, trained personnel or other resources. Maintaining access to forensic examinations when at sea or in remote locations has been an ongoing challenge. This is especially true in deployed units and CAI. Training for MTFs providing forensic exam services is scheduled on a rotating basis to prevent any gaps in availability of trained providers due to deployment and routine personnel turnover. Also, Regions continue to resolve situations by ensuring in-depth responder training, reviewing and clarifying MOU requirements, and creating agreements with community providers to ensure sexual assault victims have readily available access to properly trained SAFE personnel and supplies.

4.4.2. List the number of victims, if any, whose care was hindered due to lack of available Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits or other needed supplies, and describe how this information is collected.

Navy Medicine commands have not reported any victims whose care was hindered due to lack of available SAFE kits or supplies. Each medical facility that conducts SAFE services maintain required equipment (kits) in the Emergency Department, appropriate clinic or central supply system.

4.4.3. For any lack of available SAFE kit cases reported in 4.4.2., describe the measures your Service took to remedy the situation at those locations.

There was no reported lack of SAFE kit availability in FY11.

4.4.4. List the number of victims, if any, whose care was hindered due to the lack of timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources and describe the measures your Service took to remedy the situation.

Overall, timely access is available for all medical laboratory testing. In addition, patients are treated empirically for potential sexually transmitted infections prior to reported lab results. NCIS continues to use the United States Army Criminal Investigations Laboratory (USACIL) and the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP) for testing of submitted sexual assault evidence. There was one instance

where a victim was unable to be tested for sexually transmitted infections (STIs) in Fujairah, United Arab Emirates, however, the individual was transferred to NSA Bahrain where appropriate medical care and testing was received.

4.4.5. Describe any medical and mental health treatment programs implemented by your Service to decrease the short-term or long-term impact of sexual assault on victims.

Fleet and Family Support Center counselors and Navy Medicine Mental Health Providers offer individual and group services for victims at installations Navy-wide. Victim Advocates and SARCs refer sexual assault victims to programs in their communities, as do the Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Psychological Health Outreach Teams for Reservists. This includes referrals to local rape crisis centers, local civilian programs and VA facilities where programs are offered for those that have been deployed and/or are veterans.

4.5. Describe any plans for Service actions in FY12 to improve sexual assault response.

CNIC will be revising and updating both the SARC Resource Guide and the SAPR Victim Advocate initial training in FY12. CNIC will continue to release webinar trainings on a variety of topics for SARCs and command SAPR personnel on a regular basis.

Installation SARCs will continue to perform quality checks on their local 24/7 SAPR response telephone number, increase the publicity of the DoD Safe Helpline features, and provide additional Command SAPR Personnel and responder-specific training opportunities.

A Navy Medicine initiative for Regional level coordination with local SMEs to improve SAFE program management will be implemented in FY12 in an effort to improve the medical response and evidence collection capabilities across the Navy.

FY12 training for judge advocates includes integration of the following additional entities:

- A Case Review II Project - Navy JAG and USMC Judge Advocate Division, Military Justice partnered to create a case review tool developed by a team of prosecution and defense experts to analyze both convictions and acquittals in sexual assault cases. The results will be used to evaluate current training criteria and assist in enhancing Navy JA's training and better equipping them to litigate sexual assault cases in FY12 and beyond.
- Development of Performance Measures (Metrics) for Prosecutors and Defense Counsel - This project will provide research and develop criminal justice litigation performance measures with the long-term goal of critical self-evaluation and of increasing the advocacy skills of those involved in the military

justice process.

- Interview of Victim/Accused – In FY12, Navy JAG is planning to produce a video of interviews with Sailors and Marines who were victims of sexual assault as a training aid for response personnel. The video would document their experiences with law enforcement, medical personnel, trial and defense counsel, and the trial itself. Probing discussions conducted by trained professionals will enhance understanding of how this crime impacts victims and their experiences with the judicial system. In addition to interviewing victims we will explore interviews of accused Service members to document their conduct to better understand the behavior of the accused.

In FY11, NCIS received funding to add 11 investigators, one research sociologist, and one criminal intelligence analyst to the NCIS Family & Sexual Violence program. All 13 positions will be filled prior to FY12. The investigators are designed to be permanent positions, not subject to transfer. Through the permanent positioning of investigators, NCIS expects improvement in consistency and stability, while forging greater relationships within the regional SAPR programs. The research sociologist and analyst are expected to enhance NCIS' ability to process sexual assault data, identify trends and propose strategies to improve NCIS' response to sexual assault.

4.6. Other (Please explain)

None

5. Improve System Accountability

5.1. Provide a description of how your Service executes its oversight of the SAPR program. Please include a synopsis of the formal processes, participants, etc. that support oversight of the program.

As the Executive Agent for Navy's SAPR Program, OPNAV N1 provides oversight and support to key SAPR stakeholders to properly plan for and distribute fiscal, personnel, and program resources necessary for effective SAPR programs. A Flag Officer (N135) chairs the SAPR Cross-functional Team which is comprised of major stakeholders to track, revise, and improve SAPR programs as part of overall Navy, DON, and DOD prevention and response strategies.

CNIC executes oversight of the SAPR Program through developing program guidance standards, trainings, and resources. Implementation and quality assurance are under the purview of CNIC regional and installation commanders. Compliance with guidance and policy are reviewed regularly through a robust FFSC Accreditation process. Monthly webinar trainings with the SARCs, an annual SARC training conference, and regular SAPR Program updates and communications provide opportunities for oversight and reviews of local SAPR Programs locally. All of these efforts ensure standardization of SARC roles and responsibilities.

Processes for SAPR Program oversight are also provided by regular FFSP Regional Counseling, Advocacy, Prevention (RCAP) Managers meetings, FFSP Regional Advisory Boards (RAB), and data collected in the SAPR Case Management System (CMS) and Fleet and Family Support Management Information System (FFSMIS) synthesized into quarterly reports. At the installation level, SARCs facilitate Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG) meetings to review open Unrestricted Cases which provides oversight for all responders on individual cases.

BUMED is responsible for ensuring the availability of medical sexual assault response capability on a 24 hours/seven days per week (24/7) basis. This response includes forensic evidence collection and examination for restricted and unrestricted reporting options either by a trained military provider (i.e., a specially trained nurse) or a facility retained by the MTF under an MOU.

CPF established SAPR PMs at each Echelon III command to oversee program/policy compliance by subordinate units/commands, disseminate program updates, policy guidance, and to coordinate/collaborate on prevention and awareness events in the CPF AOR.

5.2. Describe the oversight activities that have taken place during FY11 with the methods or approaches you use to perform oversight, including but not limited to the documentation and outcomes of:

5.2.1. Program management reviews

Only one negative finding in a SAPR-related area was noted during CNIC's 13 FFSC accreditation site visits. As required, the discrepancy was corrected within 90 days of the published results.

5.2.2. IG inspections of the program

All BUMED commands (MTFs and non-MTFs) that are inspected have the SAPR Program reviewed. One to three commands are inspected each month. Results of Navy IG inspections are reviewed with command program owners. Any opportunities for improvement or areas for clarification are shared with the command and with BUMED.

In FY11, Navy IG reviewed BUMED as an Echelon II command for program management. Overall results indicated that BUMED's SAPR program is well run. Additionally, BUMED's Office of Women's Health has taken on many initiatives to address the medical response to sexual assault. Navy IG recommendations are aligned with some of BUMED's initiatives.

5.2.3. Other (Please explain)

The Naval Audit Service (NAS) conducted multiple reviews of SAPR, including reviews

of publicized 24/7 SAPR response telephone numbers. These reviews were conducted to verify that initial responses to reports of sexual assault were effective, efficient, and appropriate, and that the proper personnel were involved in SAPR activities and their involvement was at an appropriate level of effort. As a result of their findings CNIC issued an instruction (CNICINST 1752.2), providing guidance on standard procedures for validating SAPR Program 24/7 response protocols. This information is reported to CNIC monthly and quarterly reports are forwarded to DON SAPRO.

CNIC collaborated with DOD SAPRO, DON SAPRO, and the Rape, Abuse & Incest National Network (RAINN) in coordinating the launching of the DoD Safe Helpline. CNIC provided input from the field, provided feedback on the Safe Helpline Test that was completed by specific installations both CONUS and OCONUS, and provided accurate 24/7 SAPR response telephone numbers for every Navy installation. To ensure a smooth warm hand-off between the Helpline staff and SARCs/VAs, SARC contact information is updated to the CNIC directory on a monthly basis.

5.3. Describe any standards or metrics you have established to assess and manage your Service's SAPR program; if your Service has begun assessing your SAPR program using the standards or metrics established, please describe your assessment findings thus far.

Navy continues to collect and analyze trend data to better understand the effectiveness of the SAPR program and the efficiency of our prevention initiatives. Findings are used to inform policy, training, and outreach plans. We are committed to objectively assessing our progress through large-scale, anonymous surveys designed to estimate the true incidence of both reported and unreported sexual assault and Sailors' knowledge of the SAPR program.

5.4. Describe steps taken to address recommendations from the following external oversight bodies:

5.4.1. Government Accountability Office

None

5.4.2. DoD/Military Service IG

As result of Navy IG's FY10 recommendation, formal training curriculum for all SAPR Command Personnel was established, CNIC revised all of the trainings for SAPR Command POCs, Command Liaisons, and Data Collection Coordinators (DCCs) and developed Train-the-Trainer on-demand webinars for SARCs to ensure that trainings are delivered consistently across the Navy. These on-demand training modules were brought online in September 2011.

5.4.3. Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services

In 2009, DTFSAMS recommended the creation of a universal hotline to facilitate victim reporting. Throughout FY11, CNIC worked closely with Military One Source (MOS) in providing up-to-date contact information for every location's SARC and 24/7 SAPR response telephone number. CNIC also worked directly with OSD SAPRO, who has, with the Rape, Abuse, and Incest National Network (RAINN), developed a new resource that allows the military to have a single point of contact worldwide for reporting sexual assaults. This resource directs callers to appropriate military or civilian sexual assault resources.

DTFSAMS also recommended that two installation-level sexual assault management groups be established. The Sexual Assault Response Team, referred to in the Navy as the Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG), had been established in December 2006 as outlined in OPNAVINST 1752.1B. Pending revisions to the existing instruction will include guidance for establishing a Navy Sexual Assault Review Board, referred to as the Sexual Assault Coordinating Committee (SACC).

DTFSAMS recommended that every member of the U.S. Armed Forces who reports a sexual assault be given the opportunity to consult with legal counsel qualified in accordance with Article 27(b) UCMJ. Currently, Navy SARCs and SAPR VAs are trained to inform all victims of their rights and to provide the DD 2701 (Initial Information for Victims and Witnesses of Crime).

As result of a DTFSAM recommendation, policy and procedures governing electronic record disposition are in place within Navy Medicine. The process consists of uploading the completed DD Form 2911 (DOD Sexual Assault Forensic Examination Report) into AHLTA (Armed Forces Health Longitudinal Technology Application), which is the military electronic medical record in accordance with BUMEDINST 6310/11. There is also an encrypted electronic file maintained by the SAFE Program Manager at the MTF.

5.4.4. Other (Please explain)

None

5.5. Provide a summary of your research and data collection activities.

5.5.1. Describe the research and data collection activities that have taken place within your Service during the past fiscal year.

BUMED's Office of Women's Health requested that a study be conducted on sexual assault forensic examinations in the Navy. The Office of Women's Health recently received recommendations for areas of improvement within the SAFE program which will be used to increase SAFE protocols.

5.5.2. Provide the initiation or execution of any survey for the purpose of

informing or improving Service SAPR programming, including highlights of available findings.

Recommendations from Research and Analysis Service's study of Navy's SAFE program include the following:

- Ensure SAFE providers communicate more closely with NCIS agents
- Increase support for SAFE providers to obtain more "hands-on" training
- Update SAFE kits to include clear instructions
- Have necessary equipment available at the MTFs for providers performing SAFEs
- Train Independent Duty Corpsman (IDCs) who deploy on procedures to administered a SAFE

5.5.3. Provide the initiation or execution of any empirical research or evaluation project to inform or improve Service SAPR programming, including highlights of available findings.

No empirical research or evaluation was conducted in Navy during FY11.

5.5.4. Other (Please explain)

None

5.6. Provide an update on how your Service has aligned its strategic planning documents to the *DoD-Wide SAPR Strategic Plan*.

None

5.7. Describe what measures are taken by your Service to ensure that Service members protected by a military protective order are informed in a timely manner of the member's option to request transfer from the command of assignment.

Victims of sexual assault receive reasonable protection from the alleged offender(s). Upon receipt of unrestricted reports of sexual assault, the Commanding Officer determines the need for a Military Protective Order (MPO) after consultation from legal, law enforcement, installation SARC and/or the assigned VA. DD 2873 (MPO) shall be used when a MPO is issued. In cases where the victim and alleged offender are assigned to the same command, Commanding Officers should consider relocating the victim or offender until the case is legally settled and/or the victim is considered out of danger. The Commanding Officer also considers both the physical and emotional well-being of the victim in making this decision, giving primary consideration to the victim's preference when practicable.

SARCs discuss this during briefings with new commanders, using the SAPR

Commander's Toolkit. SARCs also emphasize this during SAPR Command Liaison initial and refresher training. SAPR VAs are instructed to discuss this option while reviewing the DD2910 (Victim Preference Statement) during the initial meeting with sexual assault victims.

During the annual Staff Judge Advocate course, Advanced Staff Judge Advocate course and Prospective Command Officer/Executive Officer courses held at the Naval Justice School, Navy JAG presenters informed the senior attorneys in attendance of the new policy favoring the member's request to transfer from the command (i.e., expedited transfer). When concerns for the well-being of a service members dictate a permanent change of station (PCS) transfer prior to normal projected rotation date (PRD), at the request of the servicemember, a move may be authorized. Examples of safety moves are (but not limited to) crime victims and witnesses, Family Advocacy Program (FAP) cases, victims of rapes and other violent crimes, and threats made to the Sailor and/or the Sailor's dependents. Per MILPERSMAN 1300-1200, commands may request a PCS transfer for reasons of personal safety.

5.8. Describe what steps are being taken to improve the collection of sexual assault data, particularly how your Service is preparing data systems to interface with the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database.

Throughout the year, OSD SAPRO and DON SAPRO have been leading preparations for the interface between the Navy's SAPR Case Management System (CMS), which is currently being revised and upgraded, and the new Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID).

In FY11, NCIS began working on improvements to the Consolidated Law Enforcement Operations Center (CLEOC) database system that houses investigative data. In addition, NCIS hired additional staff dedicated to the SAPRO program to ensure that the data that is being collected for sexual assaults is consistent and accurately reflected in the system. NCIS also has been working with DON and OSD SAPRO on steps to integrate the necessary data with the DSAID as improvements to CLEOC are accomplished.

5.9. Explain how your Service is reviewing military justice processes to improve investigations and prosecutions.

Navy JAG is currently reviewing military justice processes by the following means:

- Navy JAG partnered with the USMC Judge Advocate Division, Military Justice on the Case Review II Project. This case review tool was used to analyze both convictions and acquittals in sexual assault cases. Results will be used to evaluate current training criteria and assist in enhancing Navy JA's training and better equipping them to litigate sexual assault cases in FY12 and beyond.
- The Development of Performance Measures (Metrics) for Prosecutors and

Defense Counsel project will provide research and develop criminal justice litigation performance measures with the long-term goal of critical self-evaluation and of increasing the advocacy skills of those involved in the military justice process.

- In FY12, Navy JAG will produce a video of military (USN and USMC) victims of sexual assault. The video will be a training aid for response personnel. In addition to interviewing victims Navy JAG will explore interviews of accused Service members to document their conduct to better understand the behavior of the accused.
- In FY11, NCIS continued partnerships with USN JAG and Marine Corps TCAP to review and improve sexual assault investigations and prosecutions. Through the Mobile Training Teams (MTT) on Sexual Assault Investigations and Prosecutions and the OJAG sponsored Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault (PAFSA) course held at the Naval Justice School, NCIS was able to engage with investigators and prosecutors in an effort to evaluate military justice processes and identify best practices. Through the MTT and PAFSA, investigators and prosecutors experienced improved communications, better working relationships and increased understanding of sexual assault investigations and prosecutions. Additionally, the MTT and PAFSA provided NCISHQ instant feedback on issues degrading the military justice process as well as facilitating discussions on how to remedy inefficiencies.

5.10. Describe the policies, procedures, and processes implemented by your Service to monitor sexual assault cases in which the victim is a deployed member of the Armed Forces and the assailant is a foreign national.

Currently, there are no additional guidelines regarding sexual assault cases of deployed individuals. Existing policies apply and are both applicable and appropriate in these cases. Legal authorities and investigations regarding the assailant are dependent on Status of Forces Agreements (SOFA) and Host Nation arrangements.

5.11. Describe any plans for Service actions in FY12 to improve system accountability.

In FY11, Navy JAG was instrumental in assisting Navy leadership with refining the reporting requirements and definitions that are used to report sexual assaults contained in OPNAVINST F3100.6J “Special Incident Reporting (OPREP-3 PINNACLE, OPREP-3 NAVY BLUE, and OPREP-3 Navy Unit SITREP) Procedures.” A more detailed process will better inform leadership in a timely manner of the current state of sexual assaults within the Navy thus allowing them to further refine prevention and response efforts.

6. Improve Knowledge and Understanding of SAPR

6.1. Provide examples of your Service's efforts to leverage senior leadership and unit commanders support of the SAPR program (i.e., Held briefings, attended summits, etc.) to raise Service member's awareness of sexual assault matters.

In FY11, SARCs reported that they trained 975 new SAPR Command POCs, 688 new SAPR Command Liaisons, and 634 new SAPR Data Collection Coordinators (DCC).

Within USFF, Flag Officers provide opening remarks at each SAPR workshop, including remarks by the Commander at a workshop in Norfolk in February 2011. Additionally, a senior leader (O-6) attends each workshop to show leadership support for this effort across the Fleet.

USFF N1 leadership attended the DON Sexual Assault Prevention Summit in May 2011 and provided a joint USFF/CPF brief on SAPR prevention efforts across the Fleet. N1 leadership also communicated directly with the Commanding Officer of each base where a USFF SAPR workshop was held to encourage maximum participation by inviting them to provide the closing remarks and promotion of the event(s).

CPF leadership training sessions were also routinely opened with local command leadership presence and remarks. The PACFLT Commander has engaged directly with Fleet leadership during Executive Leadership Training Symposiums (ELTS) to emphasize the role of leadership in creating the appropriate command climate to foster both bystander intervention as well as risk reduction. CPF Fleet Master Chief is an active proponent of SAPR efforts, attended and led various training events, and consistently engaged support from senior enlisted leaders in the AOR. PACFLT FLTCM has also been actively engaged with senior enlisted leadership in efforts to reduce Sailor misconduct through a renewed emphasis on Navy Core Values and Ethos.

Commander, Navy Installation Commands, along with his Flag level Regional Commanders and O6/O5 Base Commanders, attended all three days of the DON SAPRO sponsored SAPR Summit in May 2011. This included interactive discussion with SAPR SMEs and dedicated time to discuss prevention and response initiatives with their respective SARCs.

6.2. Describe the expansion or creation of response-related SAPR communication and outreach activities in FY11, including specific audiences and related goals.

CNIC audited each installation SAPR web page to ensure that all sites include accurate information, the 24/7 SAPR response telephone number, and DoD Safe Helpline information. CNIC also updated the Headquarters (HQ) web page to meet the same standards that were required of the installation web pages. These efforts have

successfully made Navy SAPR response much more accessible.

In addition, CNIC Fleet and Family Support posted information about the SAPR 24/7 response number that can be found on every installation web page as well as the CNIC HQ web page. Specific promotion of the DOD Safe Helpline was also posted for increased SAPR visibility.

6.3. Describe the measures of effectiveness for your Service's outreach efforts (i.e., Surveys) and detail results.

Work is in progress for development of Navy's strategic roadmap for Navy's SAPR program which will include development of measures of effectiveness (MOEs) to assess the effectiveness of our SAPR program. In addition to enhancing SA training for JAGs and investigators and implementation of BI training Fleet-wide, Navy will develop and execute SAPR training using a combination of video and facilitated discussion for every single leader (SAPR-Leadership) and Sailor (SAPR-Fleet) during 2012.

6.4. List active partnerships with other federal agencies, non-federal agencies, and/or organizations for the purpose of research and evaluation in conjunction with SAPR program activities.

None

6.5. List participation in congressional hearings, briefings, and congressional staff assistance meetings.

Navy JAG participated in one congressional staff assistance meeting to discuss proposed changes for UCMJ Article 120.

6.6. Describe any plans for Service actions in FY12 to improve stakeholder knowledge and understanding of the SAPR program.

CNIC will continue providing a webinar series throughout FY12 designed to provide SARCs with the ability to better train their fellow stakeholders on issues surrounding sexual assault and what roles stakeholders fulfill in the SAPR Program.

BUMED will continue oversight of MTFs ensuring current MOUs are in place with civilian medical facilities performing SAFEs, instructions are revised as necessary, and other periodic requirements disseminated through BUMED's Enterprise Knowledge Management (eKm) system are completed within established deadlines. BUMED is also implementing a Training Transition Plan with regional involvement to provide a mechanism for centralized SAFE training, program oversight, implementation, and sustainment.

At the conclusion of FY11, NCIS completed the hiring of 11 investigators, one research sociologist, and one criminal intelligence analyst for the NCIS Family & Sexual Violence program. The investigators were placed in field offices worldwide and the sociologist and analyst were placed at the NCIS headquarters. Beyond investigations and data analysis, the 13 permanent, nontransferable positions will be responsible for maintaining SAPR program standards and training within their respective offices.

In FY12, Navy JAG will continue to provide SAPR program training to JAGs at all levels of the career continuum. Navy JAG will also seek to enhance the overall litigation ability of JAGs which will in turn foster increased trust and confidence in the military justice system. Additionally, continuation of the case review project and the performance measures project from FY11 will provide JAG leadership with data to better define litigation training needs.

6.7. Other (Please explain)

None

7. Lessons Learned and Way Ahead

7.1. Provide a summary discussion of the progress made and challenges confronted by your Service's SAPR program in FY11.

SARCs successfully held regular Sexual Assault Coordinating Council (SACC) meetings. Their active participation in civilian coordinating councils within their communities and effective utilization of social media and local resources greatly contributed to improved SAPR program visibility around the world. These outreach efforts and increased marketing about the DoD Safe Helpline were directly responsible for increased interest and understanding of the SAPR program. Specifically, more training was conducted for SAPR Command Personnel, leadership, and other stakeholders.

Progress in FY11 includes the following:

- Full-time BUMED instructor provided didactic sexual assault forensic exam (SAFE) initial and refresher training services to 200 healthcare providers (e.g., nurses, physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants and independent duty corpsmen) at 15 sites. To ensure coordinated, comprehensive response to victims, training for healthcare providers on the SAFE is collaborative with participation from NCIS, OJAG, SARC, and the Chaplain Corps.

BUMED also highlights the following among several MTFs:

- Refinement of local instructions, standard operating procedures, and chart

review protocols

- Initiatives implemented to provide more thorough training for tenant commands
- National certifications as Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner-Adult/Adolescent
- Renovations of emergency department to include an area specifically for victims of sexual assault
- Establishment of MOUs with civilian medical facilities
- Departmental and watch stander training, also establishment of designated duty telephone solely for response to sexual assault victims
- An OCONUS MTF working with the base launched a new training program targeting E5 and below personnel with presentations on SAFE, law enforcement and drug and alcohol usage

Challenges experienced across Navy in FY11 include the following:

Confusion regarding SAPR policies and procedures in joint base and joint service situations.

Barriers to supporting NOSCs, Recruiting districts, NROTC, and other outlying commands.

Lack of personnel trained to perform sexual assault forensic exams (SAFEs).
Barriers to compliance of deploying commands to the SAPR Program. Lack of provider proficiency on where and how to document medical services. Maintaining patient privacy during SAFE intakes and procedures.

Difficulty maintaining competency in the medical forensic exam in Navy MTFs with the limited number of clinical exams our providers are able to perform.

Inability to track SAFE training data differs between regional input and known results. Regional involvement will provide the necessary mechanism for centralized SAFE training, program oversight, and implementation.

The DoD SAFE Kits are out of date and do not include current DoD required documentation, DD Form 2911, which must be obtained online. All MTFs where providers are performing SAFEs should have designated supplies and equipment.

Difficulty obtaining toxicology on suspected drug facilitated assaults at overseas locations due to the length of time to transport specimens to the Armed Forces Medical Examiner System for processing.

7.2. Supply the status of FY11 plans described in last year's report, including but not limited to:

7.2.1. Prevention

CNIC provided Navy SARCs two full days of training following the DON SARC Summit

in order to better equip them to perform data collection and standardized training for prevention consistent with the SAPR program's shifting focus from response to prevention.

CNIC continues to update SARC resources and educational webinars as well as is pursuing plans to develop "New SARC" training course which will be delivered in a schoolhouse format.

7.2.2. Response

During FY11 CNIC issued CNICINST 1752.2 to provide guidance on standard procedures for validating SAPR Program response protocols. This instruction mandates internal audits occur at the Headquarters, Regional, and Installation levels to ensure immediate and appropriate response to all reports of sexual assault.

CNIC audits numbers and all installation websites for accuracy and receives monthly reports from each Regional and Battle Watch Captain discussing the status of their respective 24/7 SAPR response telephone number.

BUMED training additional forensic examiners at fifteen sites with 200 providers trained. The training curriculum has been reviewed and all sites have received standardized instruction by a certified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner.

MTF review of Memorandum of Understanding is ongoing and is an area undergoing improvement and standardization. Currently regional MTF input requested for revision of BUMEDINST 6310.11 along with MOU template.

Navy JAG continues to review the results of the projects that it undertook during the last year (Case Review II and Development of Performance Measures for Prosecutors and Defense Counsel) using the results to define litigation training needs. The Military Justice Litigation Track continues to expand which places experienced counsel back into the courtroom bringing a wealth of experience and knowledge to the cases. Additionally, the creation of the Trial Counsel Assistance Program has provided hands on assistance to trial counsel in prosecuting sexual assault cases.

7.2.3. Oversight

Continued collaboration across major stakeholders will be conducted to ensure that a coordinated, comprehensive response to victims of sexual assault is available regardless of where the incident occurred and a report is made. Stakeholders will continue the ongoing review of training and procedures to ensure compliance with SAPR Program policy and requirements.

Periodic data calls will be generated based on established metrics to evaluate status, provide feedback, and determine future plans for improvement and progress.

CNIC has strengthened communication with Regional staff, creating more opportunities to receive inquiries, feedback and recommendations for program and

process improvement. For instance, invaluable feedback from SARCs prompted a thorough review of CMS to identify interim system upgrades needed to facilitate data transfer from CMS to DSAID in FY12. CNIC also developed an internal SAPR Team Site on the CNIC Gateway 2.0, which is a secure intranet for CNIC Staff. The Gateway 2.0 provides rapidly deployed and easily adopted web-based collaborative workspace that enables distributed teams to work together more efficiently. The Gateway 2.0 serves a place for all SAPR Staff Navy-wide to access policy, resources and submit feedback. Project teams around the Enterprise can accelerate and improve the development and delivery of SAPR products and services, optimize collaborative business processes, improve innovation, and streamline decision-making. Tools and resources include file sharing, business plans and conference room schedulers, and the ability to post other documents and other pertinent information within CNIC.

CNIC staff will serve on FFSC Program Accreditation Teams and plans to implement guidance that mandates the Department of Defense (DoD) Safe Helpline phone number as the only 24/7 SAPR victim response phone number advertised on installation and CNIC websites.

7.3. Summarize your Service's plans for the next three years, including how these efforts will help your Service plan, resource, and make progress toward the five DoD-Wide SAPR Strategic Priorities:

OPNAV is formulating a SAPR Strategic plan via the CFT.

CNIC will continue disseminating SARC and SAPR VA training on the importance of ensuring victims understand established reporting options. SARCs will expand their use of social media to further disseminate information about the SAPR Program and available reporting options. For example, the SAPR VA Resource Guide, which is being released in FY12, has a mobile application that can be referenced to find information that assists SAPR VAs in their efforts to support a victim of sexual assault.

CNIC will revise the SAPR Victim Advocate training course with information about programmatic updates and bystander intervention techniques. Revisions and expansions will be made to the Navy SARC Resource Guide. CNIC will also develop a SAPR VA Screening Tool for SARCs, a model SAPR take away packet, and a SAPR VA Self-Inspection Checklist. CNIC will implement the quarterly SARC Webinar series which will include the following topics: Sexual Assault & Stalking, UCMJ Updates, Connection between Trauma & Substance, Male Victims, Working with LGBT Victims, and a variety of other topics. Along with inviting Marine Corps SARCs to attend the webinars, CNIC is collaborating with USMC Headquarters on DON SAAM activities to ensure enhanced training to all SAPR personnel across the Department of the Navy.

CNIC continues research on best methods and tools for conducting training and best practices for implementing bystander intervention. SARCs will continue to integrate local SMEs into their training and prevention programming. In an effort to augment other prevention initiatives, an additional BI training module is being developed for

SARCs to deliver SAPR training to the general public.

CNIC will fully implement its Gateway SAPR Team Site. This secure web-based forum, in addition to CNIC Question and Answer webinars and SARC focus groups, solicits feedback from the field and continues to provide specific guidance to Regions on areas of policy that require operational clarification. The site also provides a central location to post electronic versions of all SAPR reference material.

CNIC will continue collaborations with other Service SAPR Programs to address Joint Service SAPR Program issues and concerns, with USMC SAPR to address DON-specific SAPR Program issues and concerns and with USFF and CPF to ensure continuity of the Navy SAPR Program across Navy.

BUMED will transition SAFE training plan to the regional level for continued training for healthcare providers on the medical forensic exam, ensuring adequately trained personnel are available to perform exams in MTFs providing this service. BUMED will determine the means of sustainability for provider SAFE training.

BUMED will ensure current, comprehensive MOUs are in place for MTFs utilizing civilian facilities for medical care and forensic evidence collection.

In addition to having immediate access to medical treatment, sexual assault victims will also continue to be offered mental health services through the Behavioral Health Departments at each MTF, Fleet and Family Support Centers, and Marine Corps Counseling Centers.

7.4. Other (Please explain)

None

Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 DOD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military: United States Marine Corps

Executive Summary: (United States Marine Corps)

The Marine Corps message for FY11 was clear and unequivocal: “Sexual assault is a crime that will be taken seriously.” With support from the Commandant, and senior leaders, aggressive initiatives were implemented to strengthen the SAPR program. Using a holistic approach, emphasis was placed on training, command climate, heightening awareness of available resources and quality assurance practices. The net result has been an energized SAPR Program, which has inserted itself at every opportunity, purposed to engage Marines at all levels.

Understanding that prevention begins with training, the SAPR Program revitalized its curriculum with a video-based format, designed to evoke emotion from Marines, stimulate conversation and reduce stigma. Bystander intervention, an identified best practice, reinforces the message that it is every Marine’s inherent duty to step up and step in to prevent sexual assaults. Victims of sexual assault, subject matter experts, and Marines of all ranks were included in this initiative to personalize and make a training package that was impactful. “Take A Stand” is an interactive, small-group training taught by Staff Non-Commissioned Officers (SNCOs) to Non-Commissioned Officers (NCOs). Similar initiatives are planned for junior Marines, SNCOs and Officers. A video library comprised of subject matter experts, senior leaders, Marines and victims will be available for all training programs (annual, command team, chaplain and related target audiences) in FY12.

Command climate and its relationship to unreported sexual assaults has been highlighted in FY11. Utilizing the Commander’s Course and other training avenues, commanders have been charged with being informed and involved in their SAPR program. The top-down leadership message is for commanders to foster a climate where Marines will trust their command to listen respectfully, respond confidentially, investigate immediately, and take appropriate action.

The Installation Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) and Program Managers have contributed to our ability to heighten awareness, expedite information to the field and implement quality assurance practices. Full-time, civilian, subject matter experts enhance our ability to further standardize the SAPR Program and improve all aspects of prevention and response. Migration to the DOD Sexual Assault Incident Reporting Database (DSAID) will facilitate and enhance overall administrative functionality.

The integration of SAPR into the Behavioral Health Program (BHP) added another layer of opportunity to strategically enhance all components of the SAPR program. Integration has strengthened the SAPR program by sharing resources, adding needed checks and balances and expeditious means for change.

The SAPR Program made significant changes in FY11, the greatest being ownership of this issue for all Marines, at every rank. The momentum and continued support from leadership will be used to enhance our response capabilities and continuity of care for victims in the coming years.

1. Program Overview – United States Marine Corps

The Marine Corps SAPR Program and the Navy SAPR Program are both part of the Department of the Navy. They share Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) and Bureau of Medicine (BUMED) for investigative and medical SAPR functions.

MCO 1752.5A, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program, published 5 Feb 08, codifies the Marine Corps SAPR program and establishes it as a commander's program under the direction of the Deputy Commandant for Manpower and Reserve Affairs. MCO 1752.5B has been staffed for compliance review and is expected to be signed in early FY12.

Our greatest weapon in the battle against sexual assault has been, and will continue to be, decisive and engaged leadership. There is no substitute for the direct involvement of commanders and senior leaders at all levels. To protect our Marines, commanders are to be advocates for SAPR and establish an effective climate; comprehensively address sexual assault prevention; and ensure appropriate and timely response to victims.

Significant elements of the current Marine Corps program include:

- General Court Martial Convening Authorities (GCMCA), Installation, Marine Air Ground Task Force Commanders, Training and Education Command, and Marine Corps Recruiting Command are responsible for the implementation of SAPR throughout their commands and are to appoint, in writing, a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) and two Uniformed Victim Advocates (UVAs);
- Installation Commanders are responsible for the implementation and oversight of the SAPR program at the installation level. Full-time civilian Installation SARCs/SAPR Program Managers were hired to serve as subject matter experts. In addition to the UVAs, installations have civilian Victim Advocates as additional assets;
- SARCs, civilian and collateral duty uniformed, receive specialized training and assist their commanders in executing their SAPR programs. They also facilitate a coordinated sexual assault response that is timely, ongoing and appropriate, and validate the data required for reporting;
- UVAs are appointed from the grade of staff sergeant or higher and are to provide support/advocacy services in deployed/remote environments and augment support/advocacy services when not deployed. UVAs are also responsible for conducting annual training within their units;
- Civilian Victim Advocates (VAs) work for the Family Advocacy Program and

provide non-clinical support to victims of sexual assault. VAs offer intervention, safety planning and support during medical exams and court proceedings.

- A multi-disciplinary Case Management Group is required to convene on a monthly basis to review unrestricted cases to ensure system accountability and provide oversight of victim care and support;
- Helplines available 24 hours a day/7 days a week have been established at Marine Corps installations and are staffed by trained personnel who can provide the restricted reporting option;
- Protocols have been established to provide access to 24/7 Helplines for remote locations.

Overarching support is provided by the following Marine Corps entities:

- Deputy Commandant for Plans, Policies and Operations (facilitation of exchange of information with Sister Services);
- Deputy Commandant for Installation and Logistics (safety and security);
- Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development Command (coordination of training and education);
- Inspector General of the Marine Corps (program inspection and assessment);
- HQMC Health Services (medical treatment in coordination with BUMED);
- HQMC Judge Advocate Division (military justice, and Victim Witness Assistance Program).

SAPR curriculum is also being taught at initial entry and accessions levels. At the Recruit Depots (MCRD Parris Island/San Diego), the SAPR class consists of a 1-hour formal period of instruction taught by the Training Company 1stSgt conducted on Training Day (TD) 57 for both males and females. In order to reinforce the training, an additional course is conducted by the respective company at the platoon level by the Senior Drill Instructor on Training Day-59. The academic test is conducted two days later on Training Day-60 just prior to The Crucible. The final reinforcement is the lesson purpose Warrior Preservation Class conducted, post Crucible, by the Senior Drill Instructor in the squad bay on Sunday, prior to graduation. At Officer Candidate School (OCS), SAPR is taught within the first two weeks at OCS by the OCS UVA. Follow-up instruction is done at the platoon level via guided discussion.

Marine Corps victims' reporting options and protections are the same in forward-deployed environments, to include combat zones. The Marine Corps does not deploy civilian advocates. Collateral duty SARCs and UVAs are available for victim care and support in deployed environments.

Chaplain support for the Marine Corps is provided by the U.S. Navy Chaplain Corps. Chaplains are assigned to every Marine Corps installation, as well as to all deploying components at the Special Court-Martial Convening Authority level and higher. Chaplains provide the full range of pastoral counseling and care that accompanies privileged communications.

As noted above, medical support is provided by the U.S. Navy's Bureau of Medicine (BUMED). BUMED operates various Naval medical centers, hospitals, branch clinics and clinics at most Marine Corps installations. As with Chaplains, medical personnel are assigned to every Marine Corps command, including Marine detachments in the formal schools and most reserve commands.

The Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) investigates felony crimes against Marines, by Marines or aboard Marine Corps installations. By policy, commanders are required to immediately report all allegations of unrestricted sexual assault incidents to NCIS or respective Military Criminal Investigative Organization (MCIO). At no time is a commander to conduct an independent command investigation of an alleged sexual assault. After a formal investigation by military criminal investigative authorities, commanders (at the Special Court-Marital Convening Authority (SPCMCA) level or higher) shall use the information presented to determine the appropriate disposition.

Counseling services for victims are provided via clinical counselors at Marine Corps Community Services.

Program Managers and SARCs work directly for their commanders. Upon report of a sexual assault incident, the assigned UVA reports directly to the SARC. The "chain of command" upon report of a sexual assault is as follows: UVA to SARC to senior commander. The roles of the Installation SARC/Program Manager will be updated in MCO 1752.5B, as will reporting requirements within the Behavioral Health Program.

The Marine Corps SAPR Program is victim-centric, in that the direction each reported case takes depends in large part on the desires of, and choices made by, the victim. Commanders are responsible for effective execution of their SAPR Program.

2. Institutionalize Prevention Strategies in the Military Community

2.1. Under the Department's adopted "The Spectrum of Prevention," and its 6 components, describe the policies, procedures, and initiatives implemented or advanced during FY11 to prevent sexual assault. For the purposes of this report, prevention is defined as those policies, procedures, and initiatives designed to stop the crime before it occurs. If "awareness" activities are discussed here, please describe the aspects of the awareness activities that meet this definition of prevention.

2.1.1. Identify efforts by your Service to promote prevention.

In FY11, the Marine Corps initiated vigorous training and education initiatives that support the prevention components outlined in the "Spectrum of Prevention."

- Interactive, bystander intervention "Take a Stand" training was implemented for Non-Commissioned Officers with a target date to have all corporals and sergeants trained by 1 October 2012.

- A video library of subject matter experts, senior leaders and “peer-to-peer” dialogue was developed, as a supplemental resource for training. Annual SAPR training for officers and SNCOs will be updated, incorporating pertinent, “peer-to-peer” video clips and guided discussion.
- Commanders were provided SAPR-specific assessment functionality with the inclusion of six standard sexual assault questions in the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute (DEOMI) Equal Opportunity Survey (DEOCS) to assist in developing unit specific prevention training plans.
- “Sex Signals” was performed 71 times across the Marine Corps, targeting the at-risk population across the Corps. This 90-minute, 2-person professional production uses skits and improvisational comedy to address societal issues such as dating, body language, alcohol and intervention, rape and consent.
- SAPR conducted 9 trainings at the Senior Leaders Seminar, briefing approximately 1,013 Marines. This 4-hour course is designed for E8s, E9s, Chief Warrant Officers, and senior officers. It is a comprehensive review of the SAPR program and related policies. Subject matter experts from the Judge Advocates office and from Law Enforcement (NCIS) are part of the training program.
- At the spring and fall Commanders Course, new commanders were briefed on the importance of command climate in preventing sexual assault, establishing a baseline for proactive ownership of their SAPR program.
- Senior leadership and SARCs participated in the FY DON Summit.
- SAPR training briefs are included in installation Welcome Aboard briefs.
- Joint commands are reinforcing sexual assault prevention and response as part of their regular formations, military training classes and safety briefings.
- The Reserve Component has increased the number of UVAs to provide training and command visibility to foster proactive engagement for all locations, including those designated as remote and isolated.
- Across the Corps, Installation SARCs/Program Managers, established in FY10 as subject matter experts, are working to identify collaborative partnerships to ensure prevention strategies are included in relevant training and interventions. For example, at MAGTFT/MCAGCC, SAPR prevention strategies are now part of the Substance Abuse Counseling Office prevention course for Marines who have been identified as high risk and are taking the alcohol impact course.
- MCO 1752.5B, to be signed in FY12, will codify recommended prevention strategies.
- The Functional Area Checklist will be updated accordingly to ensure compatibility with the revised MCO and pending Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI).

2.1.2. Identify the ways your Service is changing organizational prevention-based practices.

The Marine Corps SAPR program is implementing a revision of all standardized training, incorporating revised methodologies to engage all Marines more effectively through facilitated discussions and customized video modules with peer-to-peer dialogue. Evidence-based bystander intervention training, “Take-A-Stand,” for NCOs

has been implemented, with similar initiatives being proposed for junior and senior level Marines in FY12. SAPR prevention strategies, including the significance of positive command climate as a key to prevention, are briefed at critical leadership trainings, to include but not limited to, Single Marine Program, SNCO Academies, Sergeants Major Symposiums, Commanders Courses, Career Courses, the General Officers Symposium and Spouses' Fairs.

Installation SARC/Program Managers are proactively initiating installation-level strategies and best practices, such as providing SAPR information cards for new-joins, facilitating collaborative partnerships with Sister Service SAPR programs to leverage resources, and assisting commanders in identifying trends and developing relevant, unit-specific training.

The refinement of the scope and mission of the Behavioral Health Branch has further enhanced SAPR's ability to identify trends and respond with expanded resource capabilities. With common training needs shared by these programs, SAPR is part of a more collaborative approach to prevention. This forward thinking approach to collaborative training will lead to more effective training. The replication of the Behavioral Health Program (BHP) model at the installation level will facilitate a coordinated and integrated service delivery developed around common prevention strategies.

2.1.3. Describe the methods used to foster prevention-related coalitions and networks (i.e., Name prevention subject matter experts consulted and involved at the Service level).

During FY11, the Marine Corps worked with subject matter experts to support annual SAPR training for SARCs and Marines:

- Anne Munch, JD., (Faculty, American Prosecutors Research Institute)
- Catharsis Productions ("Sex Signals" – Gail Stern and Christian Murphy)
- Alan Berkowitz (subject matter expert on bystander intervention and Social Norms Theory)
- David Lisak, PhD (Associate Professor of Psychology, University of Massachusetts)
- Teresa Scalzo, (Department of the Navy, Office of the Judge Advocate General's Criminal Law Division)

The Marine Corps conducts quarterly teleconferences with key stakeholders Adjunct Staff who are designated representatives from NCIS, Judge Advocate Division, Health Services, BUMED, Office of the Chaplain of the Marine Corps (REL), Provost Marshall Office (PMO), Equal Opportunity (EO), and Security (PS).

Installation SARCs/Program Managers also utilized, established and/or participated in the following prevention-related coalitions and networks:

- Executive Legal, Investigative, and Crisis Counseling Council (ELI-CCC). The ELI-CCC is a collaborative entity which serves to identify correlations and/or trends aboard the Installation. Council membership includes, but is not limited to, the Installation Commander, Commanding Officer of the Installation Headquarters and Service Battalion, the Installation Chief of Staff, the Installation Sergeant Major, the Installation Staff Judge Advocate, the Command Inspector, CID, NCIS, Command Substance Abuse Counseling, FAP Manager, Command Substance Abuse Counseling, Public Affairs Officer and the Installation SARC/Program Manager and Public Affairs Office.
- Local, community-sponsored Sexual Assault Response Team consortiums.
- Collaborative training events with area-specific subject matter experts, e.g., Bilateral Safety Corridor Coalition (training on sex trafficking in the North San Diego County).
- Partnerships with local rape crisis centers.

2.1.4. List the prevention education and training initiatives and programs your Service offers for responders, particularly those that impart individual skills associated with bystander intervention or appropriate risk reduction that does not blame victims.

In FY11, the Marine Corps:

- Received approval by the National Advocacy Credentialing Program for the victim advocacy training for SARCs and Victim Advocates. Training will be increased to 40 hours to ensure SAPR personnel are well-trained and equipped to provide critical care and support for victims;
- Developed training for personnel supporting the 24/7 Helplines, to standardize response protocols;
- Sponsored annual training for SARCs, as an extension of FY11 DON Summit;
- Provided training to Chaplains, PMO, CID, NCIS, Judge Advocates, Base Security and Fire Departments;
- Coordinated Senior Leaders Sexual Assault Prevention Seminars for commanders;
- Coordinated a brief to HQMC Behavioral Health Branch;
- Provided training at Family Advocacy Program Summit;
- Supported training and initiatives sponsored by investigators, prosecutors and Victim Witness Assistance Program to assist in expanding knowledge and understanding of SAPR;
- Conducted in-briefs with newly-assigned commanders, Chaplain personnel, Staff Judge Advocate personnel, and Civilian Victim Advocate personnel;
- Collaborated with Substance Abuse Counselors to incorporate SAPR training into the Alcohol Impact Class;
- Participated in SAPR training at Victim Witness Assistance Program conference;
- Sponsored "Sex Signals," for 71 performances across the Corps.

2.1.5. Identify your Service's efforts to promote community education in the area of prevention (i.e., Communications, social marketing, and media initiatives).

The Marine Corps promoted community education in FY11 through the following initiatives at various installations:

- Published the first edition of the HQMC SAPR Newsletter;
- Standardized 24/7 Helpline information on installation/command websites;
- Standardized protocols for voicemail for sexual assault response personnel;
- Hosted sexual assault training events for commanders, first responders and local sexual assault and domestic violence community partners;
- Collaborated with installation Public Affairs Offices to promote Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM) and to provide ongoing subject-related articles on prevention;
- Highlighted base proclamations by Installation Commanders in support of SAAM;
- Participated in Welcome Aboard briefs;
- Promoted command information spots on American Forces Network Radio and television stations throughout Europe;
- Conducted symposiums on victimology and prevention strategies;
- Set up "Traveling Shoe Project" in areas of high pedestrian traffic on installations as a silent display to tell victim's stories;
- Provided "Palm Cards" with SAPR information to all Marines aboard the installation;
- Utilized installation marketing tools such as speed-call and marquees to promote prevention trainings;
- Staffed SAPR booths at installation commissaries and exchanges;
- Created command SAPR brochures and SARC/UVA posters
- Networked with local prevention councils to disseminate SAPR materials;
- Participated in Health Fair symposiums and various tabling events;
- Provided SAPR cards for distribution;
- Provided SAPR banners at installation gates.

2.1.6. Describe the ways that your Service is strengthening Service member knowledge and skills (i.e., Bystander intervention).

- Interactive, video-based "Take A Stand" bystander intervention training was implemented for NCOs with targeted training completion date of 1 October 2012;
- Created a video resource training library;
- Sponsored "Sex Signals" performance training at all installations;
- Provided SAPR information at monthly Welcome Aboard/Newcomer briefs;
- Conducted one-on-one training for unit commanders;
- Participated in male and female symposiums with SAPR-specific topics;
- Supported leadership trainings, e.g., Single Marine Program, SNCO Academies, Sergeants Major Symposiums, Commanders Course, the General Officers

Symposium and Spouses' Fairs;

- Offered SAPR instruction at initial entry and accessions levels;
- Evaluated "Take A Stand" for inclusion into formal schoolhouse value based training program.

2.1.7. Other (Please explain): None.

2.2. List all studies of sexual assault prevalence and/or prevention programming effectiveness supported or performed by your Service.

- DON Survey:
 - Online participation exceeded the Marine Corps targeted goal 30,000;
 - Supported focus groups at five installations;
- Eight focus groups were conducted to support "Take A Stand," Marine Corps bystander intervention training;
- Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members.

2.3. Describe any plans for Service actions in FY12 related to the prevention of sexual assault.

For FY12, the Marine Corps will leverage resources to identify and integrate evidence-based strategies and/or best practices as part of its holistic approach to prevention. Goals for FY12 include:

- Submit draft MCO 1752.5B for signature;
- Update and disseminate revised AIRS checklist;
- Integrate SAPR Victim Advocates to support training and education;
- Finalize and implement Command Team Training;
- Revise Chaplain Training and Annual Training;
- Refine "continuum of training" to customize SAPR training modules;
- Collaborate with Substance Abuse Prevention Program to analyze data from National Health Research study on drug facilitated sexual assaults for impact on future training projects;
- Analyze SAIRD data for trends to identify training gaps and/or need for site assist visits;
- Assess pre-deployment SAPR training;
- Analyze data from DEOCS to assess training needs.

2.4. Describe any treatment or rehabilitation programs implemented by your Service for those Service members who have been convicted of a sexual assault. Include any educational programs designed to change the behavior of those members issued non-judicial and/or administrative punishments for an offense related to a DoD report of sexual assault.

The Marine Corps SAPR program is a victim-centric program providing services and

support to victims of sexual assault. While there may be programs and counseling available through REL and/or other counseling entity, such programs are not within the SAPR area of responsibility.

3. Increase Climate of Victim Confidence Associated with Reporting

3.1. Provide major steps taken to publicize and encourage the use of both reporting options (Restricted and Unrestricted) by Service members (i.e., Local command initiatives that demonstrate the commander's role in creating a climate of confidence).

The consistent, top-down leadership message has been for commanders to be engaged with their Marines, take ownership of the SAPR program and prioritize a climate of trust. The first priorities are to ensure victims are protected, treated with dignity and respect and receive critical medical treatment, care and counseling. Every effort is made to ensure that Marines are aware of the restricted and unrestricted reporting options available for victims of sexual assault. The Marine Corps stands behind the reporting options, recognizing the value in empowering victims to make choices that are in their best interest. Standard SAPR briefs, across the Corps, contain information on reporting options.

Below are a few of the steps taken at various installations to publicize reporting options:

- SAPR quarterly BaseGram promoting upcoming events and major policy changes published by Base Commander;
- Command Team Training on SAPR roles and responsibilities includes commander led discussions during new-comer orientation;
- Published policy letters and standard of operation procedures (SOPs) throughout the command to address sexual assault response;
- Utilized public service announcements on reporting options at base theaters, closed circuit networks and online;
- Shared information on reporting options during safety stand downs;
- Commander policy letters, including reporting options, are clearly posted in high visibility locations across installations, as well as online;
- Leadership banner entitled "Leadership Stomps out Sexual Assaults", comprised of boot outlines and signature of Commanding Officers, Executive Officers and Sergeants Major, prominent during Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM);
- Installation Commanders attended major training events to demonstrate commitment to SAPR;
- Multi-disciplinary training events were held with experts in sexual assault and included promulgation of literature on support services and reporting options;
- Base newspapers annually publish articles addressing reporting options as part of SAAM;
- Marines, Sailors and Sister Service Members are required to receive SAPR information from the UVA as part of check-in protocols for all Marine units;
- Reporting flow charts are published in command areas, work spaces, barracks,

- and bathroom facilities;
- Commanders initiate semi-annual Operational Pauses and discuss sexual assault in small group forums;
- Staffed information tables at exchanges, providing SAPR reporting options.

Service-level initiatives include:

- “Peer to peer” dialogue for commanders on the important role they play in establishing a climate of trust is one component of the newly-developed SAPR video resource library;
- Specific training for personnel staffing the 24/7 Helpline numbers to ensure victims receive critical information from qualified personnel has been developed;
- SAPR information is included at senior leader symposiums and conferences (e.g., spring and fall Commanders Course, Sergeants Major Symposiums);
- DoD SAFE Helpline and 24/7 Helplines promulgated and accessible as part of comprehensive SAPR websites.

3.2. Discuss Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting process challenges encountered, as well as the solutions your Service developed and implemented during FY11 within the context of:

3.2.1. Joint environments

The Marine Corps is encouraging formal collaboration through Memorandums of Understanding with host Services to ensure victims receive a coordinated delivery of service and support.

3.2.2. Combat Areas of Interest

While there is concern that a victim at a deployed remote location electing a restricted report may face challenges to maintain confidentiality, they do have the restricted reporting option available to them. There have been no reports of confidentiality breaches.

3.2.3. Tracking victim services

In FY12, migration to DSAID, which has more comprehensive case management features, will enhance overall administrative functionality and accountability in the tracking of victim services.

3.2.4. Restricted Reporting in any environment (including known incidents, if any, where the confidentiality of the report was breached for any reason)

California’s requirement of mandatory reporting by medical personnel presents a challenge to the restricted reporting option. The solution requires a change to California

law. In the interim, Marine Corps is seeking an establishment of MOUs between military medical personnel and law enforcement to recognize victim requests for restricted reporting.

While there is concern that a victim at a deployed remote location electing a restricted report may face challenges to maintain confidentiality, they do have the restricted reporting option available to them. There have been no reports of a confidentiality breaches.

3.2.5. Other (Please explain): None.

3.3. Describe efforts, policies, and/or programmatic changes taken to improve Service member confidence and/or victim participation in the investigative and military justice processes.

During FY11 the action office for the Marine Corps Victim and Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) was transferred from the Military Justice Branch to the Community Development, Strategy and Plans (CDSP) Directorate. Of the HQMC Judge Advocate Division. This reorganization was specifically tailored to facilitate implementation of the Marine Corps Legal Services Strategic Action Plan 2010-2015. The second annual Marine Corps VWAP training conference was held in Quantico, Virginia in March 2011. The conference provided baseline training to VWAP personnel by nationally-recognized civilian experts, including specialized training on handling victims of sexual assault, and developed a series of objectives for each VWAP office to meet within certain set timelines.

Additionally, full-time civilian Installation SARCS were installed in FY10 to provide not only advocacy and oversight of victim care, but also implement the aggressive training initiatives for SAPR personnel, first responders and Marines. Through education and awareness, the goal is to empower victims and provide a coordinated response that will foster confidence in the system.

3.3.1. Describe how your Service is addressing the number of victims that decline to participate in the military justice process each year.

Marine Corps TCAP conducted six different training courses during FY 11, providing in-person training to over 118 Marine trial counsel. The majority of this training focused on sexual assault litigation. One of the core concepts addressed at all of the training sessions was supporting victims of sexual assault. Training included Victim's Rights and the Victim and Witness Assistance Program. Throughout each training session, emphasis was placed on trial counsel responsibilities under the VWAP.

3.4. List initiatives and programs implemented to reduce the stigma associated with reporting sexual assault.

The Marine Corps has taken strong action to identify and train Marines on the myths

and facts associated with sexual assault. Dispelling commonly held myths, such as the idea that most reports are false or that most subjects only offend one time, is helping to reduce the stigma associated with sexual assault reporting. It is also elevating the awareness needed by all bystanders to step-up and step-in to prevent sexual assaults.

A video library of subject matter experts, senior leaders and “peer-to-peer” dialogue, developed as a supplemental resource for training, has a segment dedicated to dispelling myths. The topic is also addressed in the segment for annual SAPR training, incorporating pertinent, video clips and guided discussion.

New training and revisions to existing training place more emphasis on victimology, including information about stressors and the long-term impact of unmet mental health needs. Commanders are being made aware of the impact on combat readiness for Marines who have acute and chronic stressors related to being sexually assaulted.

Briefs now contain the topic of male sexual assaults. While the percentage of male reported sexual assaults is low, the Marine Corps recognizes that these assaults do exist and are highly likely to remain unreported without due attention. Dispelling the myth that sexual assault is exclusively a crime against females is a priority.

3.5. Describe any plans for Service actions in FY12 to increase a climate of confidence associated with reporting.

Building on the momentum established by the implementation of “Take A Stand,” efforts will be geared to engage Marines at every level to ensure that they understand their role in preventing sexual assault and what to do if they are assaulted. Initiatives include:

- Implementation of Command Team Training;
- Implementation of credentialed advocacy training for SARCs/UVAs;
- Implementation of MCO 1752.5B;
- Regional Road Show training to update SAPR personnel and commanders on changes in policy to ensure standardization;
- Implementation of 24/7 Helpline standardized training;
- Development of bystander intervention training for junior Marines and officers;
- Collaborative training with first responders;
- Site-assist visits to ensure program implementation is consistent.

4. Improve Sexual Assault Response

4.1. Describe the policies, procedures, and initiatives implemented or advanced during FY11 to respond to, or improve the response to, allegations of sexual assault.

A number of initiatives have been implemented to improve responses to allegations of sexual assault:

- Full-time Installation SARCS/Program Managers provide oversight of training and monitor cases within their area of responsibility to ensure timely and responsive services to victims;
- Multidisciplinary CMGs are required to meet on a monthly basis to staff all open unrestricted cases as a part of the overall “checks and balances” process;
- Development of standardized protocols for 24/7 Helpline;
- Site assist visits were conducted to ensure effective program implementation;
- Participated in 18 IGMC inspections and provided site-specific guidance to improve program functionality;
- Internal audits of 24/7 Helplines are conducted on a quarterly basis;
- Ongoing audits of Sexual Assault Incident Reporting Database to reconcile data and identify trends;
- Publicized and promoted the DoD SAFE Helpline;
- Collaboration with local Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANE) to provide quality advocacy and care;
- Collaborative training with NCIS;
- Inter service coordination.

4.2. List the number of new SARCS (include Deployable) and VAs (include Deployable) trained; the types of training received, which must include refresher training; and if the training was received prior to deployment.

4.2.1. SARCS (include Deployable)

136 SARCS received training in FY11.

4.2.1.1. List the number of new personnel trained.

The number above includes initial and refresher training.

4.2.1.2. Provide the types of training received (i.e., initial, refresher).

Initial, refresher, re-certification, “Take A Stand,” and pre-deployment.

4.2.1.3. Indicate if training occurred prior to deployment.

Marine Corps SARC and UVA training is conducted prior to deployment.

4.2.2. VAs (include Deployable)

730 Uniformed Victim Advocates were trained in FY11.

4.2.2.1. List the number of new personnel trained.

The number above includes initial and refresher training.

4.2.2.2. Provide the types of training received.

Initial, refresher, "Take A Stand," and Pre-Deployment.

4.2.2.3. Indicate if training occurred prior to deployment.

Marine Corps UVA training is conducted prior to deployment.

4.3. List the number of personnel who received sexual assault training:

4.3.1. Commanders (i.e., Pre-command, Flag and General Officer)

376 Commanders received training in FY11.

4.3.2. Criminal investigators

68 criminal investigators received training from SAPR personnel in FY11.

4.3.3. Law enforcement

514 law enforcement personnel received training in FY11.

4.3.4. Medical personnel

BUMED First Responders and Forensic Examiners trained.

Region	First Responders	Forensic Examiners
Navy Medicine West	7,595	41
National Capital Area	1,203	1
Navy Medicine East	11,396	57
Total	20,194	99

4.3.5. Judge Advocates

All 82 Marine student judge advocates trained at the Naval Justice School's (NJS) Basic Lawyer Course in FY11 received initial Sexual Assault Prevention Response training.

Additionally, the Marine Corps TCAP provided detailed courses of instruction on the investigation and prosecution of sexual assault cases to an additional 118 Marine SJAs, trial counsel, and military justice supervisors. This training was accomplished at three regional TCAP conferences and two Mobile Training Teams, as well as through participation at the Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault course conducted at NJS.

4.3.6. Chaplains

171 Chaplains were trained in FY11.

4.4. Describe efforts to provide trained personnel, supplies, and transportation to deployed units to provide appropriate and timely response to reported cases of sexual assault.

Per MCO 1752.5A, all deploying squadrons and battalions are required to have SAPR-trained UVAs in place prior to deployment, in addition to having at least one deployed SARC in theater. The Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) holds Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations (SAFE) kits for on-call use when necessary. In accordance with BUMEDINST 6310.11, continuous capability to provide victim services and perform SAFEs is required, regardless of location. Availability of transportation to support response for victims in deployed units is paramount.

4.4.1. Provide information regarding any existing gaps in supply inventory results, as well as the shortage of supplies, trained personnel, and transportation resources to support deployed units in responding to allegations of sexual assault.

There have been no reported no gaps or concerns in supply inventory or shortage. NCIS reports no documented cases which indicated a victim did not receive services due to gaps or shortages in supplies, trained personnel or other resources.

4.4.2. List the number of victims, if any, whose care was hindered due to lack of available Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits or other needed supplies, and describe how this information is collected.

There were no verifiable reports of a victim whose care was hindered due to lack of a SAFE kit. BUMED reports no victim cases where care was hindered due to lack of available SAFE kits or supplies. Military Treatment Facilities (MTFs) that provide in-house SAFE services have kits on hand, maintained at the ER department or appropriate clinic.

4.4.3. For any lack of available SAFE kit cases reported in 4.4.2. describe the measures your Service took to remedy the situation at those locations.

Not applicable.

4.4.4. List the number of victims, if any, whose care was hindered due to the lack of timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources and describe the measures your Service took to remedy the situation.

None reported.

4.4.5. Describe any medical and mental health treatment programs implemented by your Service to decrease the short-term or long-term impact of sexual assault on victims.

General Counseling Programs are available through Behavioral Health to provide therapy to victims of sexual assault. Various installations have established support groups as well as Memorandums of Understanding with local rape crisis/women centers. Military OneSource counseling is available 24/7 for both victims and eligible family members. And, the Marine Corps promulgates information on the DOD SAFE Helpline which provides access to trained crisis counselors.

4.5 Describe any plans for Service actions in FY12 to improve sexual assault response.

Building on the improvements from FY11, the Marine Corps SAPR program will continue to focus on a comprehensive approach that is victim-centered. FY12 initiatives include:

- Workforce development for SAPR personnel to improve overall accountability and quality of care.
- Regional Road Show to provide training on policy changes as a result of MCO 1752.5B.
- Two-day SARC Summit.

Additionally, the Marine Corps has initiated action to establish SAPR Victim Advocates which will be dedicated to the SAPR program. While responding to victims will be the primary duty of SAPR VAs, their addition to the program will boost outreach capability, and enhance improvements to system accountability.

4.6. Other (Please explain): None

5. Improve System Accountability

5.1. Provide a description of how your Service executes its oversight of the SAPR program. Please include a synopsis of the formal processes, participants, etc. that support oversight of the program.

- Command / Unit Inspection Program (CIP/UIP) conducted by the Inspector General of the Marine Corps (IGMC):
 - All Marine Corps reporting units are inspected with a fair degree of rigor. SAPR program inspections are conducted by subject matter experts from the HQMC SAPR program, using the checklist developed by HQMC SAPR. Eighteen inspections were conducted in FY11: 15 were Mission

capable and 3 were Non-Mission Capable.

- Case Management Group (CMG) Meetings:
 - Multidisciplinary CMGs are required to meet on a monthly basis to staff all open unrestricted cases as a part of the overall “checks and balances” process.
- Monthly Installation SARC/Program Managers teleconference:
 - HQMC SAPR conducts monthly teleconferences with Installation SARCs to provide updates and discuss SAPR related issues as a team.
- Quarterly Adjunct Staff teleconferences:
 - The Marine Corps conducts quarterly teleconferences with key stakeholders Adjunct Staff who are designated representatives from NCIS, Judge Advocates Office, Health Services, BUMED, Office of the Chaplain of the Marine Corps (REL), Provost Marshall Office (PMO), Equal Opportunity (EO), and Security (PS) to address systemic issues.
- Integration with Behavioral Health:
 - Behavioral Health Branch Head has oversight of SAPR.
- Weekly updates:
 - Weekly updates are provided to the Deputy Director and Director of Marine and Family Programs.
- Site Assist Visits:
 - Site visits were conducted throughout FY11 to provide direct one-on-one guidance to SAPR personnel. With the implementation of MCO 1752.5B in early FY12, more emphasis will be placed on a “programmatic” checklist, ensuring personnel adhere to policy and follow best practices for victim care.
- Sexual Assault Incident Reporting Database (SAIRD):
 - SAIRD reports are reviewed to validate collected data.

5.2. Describe the oversight activities that have taken place during FY11 with the methods or approaches you use to perform oversight, including but not limited to the documentation and outcomes of:

5.2.1. Program management reviews

Case Management Group: MCO 1752.5A established the multi-disciplinary Case Management Group (CMG) at the installation level to provide oversight of unrestricted reports. Draft MCO 1752.5B will establish the Deputy Installation Commander as the chair (non-delegable) of the CMG. A separate Sexual Assault Review Board (SARB), chaired by the Deputy Installation Commander and comprised of identified key stakeholders, will be created to ensure active command interest in addressing installation-level systemic issues (e.g., safety issues and analyzing response processes).

Monthly Installation SARC/Program Managers Teleconference: The Marine Corps conducts monthly teleconferences with the Installation SARCs/Program Managers to provide updates and discuss SAPR-related systemic issues and enterprise best practices.

Site Assist Visit: Site assist visits were initiated in FY11 and will continue in FY12 to provide one-on-one guidance and ensure command program standardization.

Internal Audits of 24/7 Helplines: The Marine Corps conducts quarterly audits of the 24/7 Helplines to ensure compliance with established protocols. Results of the audits are provided to the Installation SARCs/Program Managers with corrective recommendations, if applicable.

Internal Audit of SAPR Information on Command Websites: An internal audit was conducted on accessibility/accuracy of SAPR information on command websites. New guidance establishing standardized protocols has been published. Audits will continue in FY12 to ensure compliance.

Naval Audit Service (NAS): NAS audits have been conducted on the installation 24/7 SAPR Helplines and command websites.

Quarterly Adjunct Staff meetings: The Marine Corps conducts quarterly teleconferences with key stakeholders Adjunct Staff who are designated representatives from NCIS, Judge Advocates Office, Health Services, BUMED, Office of the Chaplain of the Marine Corps (REL), Provost Marshall Office (PMO), Equal Opportunity (EO), and Security (PS) to address systemic issues.

Updates to Supervisors: The SAPR section head participates in bi-weekly meetings with the Behavioral Health Branch Head and provides weekly updates on SAPR related issues to the Director, Marine and Family Programs.

Behavioral Health Advisory Council (BHAC): As part of the Behavioral Health Program, SAPR will be briefed at the quarterly BHAC to heighten awareness, facilitate collaboration and address systemic issues.

Sexual Assault Incident Reporting Database (SAIRD): SAIRD reports are audited to validate collected data and identify trends.

5.2.2. IG inspections of the program

Command / Unit Inspection Program (CIP/UIP) conducted by the Inspector General of The Marine Corps (IGMC). All Marine Corps reporting units are inspected with a fair degree of rigor. The SAPR inspections are conducted by a HQMC SAPR subject matter expert.

Marine Corps Inspections of the Program: Eighteen Marine Corps IG Inspections were conducted in FY11; 15 were Mission Capable and 3 were Non-Mission Capable.

5.2.3. Other (Please explain)

Not applicable.

5.3. Describe any standards or metrics you have established to assess and manage your Service's SAPR program; if your Service has begun assessing your SAPR program using the standards or metrics established, please describe your assessment findings thus far.

As of June 2011, standard SAPR questions are included on all Command Climate Surveys. A Command Climate Survey is required within 90 days of the assumption of command or can be requested by a commander at any time during his tenure. Prior to June 2011, SAPR questions were among a series of voluntary questions a commander could choose to include in the survey. Analysis highlights :

- Reluctance to report a sexual assault presented the most negative responses.
- Mean level differences were observed :
 - Women reported more agreement with understanding how to report sexual assault and less reluctance to reporting sexual assault than men. Alternatively, men reported more agreement that the work area is free of sexual misconduct.
 - Minority groups reported more reluctance to report sexual assault than the majority groups.
 - Civilians reported more reluctance to report sexual assault than military personnel.
 - Officers reported more agreement with understanding how to report sexual assault and receiving training on the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program. Officers also reported more reluctance to report sexual assault than enlisted personnel.
 - Junior enlisted personnel reported more agreement that the installation's zero tolerance policy on sexual assault was clear and more reluctance to report sexual assault than senior enlisted personnel.

- Senior officers reported greater understanding of how to report a sexual assault and less reluctance to report sexual assault than junior officers.
- Junior civilians reported greater understanding of how to report a sexual assault than senior civilians.

The Marine Corps is also in the process of developing a survey to measure the effectiveness of the “Take a Stand” NCO Bystander Intervention training. The three-hour course, consisting of videos (including the Commandant of the Marine Corps, the Sergeant Major of the Marine Corps, senior leaders, subject matter experts, NCOs, victims and junior Marines), mini-lectures, guided group discussions, and activities, is geared toward establishing a positive command climate that allows Marines to step-up and step-in, to prevent sexual assault amongst fellow Marines. To date, no studies have been conducted that look at the success of the Marine Corps SAPR program at educating Marines or preventing Sexual Assault.

In order to establish a baseline of NCO sexual assault prevention knowledge and their attitudes and behaviors toward bystander intervention, an online survey asking knowledge based questions and questions about attitudes toward bystander intervention will be utilized. The survey will be conducted again after all NCOs have received the “Take a Stand” training to examine whether a change in behavior and attitudes took place.

5.4. Describe steps taken to address recommendations from the following external oversight bodies:

5.4.1. Government Accountability Office

Recommendations from the Government Accountability Office (GAO) addressed the lack of oversight framework, limited support from commanders, and training that was not consistently effective. The Marine Corps has implemented initiatives to align its program with the strategy outlined by the GAO:

- Re-writing MCO 1752.5A to clarify oversight and reporting protocols;
- Established full-time Installation SARCs;
- Emphasizing a more victim-centric focus through policy and training;
- Creation of a 24/7 Helpline at each Installation;
- Implementing “Take A Stand,” bystander intervention training for NCOs;
- Engaging leadership in conveying that sexual assault is a crime and will not be tolerated within the Marine Corps;
- Emphasizing the importance of establishing a Command Climate where victims will be treated with sensitivity, decency, and respect; and
- Participating in IG Inspections across the Marine Corps

5.4.2. DoD/Military Service IG

Pending guidance from OSD. MCO 1752.5B has been written to mirror the pending DoDI.

5.4.3. Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services

The Marine Corps has developed its strategic proposals and specific actions to improve prevention, victim response and accountability to align with the recommendations proposed by DTF-SAMs. MCO 1752.5B codifies many of the recommendations that have been implemented through published guidance and training, to include update of the Marine Corps SAPR Functional Area Checklist and participation in IG inspections that include SAPR subject matter experts and assigning SAPR personnel to MARFORRES.

The Marine Corps has also created specialized bystander intervention training for NCOs with planned initiatives for officers and junior Marines and created a DVD resource library to be used with Command Team Training, Chaplain Training, and training for first responders. All training reinforces the importance of the reporting options and relying on the SARC for subject matter expertise. SARCs/UVAs/VAs will also be trained and in compliance with published advocacy credentialing standards, only further emphasizing the importance of victim care.

Other resources for victim care are also being developed. Memorandums of Understanding with local service and civilian agencies are required and full-time Installation SARCs have been put in place to ensure a coordinated effort among all first responders. SAPR 24/7 Helplines have been established at all installations and the Marine Corps is promoting the use of the DOD SAFE Helpline. To ensure a coordinated effort is in place by all parties to provide care for victims and to ensure they do not “fall through the cracks,” the Marine Corps implemented the Sexual Assault Incident Reporting Database (SAIRD) in 2005 and will be migrating to the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database with full operation capability expected in August FY12. Specific guidance is also provided to ensure effective collaboration between SAPR personnel co-located on joint bases.

5.4.4. Other (Please explain)

Not applicable.

5.5. Provide a summary of your research and data collection activities.

5.5.1. Describe the research and data collection activities that have taken place within your Service during the past fiscal year.

Eight focus groups were conducted to develop “Take A Stand” bystander intervention

training. The initial focus groups were to ascertain the baseline of knowledge to direct the focus of the training. Follow-on groups were initiated to pilot the development of the training and incorporate feedback and comments to ensure its effectiveness.

5.5.2. Provide the initiation or execution of any survey for the purpose of informing or improving Service SAPR programming, including highlights of available findings.

- Marine Corps participation in the DON Survey exceeded the Marine Corps targeted goal 30,000.
- The Marine Corps also supported DON focus groups at five installations to evaluate the barriers to reporting.
 - Responses have been provided to Installation SARCs to build awareness and provide guidance in targeting specific areas of concern. Responses are also being used to build SAPR training.
- Effective June 2011, standardized SAPR specific questions were included on in the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute (DEOMI) Equal Opportunity Survey (DEOCS).

5.5.3. Provide the initiation or execution of any empirical research or evaluation project to inform or improve Service SAPR programming, including highlights of available findings.

To better understand the link between drugs (e.g., illicit, prescription, and/or synthetic drugs, and alcohol consumption) and sexual assault, the National Health Research Center initiated a 6-month study in October 2011. The purpose of the study is to review current research on drug positives, and how the data correlates with sexual assault victimization among active duty military personnel. The results from this study will be reviewed for impact on future training, as part of a collaborative effort between SAPR and Substance Abuse Prevention Programs.

5.5.4. Other (Please explain)

Not applicable.

5.6. Provide an update on how your Service has aligned its strategic planning documents to the DoD-Wide SAPR Strategic Plan.

The Marine Corps has aligned its initiatives with the DoD Wide SAPR Strategic Plan in the following ways:

- Creating and implementing a comprehensive plan for prevention and response, with an emphasis on victim-care;
- Re-writing MCO 1752.5A to emphasize a more victim-centric mentality;
- Creation of a 24/7 Helpline at each Installation;
- Implementing "Take A Stand," bystander intervention training for NCOs;

- Engaging leadership in conveying that sexual assault is a crime and will not be tolerated within the Marine Corps;
- Emphasizing the importance of establishing a Command Climate where victims will be treated with sensitivity, decency, and respect; and
- Participating in IG Inspections across the Marine Corps

5.7. Describe what measures are taken by your Service to ensure that Service members protected by a military protective order are informed in a timely manner of the member's option to request transfer from the command of assignment.

- MCO 1752.5A specifically provides guidance for commanders on separating victims from the accused;
- Victims are informed by the Commanding Officer or Sergeant Major about their option to be transferred;
- The responsible SARC ensures that all protections provided under an unrestricted report, including the option to be separated and request Permanent Change of Assignment/Permanent Change of Station, are covered in every training session.
- All participants in the Victim and Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) – Victim and Witness Liaison Officers, trial counsel, legal assistance attorneys – are trained in Victim's Rights. One basic right of a victim is to be reasonably protected from the accused offender.

5.8. Describe what steps are being taken to improve the collection of sexual assault data, particularly how your Service is preparing data systems to interface with the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database.

The Marine Corps currently uses the Sexual Assault Incident Reporting Database (SAIRD) to collect sexual assault data. Data is entered into SAIRD by a UVA, VA or SARC. Data collected on victims and offenders includes gender, whether they are military or civilian, age, race, whether alcohol was used, location of the incident, and other data collected by the annual report. The Marine Corps has chosen to directly utilize DSAID as its primary sexual assault database. Effective 1 October 2012, SAIRD will no longer be used. Historical SAIRD data will be archived.

The Marine Corps has two military justice "data collection" systems – the Quarterly Criminal Activity Report (QCAR) and our Case Management System (CMS).

The QCAR is a quarterly report submitted by all Marine Corps general court-martial convening authorities to the Commandant of the Marine Corps (Judge Advocate Division, Military Justice Branch). The GCMCA is responsible for collecting and submitting statistics from all subordinate officers exercises summary or special court-martial jurisdiction. The QCAR reports convictions and acquittals for GCM, SPCM, SCM, and NJP. An additional sub-category of "Disposition of Sexual Assault Offenses" (total number, not just convictions) is also included in the QCAR for GCMCAs to report GCM, SPCM, SCM, or NJPs related to sexual assault offenses.

In February 2010, the Marine Corps implemented and made mandatory a single, web-based, Corps-wide Case Management System (CMS). CMS tracks court-martial cases in a single database from receipt of the Request for Legal Services (RLS) through trial and until the case is received at NAMARA. Because CMS is mandated to be used throughout the Marine Corps, it provides visibility at the Headquarters Marine Corps level on all military justice cases, to include those involving sexual assault.

5.9. Explain how your Service is reviewing military justice processes to improve investigations and prosecutions.

In May 2010, HQMC Judge Advocate Division developed, and the Inspector General of the Marine Corps (IGMC) implemented, AIRS Checklist 091 – SJA Office, Law Center, and Legal Service Support Section. The checklist included standards for all SJA-level legal services functional areas, including military justice, and is institutionalized as a formal part of the Commanding General’s Inspection Program (CGIP). Since implementation more than ten formal IGMC CGIP inspections have been conducted Marine Corps-wide using the AIRS 091 inspection checklist, in addition to more informal local “assistance visits.” The checklist provides Commanders and staff judge advocates with a tool to measure the efficiency and effectiveness of the legal services being provided and to evaluate the readiness of legal service providers on a much broader scale.

5.10. Describe the policies, procedures, and processes implemented by your Service to monitor sexual assault cases in which the victim is a deployed member of the Armed Forces and the assailant is a foreign national.

- In reference to Unrestricted Reports, NCIS does not distinguish in their matrix the label of Foreign National and handles reports in the same manner.
- In reference to Restricted Reports, the Marine Corps SAPR data system does identify accused as Foreign Nationals in the drop down menu.

5.11. Describe any plans for Service actions in FY12 to improve system accountability.

The Marine Corps will be migrating to the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database in FY12 to enhance overall administration and system accountability for data reporting and management. Additionally, the implementation of MCO 1752.5B will provide clear lines for reporting and oversight and codify the requirement for the Sexual Assault Review Board to meet on a quarterly basis. The multi-disciplinary Case Management Group (CMG) at the installation level provides oversight of unrestricted reports. MCO 1752.5B will establish the Deputy Installation Commander as the chair (non-delegable) of the CMG. A separate Sexual Assault Review Board (SARB), chaired by the Deputy Installation Commander and comprised of identified key stakeholders, will be created to

ensure active command interest in addressing installation-level systemic issues (e.g., safety issues and analyzing response processes).

6. Improve Knowledge and Understanding of SAPR

6.1. Provide examples of your Service's efforts to leverage senior leadership and unit commanders support of the SAPR program (i.e., Held briefings, attended summits, etc.) to raise Service member's awareness of sexual assault matters.

The SAPR program has a tremendous amount of support from senior leaders. In FY11, senior leaders engaged in the following:

- Senior leader video segments in "Take A Stand," bystander intervention training and video resource library to include the Commandant and the Sergeant Major of the Marine Corps (SMMC);
- SMMC Sexual Assault Summit;
- E8 Seminar SAPR briefs;
- Behavioral Health Summit SAPR Brief;
- SAPR brief to Senior Enlisted Leaders Course;
- SAPR brief to 1stSgt Course;
- USMC VWAP Conference;
- CMC SAPR Status brief ;
- SAPR brief to the General Officers Summit (GOS);
- SAPR brief to Senior Enlisted Professional Military Education;
- SAPR brief to Brigadier General Select Orientation Course;
- SAPR brief to Commander Course.

6.2. Describe the expansion or creation of response-related SAPR communication and outreach activities in FY11, including specific audiences and related goals.

- SAPR created a newsletter for senior leadership to enhance awareness of the overall SAPR program and address hot topics of interest;
- SAPR created a Sharepoint site to facilitate communication with field staff;
- Publication of 24/7 Helpline numbers were standardized on command websites to facilitate access;
- Monthly teleconferences with Installation and Command SARCs to disseminate information and expedite change;
- Information tables at senior training events, e.g., Commands Course, General Officers Symposium.
- Promotion of Sexual Assault Awareness Month:
 - Behavioral Health Lunch Box brief;
 - Recognition of SARC of the Year;
 - "Sex Signals," a unique combination of improvisational comedy, education and audience participation that provides a provocative, in-your-face look at issues surrounding dating social pressures, gender

- stereotypes and sexual scenarios that can go wrong, will be available for performances across the Corps;
- Activities for the purpose of building awareness and providing Marines with the who, what, and where for their local installations will be encouraged.

6.3. Describe the measures of effectiveness for your Service's outreach efforts (i.e., Surveys) and detail results.

As of June 2011, standard SAPR questions are included on all Command Climate Surveys. A Command Climate Survey is required within 90 days of the assumption of Command or can be requested by a Commander at any time during his tenure. Prior to June 2011, SAPR questions were among a series of questions a Commander could choose to provide, but were not required. These six standard sexual assault questions in the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute (DEOMI) Equal Opportunity Survey (DEOCS) are used to assist in developing unit specific prevention training plans. These specific training plans allow commanders an opportunity to target areas of concern. HQMC SAPR can also use the data to determine trends across the Marine Corps and tailor training to address concerns.

Online participation in the DON Survey exceeded the Marine Corps targeted goal 30,000. The Marine Corps also supported DON focus groups at five installations to evaluate the barriers to reporting. Responses have been provided to Installation SARCs to build awareness and provide guidance in targeting specific areas of concern. Responses are also being used to build SAPR training.

Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members. The purpose of this survey is to enhance understanding of sexual assault in the military and the results of the Department's prevention efforts. The results of the 2010 survey were used to help tailor training efforts with focus on the most at risk population.

6.4. List active partnerships with other federal agencies, non-federal agencies, and/or organizations for the purpose of research and evaluation in conjunction with SAPR program activities.

Active partnerships exist with all of the Federal Services. At the installation level, collaborative partnerships exist with medical treatment facilities and rape crisis centers. The Marine Corps attends weekly meetings with DoN SAPRO and works closely with OSD, NCIS and related organizations. The National Health Research Center initiated a six-month study to review current research on drug positives, and how the data correlates with sexual assault victimization among active duty military personnel. The results from this study will be reviewed for impact on future training, as part of a collaborative effort between SAPR and Substance Abuse Prevention Programs.

6.5. List participation in congressional hearings, briefings, and congressional staff assistance meetings.

SAPR provided briefs as part of the Marine and Family Programs portfolio to prepare senior leadership (CMC, ACMC, SMMC) for congressional hearings in FY11. Briefs and/or information and data were provided to the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness in preparation for a meeting with the Office of the Vice President and Office of the White House Counsel as well as the Joint Chiefs of Staff for testimony preparation in response to RFI from the House Armed Services Committee.

6.6. Describe any plans for Service actions in FY12 to improve stakeholder knowledge and understanding of the SAPR program.

- Finalize and implement MCO 1752.5B;
- Conduct regional trainings on changes to policy;
- Conduct quarterly teleconferences with adjunct staff;
- Conduct monthly teleconferences with Installation SARCs;
- Maintain SAPR Sharepoint website;
- Conduct site assist visits;
- Participate in collaborative training with Victim Witness Assistance Program, NCIS, BUMED, and chaplains;
- Conduct Annual SARC Summit;
- Provide performance training, e.g., “Sex Signals”, across the Marine Corps;
- Participate in leadership symposiums and trainings (e.g., Commanders Course);
- Publish SAPR Newsletter for senior leadership to enhance awareness of the overall SAPR program and address hot topics of interest.
- The FY12 Marine Corps Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP) training plan includes two TCAP regional training sessions (South Carolina in December 2011 and MARFORPAC in March 2012) and four Mobile Training Teams (Japan, Hawaii, East Coast, and West Coast). Training for trial counsel, staff judge advocates, and military justice supervisors will include sexual assault response policies, victim rights, evidence, and trial advocacy skills.

6.7. Other (Please explain)

Not applicable.

7. Lessons Learned and Way Ahead

7.1. Provide a summary discussion of the progress made and challenges confronted by your Service’s SAPR program in FY11.

The SAPR program actively designed and initiated a more comprehensive approach to sexual assault prevention in FY11. Significant change is reflected in the following:

- Revision of MCO 1752.5B;

- Enhanced training initiatives;
- Outspoken and engaged leadership;
- Standardization of procedures at the installation level; and
- The implementation of checks and balances in the field.

With continued focus on a strategic plan and accountability for set objectives, the way ahead is clearly outlined. Lessons learned relate to the need for standardization of all aspects of the SAPR program across the Corps and in finding creative and effective ways to reduce stigma. The priority for the Marine Corps will always be to reduce the number of incidents, as well as reduce the number of unreported sexual assaults with a consistent and focused emphasis on command climate.

Challenges:

- California's mandatory reporting laws severely hinder Restricted Reporting options. This also impacts victims from Arizona for whom the nearest MTF is in California;
- Focusing more attention on alcohol and implementing best practices for reducing risk factors will be of benefit to the Marine Corps.

7.2. Supply the status of FY11 plans described in last year's report, including but not limited to:

7.2.1. Prevention

The Marine Corps implemented an aggressive training plan to provide innovative, standardized materials to engage Marines at every level:

- "Take A Stand," video-based bystander intervention training was implemented for NCOs utilizing "train the trainer" methodology with unit UVAs trained to conduct unit training;
- "Sex Signals" was performed 71 times across the Marine Corps, targeting the at-risk population across the Corps. This 90-minute, 2-person professional production uses skits and improvisational comedy to address societal issues such as dating, body language, alcohol and intervention, rape and consent.
- Developed a video resource library incorporates "peer to peer" dialogue for targeted training and will be incorporated into the following trainings: Command Team Training, Chaplain Training, Annual Training and refresher training initiatives.
- Bystander intervention training for officers and junior Marines to be developed in FY12.

7.2.2. Response

The Marine Corps implemented initiatives to ensure SAPR personnel have the tools and training to provide critical care and support to victims:

- Full-time Installation SARCs enhance the oversight of response protocols and quality of care to victims;
- Advocacy training for SARCs and UVAs has been credentialed by National Advocacy Credentialing Program;
- The establishment of the 24/7 Helpline heightened visibility and exponentially improved response time to victims;
- The Marine Corps participated in the DoD Working Group on Standards of Care for Victims.

7.2.3. Oversight

The Department of the Navy Sexual Assault and Response Office (DON SAPRO) reports directly to the Secretary of the Navy on DON-wide SAPR matters. The Marine Corps participates in weekly meetings with DON SAPRO to ensure transparency of issues and facilitate top-down promulgation of guidance and policy. Additional oversight of the Marine Corps SAPR program includes:

- Inclusion in the monthly Tone of the Force data call for CMC;
- Inclusion in the monthly Gouge Report for CMC;
- Weekly updates provided by Section Head to Director, Marine and Family Programs;
- Bi-weekly meetings between Section Head and Behavioral Health Branch Head;
- Monthly teleconferences with Installation and Command SARCs;
- Quarterly teleconferences with Adjunct Staff.

MCO 1752.5B has been submitted for compliance review with signature expected in early FY12 and will provide clear lines for reporting and oversight. When signed, the Functional Area Checklist will be updated to incorporate policy changes.

7.3. Summarize your Service's plans for the next three years, including how these efforts will help your Service plan, resource, and make progress toward the five DoD-Wide SAPR Strategic Priorities:

The Marine Corps will sustain the momentum of FY11 and continue to place a heavy emphasis on training and engaged leadership. In addition, three priorities have been identified.

- Quality Assurance Practices:
 - Under the Behavioral Health Program, SAPR will be part of a multi-faceted certification process, assessing its programmatic strengths and weakness.
 - SAPR will also partake in more aggressive internal audits, via the MCIG, HQMC SAPR and installation audits of practices across the Corps.
 - Checks and balances will be increased at all levels of leadership.

- First Responders Training:
 - Focus will be on enhancing response practices.
 - Emphasis will be placed on protecting the victim from emotional fatigue from a systematic process that can be taxing.
 - Attention will be paid to the mental health of victims and the short and long-term benefits of effective advocacy services.
 - A new approach to sexual assault response will be proposed within the next three years.
- Evidence Collection:
 - Focus on the heightening awareness to the importance of timely evidence collection.
 - Enhanced collaborative relationships will be made with BUMED and MTFs that provide support to victims.
 - Expedient support by local medical personnel will be addressed.

7.4. Other (Please explain)

Not applicable.

Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 Sexual Assault Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

1. Analytic Discussion – United States Navy

1.1. Provide an analytic discussion of your Service's Statistical Report. This section should include such information as:

- **Notable changes in the data since FY11 (in percents)**
- **Possible explanations for changes, or lack of change, in data**
- **Implications the data may have for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research**
- **Implications the data may have for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research**
- **Other (Please explain)**

In Fiscal Year 2010 (FY10), the Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) reported 441 unrestricted sexual assault investigations for the U.S. Navy (USN). In Fiscal Year 2011 (FY11), NCIS reported 408 unrestricted sexual assault investigations for the USN. This reflects a decrease of 33 unrestricted reports - 7% - from FY10 to FY11. There were 325 investigations opened and closed in FY10 compared to 225 opened and closed investigations in FY11, a decrease of 31%.

Analysis

During the last three fiscal years, there has been a strong education campaign, Navy/Marine Corps-wide, to educate Sailors, Marines and civilians about sexual assault reporting options (Restricted and Unrestricted), services available to victims of sexual assault, and crime prevention. In FY11, NCIS facilitated two advanced training courses designed to expand the capabilities of investigators. Specifically, the NCIS Advanced Family and Sexual Violence Training course and the NCIS/OJAG/JAM Mobile Training Team (MTT) on "Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution" provided comprehensive investigative training. Through the two courses, 39 NCIS special agents received investigative theory and practical application instruction on sexual assault investigation and litigation. Further, nine additional special agents attended the US Army CID advanced sexual assault investigations course. In addition, NCIS continues to partner closely with the Office of the Judge Advocate General (OJAG) and HQMC, Judge Advocate Division, to improve its investigatory and adjudicative products.

While the primary role of NCIS is investigative, NCIS offers education and training to commands via specific briefs. The current sexual assault brief focuses on awareness, sexual assault prevention and bystander intervention. Training focused on defining criminal behavior which enables more personnel within the Department to better understand and recognize a sexual assault. Additionally, NCIS special agents are encouraged to participate in local training opportunities such as victim advocate training, command stand-downs and other sexual assault focused events or training.

During the 2011 DON Sexual Assault Prevention Summit, NCIS provided training to SARCs and victim advocates in an effort to further their understanding of NCIS investigations and strengthen the relationship between investigators and advocates. It is anticipated that a stronger bond between investigators and advocates will result in improvements across the SAPR program.

2. Unrestricted Reporting

2.1. Victim Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Type of offenses**
- **Demographic trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Military Protective Orders Issued as a Result of an Unrestricted Report (i.e., Number issued, number violated, etc.)**
- **Others (Please explain)**

United States Navy – Type of Offenses (USN)

As in previous years, the largest category of offenses reported for the USN was aggravated sexual assault, which comprised 41% of all FY11 reports. Most cases involved alcohol, stemming from the charge that criminalizes intercourse with a victim who is too intoxicated to consent. The second largest category of reported offenses was wrongful sexual contact at 23%, with rape following closely behind at 20%. For the 225 completed investigations, there were 235 victims. Of the 235 victims, 20% reported being victims of rape, 34% reported being victims of aggravated sexual assault and approximately 28% of all victims made allegations of wrongful sexual contact, 8% made allegations of non-consensual sodomy, and the remaining 11% included allegations of abusive sexual contact, aggravated sexual contact, and attempts to commit these offenses.

Demographic Trends

Approximately 40% of reports were made within three (3) days of the incident occurring. This percentage shows a slight improvement from 37% in FY10. The longer a victim waits to report an incident, the investigative process, including evidence collection, and the prosecution become more challenging and difficult.

Saturdays and Sundays remain the highest days of the week in which a sexual assault was reported to have occurred (29%).

No significant changes were noted in the gender, rank structure or age of the victims between FY10 and FY11. The proportion of female and male victims remained the same from FY10 to FY11 at 85% and 15% respectively. In FY11, approximately 67%

of all sexual assault victims were E-1's to E-4's, compared to 64% in FY10. In FY11, the largest age category of victims was 20-24 years of age (49% of all victims). Similarly, in FY10 the 20-24 year old age group was also the largest category of victims at 51%.

In the USN, the percentage of Service Member on Service Member sexual incidents remained constant at 65% from FY10 to FY11. Alcohol involvement and underage drinking remains relevant in a majority of these cases.

Service Referrals

Based on the reporting data, there was an increase of 108 referrals for unrestricted reports during FY11, when compared to FY10 numbers. There were a total of 1009 referrals, 706 (70%) were made to military facilities (252 military medical, 257 military counseling and 197 to military legal) and 303 (30%) were made to civilian facilities (100 medical, 149 counseling and 54 legal).

Combat Areas of Interest

Sixteen total unrestricted sexual assault investigations were generated from the Combat Areas of Interest via USN reporting in FY11, with a total of 16 victims and 16 subjects. All the victims were female, with 75% of the victims being members of the USN. Two victims were Army members, and two were civilians (one US civilian and one Foreign National). Demographically, reports from Combat Areas of Interest are quite similar to reports for the USN overall, with E1's to E-4's comprising the largest rank category for victims (62%) and 25-34 year olds comprising the largest age group at 43% of all victims. Of the subjects in these reports, 11 were USN service members (69%), 2 were civilian and 3 were unknown.

The locations of the incidents varied, due most likely to the nature of the Navy's mission. Bahrain had 8 incidents, Afghanistan and Kuwait each had 2 incidents, and Djibouti, Oman, Pakistan and Uganda each had 1 incident.

Analysis

The differences in the locations of the sexual assaults in the Combat Areas of Interest between the USN and the USMC are certainly understandable due to the mission and deployment locations of each branch of service.

The data provided via the Combat Areas of Interest shows no major differences between either branch of service when reviewing full sexual assault reporting data. The statistics for each branch of service in the Combat Areas of Interest mirror the data as presented in the full report. Demographics on victim gender, rank and age are fairly consistent for both branches of service even when broken down into the Combat Areas of Interest.

Both Service reports indicate that aggravated sexual assault, rape, and wrongful sexual contact are the most reported types of sexual assault offenses. Overall it appears that aggravated sexual assault within the Department of the Navy (DON) is the primary sexual offense being reported and investigated.

2.2. Subject Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Demographic trends**
- **Disposition trends**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**


United States Navy (USN) Subject Demographic Trends

Demographic trends inform prevention and awareness efforts; therefore, we examine these in terms of the most recent reports. Data analyzed in this section was culled from Unrestricted Reports opened and closed in FY11 as these are the most recent cases for which we have completed data. There were a total of 244 subjects in 225 sexual assault investigations initiated and completed in FY11 for the USN. Of the 244 subjects 177 (72%) were members of the USN, less than 5% were from other Services, 6% were civilian, and 18% were unknown.

Less than 1% of the suspects were female, 93% of the suspects were male, with the remaining 6% being unknown. The largest age group was 20-24 (15%) followed by subjects between 25-34 years of age at 10%. Approximately 56%, of subjects' ages were unknown. The largest rank for suspects in sexual assault investigations is E-1 to E-4 at 20%, followed by E-5 to E-9 at 13%. Approximately 16% of subjects' ranks/status was unknown at the time of the report.

Disposition Data


Thorough investigations and successful prosecutions require time, particularly in serious and complex cases; therefore, examining only cases opened and closed in a fiscal year excludes analysis of the more egregious reports received, and as a practical matter all cases reported in the last quarter of the fiscal year. For the sake of completeness in this section, we have included all dispositions in sections D and E of the matrix (final dispositions in Unrestricted Reports opened and closed in FY11 and final dispositions in Unrestricted Reports received prior to FY11). By doing so, we are able to present a complete and accurate picture of military justice for sexual assault cases in FY11.



Could not be prosecuted – In FY11, there were 361 final dispositions for subjects accused of sexual assault. Fifty-nine percent (212) of these cases could NOT be prosecuted for the following reasons: lack of jurisdiction (11), the offender was unknown (58), the allegation was unfounded meaning it was false or the allegation did not meet the elements of a sexual assault offense (30), probable cause existed only for a non-sexual assault offense (17), the subject died (1), evidence was insufficient (52), the victim declined to cooperate with investigation and / or prosecution (42), or the statute of limitations expired (1).

Initial civilian jurisdiction - In 28 of the remaining cases, civilian authorities initially assumed jurisdiction. Further analysis is not possible due to lack of information regarding these cases.

Presented for disposition - As a result of the foregoing, 121 of the remaining subjects were presented to commands for a disposition decision. Of these, courts-martial charges were preferred (initiated) against 67 subjects, non-judicial punishment was imposed on 37 subjects, 6 subjects were administratively discharged and adverse administrative actions were taken against 11 subjects. In other words, courts-martial charges were preferred in 55% of the cases in which any type of action was possible.



The data demonstrates that while court-martial action may not always have been a viable option in all cases, in those cases where it was not, accountability by some type of adverse action was in fact taken. These administrative actions are not available in the civilian community but serve to demonstrate the additional accountability options available to military commanders in the Department of the Navy. These statistics also reflect 100% accountability for all cases presented to the Commander for disposition decision.

The Department is encouraged with this data as the 100% accountability rate reflects the seriousness with which the Commanders are treating the issue and in turn taking action.

Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest – USN – Subjects

The USN had 11 total subjects in the 11 sexual assault investigations initiated and subsequently completed in FY11 for the USN. Nine, or 82%, of the known subjects were in the USN. A smaller percentage, (18%) were either not in the military or were unknown. Of the nine USN member subjects, 44% were between the ages of 25-34, 22% were between the ages of 20-24, 22% were between the ages of 35-49, and 11% was of unknown age.

Of the 361 final dispositions for subjects accused of sexual assault in FY11, there were 11 subject dispositions related to incidents occurring in the Combat Areas of Interest. Five subjects could NOT be prosecuted for the following reasons: no subject was identified (3), probable cause for a non-sexual assault (1), and insufficient evidence (1).

The remaining six subject cases were presented to commands for a disposition

decision. Courts-martial charges were preferred (initiated) against two subjects, non-judicial punishment was imposed on three subjects, administrative action was taken against one subject. These statistics reflect a 100% accountability rate for incidents occurring in combat areas of action.

The locations of the incidents varied, due most likely to the nature of the Navy's mission. Bahrain had the highest number of sexual assault incidents at 50%, followed by Afghanistan and Kuwait with 13% each, and Djibouti, Oman, Pakistan and Uganda with 6% each.

2.3. Reporting Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Unrestricted Reports (i.e., Did more reported incidents occur on/off installation, etc.)**
- **Investigations**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

USN Trends - Descriptive Data

There was a 3% increase in the amount of on-base incidents. In FY11, 43% of the sexual assault incidents were reported to have occurred on-base while 44% were reported to have occurred off-base, with 13% unknown. In contrast, FY10 on-base incidents accounted for 40% of the reports and off-base accounted for 49% of reported sexual assaults, with 12% unknown.

In FY11, 40% of all USN sexual assault investigations were reported within 3 days of the incident, which is a 3% increase from FY10 reporting. Twenty-four percent (24%) were reported within 4-30 days. This indicates an overall 63% rate concerning timely reporting. The weekend was the heaviest incident period (Saturday and Sunday) at 29%. When Friday is added as an incident day, the number increases to 41%, which are considered non-duty hours.

Of the 408 FY11 reported sexual assaults, 285 or 70% occurred at unknown times. Of the remaining 123 sexual assault with known incident times, 10% occurred between the hours of 6pm to 6am, (typically considered non-duty hours) with 59% percent of incidents occurring between midnight to 6 am and 31% occurring between the hours of 6pm to midnight.

Investigations – USN

In FY11, 408 Unrestricted Sexual Assault investigations were initiated. Of those, 225 were opened and closed (completed) during FY11. The completed investigations had 244 subjects and 235 victims.

Of these 225 investigations, 203 involved Service members as victims. Fourteen

Service Member victims (active duty) declined to participate in any judicial action. This is a rate of approximately 7%. This is a great improvement over FY10, where the rate was approximately 25%. The reasons victims declined to prosecute are unknown but could stem from a multitude of issues, whether it lies in services available to victims, the investigatory process, the adjudicative process, the command climate or a combination of these factors. The Navy continues to examine the possible reasons for victim declinations and find ways to strengthen and encourage victims to participate in the adjudicative process where possible.

Combat Areas of Interest – USN

NCIS does not work sexual assault investigations any different in Combat Areas of Interest. Sexual assault investigations are handled the same whether they occur CONUS, OCONUS or in a deployed war zone. The statistics presented in this report indicate that the demographics and trends of sexual assaults in the Combat Areas of Interest replicate those in the general overall report. There were not any vast differences or anomalies noted in the Combat Areas of Interest.

Analysis

Trends in demographics, offenses, adjudication and Combat Areas of Interest have remained fairly constant between FY10 and FY11. The statistics vary slightly between services (USN and USMC) but overall, the results are fairly similar.

Sexual assault victims in the DON (both USN and USMC) are mainly represented in the E-1 to E-4 category, with the largest age group comprising 20-24 year olds. Subjects were equally concentrated in the E-1 to E-4 range and the E-5 to E-9 range. Most subjects, like victims, were also within the 20-24 year old age bracket. There were obviously many more subjects without known demographic information than victims. Therefore, the statistics provided for subjects may not be as accurate as that for victims.

Of the known days and time periods reported, over half of all sexual assaults reported occurred over the weekend period of Friday – Sunday (59%) and between the hours of 6pm and 6am (90%). In many circumstances this period of time is considered non-duty time.

There were more off-base than on-base incidents reported for the USN and the opposite was true for the USMC with more on-base than off-base incidents reported.

All sexual assault investigations are worked in the same manner, irrespective if the investigation involves USN or USMC personnel. All investigations follow the same policies and protocols whether CONUS, OCONUS or within the Combat Areas of Interest. Therefore the way an investigation is conducted and reported will have no impact on demographics, trends, or dispositions.

3. Restricted Reporting

3.1. Victim Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Demographics trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Restricted reports are not reported to law enforcement. SARCs do not indicate the types of offenses for Restricted Reports because the type of offense is self-reported and may or may not meet the definition and criteria of the UCMJ offenses. For all Restricted Reports, the role of the SARC is to focus on support services (crisis intervention, referrals to advocacy, medical, and counseling services) and case management.

There were no significant changes from FY10 to FY11 in the amount of Restricted Reports that were reported to SARCs. There were four more reports in FY11 (174) than in FY10 (170), an increase of 2%. Of the 174 Restricted Reports in FY11, 16% (28) were converted to Unrestricted Reports, same as FY10.

3.2. Reporting Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Restricted Reports (i.e., Did more occur reported incidents occur on/off installation, etc)**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Service breakout is as follows for Restricted Reports: Army-0; Navy-169; USMC-2; USAF-2; USCG-0; and 1 unknown. Sixty-six percent (115) of all Restricted Reports were service member on service member; 18% (32) were non-service member on service member, and 16% (27) were unidentified subjects on service members. Sixty percent of incidents (104) were reported as occurring off a military installation, while 29% were reported as occurring on an installation. Demographically, 44% of victims making Restricted Reports were reported to be 20-24 years old and 64% were pay grades of E-1 to E-4.

Approximately 40% of sexual assault incidents were reported to have occurred between midnight and 6 am, while 31% were reported to have occurred between 6 pm and midnight. The time was unknown for 22% of reports. Most reported sexual assault incidents took place on Saturday (24%) or Day Unknown (22%), with Sunday coming in third at 16%.

There were three Restricted Reports of sexual assault for Navy in Combat Areas of Interest (two in Bahrain and one in Djibouti), which does not represent an increase from FY10. Of the three Restricted Reports, two were service member on service member. One reported sexual assault occurred on a military installation and two occurred off a

military installation. Two of the reports were made within three days of the incident and one within 4-30 days. Two of the three occurred on a weekend between the hours of midnight and 6 am.

4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

4.1. Unrestricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

In FY11, there were a total of 1009 referrals. Of these referrals, 706 (70%) were made to military facilities (252 military medical, 257 military counseling and 197 to military legal) and 303 (30%) were made to civilian facilities (100 medical, 149 counseling and 54 legal). By comparison, in FY10 there were a total of 901 military referrals (233 military medical, 301 military counseling and 168 military legal) and 199 referrals to civilian facilities (52 medical, 117 counseling and 30 legal). The working relationships between SARCs, SACMG member trainings, and a better understanding and trust of the system may be contributing to the higher number of military service referrals.

4.2. Restricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

In FY11, service referrals for restricted reports were primarily made to military facilities for counseling and medical. Of the 386 total referrals made, 247 (64%) were made to military facilities and 139 (36%) were made to civilian facilities.

In FY10 192 total referrals were made to military facilities (77 medical, 85 counseling and 30 to legal), compared to a total of 247 referrals in FY11; 89 to medical, 121 to counseling and 37 to legal. The requirement for legal consultation, trial lawyer training by OJAG, working relationships between legal and SARCs, case reviews at SACMGs and a better understanding and trust of the system may be contributing to the increase in military service referrals.

In FY11, there were 36 cases where a SAFE was conducted, compared to 13 in FY10. There were no instances where SAFE kits or other supplies were not available at the time of the victim's exam. There were 8 instances in FY10. This may be attributable to BUMED's new SANE trainer and efforts to ensure MTFs have available SAFE kits.

4.3. Service Referrals for Non-Military Victims Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

There were no support services referrals to any civilians for Restricted Reports or in any Combat Area of Interest.

Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 Sexual Assault Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

1. Analytic Discussion - United States Marine Corps (USMC)

1.1. Provide an analytic discussion of your Service's Statistical Report. This section should include such information as:

- **Notable changes in the data since FY11 (in percents)**
- **Possible explanations for changes, or lack of change, in data**
- **Implications the data may have for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research**
- **Implications the data may have for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research**
- **Other (Please explain)**

In Fiscal Year 2010 (FY10), NCIS reported 254 Unrestricted Sexual Assault investigations for the USMC and in FY11, NCIS reported 282 Unrestricted Sexual Assault investigations for the USMC. This is an 11% increase in Unrestricted Reports from FY10 to FY11. In terms of completed investigations, in FY10, there were 188 Unrestricted Reports completed and in FY11 there were 190 Unrestricted Reports completed, reflecting an increase of 1%.

Analysis

During the last three fiscal years, there has been a strong education campaign, Navy/Marine Corps-wide, to educate Sailors, Marines and civilians about sexual assault reporting options (Restricted and Unrestricted), services available to victims of sexual assault, and crime prevention. In FY11, NCIS facilitated two advanced training courses designed to expand the capabilities of investigators. Specifically, the NCIS Advanced Family and Sexual Violence Training course and the NCIS/OJAG/JAM Mobile Training Team (MTT) on "Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution" provided comprehensive investigative training. Through the two courses, 39 NCIS special agents received investigative theory and practical application instruction. Further, nine additional special agents attended the US Army CID advanced sexual assault investigations course. In addition, NCIS continues to partner closely with the Office of

the Judge Advocate General (OJAG) and HQMC, Judge Advocate Division, to improve its investigatory and adjudicative products.

While the primary role of NCIS is investigative, NCIS offers education and training to commands via specific briefs. The current sexual assault brief focuses on awareness, sexual assault prevention and bystander intervention. Training focused on defining criminal behavior enables more personnel within the Department better understand and recognize a sexual assault. Additionally, NCIS special agents are encouraged to participate in local training opportunities such as victim advocate training, command stand-downs and other sexual assault focused events or training.

During the 2011 DON Sexual Assault Prevention Summit, NCIS provided training to SARCs and victim advocates in an effort to further their understanding of NCIS investigations and strengthen the relationship between investigators and advocates. It is anticipated that a stronger bond between investigators and advocates will result in improvements across the SAPR program.

2. Unrestricted Reporting

2.1. Victim Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Type of offenses**
- **Demographic trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Military Protective Orders Issued as a Result of an Unrestricted Report (i.e., Number issued, number violated, etc.)**
- **Others (Please explain)**

United States Marine Corps – Type of Offenses (USMC)

Aggravated Sexual Assault was the largest category of sexual offenses investigated by NCIS for the USMC in FY11. These cases comprise approximately 44% of all USMC sexual assault investigations for FY11. The second highest case category was Rape at 15%.

The 190 completed USMC investigations had a total of 201 victims. Of those 201 victims 87, or 37%, claimed to have been victims of Aggravated Sexual Assault. Approximately 17% of the USMC victims claimed to have been victims Rape and 17% also claimed to be victims of Wrongful Sexual Contact. The USMC report also indicated that 9% of the victims claimed to be victims of Non-consensual sodomy, 3% of Aggravated Sexual Contact, and 3% of Abusive Sexual Contact.

Demographic Trends - USMC

Upon review of the FY11 sexual assault incidents, it was noted that 39% of all USMC

sexual assault investigations were reported within 3 days of the incident, which is a 9% decrease from FY10 reporting. Twenty-four percent (24%) were reported within 4-30 days, 21% were reported within 31-365 days, and 7% were reported over one year past the date of the incident. It is important to note when reports are timely it can be a vast benefit for investigators.

Weekend reporting (Saturday and Sunday) comprise 32% of all USMC reports generated.

In FY11, the proportion of female and male sexual assault victims were (90%) and (10%) respectively compared to (88%) and (12%) respectively in FY10. The largest rank represented as victims is the E-1 to E-4 category. In FY11, 62% of all victims were E-1 to E-4 in the USMC reports as compared to 61% in FY10.

The largest age group in FY10 and FY11 were 20-24 year olds. In FY10 the same age group comprised 51% of all victims and in FY11, comprised 49% of all victims.

There was a decrease in the number of “service member on service member” investigations. In FY10 that category comprised 61% of all investigations and in FY11 that number comprised 54% of all USMC investigations.

Service Referrals

There were 314 referrals to military facilities and 120 referrals to civilian facilities for services. Victims may be referred separately to either military or civilian service providers; or simultaneously to both.

USMC – Combat Areas of Interest

The USMC had 11 total Unrestricted Sexual Assault investigations in the Combat Areas of Interest during FY11. Six of the sexual assault offenses occurred in Afghanistan, two in Iraq, and one each in Bahrain, Kuwait, and the United Arab Emirates.

Seven of the investigations were completed in FY11. The USMC reported a total of seven sexual assault victims from the completed investigations, six female victims and one male victim. Five victims were between the ages of 20-24, one was between the ages of 16-19 and one was of unknown age. Thirty-one percent of the victims were E-1 to E-4.

Analysis

The differences in the locations of the sexual assaults in the Combat Areas of Interest between the USN and the USMC are certainly understandable due to the mission and deployment locations of each branch of service.

The data provided via the Combat Areas of Interest shows no major differences

between either branch of service when reviewing full sexual assault reporting data. The statistics for each branch of service in the Combat Areas of Interest mirror the data as presented in the full report. Demographics on victim gender, rank and age are fairly consistent for both branches of service even when broken down into the Combat Areas of Interest.

Both Service reports indicate that aggravated sexual assault, rape, and wrongful sexual contact are the most reported types of sexual assault offenses. Overall it appears that aggravated sexual assault within the Department of the Navy (DON) is the primary sexual offense being reported and investigated.

2.2. Subject Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Demographic trends**
- **Disposition trends**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

United States Marine Corps – Subject Demographic Trends

Demographic trends inform prevention and awareness efforts; therefore, we examine these in terms of the most recent reports. Data analyzed in this section was culled from Unrestricted Reports opened and closed in FY11 as these are the most recent cases for which we have completed data. There were a total of 223 subjects in 190 sexual assault investigations initiated and completed in FY11 for the USMC. Of the 223 subjects 177 (79%) were members of the USMC, less than 6% were from other Services, and 15% were either unknown or civilian.


The USMC investigations reported two female subjects in FY10 and in FY11.

Of the subjects who had reportable demographic information, 67% were E-1 to E-4 and 28% were E-5 to E-9, which shows a high concentration of enlisted member subjects (95% total). Of the known subjects, 85% were between the ages of 20-34, with 59% falling within the age range of 20-24 and 26% within the age range of 25-34. This statistic, like the USN, shows that the ages of subjects tend to be slightly older than the age of victims.

USMC Disposition Data - Analysis

Disposition data must be examined in terms of all cases closed during a time period vice all cases opened and closed. Thorough investigations and successful prosecutions require time, particularly in serious and complex cases; therefore, examining only cases opened and closed in a fiscal year excludes analysis of the more egregious reports received, and as a practical matter all cases reported in the last quarter of the fiscal year. For the sake of accuracy in this section, we have included all dispositions in sections D and E of the matrix (final dispositions in Unrestricted Reports


opened and closed in FY11 and final dispositions in Unrestricted Reports received prior to FY11). By doing so, we are able to present a complete and accurate picture of military justice for sexual assault cases in FY11.



Could not be prosecuted - In FY11, there were 274 final dispositions for subjects accused of sexual assault. Fifty-seven percent (155) of the subjects could NOT be prosecuted for the following reasons: lack of jurisdiction (i.e., civilian subjects NOT subject to UCMJ) (3), the subject was unknown (21), the allegation was unfounded by Command, meaning it was false or did not meet the elements of a sexual assault offense (12), probable cause existed for a non-sexual assault offense only (23), statute of limitations expired (1), the subject died or deceased (1), the evidence was insufficient (58) or the victim declined or refused to cooperate with the investigation or prosecution (36).

Initial civilian jurisdiction – In 19 of the remaining 119 subject cases, civilian authorities initially assumed jurisdiction. Further analysis is not possible due to lack of information regarding these cases.

Presented for disposition - In total, 100 subject cases were presented to commanders for a disposition decision in FY11. Commanders preferred courts-martial charges against 71 subjects, conducted non-judicial punishment against 14 subjects, took adverse administrative action against 13 subjects and administratively discharged two subjects.



The data demonstrates that while court-martial action may not always have been a viable option in all cases, in those cases where it was not, accountability by some type of adverse action was in fact taken. These administrative actions are not available in the civilian community but serve to demonstrate the additional accountability options available to military commanders in the Department of the Navy. These statistics also reflect 100% accountability for all cases presented to the Commander for disposition decision.

The Department is encouraged with this data as the 100% accountability rate reflects the seriousness with which the Commanders are treating the issue and in turn taking action.

Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest – USMC – Subjects

The USMC had seven total subjects in the seven sexual assault investigations initiated and subsequently completed in FY11. Five subjects were identified to be members of the USMC, with one foreign national and one unknown. Of the five USMC members, three were within the enlisted range of E-1 to E-4 and two were within the E-5 to E-9 range.

Of the 274 final dispositions for subjects accused of sexual assault in FY11, there were seven subject dispositions related to incidents occurring in the Combat Areas of Interest. Of these, the following dispositions were reported: subject unknown (1), victim declined or refused to cooperate with the investigation or prosecution (1), unfounded by Command (1), Court-Martial (2), and Non-Judicial Punishment (1).

Six of the sexual assault offenses occurred in Afghanistan, and one each in Iraq, Kuwait, and the United Arab Emirates.

2.3. Reporting Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Unrestricted Reports (i.e., Did more reported incidents occur on/off installation, etc.)**
- **Investigations**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

USMC Trends - Descriptive Data

The USMC had more sexual assault incidents reported as occurring On-base than Off-base, which was fairly consistent over the last two years. In FY11, approximately 39% of the sexual assault incidents were reported to have occurred Off-base, vice 51% that were reported to have occurred On-base. (Twenty-eight cases had unknown locations). In FY10, 41% were reported as occurring Off-base with 54% occurring On-base. (Fourteen cases had unknown locations).

In FY11, 39% of all USMC sexual assault investigations were reported within 3 days of the incident, and 24% were reported within 4-30 days for a combined timely reporting total of 63%. This is down 5% from FY10's timely reporting of 68%. Where reported, Saturdays and Sundays (weekend) comprised 32% of the incident times reported for sexual assaults. When Friday is added to the equation, the number jumps to 59%. This statistic indicates that over half of all reported sexual assault incidents are occurring during "weekend" or off-duty hours.

A large number (205) of the reported sexual assaults occurred at an unknown time. Only 77 reports indicated a known time for the sexual assault. Using known times only (77), 55% occurred between midnight and 6am and approximately 34% occurred between 6pm to midnight. A significant number of sexual assaults, 88%, occurred during off-duty hours, between the hours of 6pm and 6am, a 12 hour period.

Investigations – USMC

In FY11, 282 Unrestricted Sexual Assault investigations were initiated. Of those, 190 were opened and closed (completed) during FY11. The completed investigations had 223 subjects and 201 victims. Of these 190 investigations, 143 involved Service members as victims. Twelve Service Member victims (active duty) declined to participate in any judicial action. This is a rate of approximately 8%, which is the same as the rate reported in FY10 (8%). Continued education campaign focused on defining criminal behavior as it relates to sexual assault, more resources available for reporting (e.g., text and web tip hotline), as well as services available to victims of sexual assault may continue to have a positive impact on the victim's willingness to report the sexual assault and participate in the investigative and judicial processes.

Combat Areas of Interest – USMC

NCIS does not work sexual assault investigations any different in Combat Areas of Interest. Sexual assault investigations are handled the same whether they occur CONUS, OCONUS or in a deployed war zone. The statistics presented in this report indicate that the demographics and trends of sexual assaults in the Combat Areas of Interest replicate those in the general overall report. There were not any vast differences or anomalies noted in the Combat Areas of Interest.

Analysis

Trends in demographics, offenses, adjudication and Combat Areas of Interest have remained fairly constant between FY10 and FY11. The statistics vary slightly between services (USN and USMC) but overall, the results are fairly similar.

Sexual assault victims in the DON (both USN and USMC) are mainly represented in the E-1 to E-4 category, with the largest age group comprising 20-24 year olds. Subjects were equally concentrated in the E-1 to E-4 range and the E-5 to E-9 range. Most subjects, like victims, were also within the 20-24 year old age bracket. There were obviously many more subjects without known demographic information than victims. Therefore, the statistics provided for subjects may not be as accurate as that for victims.

Of the known days and time periods reported, over half of all sexual assaults reported occurred over the weekend period of Friday – Sunday (59%) and between the hours of 6pm and 6am (90%). In many circumstances this period of time is considered non-duty time.

There were more off-base than on-base incidents reported for the USN and the opposite was true for the USMC with more on-base than off-base incidents reported.

All sexual assault investigations are worked in the same manner, irrespective if the investigation involves USN or USMC personnel. All investigations follow the same policies and protocols whether CONUS, OCONUS or within the Combat Areas of Interest. Therefore the way an investigation is conducted and reported will have no impact on demographics, trends, or dispositions.

3. Restricted Reporting

3.1. Victim Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Demographics trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Demographic Trends

Of the fifty-one Restricted Reports made in FY11, forty (78%) of the victims were between the ages of seventeen and twenty-four. Of those, fifteen (29%) occurred in the

barracks. Twenty-nine (57%) of the fifty-one Restricted Reports occurred off base. Thirty-eight (75%) of the alleged sexual assaults were victims between the ranks of E-1 – E-4. Thirty-five (67%) knew the offender and twenty-two (43%) reported using alcohol. Eleven (22%) of the fifty-one were male victims.

Service Referrals

There were forty-five referrals to military facilities and ten referrals to civilian facilities for services. Victims may be referred separately to either military or civilian service providers; or simultaneously to both.

Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest

One Restricted Report was opened in the Combat Areas of Interest. The victim was a male E-3.

3.2. Reporting Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Restricted Reports (i.e., Did more occur reported incidents occur on/off installation, etc)**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Of the fifty-one Restricted Reports, fifteen (29%) incidents occurred in the barracks. Twenty-nine (57%) of the fifty-one Restricted Reports occurred off base.

Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest

None

4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

4.1. Unrestricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**
-

There were 72 referrals to military medical facilities, 109 referrals to military counseling, and 133 referrals to military legal services. There were 45 referrals to civilian medical facilities, 45 referrals to civilian counseling services, and 30 referrals to civilian legal services.

4.2. Restricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**

- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Summary of referral data

There were nineteen referrals for medical services and twenty-one referrals for counseling services at military facilities. There was one referral for medical services and seven referrals for counseling services at civilian facilities. Seven victims requested SAFEs be conducted.

Combat Areas of Interest referral data

There were no restricted reports opened in the Combat Areas of Interest.

Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data

No significant trends were identified.

4.3. Service Referrals for Non-Military Victims Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Summary of referral data.

There were fourteen referrals for medical services, fourteen referrals for counseling services and thirty referrals for legal services for non-military victims.

Combat Areas of Interest referral data

There were no referrals of non-military victims.

Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data

The most notable difference in FY10 is that non-military victims were referred to either medical, counseling and/or legal services totaling fifty-eight.

1a. Unrestricted Reports (A-E)

	A	B
1	NAVY FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
2	A. FY11 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.	FY11 Totals
3	# VICTIMS in FY11 Unrestricted Reports	436
4	# Service Member victims	378
5	# Non-Service Member victims	58
6	# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	408
7	# Service Member on Service Member	268
8	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	55
9	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	29
10	# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	56
11	# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	408
12	# On military installation	175
13	# Off military installation	181
14	# Unidentified location	52
15	# Investigations Initiated (From FY11 Unrestricted Reports)	408
16	# Investigations pending completion as of 30-SEP-11	183
17	# Completed Investigations as of 30-SEP-11	225
18	# Restricted Reports	174
19	# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	32
20	# FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	142
21	B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN FY11	FY11 Totals
22	Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	408
23	# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	164
24	# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	96
25	# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	81
26	# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	20
27	# Unknown	47
28	Time of sexual assault	408
29	# Midnight to 6 am	73
30	# 6 am to 6 pm	12
31	# 6 pm to midnight	38
32	# Unknown	285
33	Day of sexual assault	408
34	# Sunday	56
35	# Monday	35
36	# Tuesday	22
37	# Wednesday	25
38	# Thursday	35
39	# Friday	47
40	# Saturday	64
41	# Unknown	124
42	C. SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (INITIATED AND COMPLETED IN FY11)	FY11 Totals
43	# Investigations initiated and completed during FY11	225
44	# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	37
45	# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	244
46	# Your Service Member subjects	177
47	# Service Member subjects from other Services	9
48	# Non-Service Member subjects	14
49	# Unidentified subjects	44
50	# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	235
51	# Your Service Member victims	196
52	# Service Member victims from other Services	7
53	# Non-Service Member victims	32
54	# Unknown	0

1a. Unrestricted Reports (A-E)

	A	B
55	D. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN FY11 INVESTIGATIONS	FY11 Totals
56	# SUBJECTS in FY11 completed investigations	244
57	DoD Action Precluded:	62
58	# Unknown Subjects	49
59	# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
60	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons Subject to the UCMJ	10
61	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	3
62	# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	66
63	# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	9
64	# Subject deceased or deserted	0
65	# Victim deceased	0
66	# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	16
67	# Insufficient evidence to prosecute	20
68	# Statute of limitations had expired	1
69	# Unfounded by Command	20
70	# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	0
71	# Subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-11	80
72	# Subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-11	36
73	# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following FY11 Sexual Assault Subjects	36
74	# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	5
75	# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	25
76	# Administrative discharges	0
77	# Other administrative actions	6
78	E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN Pre-FY11 INVESTIGATIONS (Prior year investigations completed in FY11)	FY11 Totals
79	# Total Number of Pre-FY11 Investigations pending completion at the end of FY10 (30-Sep-10)	221
80	# Pre-FY11 Investigations STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-11	10
81	# Pre-FY11 Investigations completed of 30-SEP-11	211
82	# SUBJECTS from Pre-FY11 investigations completed by 30-SEP-11	227
83	# Final DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from Pre-FY11 investigations that were completed in FY11	227
84	DoD Action Precluded:	35
85	# Unknown Subjects	9
86	# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
87	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of persons subject to the UCMJ	18
88	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	8
89	# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	77
90	# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	8
91	# Subject deceased or deserted	1
92	# Victim deceased	0
93	# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	26
94	# Insufficient evidence to prosecute	32
95	# Statute of limitations had expired	0
96	# Unfounded by Command	10
97	# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	0
98	# Pre-FY11 subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-11	30
99	# Pre-FY11 subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-11	85
100	# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following Pre-FY11 Sexual Assault Subjects	85
101	# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	62
102	# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	12
103	# Administrative discharges	6
104	# Other administrative actions	5
105	* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.	

1b. Unrestricted Reports (F-G)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	
1	NAVY FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER																				
2	F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)																				
3		Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY11 Totals													
4		343	38	4	1	3	19	408													
5		239	25	2	1	0	1	268													
6		54	1	0	0	0	0	55													
7		21	6	2	0	0	0	29													
8		29	6	0	0	0	18	55													
9	FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY OFFENSE TYPE																				
10	G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)																				
11		Incidents Occurring Pre-FY08, but Reported in FY11				Incidents Occurring in FY08-FY10, but Reported in FY11				Incidents Occurring and Reported in FY11											
12		Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY11 Totals	
13		4	1	1	0	10	25	1	4	6	3	0	69	141	4	19	86	29	5	408	
14		1	1	1	0	6	18	1	4	5	1	0	27	96	3	14	70	18	2	268	
15		1	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	1	0	0	16	20	0	2	7	1	0	55	
16		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	9	0	3	5	4	2	29	
17		1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	23	16	1	0	4	6	1	56	
18		3	1	1	0	8	20	1	4	8	3	0	54	123	4	17	96	29	6	378	
19		3	1	0	0	8	20	1	4	6	1	0	54	121	4	14	78	12	5	332	
20		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	3	18	17	1	46	
21	TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY11																				
22		4	1	1	0	10	25	1	4	6	3	0	69	141	4	19	86	29	5	408	
23		0	0	0	0	1	6	0	1	0	0	0	8	28	0	8	14	5	2	73	
24		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	0	4	1	0	12	
25		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	17	0	1	10	3	0	38	
26		4	1	1	0	8	19	1	3	6	3	0	54	91	3	10	58	20	3	285	
27		4	1	1	0	10	25	1	4	6	3	0	69	141	4	19	86	29	5	408	
28		0	0	0	0	1	3	0	2	0	0	0	10	24	0	4	8	3	1	56	
29		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	12	0	2	10	5	0	35	
30		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	12	1	0	2	2	1	22	
31		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	7	0	1	5	1	1	25	
32		0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	14	0	1	10	2	0	35	
33		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	6	20	1	2	11	4	0	47	
34		2	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	27	0	4	12	4	2	64	
35		2	1	1	0	7	14	1	2	4	1	0	23	25	2	5	28	8	0	124	
36	DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN COMPLETED FY11 INVESTIGATIONS																				
37		2	0	1	0	4	16	1	4	9	1	0	41	63	4	13	57	16	3	235	
38		0	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	4	12	10	8	1	25	
39		2	0	0	0	4	16	1	4	7	0	0	41	63	4	13	47	8	2	218	
40		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
41		2	0	1	0	4	16	1	4	9	1	0	41	63	4	13	57	16	3	235	
42		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	8	15	1	5	12	4	0	49	
43		0	0	0	0	3	13	0	3	5	1	0	18	33	3	6	26	9	1	121	
44		2	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	9	6	0	2	11	3	2	40	
45		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	5	
46		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	11	0	0	23	
47		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48		0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	0	0	5	0	0	18	
49		2	0	1	0	4	16	1	4	9	1	0	41	63	4	13	57	16	3	235	
50		1	0	0	0	2	10	1	3	7	0	0	27	50	4	8	37	13	1	164	
51		1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	5	2	0	2	8	1	2	27	
52		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
53		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	
54		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
55		0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	0	7	8	0	1	4	0	0	26	
56		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	6	
57		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
58		2	0	1	0	4	16	1	4	9	1	0	41	63	4	13	57	16	3	235	
59		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3	
60		2	0	1	0	3	11	1	4	8	1	0	33	52	4	10	47	16	3	196	
61		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	
62		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
63		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
64		0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	0	7	8	0	1	4	0	0	26	
65		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	6	
66		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
67		2	0	1	0	4	16	1	4	9	1	0	41	63	4	13	57	16	3	235	
68		1	0	1	0	3	11	1	4	8	1	0	34	54	4	9	47	14	3	195	
69		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	
70		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
71		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	4	
72		0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	0	7	8	0	1	4	0	0	26	
73		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	6	
74		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

1b. Unrestricted Reports (F-G)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T
	G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY11 Totals
10	DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY11 INVESTIGATIONS																			
75	Gender of SUBJECTS	2	0	1	0	4	16	1	4	8	1	0	41	75	3	13	57	16	2	244
77	# Male	2	0	0	0	4	16	1	4	8	1	0	32	71	3	13	57	15	1	228
78	# Female	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
79	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	4	0	0	0	1	1	15
80	Age of SUBJECTS	2	0	1	0	4	16	1	4	8	1	0	41	75	3	13	57	16	2	244
81	# 16-19	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	5	0	0	12
82	# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	1	0	0	4	23	2	7	17	4	0	65
83	# 25-34	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	1	4	0	0	3	14	0	3	10	2	0	43
84	# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	10	2	0	18
85	# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
86	# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
87	# Unknown	2	0	1	0	2	7	0	1	1	1	0	33	28	1	3	14	8	2	104
88	Grade of SUBJECTS	2	0	1	0	4	16	1	4	8	1	0	41	75	3	13	57	16	2	244
89	# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	11	7	0	1	3	0	0	8	33	1	7	23	5	0	89
90	# E5-E9	0	0	1	0	1	4	1	2	4	1	0	4	16	2	2	19	1	0	58
91	# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
92	# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	0	8
93	# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
94	# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
95	# US Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	3	1	0	12
96	# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
97	# Unknown	1	0	0	0	2	4	0	1	0	0	0	26	19	0	2	8	8	1	72
98	Service of SUBJECTS	2	0	1	0	4	16	1	4	8	1	0	41	75	3	13	57	16	2	244
99	# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
100	# Navy	0	0	1	0	3	14	1	4	8	1	0	16	58	3	11	46	11	0	177
101	# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	5
102	# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
103	# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
104	# US Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	3	1	0	12
105	# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
106	# Unknown	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	19	11	0	0	5	4	1	44
107	Status of SUBJECTS	2	0	1	0	4	16	1	4	8	1	0	41	75	3	13	57	16	2	244
108	# Active Duty	0	0	1	0	3	14	1	4	8	1	0	18	60	3	11	47	11	0	182
109	# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
110	# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
111	# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
112	# US Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	3	1	0	12
113	# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
114	# Unknown	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	21	11	0	0	6	4	1	47

2. Restricted Reports

	A	B
1	NAVY FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
2	A. FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	FY11 TOTALS
3	# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	174
4	# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	32
5	# FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	142
6	# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	174
7	# Service Member on Service Member	115
8	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	32
9	# Unidentified subject on Service Member	27
10	# Reported sexual assaults occurring	174
11	# On military installation	50
12	# Off military installation	104
13	# Unidentified location	20
14	B. INCIDENT DETAILS	FY11 TOTALS
15	Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	174
16	# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	71
17	# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	42
18	# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	29
19	# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	12
20	# Unknown	20
21	Time of sexual assault incident	174
22	# Midnight to 6 am	69
23	# 6 am to 6 pm	13
24	# 6 pm to midnight	54
25	# Unknown	38
26	Day of sexual assault incident	174
27	# Sunday	28
28	# Monday	9
29	# Tuesday	12
30	# Wednesday	10
31	# Thursday	10
32	# Friday	26
33	# Saturday	41
34	# Unknown	38
35	C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY11 TOTALS
36	# VICTIMS	174
37	# Army victims	0
38	# Navy victims	169
39	# Marines victims	2
40	# Air Force victims	2
41	# Coast Guard	0
42	# Unknown	1

2. Restricted Reports

	A	B
43	D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY11 TOTALS
44	Gender of VICTIMS	174
45	# Male	20
46	# Female	152
47	# Unknown	2
48	Age of VICTIMS	174
49	# 16-19	41
50	# 20-24	76
51	# 25-34	32
52	# 35-49	6
53	# 50-64	0
54	# 65 and older	0
55	# Unknown	19
56	Grade of VICTIMS	174
57	# E1-E4	111
58	# E5-E9	26
59	# WO1-WO5	0
60	# O1-O3	7
61	# O4-O10	1
62	# Cadet/Midshipman	14
63	# US Civilian	0
64	# Unknown	15
65	Status of VICTIMS	174
66	# Active Duty	153
67	# Reserve (Activated)	4
68	# National Guard (Activated)	0
69	# Cadet/Midshipman	14
70	# US Civilian	0
71	# Unknown	3
72	* The Restricted Reports reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

3. Victim Services

	A	B
1	NAVY FY11 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
2	<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
3	A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY11 TOTALS
4	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
5	# MILITARY facilities	778
6	# Medical	253
7	# Counseling	325
8	# Legal	200
9	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	296
10	# Medical	92
11	# Counseling	151
12	# Legal	53
13	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	106
14	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
15	# Military Victims making an UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
16	B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY11 TOTALS
17	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
18	# MILITARY facilities	247
19	# Medical	89
20	# Counseling	121
21	# Legal	37
22	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	139
23	# Medical	36
24	# Counseling	59
25	# Legal	44
26	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	36
27	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
28	# Military Victims making a RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
29	C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	FY11 TOTALS
30	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
31	# MILITARY facilities	30
32	# Medical	12
33	# Counseling	11
34	# Legal	7
35	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	27
36	# Medical	10
37	# Counseling	10
38	# Legal	7
39	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	1
40	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
41	D. FY11 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)*	FY11 TOTALS
42	# Military Protective Orders issued due to an FY11 Unrestricted Report of Sexual Assault	57
43	# Reported MPO Violations in FY11 Completed Investigations	0
44	# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
45	# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of Sexual Assault	0
46	*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk to the victim.	

4a. Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-E)

	A	B
1	NAVY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
2	A. FY11 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members).	FY11 Totals
3	# VICTIMS in FY11 Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	16
4	# Service Member victims	14
5	# Non-Service Member victims	2
6	# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	16
7	# Service Member on Service Member	9
8	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	2
9	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2
10	# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	3
11	# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	16
12	# On military installation	9
13	# Off military installation	5
14	# Unidentified location	2
15	# Investigations (From FY11 Unrestricted Reports)	16
16	# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-11	5
17	# Completed as of 30-SEP-11	11
18	# Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	3
19	# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	0
20	# FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	3
21	B. FY11 DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY11 Totals
22	Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	16
23	# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	8
24	# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	5
25	# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	1
26	# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	1
27	# Unknown	1
28	Time of sexual assault	16
29	# Midnight to 6 am	5
30	# 6 am to 6 pm	2
31	# 6 pm to midnight	0
32	# Unknown	9
33	Day of sexual assault	16
34	# Sunday	2
35	# Monday	1
36	# Tuesday	0
37	# Wednesday	2
38	# Thursday	1
39	# Friday	2
40	# Saturday	5
41	# Unknown	3
42	C. SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (INITIATED AND COMPLETED IN FY11) IN CAI	FY11 Totals
43	# Investigations initiated and completed during FY11	11
44	# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	0
45	# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	11
46	# Your Service Member subjects	9
47	# Service Member subjects from other Services	0
48	# Non-Service Member subjects	1
49	# Unidentified subjects	1
50	# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	11
51	# Your Service Member victims	9
52	# Service Member victims from other Services	1
53	# Non-Service Member victims	1
54	# Unknown	0

4a. Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-E)

	A	B
55	D. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY11 Totals
56	# SUBJECTS in FY11 completed investigations	11
57	DoD Action Precluded:	2
58	# Unknown Subjects	2
59	# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
60	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons Subject to the UCMJ	0
61	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	0
62	# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	1
63	# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	1
64	# Subject deceased or deserted	0
65	# Victim deceased	0
66	# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0
67	# Insufficient evidence to prosecute	0
68	# Statute of limitations had expired	0
69	# Unfounded by Command	0
70	# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)***	0
71	# Subjects pending completion of command action as of 30-SEP-11	5
72	# Subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-11	3
73	# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following FY11 Sexual Assault Subjects	3
74	# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	0
75	# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	2
76	# Administrative discharges	0
77	# Other administrative actions	1
78	E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR PRE-FY11 SUBJECTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (From investigations opened prior to FY11 that were completed in FY11)	FY11 Totals
79	# Total Number of Pre-FY11 Investigations pending completion at the end of FY10	5
80	# Pre-FY11 Investigations STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-11	0
81	# Pre-FY11 Investigations completed of 30-SEP-11	5
82	# SUBJECTS from Pre-FY11 investigations completed as of 30-SEP-11	5
83	# Final FY11 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from Pre-FY11 investigations that were completed in FY11	5
84	DoD Action Precluded:	1
85	# Unknown Subjects	1
86	# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
87	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of persons subject to the UCMJ	0
88	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	0
89	# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault (definitions provided below Section	1
90	# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	0
91	# Subject deceased or deserted	0
92	# Victim deceased	0
93	# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0
94	# Insufficient evidence to prosecute	1
95	# Statute of limitations had expired	0
96	# Unfounded by Command	0
97	# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	0
98	# Pre-FY11 subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-11	0
99	# Pre-FY11 subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-11	3
100	# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following Pre-FY11 Sexual Assault Subjects	3
101	# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	2
102	# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	1
103	# Administrative discharges	0
104	# Other administrative actions	0
105	* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.	

4b. Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections F-H)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T
1	NAVY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER																			
2	F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST																			
3		Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY11 Totals												
4	# Service Member on Service Member	16	0	0	0	0	0	16												
5	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	9	0	0	0	0	0	9												
6	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	0	0	0	0	0	2												
7	# Unidentified subject on Service Member	2	0	0	0	0	0	2												
8		3	0	0	0	0	0	3												
9	COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE																			
10	REPORTS MADE IN FY11																			
11	G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST																			
12		Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY11 Totals
13	# Service Member on Service Member	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	1	1	3	1	0	16
14	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	2	1	0	9
15	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
16	# Unidentified subject on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
17		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3
18	# TOTAL Service Member Victims	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	1	1	3	1	0	14
19	# Service Member Victims: Female	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	1	3	1	0	14
20	# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY11																			
22	Time of sexual assault	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	1	1	3	1	0	16
23	# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	5
24	# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
25	# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	# Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	9
27	Day of sexual assault	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	1	1	3	1	0	16
28	# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
29	# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
30	# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
32	# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
33	# Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
34	# Saturday	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	5
35	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
36	DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN COMPLETED FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST																			
37	Gender of VICTIMS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11
38	# Male	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11
39	# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	Age of VICTIMS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11
42	# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	4
43	# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
44	# 25-34	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
45	# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46	# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Grade of VICTIMS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11
50	# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	3	0	0	8
51	# E5-E9	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
52	# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
56	# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
57	# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
58	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
59	Service of VICTIMS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11
60	# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
61	# Navy	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	2	0	0	9
62	# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
64	# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65	# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
66	# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
67	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68	Status of VICTIMS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11
69	# Active Duty	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	2	0	0	8
70	# Reserve (Activated)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
71	# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
72	# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
73	# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
74	# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
75	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

4b. Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections F-H)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY11 Totals	
75 DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST																				
76 Gender of SUBJECTS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11	
77 # Male	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11	
78 # Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
79 # Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
80 Age of SUBJECTS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11	
81 # 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
82 # 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	
83 # 25-34	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	
84 # 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	
85 # 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
86 # 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
87 # Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	3	
88 Grade of SUBJECTS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11	
89 # E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	
90 # E5-E9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	6	
91 # WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
92 # O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
93 # O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
94 # Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
95 # US Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
96 # Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
97 # Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
98 Service of SUBJECTS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11	
99 # Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
100 # Navy	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	2	0	0	9	
101 # Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
102 # Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
103 # Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
104 # US Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
105 # Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
106 # Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
107 Status of SUBJECTS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	11	
108 # Active Duty	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	2	0	0	9	
109 # Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
110 # National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
111 # Cadets/Midshipmen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
112 # US Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
113 # Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
114 # Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE																				
115																				
116	<i>Incidents Occuring Pre-FY08, but reported in FY11</i>				<i>Incidents Occuring in FY08-FY10, but Reported in FY11</i>							<i>Incidents Occuring and Reported in FY11</i>								
H. FY11 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY11 Totals	
117 TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	1	1	3	1	0	16	
118 Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Africa																				
119 Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	3	0	0	8	
120 Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
121 Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
122 Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
123 Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
124 Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
125 Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
126 Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
127 Kuwait	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
128 Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
129 Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
130 Uganda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
131 Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
132 United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
133 Central and South Asia																				
134 Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
135 Kyrgyzstan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
136 Pakistan	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
137 Afghanistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
138 TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	1	1	3	1	0	16	

5a. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-D)

	A	B
1	NAVY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
2	A. FY11 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	FY11 Totals
3	# Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	3
4	# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	0
5	# FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	3
6	# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	3
7	# Service Member on Service Member	3
8	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0
9	# Unidentified subject on Service Member	0
10	# Reported sexual assaults occurring	3
11	# On military installation	1
12	# Off military installation	2
13	# Unidentified location	0
14	B. CAI INCIDENT DETAILS	FY11 TOTALS
15	Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	3
16	# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	0
17	# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
18	# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	2
19	# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0
20	# Unknown	1
21	Time of sexual assault incident	3
22	# Midnight to 6 am	2
23	# 6 am to 6 pm	0
24	# 6 pm to midnight	1
25	# Unknown	0
26	Day of sexual assault incident	3
27	# Sunday	0
28	# Monday	0
29	# Tuesday	0
30	# Wednesday	0
31	# Thursday	0
32	# Friday	1
33	# Saturday	1
34	# Unknown	1
35	C. CAI RESTRICTED REPORTS - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY11 TOTALS
36	# VICTIMS	3
37	# Army	0
38	# Air Force	0
39	# Navy	3
40	# Marines	0
41	# Coast Guard	0
42	# Unknown	0

5a. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-D)

	A	B
43	D. CAI DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY11 TOTALS
44	Gender of VICTIMS	3
45	# Male	0
46	# Female	3
47	# Unknown	0
48	Age of VICTIMS	3
49	# 16-19	0
50	# 20-24	1
51	# 25-34	2
52	# 35-49	0
53	# 50-64	0
54	# 65 and older	0
55	# Unknown	0
56	Grade of VICTIMS	3
57	# E1-E4	2
58	# E5-E9	1
59	# WO1-WO5	0
60	# O1-O3	0
61	# O4-O10	0
62	# Cadet/Midshipman	0
63	# US Civilian	0
64	# Unknown	0
65	Status of VICTIMS	3
66	# Active Duty	2
67	# Reserve (Activated)	1
68	# National Guard (Activated)	0
69	# US Civilian	0
70	# Cadet/Midshipman	0
71	# Unknown	0
72	* The Restricted Reports reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 4a, Section A.	

5b. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Section E)

	A	B
1	NAVY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS	
2	E. TOTAL # FY11 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST -RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY11 Totals
3	<i>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</i>	3
4	Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Red Sea	
5	Bahrain	2
6	Iraq	0
7	Jordan	0
8	Lebanon	0
9	Syria	0
10	Yemen	0
11	Djibouti	1
12	Egypt	0
13	Kuwait	0
14	Oman	0
15	Qatar	0
16	Uganda	0
17	Saudi Arabia	0
18	United Arab Emirates	0
19	Central and South Asia	
20	Iran	0
21	Kyrgyzstan	0
22	Pakistan	0
23	Afghanistan	0
24		

6. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest

	A	B
1	NAVY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST: FY11 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
2	<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
3	A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY11 TOTALS
4	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
5	# MILITARY facilities	12
6	# Medical	6
7	# Counseling	5
8	# Legal	1
9	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	2
10	# Medical	1
11	# Counseling	0
12	# Legal	1
13	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	4
14	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
15	# Military Victims in UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
16	B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY11 TOTALS
17	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
18	# MILITARY facilities	5
19	# Medical	3
20	# Counseling	2
21	# Legal	0
22	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
23	# Medical	0
24	# Counseling	0
25	# Legal	0
26	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
27	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
28	# Military Victims in RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
29	C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	FY11 TOTALS
30	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
31	# MILITARY facilities	0
32	# Medical	0
33	# Counseling	0
34	# Legal	0
35	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
36	# Medical	0
37	# Counseling	0
38	# Legal	0
39	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
40	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
41	D. FY11 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)*	FY11 TOTALS
42	# Military Protective Orders issued due to an FY11 Unrestricted Report of Sexual Assault	0
43	# Reported MPO Violations in FY11 Completed Investigations	0
44	# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
45	# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of Sexual Assault	0
46	<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk to the victim.</i>	

7. UR Case Synopses

FY11 Sexual Assaults Synopses Report: NAVY																
No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
1	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Victim indicated she had been sexually assaulted several months ago. Victim contacted the SARC for counseling, but did not desire any command or law enforcement involvement and signed a VPS.
2	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim couldn't remember leaving the bowling alley with Subject. Victim awoke to being naked in her bed, as the Subject engaged in vaginal intercourse with her. An Article 32 was held and command was advised there was not sufficient evidence to continue prosecution.
3	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Victim woke up in her barracks room with Subject in bed with her wearing only underwear. Victim demanded Subject to leave her room. DNA did not match Subject's. All logical leads have been exhausted, and no evidence of criminal culpability was developed.
4	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-2	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							The Victim alleged the assault occurred while standing watch onboard the ship. The Subject took Victim's hand, opened her palm, and placed it on his groin. An article 32 was held and the Investigating Officer recommended that all charges and specifications be dismissed.
5	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense where the Victim alleged the Subject grabbed her chest. Victim stated that she yelled and screamed for him to remove his hand, but Subject did not. According to Victim, Subject also grabbed her vagina. Court-martial charges were preferred.
6a	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Off-base offense where Subject #1 allegedly grabbed Victim from behind and proceeded to "dry-hump" her. She also stated that Subject #2 stood in front of her and placed his hand down the front of her pants. Subject #1 and Subject #2 were sent to Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) and received verbal counseling by command.
6b	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Off-base offense where Subject #1 allegedly grabbed Victim from behind and proceeded to "dry-hump" her. She also stated that Subject #2 stood in front of her and placed his hand down the front of her pants. Subject #1 and Subject #2 were sent to Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) and received verbal counseling by command.
7	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-7	Male	O-1	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								Off-base offense where Victim alleged Subject touched her buttocks and breasts against her will during the course of dancing and subsequent cab ride back to the ship. Command advised there was probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense.
8	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where the Victim advised Subject held her hands down above her head with one hand and forcing penetration of his penis into her vagina with his free hand. Victim stated this was against her will and without her consent. Victim signed a VPS and no longer wished to pursue an investigation.
9	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-6	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense involving alcohol where Witnesses reported Subject met Victim in her room onboard the ship. Victim came into another witness's room claiming Subject had made sexual advances toward her. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement.
10	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Adverse Administrative Action								On-base offense where Victim and Subject spent the night in their mutual friend's room. Victim awoke to find Subject had placed his finger in her vagina. Facebook messages revealed Subject apologized to Victim. Subject received an Administrative Counseling/ Warning for Sexual Misconduct by his command.
11	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject forced himself on Victim. Upon re-interviewing, Victim stated that she was not raped, but was forced to have sex with Subject. Victim opted not to participate further in this investigation. Command did not take any punitive action against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
12	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject told Victim that he had sexual intercourse with her while she was intoxicated earlier in the year. Victim did not recall the events. Command declined to take any actions.
13	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported when she woke up, she realized Subject had his penis out and was rubbing it against her foot. Command advised Subject was taken to non-judicial punishment. Subject's actions would be reflected on subsequent performance evaluations and Perform to Serve applications.
14	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Off-base offense where Victim alleged that the Subject, attempted to have sexual intercourse with her against her will by pinning her arms down and forcing himself on her. The Investigating Officer recommended Subject face a Non-Judicial Punishment for minor violations of the UCMJ.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
15	Aggravated sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where the Victim alleged the assault occurred in Subject's office. A Summary Court Martial was held for Subject during which time he pled guilty to Article 128 (Assault) charges. The results of Subject's Summary Court Martial were reduction in rank to E-4, forfeiture of 2/3 pay and allowances for one month, and an Other than Honorable (OTH) discharge.
16	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-8	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim alleged Subject grabbed her, pinned her arms behind her back, and forcibly kissed her. Command did not take any administrative or judicial action against Subject due to insufficient evidence of a sexual or physical assault.
17	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Unknown location where Victim alleged she was sexually assaulted but refused to give any details of the assault or potential Subject. Victim signed a VPS and no longer wishes to pursue legal action.
18	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Unknown location where Victim reported to her command that she was raped while off duty. Victim was interviewed and signed a Victim Preference Statement, declining to participate in the investigation.
19	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke to the Subject penetrating her vagina against her will or consent. Command informed there was insufficient evidence to proceed with any administrative and/or judicial action against Subject.
20	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Victim allegedly awoke, observed an unidentified male Subject seated on her bed with his hands under her shirt and touching her breast. The Subject then got off the bed and walked out of the door. Due to medication and sleep state, Victim could only provide a generic description of the Subject. All logical investigative leads have been exhausted.
21	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where Victim alleged she woke up at a hotel and then in her barracks room with her pant zipper broken. Victim did not remember how she got to or from either location. Witnesses report at no time did Victim blackout, she was not drinking. Investigation is closed, due to a lack of evidence or leads.
22	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where Subject placed his hand on Victim's thigh and touched her breasts (over clothing) while he was transporting her to her residence in a duty vehicle. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement, indicating she no longer wanted to participate in the investigation. Command has decided against pursuing charges against Subject.
23	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke to Subject allegedly on top of her with her shirt and bra pulled up while he touched her exposed breasts with his hands and mouth. Command initiated Non-Judicial Punishment against Subject. Subject was found guilty of violation of Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice and awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, Extra Duties for (forty-five) 45 days, and Restriction for sixty (60) days.
24	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Unknown location where a friend reported that Victim allegedly disclosed that she was sexually assaulted while passed out from drinking. Victim was interviewed and stated no assault occurred. Victim signed VPS.
25a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-1	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Off-base offense where Victim woke up in Subject#3's apartment naked on the couch, wrapped in a blanket. Local authorities assumed primary jurisdiction. The Sexual Assault Disposition Report (SADR) for Subject#3 stated the disposition is "Other Administrative Action" and he will receive formal counseling. The SADR for Subject#1 stated the disposition is "Non-Judicial Punishment" and he will receive a punitive letter for his actions. The SADR for Subject#2 stated that there was "Insufficient evidence of any Offense."
25b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-1	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where Victim woke up in Subject#3's apartment naked on the couch, wrapped in a blanket. Local authorities assumed primary jurisdiction. The Sexual Assault Disposition Report (SADR) for Subject#3 stated the disposition is "Other Administrative Action" and he will receive formal counseling. The SADR for Subject#1 stated the disposition is "Non-Judicial Punishment" and he will receive a punitive letter for his actions. The SADR for Subject#2 stated that there was "Insufficient evidence of any Offense."
25c	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q3	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		Off-base offense where Victim woke up in Subject#3's apartment naked on the couch, wrapped in a blanket. Local authorities assumed primary jurisdiction. The Sexual Assault Disposition Report (SADR) for Subject#3 stated the disposition is "Other Administrative Action" and he will receive formal counseling. The SADR for Subject#1 stated the disposition is "Non-Judicial Punishment" and he will receive a punitive letter for his actions. The SADR for Subject#2 stated that there was "Insufficient evidence of any Offense."
26	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where Victim advised that Subject started rubbing her hand on the outside of his clothing in his genital area. Victim advised she pulled her hand away and left the room. Victim declined to participate and later signed a declination letter. Command stated no prosecution will be recommended against Subject.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
27	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject requested that Victim perform oral sex on him. Subject then placed his penis in her vagina and had sexual intercourse with her. Command conducted Non-Judicial Punishment for Subject in violation of UCMJ Article 92 (Barracks Violation and Underage Drinking). Subject was awarded reduction in rank to E-2; restriction for 30 days; and forfeiture of 1/2 months pay, suspended.
28	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Male	Q2	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								On-base offense where Victim alleged that Subject touched his penis outside of his clothing. Subject later confessed to touching Victim's genitals while he slept. Subject was administered Non-Judicial Punishment for Violation of Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and awarded 3 days confinement with bread and water, forfeiture of 1/2 months pay for two months, reduction in rate to E-2, and an Other Than Honorable Discharge.
29a	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Male	Q1	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where Victim stated while being bent over attempting to take his work boots off Subject#1 reached in from behind Victim and cupped Victim's testicles. Later that day, Subject#2 grabbed Victim's right buttock. Subject#1 received 45 days extra duty, 45 days restriction, reduction to the rank of E-4 and forfeiture of pay of \$1107.00 for 2 months for violation of UCMJ Article 92, Disobeying a Lawful Order, and UCMJ Article 134, Disorderly Conduct, Drunkenness. Subject#2 received 45 days restriction, 45 days extra duty, and reduction in rate to E-4, suspended for violation of UCMJ Article 107, False Official Statement, and UCMJ Article 134, Obstructing Justice.
29b	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Male	Q1	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where Victim stated while being bent over attempting to take his work boots off Subject#1 reached in from behind Victim and cupped Victim's testicles. Later that day, Subject#2 grabbed Victim's right buttock. Subject#1 received 45 days extra duty, 45 days restriction, reduction to the rank of E-4 and forfeiture of pay of \$1107.00 for 2 months for violation of UCMJ Article 92, Disobeying a Lawful Order, and UCMJ Article 134, Disorderly Conduct, Drunkenness. Subject#2 received 45 days restriction, 45 days extra duty, and reduction in rate to E-4, suspended for violation of UCMJ Article 107, False Official Statement, and UCMJ Article 134, Obstructing Justice.
30	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where Victim alleged that she was raped while off duty. Victim was interviewed and signed a Victim Preference Statement (VPS), declining to participate in the investigation.
31	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-1	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where Victim reported she was raped by an unknown male Subject. The male Subject forced her to perform oral sex on him and sodomized Victim. Victim indicated her initial sworn statement was not true. Additionally, Victim related she was party to a consensual sexual act and did not know how to deal with it.
32	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Victim reported she was raped by an unknown male Subject. The male Subject forced her to perform oral sex on him and sodomized Victim. Victim indicated her initial sworn statement was not true. Additionally, Victim related she was party to a consensual sexual act and did not know how to deal with it.
33	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	Multiple Victims	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								On-base offense where Victim's friend revealed that Victim was sexually assaulted by Subject at his barracks room. Subject placed his hand over Victim's mouth so she couldn't yell and sexually assaulted her. Command declined prosecution of this case based on lack of evidence.
34	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-9	Male	E-5	Male	Q2	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Off-base offense where Victim reported Subject performed oral sex and anally penetrated him. An Admiral's Mast was held and it was determined Subject violated the UCMJ Article 92 (Failure to Obey an Order or Regulation). Subject was awarded a punitive letter of reprimand. Subject waived his Administrative Separation Board and will voluntarily retire.
35	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	Bahrain	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where Victim alleged a unknown male Subject followed her and groped her breast twice. Victim declined to report the incident to local authorities.
36	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim states that Subject on top of her and penetrating her vagina with his penis. Victim signed a Victim Declination Letter with RLSO. Command declined action due to Victim's unwillingness to participate.
37	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction			Yes				On-base offense where Subject grabbed one of her breasts through her clothing, had her sit on his lap while he grinded his crotch into her buttocks, attempted to get her to touch his erect penis through his pants, and asked to touch her crotch while attempting to unbutton her pants. Subject was found guilty at Summary Court-Martial of two specifications of Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and two specifications of Article 92 (Orders Violation for Fraternization and Harassment/Interpersonal Relationships). Subject was awarded reduction in rank to E-6 pay grade.
38	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								On-base offense where the Subject untied Victim's pant and asked her to perform oral sex on him. Subject pulled his penis out of his pants and was shaking it with his hand. Subject went to Non-judicial Punishment (NJP) and was found guilty of a violation of Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) Article 92 (Failure to Obey Order or Regulation). Subject was awarded 60 days restriction to the ship and a reduction in rate of one pay grade. Subsequent to receipt of his Non-Judicial Punishment, Subject was subject to a Separation Board and was awarded an Other than Honorable Discharge (OTH) from the US Navy.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
39	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim went out to a local bar with friends. The next memory Victim was feeling the hands of a man on her waist and his erect penis against her buttocks. Subject attempted several times to remove Victim's shorts and panties. Victim signed VPS. Command declined any action due to Victim's unwillingness to participate.
40	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Victim reported being raped in her barracks room. Victim knew the first name of the Subject but declined to provide it, but she provided the clothing worn during the alleged rape. Victim advised she did not desire to participate in the investigation and signed a Victim Preference Statement.
41	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim remembered waking up inside her quarters and discovering Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Victim said she remembers performing oral sex on Subject but believes she was too intoxicated to consent to any of the sexual activity. Command did not pursue rape charges against Subject based on insufficient evidence.
42	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject placed his hand on her breast, over her clothing. Subject went to Captains Mast and was found guilty in violation of UCMJ Article 92 (Failure to Obey a Lawful Order) and UCMJ Article 134 (Drunk and Disorderly), and the sexual assault charge was dismissed.
43	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim repeatedly told the Subject she didn't want to engage in sex with him, but Victim reported Subject raped her. Victim left the apartment not knowing the area, ended up at a hotel where she told employees she had been raped and asked them to call the police. Subject is pending judicial action by Local District Attorney's Office.
44	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								CONUS unknown: Victim and Subject were at a party. Victim alleged that Subject took her into a bathroom and proceeded to vaginally and anally penetrated her and forced her to perform oral sex. Victim attempted to leave but Subject blocked the door. Victim was finally able to gather her clothes and leave after a knock on the door. Prosecution was declined due to insufficient evidence.
45	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported the Subject digitally penetrated her vagina and inserted his penis into her vagina after she told him to stop numerous times. Command decided not to prefer charges against Subject pertaining to any Article 120 violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice.
46	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Unknown location where Victim reportedly was awoken to Subject grabbing her buttocks on top of her pants, grabbing her breasts over her shirt and attempting to push her shirt up over her breasts. Subject went to Captain's Mast for underage drinking, unauthorized absence (UA) and wrongful sexual conduct. He was given a verbal reprimand and assigned Extra Military Instruction (EMI).
47	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Unknown location where Victim made allegations against the Subject that she had been raped. Command took Subject to Non-Judicial Punishment.
48	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where Victim reported the Subject reached around with his right hand, put it up her dress, moved her underwear to the side and digitally penetrated her vagina with one finger. Command declined to refer this case for judicial action or to take administrative action due to lack of evidence.
49	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where the Subject was one of two subjects in an alleged double rape. This investigation was reviewed by the Superior Court (Part A), who declined to prosecute both potential Subjects.
50a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported that she was raped by two (2) fellow shipmates. Victim was raped by Subject#1 and Subject#2 in the back seat of a motor vehicle. Victim provided a signed sworn statement indicating she no longer believed she was raped. Command did not pursue any charges against Subject#1, Subject#2, and Subject#3.
50b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported that she was raped by two (2) fellow shipmates. Victim was raped by Subject#1 and Subject#2 in the back seat of a motor vehicle. Victim provided a signed sworn statement indicating she no longer believed she was raped. Command did not pursue any charges against Subject#1, Subject#2, and Subject#3.
50c	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported that she was raped by two (2) fellow shipmates. Victim was raped by Subject#1 and Subject#2 in the back seat of a motor vehicle. Victim provided a signed sworn statement indicating she no longer believed she was raped. Command did not pursue any charges against Subject#1, Subject#2, and Subject#3.
51	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim related she had sex with Subject. The following morning she woke up naked, on the floor of her barracks room to the sound of command members knocking on her door. CO stated no administrative/judicial action will be taken as subject was unknown.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
52	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject touched Victim on or about her breasts without her consent. Command advised a protective order was placed on Subject to discourage any additional contact between Victim and Subject. Subject attended Captain's Mast for the two separate charges, Violation of UCMJ Article 120(Wrongful sexual contact) and Violation of UCMJ Article 134(Disorderly conduct, drunkenness). Subject was awarded a punitive letter of reprimand, forfeiture of \$500.00 for 2 months, and 60 days restriction.
53	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where Victim contacted her command to report that she was raped at an unknown residence. Victim indicated that she did not want to provide a statement and would not participate in an investigation. She also did not wish to disclose the name of the alleged suspect and signed a Victim Preference Statement.
54	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								On-base offense where Subject has been making inappropriate sexual comments to the Victim while standing watch. Victim stated Subject inappropriately touched her thighs and stomach. Subject's employment was terminated based on conduct exhibited that fails to demonstrate fitness for continued employment in the Federal service.
55	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the alleged assault took place during a medical procedure. Victim reported Subject inappropriately touched her clitoris three times during a colposcopy. Command advised no administrative or judicial action will be taken in this matter due to insufficient evidence.
56	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								On-base offense where Subject pulled Victim towards him as she sat on a work bench, groped her breasts, unzipped her USN coveralls and inserted a finger into her vagina. Command advised that Subject went to Non-Judicial Punishment was assessed a reduction in rank by one pay grade (suspended for six months), 60 days restriction, and forfeiture of 1/2 months pay for two months.
57	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where the Victim provided a sworn statement indicating she had sexual intercourse with the Subject. However, when the Subject attempted to have anal intercourse with her she told him to stop. This investigation was deemed to be unfounded.
58	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		On-base offense where Subject held Victim against a handrail, kissed her lips, and rubbed her vagina outside her clothing with his fingers. Subject was taken to a Disciplinary Review Board (DRB).
59	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								On-base offense where Victim signed a sworn statement wherein she alleges Subject put both of his hands down the back of her pants and slid them underneath her underwear. Subject was found guilty at non-judicial punishment of violating Article 120. Subject went before an Administration Separation Board and the board found no misconduct and recommended retention. However, command has recommended immediate separation and submitted this request to Navy Personnel Command.
60	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject and Victim engaged in consensual sex and then Victim physically attacked him after he asked her to leave. Subject admitted to physically throwing Victim off the bed after she angered him. A Captain's Mast was held during which Subject was charged with a violation of the UCMJ Article 12B (Assault) for pushing Victim off the bed. Subject's Command ordered him to forfeit \$300 pay per month for one (1) month.
61	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where Victim had returned to the ship from an Unauthorized Absence (U/A) status and during questioning about her whereabouts, she reported she had been raped by Subject while at his residence, Subject crawled into the bed where she was sleeping, got on top of her, pinned her to the bed, and raped her. Victim was interviewed and confessed to providing a false statement.
62	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where Victim advised an unidentified Subject accompanied her down the hallway and began discussing disciplinary issues with her. Victim advised she was crying when the Subject pulled her into a bathroom, removed some of her clothing, and performed cunnilingus on her. Command has decided not to take any judicial or administrative action against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
63	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Subject had engaged in consensual sexual intercourse with Victim at her residence, but refused to stop after she withdrew consent. Victim fabricated the allegations because she was scared her parents would find out she had engaged in sexual activity. Subsequently, the allegations in this case were unfounded.
64	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								Unknown location where Victim reported to her Command she was raped. Victim stated she is unable to positively identify any possible suspects. Victim declined to participate and signed a Victim Preference Statement (VPS). This investigation is closed due to Victim's unwillingness to participate and the lack of viable leads.
65	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim advised she awoke and was naked so she put on a nightgown. Victim stated she had discomfort in her "private area" and remembers Subject walking her home and removing her clothes. Subject plead guilty in the General District Court to Sexual Battery and sentenced to twelve (12) months in jail (10 months, 13 days were suspended), and a \$215.00 fine.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
66	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where Victim stated Subject forcibly removed both their pants at which point she noticed Subject was already wearing a condom. Victim stated Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis against her will. Victim no longer wished to participate in the investigation and subsequently signed a Victim Preference Statement (VPS). Command declined further action against Subject for insufficient evidence.
67	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim stated she had been sexually assaulted by five (5) sailors. All but one of the Subjects (Subject#4) admitted culpability in having sexual intercourse with the Victim while she was too intoxicated to consent. All Subjects were referred to General Court Martial. Subject#4 pled guilty to violation of Article 120, sentenced to ten years confinement, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, dishonorable discharge and registration as a sex offender. Investigation remains pending judicial action against all remaining suspects.
68	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she told Subject#1 she did not want to go home with him, and later went home with him where they had sex. Victim did not report that she said no to having sex or that he used force. Victim was informed the investigation would be closed as unfounded.
69	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where Subject grabbed and held Victim to where she couldn't free herself and began kissing her lips. Victim related she was in fear that Subject was planning to forcefully continue sexual activity, but was eventually able to free herself and exit the area. Command declined action against the Subject due to Victim declining to participate in the military justice action.
70a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke the next morning in bed with Subject#1, who was naked, experiencing painful bleeding in her vaginal area. The Victim remembered Subject#1 vaginally penetrating her and Subject#2 fondling her. Command declined to seek the prosecution of both Subjects based upon the lack of evidence supporting the allegations against them and the Victim's desire to no longer cooperate in the investigation.
70b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke the next morning in bed with Subject#1, who was naked, experiencing painful bleeding in her vaginal area. The Victim remembered Subject#1 vaginally penetrating her and Subject#2 fondling her. Command declined to seek the prosecution of both Subjects based upon the lack of evidence supporting the allegations against them and the Victim's desire to no longer cooperate in the investigation.
71	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim alleged she was sexually assaulted by Subject while on leave. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement indicating she did not wish to participate in the investigation. All viable leads have been exhausted and, without Victim's cooperation, the subject of this case cannot be identified.
72	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	Foreign National	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated she awoke lying in Subject's bed wearing only her bra and had no recollection of any events. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement and elected not to participate in an investigation. SJA advised no Command action was taken against Subject.
73	An attempt to commit any of these offenses (Article 80, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Foreign National	Male	Multiple Victims	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where the Subject grabbed Victim#1's shirt and stretched both her shirt and brassiere and then unsuccessfully tried to take her pants off. Victim#1 stated the Subject had his pants and boxers around his knees "masturbating" and smiling at her. Local police closed their investigation due to lack of investigative leads in identifying the Subject.
74	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	Bahrain	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								Off-base offense where Victim advised that Subject grabbed her upper inner thigh, crotch, and buttocks, over her clothing. Subject went to Captain's Mast and was found guilty of UCMJ Articles 92 and 134 (Failure to Obey Order and Conduct Unbecoming). Subject was reduced in rank to E-5, forfeited 1/2 months pay for two months, and was given 60 days restriction to be served at Transient Personnel Unit (TPU).
75	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								Unknown location where Victim's boyfriend reported Victim was sexually assaulted. Victim refused to provide a statement about the alleged assault. She signed a Victim Preference Statement indicating she didn't wish to participate in the investigation.
76	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where the Victim reported allegations of being forced to perform oral sex on Subject. VPS signed. Prosecution declined based on Victim's unwillingness to cooperate.
77	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim indicated Subject choked her and forcibly attempted to perform anal and vaginal sexual intercourse. Investigation is closed due to Victim's unwillingness to participate in the captioned investigation, the lack of potential suspect(s), or substantive outstanding investigative leads.
78	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where Victim reported the Subject rubbed his penis against her buttocks, grabbed her buttocks and touched her breast with his hands (over clothing). Subsequent to legal consultation, Command will be taking no administrative or judicial action against Subject.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
79	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where Victim explained that Subject parked her car, kissed her, climbed on top of her and sexually assaulted her, while she was passed out in the front passenger seat. Subsequently, Victim recanted a portion of her original statement and recalled she was not incapacitated during the assault. Command advised no administrative or judicial action will be pursued against Subject since allegations of sexual assault are unfounded.
80	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q2	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Subject came up to the Victims while forming up in formation, he grabbed their breast and pushed them to the side. Victims were unable to identify the Subject in a line up or by military ID.
81	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where Victim alleged the sexual encounter, started out as consensual when they engaged in sexual intercourse. However, at some point during intercourse, Victim reported it became painful and told Subject to stop several times. Command declined to pursue, no violation of UCMJ Article 120 occurred. Subject was released from legal hold.
82	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Subject had forcible sexual contact with Victim. Local authorities obtained an arrest warrant for Subject. Subject was arrested aboard Naval Amphibious Base.
83	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim engaged in sexual intercourse with Subject in a female restroom stall. Victim could not recall consenting to the sexual act or how it even began. Command declined to take judicial or administrative action against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
84	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Unknown location involving alcohol where Victim awoke in Subject's bed and Subject was digitally penetrating her vagina and performed cunnilingus on her without her consent. Subject also placed his penis near Victim's mouth and she orally copulated him. Command decided not to pursue judicial or administrative action against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
85	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Unknown location where Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject. Victim stated she no longer desired to cooperate with law enforcement or pursue charges against Subject. Due to Victim's preference and refusal to cooperate with the investigation, there are no additional leads to pursue.
86a	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Victim stated two unknown males (Subject #1 and Subject #2) touched her breasts and genitalia without her consent. Subject #1 was charged with violation of UCMJ Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and taken to Commanding Officer's Nonjudicial Punishment (NJP). Subject #1 was awarded 60 days restriction, reduction in rate to E-3 and forfeiture of \$889.00 pay per month for two months. Subject #2 was charged with violation of UCMJ Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and taken to Commanding Officer's NJP. Subject #2 was awarded 60 days restriction, reduction in rate to E-2 and forfeiture of \$847.00 pay per month for two months.
86b	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Victim stated two unknown males (Subject #1 and Subject #2) touched her breasts and genitalia without her consent. Subject #1 was charged with violation of UCMJ Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and taken to Commanding Officer's Nonjudicial Punishment (NJP). Subject #1 was awarded 60 days restriction, reduction in rate to E-3 and forfeiture of \$889.00 pay per month for two months. Subject #2 was charged with violation of UCMJ Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and taken to Commanding Officer's NJP. Subject #2 was awarded 60 days restriction, reduction in rate to E-2 and forfeiture of \$847.00 pay per month for two months.
87	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where the Victim related she was sexually assaulted by Subject in her off-base residence. Victim re-canted her initial allegation of having been sexually assaulted. Victim admitted she and Subject had consensual sexual intercourse in her residence. Upon determination that the allegation of rape is unfounded.
88	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								Unknown location where Victim reported she was raped, but refused to provide any details related to the incident, subject, or location of the offense. Victim would not sign a victim preference statement and was discharged from active duty for unrelated reasons. There are no investigative leads to follow-up on.
89	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								Unknown location where Victim stated she had been raped by a member of the medical staff. Victim did not provide further details of the assault. Victim has declined to participate or provide any further details surrounding the sexual assault.
90	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated she was sleeping when she felt pressure on her stomach and felt someone sticking fingers in her vagina. Victim then felt the pressure of an erect penis and woke up. Local authorities were called and Subject was arrested.
91	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where Subject touched Victim's mid-thigh, ran his hand up her side to her left breast, rubbed it, then slid his hand down to her waist and squeezed. Command would not prosecute Subject after determining Victim's allegations of sexual assault to be unfounded.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
92	Aggravated sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated she woke up in her hotel room with her dress pulled down to her waist and her bra was taken off. Subject was found guilty of unlawful entry into Victim's hotel room. Subject was awarded forfeiture of pay in the amount of \$3,336.00, and reductions in rate. Subject is also being processed for administrative separation.
93	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				Unknown location where five (5) Victims had obtained a Military Protective Order against Subject. Victims were all claiming Subject had shown them picture(s) of his genitals and groped/touched their buttocks without consent. Subject appeared at NJP for violations of UCMJ Articles 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and 134 (Indecent Exposure). Subject was awarded 45 days restriction/extra duty, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of \$733.00 for two months. Subsequently, Subject received an administrative discharge.
94	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject put his hand down Victim's pants, into her panties and inserted one finger into her vagina. Subject was taken to NJP for Article 134, disorderly conduct, drunkenness and then administratively separated for a pattern of misconduct and commission of a serious offense, driving under the influence.
95	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-5	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								Unknown location where a report of a possible sexual assault occurred. Victim never disclosed the Subject's identity to any law enforcement entity. Victim stated she didn't want an investigation for the alleged assault and didn't want command involvement. Victim signed VPS.
96	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated she found a broken condom in her bed and felt like she had sex. When questioned about inconsistencies in her statement, Victim stated she no longer wished to pursue any investigative, legal, or administrative action against Subject. Command declined to take any administrative/judicial action against Subject.
97	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Subject grabbed her by the throat, pushed her face up against a wall, and raped her. Local authorities closed its case regarding the reported sexual assault as unfounded and no charges will be sought against Subject.
98	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	Bahrain	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Unknown location where Victim stated Subject slid his hands down her body touching her breasts and stomach before coming to rest on her inner thighs by her vagina. Subject then pulled her into him where she could feel his penis on her backside. Subject was found guilty of violating Articles 120 (abusive sexual contact) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice at Captain's Mast. Subject was awarded restriction for 45 days, extra duty for 45 days, forfeiture of \$1075.00 per month for two months, and reduction in rank to E-3.
99	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-6	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where Victim indicated she remembered awaking in a hotel room with Subject on top of her, engaged in sexual intercourse. Victim reported the incident to Command since she had lost her appetite and was not sleeping well. Victim signed a Victim's Preference Statement. She has strongly professed her opinion that she was not the victim of a crime, at the hands of the Subject.
100	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Victim reported that she was raped by Subject in a military uniform. Victim stated she no longer wanted to participate in the investigation and signed the Victim Preference Statement. Due to Victim's inability to identify the suspect and all logical investigative steps has been completed.
101	Aggravated sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject backed her up into a wall and tried to kiss her. Subject then grabbed her hand and placed it on his exposed erect penis. Subject received Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) for failing to obey an order, disobeying a commissioned officer, aggravated sexual contact, and indecent exposure. Subject was sentenced to 45 days restriction and extra duties, reduction to E-3, and forfeiture of \$999 for 2 months. Additionally, Subject was recommended to be administratively separated from the Navy for commission of a serious offense.
102	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	O-1	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where Subject lifted Victim's dress over her head and ripped it. Victim stated that Subject may have stuck his fingers in her vagina, but she cannot remember. Victim declined to provide a sworn statement and further declined participation in this investigation. Command declined to pursue the matter further due to Victim declining to participate in the military justice action.
103	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Female	E-6	Male	Q3	Statute of limitations has expired								Off-base offense where Victim alleged Subject performed fellatio on him and digitally penetrated his anus with her finger. Navy Regional Legal Services Office determined the Statute of Limitations had expired for the alleged assault and no further investigative action was required.
104	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-1	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Unknown location where an alleged offense occurred. Victim advised she did not want to provide any information and is not willing to cooperate in an investigation. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement.
105	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Victim reported Subject approached Victim from behind as she was dancing, grabbed her and inserted his fingers into her vagina. Subject denied culpability and was placed under arrest for 2nd degree felony sexual battery. State's Attorney Office closed its case and Subject's bond was cancelled. Command was apprised and advised no additional action will be taken against Subject.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
106	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Acquittal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject allegedly reached across using her button to lower the window and after doing so lowered her "tube top" and strapless bra. Subject then allegedly fondled her breasts. Subject was found not guilty at NJP.
107	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	O-5	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim levied the allegation that she was raped because of her intoxicated state and failure to recall any sexual contact with Subject. Victim is interested in putting this behind her and does not desire to participate in this investigation. VPS signed.
108	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Unknown location where an alleged sexual assault occurred. Victim elected to sign a Victim Preference Statement declining further participation in the NCIS investigation. No Subject was identified and the location and details of the alleged assault are unknown.
109	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								On-base offense where Subject reached from behind Victim and grabbed her crotch area. Subject apologized and according to Victim she accepted Subject's apology. Subject was taken to Captains Mast and was found guilty in violation of UCMJ Article 120. Subject was reduced in rank to E-3 and is administratively being processed out of the USN.
110	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-7	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where Subject grabbed her from behind, threw her on a bench inside of the office, and vaginally penetrated her. Victim claimed she had no recollection of accusing Subject of rape based on her drunken state. She said the next day she continued with the story because she was afraid of getting into trouble.
111	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Victim stated Subject had sex with her, against her will, in his vehicle. Command advised RLSD would not pursue a case, due to the Victim's declination to cooperate with the investigation, and her reluctance to identify the Subject.
112	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported she was raped by Subject. Victim stated she did not desire to answer questions, provide details, or participate in this investigation. Victim advised she was not raped by Subject. SJA advised Victim is currently receiving counseling/treatment for depression. Due to Victim's treatment status and the absence of any sexual assault, no further investigative actions will be conducted.
113	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Unknown location where an alleged offense occurred. Victim advised she did not want to provide any information regarding her alleged assault and was not willing to cooperate in an investigation. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement.
114	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Male	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where Victim said he woke later to find Subject performing oral sex on him. Subject denied performing oral sex but admitted rubbing Victim's groin over his clothes while Victim lay on the bed. Based on the facts and reliability of the disclosers, Subject's command would not prefer charges against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
115	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where Victim stated they shared a bed. Subject rolled her over, got on top of her, took her underwear off, applied a condom, and raped her. Victim recanted her rape allegation and explained that although she regretted the consensual sexual encounter with Subject, he did not rape her.
116	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated she awoke, next to Subject with her zipper open, the button and belt to her pants undone and her underwear missing. Victim refused to provide local authorities with any information and advised she did not want to go forward with the investigation.
117	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								On-base offense where the Victim alleged Subject removed her pants and licked her vagina. Subject then removed his pants and inserted his penis in her vagina. All leads have been exhausted, and Subject is unable to be positively identified, this investigation is closed.
118	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-2	Male	O-3	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where the Victim alleged Subject removed her pants and licked her vagina. Subject then removed his pants and inserted his penis in her vagina. All leads have been exhausted, and Subject is unable to be positively identified.
119	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Unknown location where the Victim alleged that she had unwanted sexual relations with Subject. Subject was interviewed and denied having non-consensual sexual intercourse with Victim. Subject's command declined to pursue any judicial action against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
120	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	Bahrain	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Onboard ship offense where Subject pulled Victim's short and underwear to the side, exposing her vagina while the two were sitting on a couch. Subject was found NOT GUILTY of sexual assault during NJP proceedings, but was found GUILTY of violating Article 92 (Fraternalization) of the UCMJ and received a reduction in rank from E6 to E5, and also received (60) days restriction.
121	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							On-base offense where the Victim alleged that Subject grabbed her from behind on her buttocks. Subject was found guilty at NJP of Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact), Article 92 (Failure to Obey a General Order), and Article 134 (Disorderly Conduct) on 14Jul11.
122a	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	O-2	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where Victim was approached by two Subjects who raped her on the beach. Victim declined to provide any further details or cooperate pertaining to this investigation. Victim signed a Victim's Preference Statement.
122b	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	O-2	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where Victim was approached by two Subjects who raped her on the beach. Victim declined to provide any further details or cooperate pertaining to this investigation. Victim signed a Victim's Preference Statement.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
123	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Off-base offense where Victim felt Subject's hand over her jeans in her crotch area. Subject was found guilty of violations of one specification of Article 107 (False official statement) and one specification of Article 120 (Rape, sexual assault, and other sexual misconduct). Subject was awarded reduction to the next inferior pay grade (E-5) and forfeiture of one half month's pay for two months (suspended for six months).
124	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Victim stated the following morning she was without her jeans and underwear. Victim stated she knows who raped her. However, she declined to provide the name and stated she did not wish to provide any more details and did not want to cooperate with the investigation. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement.
125	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Unknown location where Victim reported she was raped but refused to provide any details related to the incident, subject, or location of the offense. There are no investigative leads to follow-up on.
126	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Unknown location where a suspected allegation of sexual assault or rape occurred. Victim did not provide any details regarding the incident. Victim stated she would not participate in the investigation.
127	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where the Subject stabbed Victim's air mattress while she was lying on it, choked her to the ground, and pressured her to engage in sexual intercourse. Subsequent to the interview, the Victim executed a Victim Preference Statement expressing her desire to no longer actively participate in the investigation.
128	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q3	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where two male Subjects approached Victim at gunpoint, forced him to the floor of the restroom, and ordered Victim to perform fellatio. Victim refused to provide further amplifying information pertaining to the alleged indecent assault. Victim signed VPS.
129	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q3	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where two male Subjects approached Victim at gunpoint, forced him to the floor of the restroom, and ordered Victim to perform fellatio. Victim refused to provide further amplifying information pertaining to the alleged indecent assault. Victim signed VPS.
130	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where Victim reported Subject pulled her into his office and began kissing her neck and rubbing his hands under her dress. Command was apprised of the investigative findings and determined no action was to be taken due to insufficient evidence.
131	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Midshipman	Male	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where Victim was possibly sexually assaulted by an unknown male Subject. Victim admitted he consensually performed fellatio on the Subject. Feeling ashamed Victim stated he stopped performing fellatio and ran from the apartment. Staff Judge Advocate was apprised of Victim's admission that the sex act was consensual.
132	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Unknown location where the Victim was allegedly sexually assaulted by Subject. Victim advised she did not want to report anything and advised she believes Subject "made a mistake." Victim was offered and signed a Victim Preference Statement (VPS).
133	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-3	Male	Q3	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where Victim reported being groped by an unidentified civilian Subject at a bar. Victim received counseling from the chaplain and the command sexual assault victim advocate. The Victim declined to participate in an investigation and signed a Victim Preference Statement indicating his desire not to participate.
134	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Unknown location where Victim originally intended to make a restricted report of a rape incident that occurred. The report became unrestricted when she spoke with her SARC who indicated the Victim expressed suicidal ideations. The Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement (VPS), indicating her wishes not cooperate in the investigation.
135	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q4	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Victim reported he awoke to an unidentified Subject touching his genitals while he was in his barracks room sleeping. Victim also advised that he wouldn't be able to identify the suspect if he ever saw him again. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement (VPS) because he did not wish to pursue an investigation.
136	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-1	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Unknown location where a sexual assault offense occurred. Victim provided a Victim Preference Statement and confirmed her decision to not participate in a sexual assault investigation.
137	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where an alleged rape occurred. Victim stated she did not want to provide any additional information regarding the incident. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement.
138	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Conviction	Yes						Off-base offense where the Victim reported she was allegedly raped at a friends' apartment. Victim declined to provide information regarding the Subject or details of the incident and signed a Victim Preference Statement. Victim's friend provided the name of the alleged Subject. Subject was found guilty of UCMJ Article 92 Failure to Obey a lawful general regulation (wrongfully drinking alcohol while under the age of 21). Subject received restriction for thirty (30) days and extra duty for thirty (30) days.
139	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Case Unfounded by Command								Unknown location where Command reported a female enlisted member, Victim, had accused Subject of sexual assault. Command advised Subject had disobeyed a direct order not to leave the ship. Victim stated she had consensual sex with Subject and had never been threatened by him. Victim confirmed no sexual assault occurred.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
140	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	Pakistan	US Civilian	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Unknown location Victim reported being raped while deployed by an unknown male Subject. United States Attorney's Office, indicated based on the facts provided, it is not possible to prosecute a civilian Subject for an overseas rape committed over 5 years ago.
141	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject unbuttoned Victim's pant, slid his hand underneath her panties and inserted a finger into her vagina. When Victim pulled back, Subject stopped and told Victim she should leave. Victim stated Subject may have misread her intentions. Victim stated she did not want to pursue criminal prosecution.
142	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and Subject engaged in sexual intercourse. Subject became very aggressive by grasping her wrists tightly over her head and pulling her hair. Victim told Subject to stop, Victim advised did not wish to participate in the investigation and signed a victim preference statement.
143	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Unknown location where Victim stated she had been sexually assaulted. The alleged incident occurred years ago and Victim does not wish to proceed with any investigation or possible prosecution. A VPS was executed. No investigative leads were developed.
144	Aggravated sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	Bahrain	E-6	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		On-base offense Subject came up behind Victim and forcefully grabbed her buttocks with his hand(s). The charges were dismissed by the disciplinary review board. Subject was required to write an apology letter to the Victim and give training to his fellow petty officers on his lessons learned from the incident.
144	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-6	Male	Q4	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim revealed that he was drugged and an object was inserted into his anus, as he lay unconscious on the floor. Subsequently, Victim verified he did not want to pursue this matter in court and declined to cooperate in the investigation. Additionally, Victim signed a VPS.
145	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	Foreign National	Female	Q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Subject was arrested for touching the upper thigh of an unidentified female Victim while she was in the lobby of a hotel. Subject was arrested. Subject appeared for his bail hearing, during which the charges against Subject were dismissed.
146	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-2	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Unknown location Victim had reported being sexually assaulted by an unknown Subject. Victim stated she does not wish to provide any additional details surrounding the alleged assault, to include any identifying information pertaining to the Subject. A Victim Preference Statement was reviewed with Victim and subsequently signed.
147	An attempt to commit any of these offenses (Article 80, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Male	Q4	Subject unknown								Unknown location where an alleged sexual assault occurred. Victim elected not to provide a written statement or cooperate with the investigation. No further leads or details of the allegation were presented.
148	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reportedly was raped while visiting a friend by the friend's husband. The Subject allegedly came home intoxicated, then raped the Victim. The Victim does not wish to participate in a criminal investigation. A victim preference statement was signed and a Victim Witness Pamphlet was administered.
149	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Unknown	Female	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject began sticking his fingers into Victim's vagina, and put his penis inside of her vagina. Victim spoke with Command about the alleged rape and refused to cooperate. She would not give Command the name of the alleged Subject and is not willing to participate in the investigation.
150	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-7	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Unknown location where an offense of sexual assault occurred. Victim does not wish to make a report and does not want to pursue a criminal investigation. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement.
151	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Foreign National	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where the Victim was allegedly assaulted in Xi'an, People's Republic of China while receiving a massage at a local hotel. No US criminal statutes apply.
152	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported she was sexually assaulted. Victim did not want an investigation to be initiated and only wanted counseling. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement for her unwillingness to cooperate in the investigation.
153	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Victim accused Subject of Rape (no other specifics). Local authorities declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence; no further investigation conducted.
154	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where Victim filed a report stating she was raped. Victim believed that she contracted an STD while incapacitated with unknown civilian Subjects. Victim stated that she did not want to participate in an investigation and wanted to only be treated for her sexually transmitted disease (STD). Subsequently, Victim refused to give a sworn statement and opted to sign a Victim Preference Statement.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
155	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Subject deceased or deserted								On-base offense where the Victim reported leaving a nightclub with Subject and going to his residence. Victim stated she tried to stop Subject from having sexual intercourse with her, but he became violent and strangled her. Subject was taken into custody and released on bond. This investigation will be reopened upon apprehension of Subject.
156	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where the Victim reported Subject raped her at a friend's party. Investigative actions by local authorities were unproductive and Victim was subsequently Honorably discharged from the Navy. Dallas Police was unable to identify Subject.
157	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense where the Victim was interviewed and stated Subject performed oral sex on her without her consent while she was asleep. When Victim awoke to this, she attempted to move away before Subject reacted by forcibly penetrating her vagina with his penis. This investigation was presented at an Article 32 hearing. The failure of a key witness to appear to testify, and a lack of physical evidence to corroborate the victim's allegation, the case was not deemed worthy of a Court Marshal proceeding and was accordingly dismissed without prejudice.
158	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	O-3	Male	O-1	Female	Q1	Administrative discharges	Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial							On-base offense where the Victim reported Subject came up from behind and forcible fondled her breast and genitalia after she returned to the ship from an evening of liberty. Subject was interrogated and admitted culpability for the sexual assault. Subject agreed to resign in lieu of prosecution.
159	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where the Victim advised that she was allegedly raped by the Subject. Local authorities declined jurisdiction. Command advised that the request to recall Subject was denied, due to Subject being released from Individual Ready Reserve (IRR) and therefore no longer Subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ).
160	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she was raped by Subject. Victim initially reported in 2008 and then signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate in an investigation. In 2009, Victim decided to participate and the case was re-opened. Subject was administratively counseled and submitted for alcohol rehabilitation; no further action taken.
161	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense where the Victim reported she told Subject she didn't want to have sex; however, Subject was persistent and had sex with Victim. Victim discharged from USN for unrelated reasons. An Article 32 hearing was convened and recommended the dismissal of the case. CO, determined that no further action would be taken on the matter.
162	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim stated she awoke and found herself lying naked from the waist down, feeling disoriented, shaky and nauseous. Local authorities assumed control of this case. An Article 32 hearing was conducted and a recommendation was made that no further action to be taken based on insufficient evidence.
163	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								On-base offense where Subject forced her to perform oral sex on him and participate in sexual intercourse against her will. Subject was interrogated and stated the sexual contact was consensual. Churchill County Nevada District Attorney's Office advised prosecution of Subject was declined due to lack of cooperation by Victim.
164	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim alleged she was raped by her ex-boyfriend, the Subject. Victim claimed she fell asleep and awoke to Subject having intercourse with her. Subject passed an administered polygraph examination and Command decided not to pursue any further action against the Subject due to insufficient evidence.
165	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Victim reported that Subject put his hand inside her vagina and fondled her breast. Subject was indicted by a grand jury on one count of fourth degree sexual assault (petty misdemeanor) and acquitted of one count of second degree sexual assault. Subject received one year of probation and no further jail time. In addition, he was required to register as a sex offender.
166	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where the Victim was awakened to hickies on her neck and chest, and experiencing pain in her vagina. No physical evidence, no corroborating witnesses and lack of Victim's memory of events this investigation is recommended that this case be unfounded. Command and Legal declined prosecution in this case.
167	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Male	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim awoke to Subject performing oral sex on him. Subject was sentenced to 24 days restriction, forfeiture of half a months pay (for two months) and reduction in grade. Subject will participate in a 30-day Level III SARP rehabilitation program. It is anticipated that upon completion of the SARP program, Subject will be separated from service with an "other than honorable" discharge. A legal review of the case indicated there was insufficient evidence to pursue action against the Subject.
168	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim stated Subject brushed the back of his hand up against Victim's crotch area. Subject was taken to NJP and found guilty of Art 134 (Disorderly Conduct) and also received a Punitive Letter of Reprimand.
169	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction				Yes			Off-base offense where Victim advised Subject fondled her bare breasts and forced her to touch his penis. Witness confirmed allegations. Subject was tried by Special Court Martial. Subject entered a plea of not guilty. Subject was found guilty of a violation of UCMJ Article 120 (Sexual Assault). Subject was sentenced to a Bad Conduct Discharge (BCD).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
170	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where Victim advised Subject grabbed her and forcibly penetrated her vagina digitally and with his penis. Active investigation is complete and pending the RLSO Screening Unit's review and possible referral to an Article 32 Hearing. Victim signed letter of declination; no action taken against Subject
171	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ	Acquittal							Off-base offense where Victim advised she had been dating Subject for 3 weeks when Subject tried to convince her to have sexual relations with him. After Victim refused, Subject forcibly had vaginal intercourse with her. Hampton Police Dept advised Subject was acquitted of all charges.
172	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated she recalled waking up in a motel, being raped by the Subject she met earlier at the bar. The Subject was interviewed and denied culpability. Guam Attorney General Office subsequently dropped all charges against Subject following Grand Jury decision.
173	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Off-base offense where Victim reported she was raped by Subject in a residence bathroom. Subject was taken to General Court Martial and was found not guilty on all charges.
174	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes						Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated the next thing she remembered, was being awakened in Subject's hotel room bed by Witness who informed her she was naked. Subject was placed in pre-trial confinement and participated in a mandatory pre-trial confinement physical. Subject was referred to a General Court Martial. He was found guilty of UCMJ Article 86 (Unauthorized Absence) and sentenced to 45 days restriction.
175	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense	Dismissal							Off-base offense where Victim provided a statement alleging she was raped by Subject and his half brother. Subject was interviewed and invoked his right to counsel. A USN Trial Counsel LT, advised that prior to the scheduled Article 32 hearing, Subject presented video evidence. LT advised that charges against Subject were dismissed without prejudice.
176	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	O-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	Dismissal							Off-base offense where Victim advised she was visiting Subject at his apt. Victim states she was raped by Subject. Due to Victim's desire to no longer go forward with criminal proceedings against Subject, all court proceedings have been withdrawn by trial counsel and dismissed without prejudice.
177	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes						Off-base offense where Victim reported he awoke to find the Subject performing non-consensual oral sodomy on him. Subject was convicted of Art 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) at GCM and sentenced to 3 yrs confinement.
178	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported performing fellatio, and had consensual sex with the Subject. Victim stated she woke up to the Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Letters of Caution were given to Subject for underage drinking; no further action was taken against the Subject.
179	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim stated they became sexually active, but that Subject became physically violent when she insisted he wear a condom prior to sexual intercourse. Subject struck Victim on her face and forced sexual intercourse. An Article 32 hearing was conducted and the results revealed that the Investigating Officer (IO) recommended the withdrawal and dismissal of the charges against Subject.
180	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Male	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim advised he awoke with his pajama pants around his ankles and Subject sitting naked at the base of his mattress. An Article 32 hearing was conducted. RLSO declined to go forth with a General or Special Court Martial due to insufficient evidence. LCDR revealed no administrative or judicial actions will be taken against Subject as he is scheduled to separate from the United States Navy (USN).
181	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim stated she awoke naked the next morning experiencing vaginal pain and lying next to Subject. Witnesses stated that the Victim and Subject were engaging in consensual sexual intercourse. Victim signed a prosecution declination form and no legal action would be taken against the Subject.
182	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction			Yes				On-base offense where Victim #1 stated Subject grabbed his genitals, kissed and licked his chest, and fondled and massaged his penis. Subject went to GCM and found guilty of Art 120 (Engaging in Sexual Contact with Another Service Member) and was sentenced to reduction in rate to E-2 and hard labor for a period of ninety (90) days.
183	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where Subject forced her onto a bed, reached his hand underneath her bra and grabbed her breasts. Victim alleged she awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim declined to participate.
184	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported she was raped by a member of her Command. Victim stated she was taken to a house where the Subject raped her. The Victim refused to disclose any further information about the incident. Command was precluded from taking any action as subject was unknown.
185	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported she awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Subject was subsequently apprehended by local authorities and awaiting a preliminary trial by civilian authorities. Local authorities issued a warrant for the arrest of Subject for Sexual Assault and he was taken into custody. Subject pled guilty to Sexual Battery, a misdemeanor, and was sentenced to one year probation.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
186a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where Victim reported to local authorities that she had been sexually assaulted by Subject #1 and #2 at Subject #1's residence. Victim provided limited details concerning the alleged sexual assault. She signed a Victim Preference Statement (VPS) and refused to cooperate in this investigation. Both Subjects' commands declined prosecution of Subjects #1 and #2.
186b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where Victim reported to local authorities that she had been sexually assaulted by Subject #1 and #2 at Subject #1's residence. Victim provided limited details concerning the alleged sexual assault. She signed a Victim Preference Statement (VPS) and refused to cooperate in this investigation. Both Subjects' commands declined prosecution of Subjects #1 and #2.
187	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where Victim #1 reported Subject had touched her breast, tried to hug and kiss her, and slapped her on the buttocks. Victim #2 was identified and made similar claims concerning Subject's inappropriate behavior. Subject was found guilty of Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact), Article 107 (False Official Statements), and Article 92 (Failure to Obey a Lawful General Regulation) at a Special Court-Martial (SCM) and sentenced to restriction to Naval Base San Diego (NBSD), CA for two months, forfeiture of pay in the amount of \$1,949.00 for two months, and reduction to E-5.
188	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred								Off-base offense where the Victim reported falling asleep and waking up to something moving in and out of vaginal area. Victim screamed and Subject left the room. Courts-martial charges were preferred (initiated).
189	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction		Yes					Off-base offense where Victim alleged that when she awoke her pants were around her ankles and Subject was beside her on his hands and knees, and her vagina was very wet. Subject appeared at an Article 32 Hearing, where a decision was made to change the charges against Subject. Subject was charged with having an unduly familiar relationship with Victim in violation of OPNAVINST 5370.2C. Subject appeared at a Summary Courts Martial (SCM) for fraternization charges and was found guilty and sentenced to forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for one month and given a Punitive Letter of Reprimand.
190	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Administrative discharges	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense where Victim reported she woke up to Subject on top of her and her pants and underwear were down around her thighs. Subject went to NJP and was awarded 45 days restriction, 45 extra duty, reduction of rank by one paygrade, and forfeiture of 1/2 month's salary for 2 months. Subject was administratively separated regarding Misconduct due to Commission of a Serious Offense.
191a	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Victim#1 reported to local authorities that she was asleep at her home, when she was attacked by unknown Subject who bound her hands with tape and raped her. Subsequently, Victim#2 reported to local authorities that she received a text message from the phone of Victim#1. At that location, Victim#2 was met by an unknown Subject#1 and an unknown Subject#2 who abducted her. Later, Victim#2 was repeatedly raped by the Subject#1. Subject#1's apprehension led to the identification of Subject#2. A Norfolk Circuit Court jury found Subject#1 guilty of 28 felonies, to include Virginia Criminal Code section 18.2-61 (Rape), 18.2-47 (Abduction), 18.2-58 (Robbery), 18.2-53.1 (Use of a Firearm in the Commission of a Felony) and 18.2-89 (Burglary); he was sentenced to 6 life terms incarceration, plus 253 years. Subject#2 plead guilty in Norfolk Circuit Court to five felonies, including Abduction, Use of a Firearm in the commission of a Felony, Carjacking and Conspiracy to Commit Abduction; he was sentenced to 53 years incarceration with 39 years of that sentence suspended. Additionally, Subject#2 sentenced to 10 years supervised probation and restitution of \$2045.00; he was sentenced to a total of 14 years incarceration.
191b	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Victim#1 reported to local authorities that she was asleep at her home, when she was attacked by unknown Subject who bound her hands with tape and raped her. Subsequently, Victim#2 reported to local authorities that she received a text message from the phone of Victim#1. At that location, Victim#2 was met by an unknown Subject#1 and an unknown Subject#2 who abducted her. Later, Victim#2 was repeatedly raped by the Subject#1. Subject#1's apprehension led to the identification of Subject#2. A Norfolk Circuit Court jury found Subject#1 guilty of 28 felonies, to include Virginia Criminal Code section 18.2-61 (Rape), 18.2-47 (Abduction), 18.2-58 (Robbery), 18.2-53.1 (Use of a Firearm in the Commission of a Felony) and 18.2-89 (Burglary); he was sentenced to 6 life terms incarceration, plus 253 years. Subject#2 plead guilty in Norfolk Circuit Court to five felonies, including Abduction, Use of a Firearm in the commission of a Felony, Carjacking and Conspiracy to Commit Abduction; he was sentenced to 53 years incarceration with 39 years of that sentence suspended. Additionally, Subject#2 sentenced to 10 years supervised probation and restitution of \$2045.00; he was sentenced to a total of 14 years incarceration.
192	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she was penetrated anally; however, she was unsure if it was a penis or an object. Subject was convicted at a General Court Martial on the following charges: UCMJ Article 120 (indecent conduct), UCMJ Article 81 (conspiracy), and UCMJ Article 80 (attempted aggravated sexual assault). Subject's sentence was a Dishonorable Discharge, 36 months confinement, reduction in rate to E-1, and total forfeitures.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
193	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes		Yes			On-base offense where the Victim reported Subject pushed her against a stall, restrained her, and put his hands down the back of her pants, touching her buttocks. Subject was convicted of Art 120 (Wrongful sexual contact) at a General Court-Martial; he was sentenced to 30 months confinement, a Bad Conduct discharge (BCD), and forfeiture of all pay while in confinement.
194	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								Off-base offense where Victim alleged Subject forced her to perform oral sex on him without consent and photographed the act utilizing his personal cellular telephone. An Article 32 proceeding was held and Subject was referred to a Special Court Martial. Subject was found guilty of UCMJ Article 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation) and Subject was found not guilty of UCMJ Article 134 (Adultery).
195	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim recalled waking up and Subject was having non-consensual sex with her. Subject went to a Special Courts Martial wherein he was found not guilty.
196	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol Victim reported waking up and feeling something wet on the buttocks of her sweatpants. Victim did not think she was raped but did believe something happened. All charges against Subject were withdrawn at an Art 32 hearing.
197	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim#1 alleged waking up to Subject's hand on her vaginal area. Additionally, Subject related he may have indecently assaulted another female Victim#2. Subject was taken to a General Court-Martial and charged with violations of UCMJ Article 120. Subject was found not guilty in a split decision by members.
198	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Victim claimed Subject began to kiss and touch her breasts. He then pushed her on the bed and pulled up her shirt. Victim reported Subject then placed his penis into her vagina, against her will. Subject was identified as a civilian with no military affiliation. Victim advised she wished to drop the charges against Subject.
199	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where Victim alleged waking up to Subject on top of her with her sweater pulled up to her neck and her bra pulled away exposing her breasts. An Article 32 hearing was held and determined there was no substantial evidence to support prosecution for the sexual assault. Subject was taken to NJP for violation of Art 92 (Failure to Obey and order) where the case was dismissed.
200	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-7	Male	E-6	Male	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								On-base offense where Victim alleged being sexually assaulted/sodomized on by Subject. The Special Assistant to the United States Attorney's Office, Pearl Harbor, provided a Letter of Declination concerning this investigation; no further action taken.
201a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where Victim reported she may have been raped by Subjects#1 and #2. She awoke in a bed, sans bra and shirt, next to Subject#1. A Witness present reported he observed Subject #1 have sexual intercourse with the Victim as she appeared to be unconscious. Additionally, following this act, the Witness observed Subject #2 place his head between Victim's legs under the bedding. Victim presented the RLSO Southwest with a letter of declination, expressing her desire to have no further participation in the adjudication of this investigation.
201b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where Victim reported she may have been raped by Subjects#1 and #2. She awoke in a bed, sans bra and shirt, next to Subject#1. A Witness present reported he observed Subject #1 have sexual intercourse with the Victim as she appeared to be unconscious. Additionally, following this act, the Witness observed Subject #2 place his head between Victim's legs under the bedding. Victim presented the RLSO Southwest with a letter of declination, expressing her desire to have no further participation in the adjudication of this investigation.
202	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Yes	Yes				Off-base offense where Victim reported she was emotionally coerced into performing fellatio on Subject, her Recruiter, in a Government Vehicle. Command has preferred UCMJ charges against Subject; an Article 32 preliminary hearing was held and a Special Court Martial (SCM) was recommended. Subject was offered and accepted a plea agreement to receive Non- Judicial Punishment (NJP). Subject pled guilty to charges of Conspiracy, Fraudulent Enlistment, Violations of lawful orders and False official statement at NJP, in lieu of a Court Martial. Subject received forfeiture of \$1,462 x 2 months (\$2,924) and Reduction in Rate to E-5. Command also recommended his Administrative Separation (ADSEP) from the USN.
203	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	Dismissal							Off-base offense where Victim was invited to spend the night with Subject. Subject moved Victim onto her back and penetrated her vagina with his penis. Victim admitted to having provided a false statement. Subsequent to an Article 32 hearing, Victim executed a Declination Letter. All charges have been withdrawn without prejudice by the Convening Authority.
204	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes	Yes			On-base offense where both Victims, on separate occasions awoke to Subject performing non-consensual oral sex on them. Subject pled to and was found guilty of violating UCMJ Article 125 (Sodomy). Subject was sentenced to 13 months confinement, reduction in rank to E-1, and received a Bad Conduct Discharge.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
205	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject informed Victim that he had sexual intercourse with her while she was visiting her blind date's barracks room. Subject was taken to an Article 32 wherein it was determined there was insufficient evidence to charge Subject with rape.
206	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim a fell asleep and awoke when the male penetrated her vagina with his penis. Victim stated she was too scared to speak or move. The investigation was deemed unfounded.
207	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported sleeping on the couch and waking up to Subject rubbing her breast and trying to remove her clothes. Victim awoke again to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina. Trial Counsel, declined to further prosecute Subject due to Victim's declination to assist in the prosecution.
208	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes				On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject grabbed her right hand and told her to touch his penis and then told her to suck it. Victim reported that she performed oral sex on Subject. Subject pled guilty to a violation of UCMJ Article 92, disobeying an order for engaging in sexual behavior with Victim. Subject was sentenced to 120 days confinement and reduction in rank to E-4.
209	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported awoke naked in an unknown barracks room aboard a Navy base. Victim noticed pain and bleeding in her anus. Subject was identified. Region Legal Services Office, Hawaii advised no administrative or adjudicative action will be taken against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
210	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject asked her to perform fellatio on him. Later that day, Subject again groped her breast, exposed his penis, masturbated in front of her, and tried to kiss her. A General Courts-Martial was held wherein Subject was found not guilty of all charges.
211	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where Victim disclosed that she did not feel like her vagina was penetrated, but felt that something physical had happened between her and the Subject. Victim could not recall any events until waking up nude in jail. As DNA testing eliminates Subject, no further leads were identified.
212	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where both Victims alleged being raped by Subject after going on a date with him on different occasions. Victim #1 and Victim #2 alleged Subject pushed her arms above her head and raped her at his residence. Subject provided a sworn statement denying both sets of allegations. GTPD believes Subject is being truthful, and explained that there are inconsistencies within the Victims' statements.
213	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-6	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Unknown location where Victim alleged that she was raped after having heard Subject relay to several individuals that he had sex with Victim while she was intoxicated. Victim remembered waking up naked, and wrapped in her sleeping bag. RLISO advised there was insufficient evidence of any offense.
214	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Off-base offense where Victim and Subject share an apartment. Victim claimed Subject raped her while she was "blacked out" Subject admitted to consensual sex. Subject found not guilty of rape at a General Court Martial.
215	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim alleged she and Subject had sexual intercourse while at his barracks room. Subsequent to the completion of this investigation, the command found allegations to be unfounded.
216	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke the following morning and found four bite marks on her inner thighs. Subject explained to Victim they had tried to have sex in his truck, but he was too drunk. The Investigating Officer (IO) for Subject's Article 32 Hearing recommended rape charges against Subject be withdrawn.
217	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the Victim alleged that she struggled with Subject, which lead to the two individuals falling to the floor. Subject was able to remove Victim's pant and insert his penis into her vagina. Interviews were conducted, evidence obtained, and DNA lab examinations completed. RLISO recommended no prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
218	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	Bahrain	Unknown	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where Witness heard Subject state that he sexually assaulted Victim. According to Victim, Subject touched her breasts and digitally penetrated her vagina after telling him to stop. Subject was found not guilty of all charges at a General Court Martial.
219	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where a witness observed Victim performing fellatio on Subject outside, behind the motel. Subject was holding Victim against a wall, and both were observed with their pants down. An Article 32 hearing was conducted. Subject was acquitted of all charges at a trial by Special Court Martial.
220	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes						Off-base offense where Victim while showering she was groped by Subject. Subject's Article 32 hearing resulted in charges being referred. Subject was convicted at a General Court-Martial for violation of Article 128 (Assault consummated by a battery) and Article 120 (Aggravated sexual assault) and sentenced to 90 days confinement.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
221	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Male	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Off-base offense involving alcohol Subject began rubbing Victim's shoulders and eventually removed his pants. Subject then engaged in non-consensual oral sex with Victim. Subject pled guilty at GCM, and was found guilty, of violating Art 120 (Unlawful sexual contact) with the Victim; sentenced to confinement for twelve (12) months, register as a sex offender, Dishonorable Discharge, reduction in rank to E-1, and total forfeiture of all pay and allowances.
222	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial							Off-base offense where a verbal dispute between Victim and Subject ensued and Subject shoved Victim onto the bed, ripped off her panties and proceeded to rape her. Command accepted the defense's request Subject be administratively separated under Other Than Honorable (OTH) conditions in lieu of trial.
223	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction							On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke the next day to Subject naked in her bed. While found seminal fluid in her bowel movement and on her leg. A pre-trial agreement for Subject was approved and Subject pled guilty to violations of Article 80 (Attempted wrongful appropriation by false pretenses), Article 92 (Misuse of a government computer and sexual activity in the barracks), and Article 134 (Drunk and Disorderly) in lieu of sexual assault charges. No further information given.
224	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated Subject forced her to perform oral sex on him. Judge Advocate General advised Victim did not wish to participate in possible judicial/administrative proceedings; therefore, prosecution of the case was declined.
225	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense where Victim indicated Subject forced her to perform fellatio on him. A General Court Martial was convened where Subject was found guilty of violations of Article 125 (Sodomy) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice and was sentenced to 18 months confinement, a Bad Conduct Discharge from the United States Navy, forfeitures of all pay and allowance, and reduction in rank to E-1.
226	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where Subject unzipped his pants and tried to push Victim's head down towards his penis. Victim explained Subject removed his pants, pushed her down onto the bed, took off her pants and forced his penis inside her vagina. Victim signed a declination letter, not wishing to pursue the investigation.
227	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject climbed in bed and proceeded to have sexual intercourse with Victim. Victim's friends noticed Subject having sex with Victim, while she was passed out and stopped him. Subject was convicted of UCMJ, Article 120 (Rape) and UCMJ, Article 134 (Obstruction of Justice). Subject was sentenced to 36 months confinement, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and received a dishonorable discharge.
228	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	Bahrain	O-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject forcefully inserted his penis into Victim's vagina despite her physical and verbal protest. At the time of the Article 32 hearing, Victim advised she didn't wish to proceed with the prosecution of Subject and would sign a sworn statement electing to not pursue criminal charges.
229	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke to Subject fondling her breast. Subject then threw her on the bed and inserted his penis into her vagina and raped her. Upon interviewing Subject and other witnesses, Victim later revealed she was filing the report to get back at Subject and all sexual acts were consensual.
230	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim stated she awoke noting her pants were down to her mid-thighs. Victim's vagina hurt when she awoke and was Subject putting his underwear on. Following an Article 32 hearing, RLSO advised they would not pursue a court martial against Subject but rather was exploring the possibility of Non Judicial Punishment against Subject for a violation of Article 134 (Adultery) of the UCMJ.
231	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Male	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes			Yes			Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke to find his pants and underwear missing and the Subject manually stimulating his genitals. Subject pleaded guilty to violation of Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact); sentenced to 18 months in prison and given a Bad Conduct Discharge.
232	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-3	Male	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported waking up naked and seeing an unknown male Subject straddling his legs and patting him. Due to the nature of the crime and its jurisdiction, this investigation was submitted to the local Attorney's Office for prosecutorial review. This case did not warrant prosecution due to the victim's lack of cooperation.
233	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject rubbed his erect penis against her abdominal and vaginal area over her clothing. Subject took Victim's hand and placed it on his erect penis in an effort to get her to masturbate him. Subject went to Superior Court for his sexual assault of Victim. In lieu of prosecution, Subject was placed in Accelerated Rehabilitation (AR).
234	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	O-3	Male	E-6	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							On-base offense where Victim advised Subject pinned her to the wall of his office, rubbed her breasts outside of her t-shirt against her will, and made lewd sexual remarks to her. Subject was charged with various violations of the UCMJ and found not guilty in a General Court Martial proceeding.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
235a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim recalled pushing Subject off of her. Victim awoke with blood in her underwear and was experiencing vaginal and rectal pain, as well as a tear on the left nipple. Victim met with Regional Legal Services Mid-Atlantic (RLSO), and signed a Victim Declination Statement.
235b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim recalled pushing Subject off of her. Victim awoke with blood in her underwear and was experiencing vaginal and rectal pain, as well as a tear on the left nipple. Victim met with Regional Legal Services Mid-Atlantic (RLSO), and signed a Victim Declination Statement.
236a	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Unknown location involving alcohol where the Victim reported awaking naked and noticing blood. Victim realized she was possibly raped. Both Subjects stated they engaged in consensual oral and vaginal intercourse with Victim. During Captain's Mast proceedings Subject #1 received a reduction to the next inferior pay grade and 45 days of extra duties. Subject #2 received a reduction to the pay grade of E3 and 45 days of extra duties. Separation process is scheduled to be completed within the next 30 days, and it is anticipated both Subjects will receive an Other Than Honorable discharge from the USN.
236b	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Unknown location involving alcohol where the Victim reported awaking naked and noticing blood. Victim realized she was possibly raped. Both Subjects stated they engaged in consensual oral and vaginal intercourse with Victim. During Captain's Mast proceedings Subject #1 received a reduction to the next inferior pay grade and 45 days of extra duties. Subject #2 received a reduction to the pay grade of E3 and 45 days of extra duties. Separation process is scheduled to be completed within the next 30 days, and it is anticipated both Subjects will receive an Other Than Honorable discharge from the USN.
237	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								Off-base offense where Victim awoke wearing Subject's "T" shirt and different underwear. Due to the soreness in her anus, believes Subject sodomized her. Subject was taken to Captain's Mast for violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) Article 134 (Adultery) and that no further administrative or judicial action is pending.
238	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense								Off-base offense where Subject picked Victim up, put her over his shoulder and took her to a downstairs bedroom where he engaged in non consensual forceful sexual intercourse. Victim stated Subject digitally penetrated her vaginally and inserted his penis into her vagina. Command declined to prosecute subject after local prosecution declined due to insufficient evidence.
239	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported she was in bed with Subject naked, holding her down and penetrating her vagina with his penis. An Article 32 hearing was conducted concerning the rape allegation. It was determined that there was insufficient evidence to sustain a rape charge. A recommendation was made for a Special Courts-Martial; however, Subject agreed to plead guilty at Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) to two specifications of Violation of Article 92 (Failure to Obey an Order) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); awarded reduction in rank, forfeiture of one month pay (\$1,196) for two months, 45 days restriction and 45 days extra duty.
240	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								On base offense where Victim reported her former intimate partner (Subject) raped her. An order of protection on Subject was issued by a local court. The facts of this investigation were presented to the local State Attorney's Office who declined to proceed with criminal charges.
241	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes				On-base offense where Victim reported she was standing in the entryway when she felt a hand squeeze her buttocks. Subject was found guilty of a violation of UCMJ Article 120, Wrongful Sexual Contact, at a Summary Court Martial. Subject was sentenced to a confinement of one month and reduction to E1.
242	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim woke up gagging and discovered Subject had his penis in her mouth. Later the Victim woke up naked feeling as if she had sexual intercourse. Local police department investigation by the District Attorney's office determined the evidence in the case was insufficient for prosecution.
243	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Unknown location where the Victim reported that Subject forced her to have sexual intercourse multiple times. Victim stated she did not wish to continue participation in the investigation. Command decided no administrative/judicial action would be taken against the Subject.
244	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes				On-base offense where the Victim was asleep in her bed when Subject came in to her room, got into the bed with her, pulled her shirt down and fondled her breasts. Subject was found not guilty at Special Courts-Martial of two specifications of sexual assault and guilty of two specifications of assault. Subject was reduced in rank and received sixty (60) days restriction/extra duty.
245	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported awoke to find Subject lying next to her digitally penetrating her vagina and kissing her. Subject was found guilty of violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault) at a General Court-Martial (GCM) and sentenced to thirty days confinement, forfeiture of all pay and allowances while confined, reduction to E-1, and a punitive discharge of Bad Conduct Discharge (BCD).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
246a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated she awoke naked in bed with two unknown males. Subject #2 bragged he "fingered" Victim. Subject #1 claimed he performed consensual oral sex on Victim. Subject #2 resigned from USN. Asst State's Attorney advised charges would not be pursued.
246b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated she awoke naked in bed with two unknown males. Subject #2 bragged he "fingered" Victim. Subject #1 claimed he performed consensual oral sex on Victim. Subject #2 resigned from USN. Asst State's Attorney advised charges would not be pursued.
247	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	Foreign National	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense where the Victim alleged Subject grabbed the back of her head and forced her to perform oral sex on his penis. Subject then removed his underwear and penetrated her vagina with his penis. An Article 32 was conducted and the Convening Authority decided to dismiss the charges against the Subject based on the IO's recommendation.
248	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim blacked out and woke up to Subject having sex with her. An Article 32 Hearing was held for the Subject and the Investigating Officer recommended that all charges against the Subject be dismissed; Command subsequently decided that no disciplinary action would be taken against the Subject.
249	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	W-1	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where the Victim alleged the Subject pulled the car off of the main road and raped her in the back seat of the vehicle. Investigation is closed, due to inability to identify a Subject.
250	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		Unknown location where the Victim reported the Subject placed his hand beneath the Victim's underwear and touched her vagina. Subsequent to review by command and the Regional Legal Service Office (RLSO), Subject received formal counseling, a non punitive letter of caution, and a Page 13.
251	Indecent assault (Article 134, UCMJ for incidents occurring prior to FY08)	OCONUS	O-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Administrative discharges	Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial							On base offense where the Subject told her he was going to check her milk production and squeezed her breast until it lactated. Subject previously pled guilty to two (2) counts of Wrongful Sexual contact on 26May10 and was sentenced to two (2) years confinement. Pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, the sentence was suspended and Subject was dismissed from the United States Navy. Command declined further prosecution in light of this investigation.
252	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she awoke to the Subject sexually groping her without her permission. Command declined prosecution due to lack of evidence.
253	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	O-3	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim recalled passing out wearing her clothing, but waking up wearing clothing belonging to the Subject. The Victim also noticed vaginal mucus discharge, which she later realized was semen, but did not remember having sex with the Subject. Victim informed RLSO Trial Counsel that she did not want to participate in judicial proceedings against Subject. As a result, RLSO dropped charges against Subject.
254	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where the Victim reported that she awoke to the Subject inserting his fingers into her vagina. Then he inserted his penis into her vagina. Victim subsequently declined to participate further in the prosecution, and RLSO declined to prosecute the case.
255	Aggravated sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Unknown on-base location where the Victim reported Subject placed his hands inside of her pants, on top of her underwear, and touched her vaginal area. Subject was tried at General Court-Martial and found not guilty.
256	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim alleged the Subject raped her on the hallway floor of the residence prior to physically assaulting her. Subject was separated from the United States Navy for a previous unrelated incident. The United States Attorney's Office (USAO) as well as the State of South Carolina and Region Legal Service Office, has declined to prosecute this investigation due to insufficient evidence.
257	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she was awakened by Subject performing oral sex on her. Victim met with Regional Legal Services Mid-Atlantic (RLSO) and stated she no longer wants to pursue charges against Subject, and she signed a Victim Declination Acknowledgement form.
258	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Unknown location where the Victim reported the Subject put his hand down her skirt into her underwear and touched her vaginal area without her consent. The Region Legal Service Office was contacted and declined to prosecute this investigation due to lack of prosecutorial merit.
259	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the Victim emailed the Staff Judge Advocate disclosed she was raped by the Subject and engaged in sexual intercourse with him in front of his son, against her will. Command declined prosecution as a result of the weak victim allegations and lack of corroboration.
260	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the Victim stated she awoke with her underwear and pants around her knees with the Subject rubbing his penis against her vagina. Subject stated that he received a private Facebook message from the Victim stating she was sorry for everything. Command indicated it would not be taking any punitive action against the Subject as there was insufficient evidence of any offense.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
261a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke and Subject #1 was actively engaged in sexual intercourse with her. Subject #2 disclosed to her the next day that he also had sexual intercourse with her. Charges against both Subjects were preferred and an Article 32 Hearing was held; no recommendation to Court Martial was made. Both Subjects received Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) of reduction in rate, forfeiture of one-half pay for two months, 45 days restriction, and 45 days of extra duty aboard.
261b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke and Subject #1 was actively engaged in sexual intercourse with her. Subject #2 disclosed to her the next day that he also had sexual intercourse with her. Charges against both Subjects were preferred and an Article 32 Hearing was held; no recommendation to Court Martial was made. Both Subjects received Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) of reduction in rate, forfeiture of one-half pay for two months, 45 days restriction, and 45 days of extra duty aboard.
262	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							On-base offense where the Victim awoke to the Subject digitally penetrating her anus and vagina. Subject was the subject of a General Court Martial wherein he was acquitted of all charges.
263	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	United Arab Emirates	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim related that he thought he was dreaming at first, but he reached down and felt a head of hair, and heard the person say "Let me suck it." RLSO SE advised that despite his recommendation for a court-martial, command had declined judicial action and was informed by command that Subject will be transferred to another command and that no judicial or administrative action would be initiated due to insufficient evidence.
264a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject#1 entered the room where he and the Victim engaged in consensual sex. While they were having sex, Subject#2 entered the room and invited himself into the sex act. Victim would not file a report of victimization and requested SPS not contact her.
264b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject#1 entered the room where he and the Victim engaged in consensual sex. While they were having sex, Subject#2 entered the room and invited himself into the sex act. Victim would not file a report of victimization and requested SPS not contact her.
265	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Off-base offense where Multiple Victims came forward alleging that Subject had performed oral sex on them while they were intoxicated. Subject pled guilty to four specifications of violations of Article 120 of the UCMJ. Subject was awarded thirty-six months confinement, reduction in rank to an E-1, forfeitures of all pay and allowances, and a Dishonorable Discharge.
266	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-6	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke finding blood on the side of the bed. Victim saw a condom wrapper on the night stand, noticed scratches on her legs and found her clothes from the night before with blood stains on her panties. A General Court Martial was convened. Subject was charged with violating two (2) counts of UCMJ Article 120 (Rape and Indecent Assault). The General Court Martial found Subject not guilty, and acquitted Subject of all of the aforementioned charges.
267	Aggravated sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes	Yes			On-base offense where the Victim reported the Subject grabbed her by the breasts and pulled her against his body, wherein she felt his semi-erect penis. Subject was found guilty and sentenced at SpCM to 5 months confinement, reduction from E5 to E1, and given a BCD.
268	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes	Yes			Off-base offense where the Subject allegedly offered two Victims \$500.00 each to perform sexual acts for an internet website. Subject was convicted at Non-Judicial Punishment for failing to obey recruiting regulations in his interactions with another recruit. Subject was interrogated and he confessed to soliciting the Victims to have sex on camera. Subject was convicted at Special Court Martial of sexually harassing the Victims. He was awarded five months confinement (four months suspended), reduction in rate to E-1, and a Bad Conduct Discharge.
269	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q2	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where three service members was walking through the park the Subject approached them and grabbed them around the waist and subsequently grabbed their breasts. The investigation was closed, due to the lack of a logical suspect being identified.
270	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Victim reported unwanted sexual contact from the Subject. Subject was adjudicated by the command via NJP and was charged with violation of 3 specifications of Article 120; awarded restriction to the ship for 45 days, forfeiture of 1/2 months pay for 2 months, and reduction to E-3.
271	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim revealed she was forced into a bedroom and thrown on a bed, where the Subject undressed her and "fondled her in the wrong areas". Victim relayed she thought she might get in trouble for underage drinking. Command declined to pursue action against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
272	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q2	Administrative discharges	Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial							On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim woke up and saw a nude Subject kneeling between her legs in the bed. An interview of a former shipmate revealed an additional victim who had been sexually assaulted by the Subject on two occasions. Staff Judge Advocate advised Subject accepted an Other Than Honorable Discharge from the U.S. Navy in lieu of prosecution at Courts Martial.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
273	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense where the Victim said the Subject climbed on top of her, pulled her pants down, and put his penis in her vagina. After the Article 32 Hearing, Victim sent a letter to the Region Legal Services Office where she stated she no longer wished to pursue charges. NCISRA Bremerton was advised the charges against Subject had been withdrawn.
274	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)								Off-base offense where the Victim contacted the Subject at the USN recruiting office. While the Subject attempted to obtain the Victim's height, the back of his hand rubbed up against one of her breasts. Subject received Executive Officer's Mast and Subject received no administrative or judicial action as a result.
275	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported to local police that she believed she was sexually assaulted but had no recollection of the event. SAO declined to prosecute. Victim signed a VPS.
276	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported waking up to the Subject "raping her." Command has declined to prosecute this case due to lack of sufficient evidence. This decision was based off of a recommendation following an Article 32 hearing.
277	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)						Letter of Reprimand (LOR)		Off-base offense where the Victim alleged that Subject groped her upper chest area and inner thigh area, while Victim was fully clothed. Subject received a non-punitive letter of reprimand against Subject and no further judicial/administrative action will be taken against Subject.
278	Aggravated sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							On-base offense where the Victim reported she awoke to find herself naked and the Subject performing oral sex on her. An Article 32 hearing determined charges against Subject be dismissed based on insufficient evidence. Subject was awarded an administrative letter of counseling and referral to alcohol screening.
279	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-2	Male	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where the Victim stated he awoke from a dream with a sore anus and he noticed that he was bleeding from his anus. Victim confessed to lying about the sexual assault. Command recommended separation of the Victim from the USN.
280	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q1	Administrative discharges							Under Other then Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	On-base offense where the Victim reported Subject forced himself on Victim and engaged in non-consensual intercourse. Victim also described physical assault by Subject. Subject was separated from USNA.
281	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Male	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								On board ship offense where the Victim alleged woke up on the ground with his pants down and a sticky substance on his buttocks. Command determined incident to be unfounded and declined prosecution. Both Subject and Victim were discharged from the USN due to separate infractions.
282a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported a male, Subject #1, was in the bed with her, and Subject #2 was in another bed within the room. Victim was unsure if she was sexually assaulted. Additional interviews conducted did not yield additional information.
282b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported a male, Subject #1, was in the bed with her, and Subject #2 was in another bed within the room. Victim was unsure if she was sexually assaulted. Additional interviews conducted did not yield additional information.
283	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported upon waking the next morning, she discovered her underwear had been removed. An Article 32 hearing was held and the convening authority has since dismissed charges against the Subject.
284	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where the Victim reported she and Subject was at his residence smoking SPICE, which caused her to pass out. Victim stated she woke up with Subject on top of her. Subject was discharged from the US Navy.
285	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim related she awoke to the Subject on top of her in a missionary position penetrating her. Subject was tried at a General Court-Martial and acquitted on all charges.
286	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense where the Victim reported Subject climbed on top of her and briefly inserted his penis in her vagina. Victim stated she quickly pushed the Subject off of her and informed him she did not consent to vaginal/penile sexual intercourse. Charges against Subject were dismissed without prejudice.
287	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes	Yes			Onboard ship offense where the Subject forced Victim onto a chair, pulled his pants down, and forced her to orally copulate him. Following an Article 32 Hearing, the Investigating Officer recommended Subject be taken to trial by General Court Martial. Subject was convicted of forcible sodomy, wrongful sexual contact and sexual harassment. Subject was sentenced to five years confinement, a dishonorable discharge and reduction to E-1.
288	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Administrative discharges							Under Other then Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Onboard ship offense where the Victim said Subject physically pinned her up against the forward decontamination station bulkhead and grabbed her breast and buttocks. Command is currently in the process of separating Subject from the Navy.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
289	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where Victim was sexually assaulted in a barracks room by an intoxicated Subject, which resulted in the Victim becoming pregnant. Subsequent to Victim's decision to withdraw from participation in this investigation and criminal proceedings, all charges pending against Subject were dropped. The Article 32 hearing scheduled was cancelled.
290	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Off base offense where the Victim was walking home an unknown Subject pushed her so she fell on her knees. Subject then removed Victim's pants and underwear and penetrated her vagina with his fingers. This investigation was closed, due to lack of evidence or substantial leads.
291	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where Victim#1 reported Subject asked to kiss her and moved his hand above her body toward her vaginal area. Victim#2 disclosed that the Subject had placed his hand inside her pants. The board found the preponderance of evidence did not support the allegations and voted to retain Subject.
292	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim alleged Subject brought her to a cement wall, pulled down her pants and proceeded to sexually assault her. KCISO maintains primary investigative jurisdiction.
293	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the Victim alleged Subject forced himself on her and penetrated her vagina with his penis against her will or consent. This case is closed based on insufficient evidence.
294	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		Off-base offense where the Victim reported Subject inappropriately touched her on her breast and buttocks. Subject attended Executive Officer Inquiry (XOI) where Subject was given a page 13 entry for his involvement
295	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes					On-base offense where the Victim stated that she was in an airlock with the Subject. When Subject proceeded to grab her buttocks before she exited the airlock. Subject went to NJP and received a punitive letter of reprimand, forfeiture of 1/2 months pay for two months, and restriction to the ship for ten days.
296	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim advised after consuming the beverage the Subject made for her the Victim experienced periodic blackouts. Victim recalled the Subject on top of her engaged in vaginal intercourse. Command determined the incident to be unfounded and declined to take judicial or administrative action against the Subject.
297	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	Djibouti	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Subject grabbed Victim's head and forced her to continue having sexual intercourse with him. Command convened a NJP where the Subject was found guilty of UCMJ Article 92 and Article 117; he was reduced to E-4, fined a half month's pay for two months, 45 days restriction, and 45 days of extra duty. The restriction and extra duty days were suspended; Subject was being processed for administrative separation.
298	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Male	Q1	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes					Off-base offense where the Victim reported that Subject caressed and inappropriately touched Victim's buttocks and anus. Command gave Subject NJP, where he was found guilty of the charges; awarded oral reprimand, written reprimand, Days restriction to base, 1/2 Pay for 1 Month and a General Discharge.
299	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-5	Male	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim alleged the Subject grabbed the his penis and pulled. Staff Judge Advocate advised no action is appropriate and declined to pursue prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
300	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		On-base offense where the Subject forcibly engaged in sexual intercourse with Victim. Other administrative actions were taken against Subject.
301a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject#2 admitted to engaging in sexual intercourse with the Victim while she was substantially incapacitated. Subject#1 also engaged in sexual intercourse with the Victim after she passed out in the water. A General Court-Martial was conducted for Subject #1 and was found guilty of several charges, including violations of Articles 120 (Rape), 92 (Fraternalization) and 134 (Adultery and Obstruction of Justice) of the UCMJ; he was sentenced to seven years confinement, reduction in pay grade to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances while confined and required registration as a sex offender. A Special Court-Martial was held for Subject #2 who pled guilty to violations of Article 92 (Fraternalization) and 134 (Disorderly Conduct: Bringing Discredit and Adultery) of the UCMJ; he was sentenced to 60 days hard labor without confinement, restriction to NAS Pensacola for 60 days and a forfeiture of \$1000.00 per pay month for 3 months.
301b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject#2 admitted to engaging in sexual intercourse with the Victim while she was substantially incapacitated. Subject#1 also engaged in sexual intercourse with the Victim after she passed out in the water. A General Court-Martial was conducted for Subject #1 and was found guilty of several charges, including violations of Articles 120 (Rape), 92 (Fraternalization) and 134 (Adultery and Obstruction of Justice) of the UCMJ; he was sentenced to seven years confinement, reduction in pay grade to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances while confined and required registration as a sex offender. A Special Court-Martial was held for Subject #2 who pled guilty to violations of Article 92 (Fraternalization) and 134 (Disorderly Conduct: Bringing Discredit and Adultery) of the UCMJ; he was sentenced to 60 days hard labor without confinement, restriction to NAS Pensacola for 60 days and a forfeiture of \$1000.00 per pay month for 3 months.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
302	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke to find the Subject trying to inserted his penis into her vagina. Victim provided a sworn statement detailing her allegation and the events surrounding it. Command declined to pursue administrative or judicial proceedings against Subject based on these investigative findings.
303	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Q1	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where Victim#1 reported Subject reached out and grabbed his crotch on the outside of his pants, squeezing his penis. Victim#2 reported he awoke to find the Subject's hand down his pants holding his penis. Subject received NJP, at which he was awarded the following punishment: Reduction in rate from E-3 to E-2, Forfeiture of one-half month's pay for 2 months for a total of \$1706.00, Restriction for 45 days, and extra duty for 45 days.
304	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim stated Subject vaginally penetrated her after her verbal utterances to stop. State Attorney's Office (SAO) and RSLO SE have declined to prosecute this case due to lack of prosecutorial merit.
305	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	Djibouti	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								Unknown location where the Victim reported she was being raped by the Subject while on watch. Subject was not charged with any criminal violations in reference to the rape allegation against him. Victim pled guilty to failure to obey a lawful order and false official statement.
306	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes					On-base offense where Victim#1 related she went to the Clinic and Subject began inappropriately rubbing her inner thigh, flirting with her, and grabbed her buttocks. Victims #2, 3 & 4 were interviewed and reported they also were inappropriately touched by Subject during medical examinations. Subject accepted non-judicial punishment for violations of Articles 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation), Article 120 (sexual misconduct), and Article 128 (assault) of the UCMJ. Subject was sentenced to 45 days restriction, 45 days extra duty, and forfeiture of \$3441.00.
307	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where the Victim advised Subject placed a sleeping pill into her tea. Victim stated that after she woke up, Subject was on top her engaging in sexual intercourse. Japanese Police declined to pursue any further investigation claiming Victim has filed multiple false reports in the past. Subsequent investigative action will be initiated as deemed appropriate, if Victim contact NCISRA Yokosuka.
308	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where the Victim claimed that Subject struck her on the buttocks with his hand. Command dismissed charges prior to conducting an NJP as there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense.
309	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject followed Victim in the ladies bathroom, pushed her dress up, tried to pull down her underwear and ripped them. Subject received counseling and was issued a Non-Punitive Letter of Caution relating to this investigation. Subject will not receive any other judicial/administrative action.
310	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke to Subject engaged in sexual intercourse with her. Subject was discharged with an Honorable Discharge from the USN. Command indicated they would not return him to active duty status for prosecution under the UCMJ due to insufficient evidence.
311a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where the Victim advised she was digitally penetrated by Subject#1 and Subject#2, and had sexual intercourse with Subject#1 and did not consent to any of the sex acts. Victim later admitted she lied about being sexually assault. Command has been apprised of the status of this investigation.
311b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where the Victim advised she was digitally penetrated by Subject#1 and Subject#2, and had sexual intercourse with Subject#1 and did not consent to any of the sex acts. Victim later admitted she lied about being sexually assault. Command has been apprised of the status of this investigation.
312	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Male	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim stated when he awoke Subject had his hand inside Victim's shorts and undergarments fondling Victim's genitals. Command declined to prosecute due to insufficient evidence and allowed Subject to separate from the United States Navy.
313	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the Victim alleged that Subject pinned her arms down on his bed and forcibly raped her. Suffolk Commonwealth Attorney declined the case, due to insufficient evidence. Command Judge Advocate General screened the case and also declined due to insufficient evidence.
314a	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Victims#1 and #2 alleged that they were sodomized and forced to perform oral sex with the Subjects. Subjects#1 and #2 being active duty Navy members. RA obtained a copy of a Declination of Prosecution Letter signed by Victim #1 indicated that she doesn't desire "to pursue or be involved in the prosecution" of the matter. RA received a letter from Special Assistant to the US Attorney, declining the prosecution of Subject #1, Subject #2, and Subject #3.
314b	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Victims#1 and #2 alleged that they were sodomized and forced to perform oral sex with the Subjects. Subjects#1 and #2 being active duty Navy members. RA obtained a copy of a Declination of Prosecution Letter signed by Victim #1 indicated that she doesn't desire "to pursue or be involved in the prosecution" of the matter. RA received a letter from Special Assistant to the US Attorney, declining the prosecution of Subject #1, Subject #2, and Subject #3.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
314c	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where Victims#1 and #2 alleged that they were sodomized and forced to perform oral sex with the Subjects. Subjects#1 and #2 being active duty Navy members. RA obtained a copy of a Declaration of Prosecution Letter signed by Victim #1 indicated that she doesn't desire "to pursue or be involved in the prosecution" of the matter. RA received a letter from Special Assistant to the US Attorney, declining the prosecution of Subject #1, Subject #2, and Subject #3.
315	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-1	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where the Victim reported that on she was raped by Subject. Victim later signed a letter of declination indicating she did not wish to participate in any military action.
316	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim stated she woke up naked and Subject was holding her down by the neck and engaged in vaginal intercourse with her from behind. An Article 32 hearing and the Investigating Officer (IO) did not recommend referring charges to a court-martial. Command advised no further judicial or administrative action would be taken against Subject with regard to this investigation.
317	Forcible sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Male	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where the Victim alleged that he was sexually assaulted by an unknown male Subject brandishing a gun. Victim subsequently signed a Victim Preference Statement, indicating that he did not want to participate in an investigation, nor would he provide details.
318	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense	Dismissal							Off-base offense where the Victim awoke after Subject had placed his hands down her pants. Victim stated Subject penetrated her vagina with his fingers while his hands were in her pants. An Article 32 hearing related to this investigation resulted in no administrative/judicial action will be taken against Subject, due to a lack of evidence.
319	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Male	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where the Subject unzip and pull down Victim's pants and performed oral sex on him. Subject pushed Victim down to his knees and Victim performed oral sex on Subject. Victim was re-interviewed and acknowledged the alleged sexual assault was consensual.
320	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Male	Q3	Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base (ship) offense where the Victim reported that Subject fondled his genitals under his sweatpants while sleeping in his rack. Command advised that Subject was found guilty at NJP on two counts of Article 120 (Sexual Assault), Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). Subject was awarded reduction in rank, 1/2 months pay for two (2) months, forty-five (45) days of restriction, and forty-five (45) days of extra duty.
321	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								Unknown location where the Victim and Subject were engage in consensual sexual intercourse until the intercourse became painful because of her medical condition. Victim Subject would hold her down by her neck and cause severe bruising. CO declined to pursue charges related to sexual assault against Subject, and stated his intention to move forward with charges related to adultery and conduct unbecoming a Non-Commissioned Officer.
322	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Unknown location where the Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject. Victim signed a VPS indicating she no longer wished to participate in the investigation and judicial proceedings in the case.
323	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim advised she awoke naked and in the bed with an unidentified male Subject. Victim later admitted that she lied about blacking out and no crime occurred.
324	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where the Victim claimed she was "date raped" by Subject. Limited assistance to locate and interrogate Subject for local law enforcement was completed.
325	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where Subject removed Victim's clothing and had her touch his penis while she was pinned to the bed and he subsequently digitally penetrated the Victim. When the Subject broke up with the Victim and acquired a new girlfriend an MPO was issued between the Subject and Victim. No one observed Subject threaten the Victim or act in a violent manner toward her; no judicial action was taken against the Subject due to insufficient evidence.
326	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Subject pushed Victim on the bed, climbed on top of her and put his penis in her vagina while she still had her underwear on. Subject was interviewed and requested a lawyer. RLSO NW, reported they were declining charges on this case due to insufficient evidence.
327	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								Off-base offense where the Subject ripped her pants zipper and removed her pants and then ripped a hole in her panties and inserted his penis into her vagina. The Victim said the Subject held her hand down with one of his hands, while placing his other hand over her mouth. Command determined there was probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense.
328a	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim was found lying in a parking lot. Victim alleged she was picked up by two male Subjects, driven aboard base to an unidentified barracks room where she was raped. This investigation is hereby closed as no additional actionable leads were identified.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
328b	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim was found lying in a parking lot. Victim alleged she was picked up by two male Subjects, driven aboard base to an unidentified barracks room where she was raped. This investigation is hereby closed as no additional actionable leads were identified.
329a	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	Unknown	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported Subject#1 put his hands down Victim's jeans and underpants while the Subject#2 held her against the wall. Victim stated the Subject#1 started to penetrate her vaginal area with his fingers. Local authorities assumed jurisdiction. Local authorities advised that no charges would be pursued related to this investigation due to insufficient evidence to charge any subject/s.
329b	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	Unknown	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported Subject#1 put his hands down Victim's jeans and underpants while the Subject#2 held her against the wall. Victim stated the Subject#1 started to penetrate her vaginal area with his fingers. Local authorities assumed jurisdiction. Local authorities advised that no charges would be pursued related to this investigation due to insufficient evidence to charge any subject/s.
330	Aggravated sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the Victim's date (Subject) proceeded to throw her to the ground and digitally penetrate her. The Subject was described as "military" and was wearing a tan camouflage uniform. Subject pulled Victim#2 pants off and digitally penetrated her. Subject threw Victim#3 to the ground and attempted to force her legs open. Subject was arrested. Subject claimed he was home all evening with his wife in violation of his MPO. The local District Attorney's Office declined to file charges and Subject was released from jail. The investigation was closed, due to prosecution being declined by the District Attorney's Office and a lack of evidence of further criminal activity.
331	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							On-base offense where the Victim alleged that Subject "grabbed her right breast". Legal Officer advised that insufficient evidence existed to charge the Subject in violations of UCMJ Article 120 (Indecent Assault); Subject was found guilty at NJP of Article 92 (Failure to Obey a Lawful Order).
332	Abusive sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Female	E-3	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim woke in her barracks room to her roommate, Subject, sucking on her breasts, digitally penetrating her vagina and performing oral sex on her. MPO was issued. Command advised the case will not be referred to a Court Martial due to lack of probable cause.
333	Aggravated sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	Djibouti	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								On-base offense where the Victim she opened the door for an unknown male Subject. Subject placed his left hand over her mouth and placed his right hand under her shirt and began to slide it up the side of her body. To date, no suspect has been identified. All investigative leads have been completed.
334	Wrongful sexual contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Yes					On-base (ship) offense where the Victim claimed Subject pressed his penis against her buttocks while both were clothed. An Article 32 hearing was conducted and charges were preferred against Subject. An Admiral's Mast was conducted in lieu of a General Court Martial. Subject accepted Non-Judicial punishment for violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice Articles 92, 120, and 132. Subject was awarded a Punitive Letter of Reprimand and loss of 1/2 month's pay for two months. Subject will be processed for retirement grade determination and separated from Naval Service.
335	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she had no memory of any events except performing oral sex on an unknown male Subject. A letter of Prosecutorial Merit was provided by the RLSO SE indicating a lack of evidence in the case to supporting a sexual assault. Command made the decision to not pursue administrative or judicial proceedings from this investigation.
336	Aggravated sexual assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke in the Subject's apartment to find him on top her and penetrating her vagina with his penis. The Victim also alleged the Subject attempted to penetrate her anally but was unsuccessful. Subject was administratively separated from the Navy for failing alcohol rehabilitation treatment. There is no longer any command interest in investigation and possible prosecution pertaining to Subject.

1a. Unrestricted Reports (A-E)

	A	B
1	MARINE CORPS FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
2	A. FY11 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.	FY11 Totals
3	# VICTIMS in FY11 Unrestricted Reports	295
4	# Service Member victims	209
5	# Non-Service Member victims	86
6	# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	282
7	# Service Member on Service Member	153
8	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	84
9	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	7
10	# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	38
11	# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	282
12	# On military installation	145
13	# Off military installation	109
14	# Unidentified location	28
15	# Investigations Initiated (From FY11 Unrestricted Reports)	282
16	# Investigations pending completion as of 30-SEP-11	92
17	# Completed Investigations as of 30-SEP-11	190
18	# Restricted Reports	51
19	# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	0
20	# FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	51
21	B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN FY11	FY11 Totals
22	Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	282
23	# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	111
24	# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	69
25	# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	60
26	# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	19
27	# Unknown	23
28	Time of sexual assault	282
29	# Midnight to 6 am	42
30	# 6 am to 6 pm	9
31	# 6 pm to midnight	26
32	# Unknown	205
33	Day of sexual assault	282
34	# Sunday	41
35	# Monday	30
36	# Tuesday	17
37	# Wednesday	15
38	# Thursday	18
39	# Friday	27
40	# Saturday	48
41	# Unknown	86
42	C. SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (INITIATED AND COMPLETED IN FY11)	FY11 Totals
43	# Investigations initiated and completed during FY11	190
44	# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	32
45	# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	223
46	# Your Service Member subjects	177
47	# Service Member subjects from other Services	12
48	# Non-Service Member subjects	5
49	# Unidentified subjects	29
50	# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	201
51	# Your Service Member victims	128
52	# Service Member victims from other Services	15
53	# Non-Service Member victims	58
54	# Unknown	0

1a. Unrestricted Reports (A-E)

	A	B
55	D. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN FY11 INVESTIGATIONS	FY11 Totals
56	# SUBJECTS in FY11 completed investigations	223
57	DoD Action Precluded:	33
58	# Unknown Subjects	19
59	# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
60	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons Subject to the UCMJ	12
61	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	2
62	# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	79
63	# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	15
64	# Subject deceased or deserted	1
65	# Victim deceased	0
66	# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	23
67	# Insufficient evidence to prosecute	28
68	# Statute of limitations had expired	1
69	# Unfounded by Command	11
70	# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	0
71	# Subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-11	71
72	# Subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-11	40
73	# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following FY11 Sexual Assault Subjects	40
74	# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	25
75	# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	10
76	# Administrative discharges	1
77	# Other administrative actions	4
78	E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN Pre-FY11 INVESTIGATIONS (Prior year investigations completed in FY11)	FY11 Totals
79	# Total Number of Pre-FY11 Investigations pending completion at the end of FY10 (30-Sep-10)	127
80	# Pre-FY11 Investigations STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-11	3
81	# Pre-FY11 Investigations completed of 30-SEP-11	124
82	# SUBJECTS from Pre-FY11 investigations completed by 30-SEP-11	140
83	# Final DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from Pre-FY11 investigations that were completed in FY11	140
84	DoD Action Precluded:	10
85	# Unknown Subjects	2
86	# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
87	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of persons subject to the UCMJ	7
88	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	1
89	# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	52
90	# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	8
91	# Subject deceased or deserted	0
92	# Victim deceased	0
93	# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	13
94	# Insufficient evidence to prosecute	30
95	# Statute of limitations had expired	0
96	# Unfounded by Command	1
97	# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	0
98	# Pre-FY11 subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-11	18
99	# Pre-FY11 subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-11	60
100	# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following Pre-FY11 Sexual Assault Subjects	60
101	# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	46
102	# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	4
103	# Administrative discharges	1
104	# Other administrative actions	9
105	* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T
1	MARINE CORPS FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER																			
2	F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)																			
3		Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY11 Totals												
4	# Service Member on Service Member	241	19	2	2	5	13	282												
5	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	133	17	0	2	1	0	153												
6	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	84	0	0	0	0	0	84												
7	# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	3	2	2	0	0	0	7												
8	# Unidentified subject on Service Member	21	0	0	0	0	13	38												
9	FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY OFFENSE TYPE																			
10	REPORTS MADE IN FY11																			
11	Incidents Occurring Pre-FY08, but Reported in FY11										Incidents Occurring in FY08-FY10, but Reported in FY11									
12	G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)																			
13		Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY11 Totals
14	# Service Member on Service Member	2	2	0	0	8	21	3	1	3	4	0	53	104	4	10	43	21	3	282
15	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	1	2	0	0	3	13	2	1	1	3	0	18	57	2	6	32	11	1	153
16	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	1	7	1	0	1	0	0	19	39	0	3	11	5	2	84
17	# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	1	1	0	7
18	# Unidentified subject on Service Member	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	15	10	2	0	0	4	0	38
19	# TOTAL Service Member Victims	2	2	0	0	7	14	2	1	2	4	0	34	70	4	7	42	17	1	209
20	# Service Member Victims: Female	2	1	0	0	7	14	2	1	2	3	0	34	69	4	5	37	6	0	183
21	# Service Member Victims: Male	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	10	11	1	29
22	TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY11																			
23	Time of sexual assault	2	2	0	0	8	21	3	1	3	4	0	53	104	4	10	43	21	3	282
24	# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	24	1	0	6	3	0	42
25	# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	3	0	0	3	2	0	9
26	# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	8	4	2	2	5	0	2	26
27	# Unknown	2	2	0	0	6	19	2	1	3	4	0	38	73	1	8	29	16	1	205
28	Day of sexual assault	2	2	0	0	8	21	3	1	3	4	0	53	104	4	10	43	21	3	282
29	# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	18	0	2	3	6	0	41
30	# Monday	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	1	2	8	3	1	30
31	# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	2	1	1	2	0	17
32	# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	1	0	4	0	0	15
33	# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	0	0	4	0	2	18
34	# Friday	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	0	1	2	1	0	27
35	# Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	8	21	0	2	7	6	0	48
36	# Unknown	2	2	0	0	5	12	3	1	2	3	0	17	20	0	2	14	3	0	86
37	DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN COMPLETED FY11 INVESTIGATIONS																			
38	Gender of VICTIMS	1	1	0	0	6	17	2	1	2	3	0	32	70	4	7	37	17	1	201
39	# Male	0	0	0	0	6	17	2	1	2	3	0	32	70	4	7	37	17	1	201
40	# Female	1	1	0	0	6	17	2	1	2	3	0	32	70	4	7	37	17	1	201
41	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42	Age of VICTIMS	1	1	0	0	6	17	2	1	2	3	0	32	70	4	7	37	17	1	201
43	# 16-19	0	0	0	0	3	6	1	0	0	0	0	9	23	2	1	9	7	0	61
44	# 20-24	1	1	0	0	6	16	1	1	1	2	0	16	33	2	5	20	6	1	97
45	# 25-34	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	1	1	0	4	10	0	1	4	2	0	29
46	# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	6
47	# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
48	# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	1	0	7
50	Grade of VICTIMS	1	1	0	0	6	17	2	1	2	3	0	32	70	4	7	37	17	1	201
51	# E1-E4	1	1	0	0	5	9	1	1	2	2	0	19	44	4	3	26	12	0	130
52	# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	11	2	0	2	3	0	0	10
53	# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
54	# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
55	# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
56	# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
57	# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	11	23	0	2	6	5	1	56
58	# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
59	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
60	Service of VICTIMS	1	1	0	0	6	17	2	1	2	3	0	32	70	4	7	37	17	1	201
61	# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	9
62	# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	9
63	# Marines	1	1	0	0	5	11	1	1	2	3	0	18	39	4	4	26	12	0	128
64	# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
65	# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66	# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	11	23	0	2	6	5	1	56
67	# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
68	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
69	Status of VICTIMS	1	1	0	0	6	17	2	1	2	3	0	32	70	4	7	37	17	1	201
70	# Active Duty	1	1	0	0	5	10	1	1	1	2	0	20	46	4	5	29	12	0	140
71	# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
72	# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
73	# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
74	# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	11	23	0	2	6	5	1	56
75	# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
76	# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

1b. Unrestricted Reports (F-G)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY11 Totals
76 DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY11 INVESTIGATIONS																			
76 Gender of SUBJECTS	1	1	0	0	6	18	2	1	2	3	0	37	89	4	7	32	19	1	223
77 # Male	0	1	0	0	4	18	2	1	1	2	0	35	85	3	7	31	18	1	205
78 # Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
79 # Unknown	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	1	0	1	1	0	12
80 Age of SUBJECTS	1	1	0	0	6	18	2	1	2	3	0	37	89	4	7	32	19	1	223
81 # 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	10
82 # 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	31	1	3	10	5	1	65
83 # 25-34	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	2	8	0	0	10	3	0	29
84 # 35-49	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	6
85 # 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
86 # 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
87 # Unknown	1	0	0	0	4	11	0	1	1	3	0	24	44	3	3	8	9	0	112
88 Grade of SUBJECTS	1	1	0	0	6	18	2	1	2	3	0	37	89	4	7	32	19	1	223
89 # E1-E4	0	0	0	0	1	7	2	0	1	0	0	14	58	2	3	15	13	1	117
90 # E5-E9	0	1	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	2	0	4	14	0	3	15	2	0	48
91 # WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
92 # O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3
93 # O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
94 # Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
95 # US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11	0	11	0	1	0	4
96 # Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
97 # Unknown	1	0	0	0	3	5	0	1	0	1	0	18	15	2	0	0	3	0	49
98 Service of SUBJECTS	1	1	0	0	6	18	2	1	2	3	0	37	89	4	7	32	19	1	223
99 # Army	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
100 # Navy	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	0	9
101 # Marines	0	1	0	0	3	13	1	1	1	2	0	21	79	2	6	31	15	1	177
102 # Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
103 # Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
104 # US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11	0	11	0	1	0	4
105 # Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
106 # Unknown	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	12	6	2	0	0	2	0	29
107 Status of SUBJECTS	1	1	0	0	6	18	2	1	2	3	0	37	89	4	7	32	19	1	223
108 # Active Duty	0	1	0	0	2	15	2	1	1	2	0	23	83	2	6	30	16	1	186
109 # Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
110 # National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
111 # Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
112 # US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	4
113 # Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
114 # Unknown	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	13	5	2	0	0	2	0	29
115																			

2. Restricted Reports

	A	B
1	MARINE CORPS FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
2	A. FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	FY11 TOTALS
3	# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	51
4	# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	0
5	# FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	51
6	# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	51
7	# Service Member on Service Member	34
8	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	9
9	# Unidentified subject on Service Member	8
10	# Reported sexual assaults occurring	51
11	# On military installation	19
12	# Off military installation	29
13	# Unidentified location	3
14	B. INCIDENT DETAILS	FY11 TOTALS
15	Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	51
16	# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	21
17	# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	18
18	# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	7
19	# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	5
20	# Unknown	0
21	Time of sexual assault incident	51
22	# Midnight to 6 am	18
23	# 6 am to 6 pm	3
24	# 6 pm to midnight	27
25	# Unknown	3
26	Day of sexual assault incident	51
27	# Sunday	1
28	# Monday	6
29	# Tuesday	7
30	# Wednesday	6
31	# Thursday	10
32	# Friday	5
33	# Saturday	16
34	# Unknown	0
35	C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY11 TOTALS
36	# VICTIMS	51
37	# Army victims	0
38	# Navy victims	2
39	# Marines victims	49
40	# Air Force victims	0
41	# Coast Guard	0
42	# Unknown	0

2. Restricted Reports

	A	B
43	D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY11 TOTALS
44	Gender of VICTIMS	51
45	# Male	12
46	# Female	39
47	# Unknown	0
48	Age of VICTIMS	51
49	# 16-19	10
50	# 20-24	31
51	# 25-34	9
52	# 35-49	1
53	# 50-64	0
54	# 65 and older	0
55	# Unknown	0
56	Grade of VICTIMS	51
57	# E1-E4	39
58	# E5-E9	9
59	# WO1-WO5	0
60	# O1-O3	3
61	# O4-O10	0
62	# Cadet/Midshipman	0
63	# US Civilian	0
64	# Unknown	0
65	Status of VICTIMS	51
66	# Active Duty	50
67	# Reserve (Activated)	1
68	# National Guard (Activated)	0
69	# Cadet/Midshipman	0
70	# US Civilian	0
71	# Unknown	0
72	* The Restricted Reports reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

3. Victim Services

	A	B
1	MARINE CORPS FY11 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
2	<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
3	A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY11 TOTALS
4	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
5	# MILITARY facilities	391
6	# Medical	114
7	# Counseling	149
8	# Legal	128
9	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	64
10	# Medical	33
11	# Counseling	24
12	# Legal	7
13	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	71
14	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
15	# Military Victims making an UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	1
16	B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY11 TOTALS
17	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
18	# MILITARY facilities	92
19	# Medical	34
20	# Counseling	41
21	# Legal	17
22	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	11
23	# Medical	4
24	# Counseling	7
25	# Legal	0
26	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	5
27	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
28	# Military Victims making a RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	1
29	C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	FY11 TOTALS
30	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
31	# MILITARY facilities	70
32	# Medical	16
33	# Counseling	30
34	# Legal	24
35	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	40
36	# Medical	18
37	# Counseling	15
38	# Legal	7
39	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	16
40	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
41	D. FY11 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)*	FY11 TOTALS
42	# Military Protective Orders issued due to an FY11 Unrestricted Report of Sexual Assault	47
43	# Reported MPO Violations in FY11 Completed Investigations	7
44	# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	3
45	# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of Sexual Assault	4
46	*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk to the victim.	

4a. Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-E)

	A	B
1	MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
2	A. FY11 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members).	FY11 Totals
3	# VICTIMS in FY11 Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	11
4	# Service Member victims	11
5	# Non-Service Member victims	0
6	# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	11
7	# Service Member on Service Member	8
8	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
9	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1
10	# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	2
11	# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	11
12	# On military installation	9
13	# Off military installation	1
14	# Unidentified location	1
15	# Investigations (From FY11 Unrestricted Reports)	11
16	# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-11	4
17	# Completed as of 30-SEP-11	7
18	# Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	0
19	# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	0
20	# FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	0
21	B. FY11 DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY11 Totals
22	Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	11
23	# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	2
24	# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
25	# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	3
26	# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	3
27	# Unknown	3
28	Time of sexual assault	11
29	# Midnight to 6 am	1
30	# 6 am to 6 pm	0
31	# 6 pm to midnight	1
32	# Unknown	9
33	Day of sexual assault	11
34	# Sunday	0
35	# Monday	1
36	# Tuesday	0
37	# Wednesday	1
38	# Thursday	2
39	# Friday	0
40	# Saturday	1
41	# Unknown	6
42	C. SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (INITIATED AND COMPLETED IN FY11) IN CAI	FY11 Totals
43	# Investigations initiated and completed during FY11	7
44	# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	0
45	# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	7
46	# Your Service Member subjects	5
47	# Service Member subjects from other Services	0
48	# Non-Service Member subjects	1
49	# Unidentified subjects	1
50	# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	7
51	# Your Service Member victims	6
52	# Service Member victims from other Services	1
53	# Non-Service Member victims	0
54	# Unknown	0

4a. Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-E)

	A	B
55	D. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY11 Totals
56	# SUBJECTS in FY11 completed investigations	7
57	DoD Action Precluded:	1
58	# Unknown Subjects	1
59	# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
60	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons Subject to the UCMJ	0
61	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	0
62	# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	2
63	# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	0
64	# Subject deceased or deserted	0
65	# Victim deceased	0
66	# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	1
67	# Insufficient evidence to prosecute	0
68	# Statute of limitations had expired	0
69	# Unfounded by Command	1
70	# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)***	0
71	# Subjects pending completion of command action as of 30-SEP-11	1
72	# Subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-11	3
73	# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following FY11 Sexual Assault Subjects	3
74	# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	2
75	# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	1
76	# Administrative discharges	0
77	# Other administrative actions	0
78	E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR PRE-FY11 SUBJECTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (From investigations opened prior to FY11 that were completed in FY11)	FY11 Totals
79	# Total Number of Pre-FY11 Investigations pending completion at the end of FY10	0
80	# Pre-FY11 Investigations STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-11	0
81	# Pre-FY11 Investigations completed of 30-SEP-11	0
82	# SUBJECTS from Pre-FY11 investigations completed as of 30-SEP-11	0
83	# Final FY11 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from Pre-FY11 investigations that were completed in FY11	0
84	DoD Action Precluded:	0
85	# Unknown Subjects	0
86	# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
87	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of persons subject to the UCMJ	0
88	# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	0
89	# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault (definitions provided below Section	0
90	# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	0
91	# Subject deceased or deserted	0
92	# Victim deceased	0
93	# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0
94	# Insufficient evidence to prosecute	0
95	# Statute of limitations had expired	0
96	# Unfounded by Command	0
97	# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	0
98	# Pre-FY11 subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-11	0
99	# Pre-FY11 subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-11	0
100	# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following Pre-FY11 Sexual Assault Subjects	0
101	# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	0
102	# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0
103	# Administrative discharges	0
104	# Other administrative actions	0
105	* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.	

4b. Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections F-H)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T		
1	MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER																					
2	F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST		Male on Male	Male on Female	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY11 Totals													
3			10	1	0	0	0	0	11													
4	# Service Member on Service Member		7	1	0	0	0	0	8													
5	# Service Member on Non-Service Member		0	0	0	0	0	0	0													
6	# Non-Service Member on Service Member		1	0	0	0	0	0	1													
7	# Unidentified subject on Service Member		2	0	0	0	0	0	2													
8	COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY11 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE																					
9	REPORTS MADE IN FY11		Incidents Occuring Pre-FY08, but reported in FY11					Incidents Occuring in FY08-FY10, but Reported in FY11					Incidents Occuring and Reported in FY11									
10	G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST		Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY11 Totals	
11			0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	11	
12	# Service Member on Service Member		0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	8	
13	# Service Member on Non-Service Member		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
14	# Non-Service Member on Service Member		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
15	# Unidentified subject on Service Member		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
16			0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	11	
17	# TOTAL Service Member Victims		0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	11	
18	# Service Member Victims: Female		0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	
19	# Service Member Victims: Male		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
20	TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY11																					
21	Time of sexual assault		0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	11	
22	# Midnight to 6 am		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
23	# 6 am to 6 pm		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
24	# 6 pm to midnight		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
25	# Unknown		0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	9	
26	Day of sexual assault		0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	11	
27	# Sunday		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
28	# Monday		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
29	# Tuesday		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
30	# Wednesday		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
31	# Thursday		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
32	# Friday		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
33	# Saturday		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
34	# Unknown		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	6	
35	DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN COMPLETED FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST																					
36	Gender of VICTIMS		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	7	
37	# Male		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
38	# Female		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	
39	# Unknown		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
40	Age of VICTIMS		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	7	
41	# 16-19		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
42	# 20-24		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	
43	# 25-34		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
44	# 35-49		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
45	# 50-64		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
46	# 65 and older		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
47	# Unknown		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
48	Grade of VICTIMS		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	7	
49	# E1-E4		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	
50	# E5-E9		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
51	# WO1-WO5		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
52	# O1-O3		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
53	# O4-O10		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
54	# Cadet/Midshipman		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
55	# US Civilian		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
56	# Foreign national/foreign military		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
57	# Unknown		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
58	Service of VICTIMS		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	7	
59	# Army		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
60	# Navy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
61	# Marines		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	6	
62	# Air Force		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
63	# Coast Guard		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
64	# US Civilian		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
65	# Foreign national/foreign military		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
66	# Unknown		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
67	Status of VICTIMS		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	7	
68	# Active Duty		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	7	
69	# Reserve (Activated)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
70	# National Guard (Activated)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
71	# Cadet/Midshipman		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
72	# US Civilian		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
73	# Foreign national/foreign military		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
74	# Unknown		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

4b. Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections F-H)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY11 Totals	
75 DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY11 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST																				
76 Gender of SUBJECTS						2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	7	
77 # Male	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	7	
78 # Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
79 # Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
80 Age of SUBJECTS						2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	7	
81 # 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
82 # 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	
83 # 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
84 # 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
85 # 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
86 # 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
87 # Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	
88 Grade of SUBJECTS						2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	7	
89 # E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	
90 # E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
91 # WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
92 # O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
93 # O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
94 # Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
95 # US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
96 # Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
97 # Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
98 Service of SUBJECTS						2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	7	
99 # Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
100 # Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
101 # Marines	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	5	
102 # Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
103 # Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
104 # US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
105 # Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
106 # Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
107 Status of SUBJECTS						2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	7	
108 # Active Duty	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	5	
109 # Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
110 # National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
111 # Cadets/Midshipmen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
112 # US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
113 # Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
114 # Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE																				
115	<i>Incidents Occuring Pre-FY08, but reported in FY11</i>				<i>Incidents Occuring in FY08-FY10, but Reported in FY11</i>							<i>Incidents Occuring and Reported in FY11</i>								
H. FY11 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY11 Totals	
117 TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	11	
118 Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Africa																				
120 Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
121 Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
122 Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
123 Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
124 Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
125 Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
126 Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
127 Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
128 Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
129 Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
130 Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
131 Uganda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
132 Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
133 United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
134 Central and South Asia																				
135 Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
136 Kyrgyzstan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
137 Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
138 Afghanistan	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	
139 TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	11	

5a. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-D)

	A	B
1	MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
2	A. FY11 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	FY11 Totals
3	# Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	0
4	# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	0
5	# FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	0
6	# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	0
7	# Service Member on Service Member	0
8	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0
9	# Unidentified subject on Service Member	0
10	# Reported sexual assaults occurring	0
11	# On military installation	0
12	# Off military installation	0
13	# Unidentified location	0
14	B. CAI INCIDENT DETAILS	FY11 TOTALS
15	Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	0
16	# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	0
17	# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
18	# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
19	# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0
20	# Unknown	0
21	Time of sexual assault incident	0
22	# Midnight to 6 am	0
23	# 6 am to 6 pm	0
24	# 6 pm to midnight	0
25	# Unknown	0
26	Day of sexual assault incident	0
27	# Sunday	0
28	# Monday	0
29	# Tuesday	0
30	# Wednesday	0
31	# Thursday	0
32	# Friday	0
33	# Saturday	0
34	# Unknown	0
35	C. CAI RESTRICTED REPORTS - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY11 TOTALS
36	# VICTIMS	0
37	# Army	0
38	# Air Force	0
39	# Navy	0
40	# Marines	0
41	# Coast Guard	0
42	# Unknown	0

5a. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-D)

	A	B
43	D. CAI DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY11 TOTALS
44	Gender of VICTIMS	0
45	# Male	0
46	# Female	0
47	# Unknown	0
48	Age of VICTIMS	0
49	# 16-19	0
50	# 20-24	0
51	# 25-34	0
52	# 35-49	0
53	# 50-64	0
54	# 65 and older	0
55	# Unknown	0
56	Grade of VICTIMS	0
57	# E1-E4	0
58	# E5-E9	0
59	# WO1-WO5	0
60	# O1-O3	0
61	# O4-O10	0
62	# Cadet/Midshipman	0
63	# US Civilian	0
64	# Unknown	0
65	Status of VICTIMS	0
66	# Active Duty	0
67	# Reserve (Activated)	0
68	# National Guard (Activated)	0
69	# US Civilian	0
70	# Cadet/Midshipman	0
71	# Unknown	0
72	* The Restricted Reports reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 4a, Section A.	

5b. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Section E)

	A	B
1	MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF FY11 RESTRICTED REPORTS	
2	E. TOTAL # FY11 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST -RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY11 Totals
3	<i>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</i>	0
4	Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Red Sea	
5	Bahrain	0
6	Iraq	0
7	Jordan	0
8	Lebanon	0
9	Syria	0
10	Yemen	0
11	Djibouti	0
12	Egypt	0
13	Kuwait	0
14	Oman	0
15	Qatar	0
16	Uganda	0
17	Saudi Arabia	0
18	United Arab Emirates	0
19	Central and South Asia	
20	Iran	0
21	Kyrgyzstan	0
22	Pakistan	0
23	Afghanistan	0
24		

6. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest

	A	B
1	MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST: FY11 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
2	<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
3	A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY11 TOTALS
4	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
5	# MILITARY facilities	12
6	# Medical	4
7	# Counseling	5
8	# Legal	3
9	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
10	# Medical	0
11	# Counseling	0
12	# Legal	0
13	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	5
14	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
15	# Military Victims in UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
16	B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY11 TOTALS
17	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
18	# MILITARY facilities	1
19	# Medical	0
20	# Counseling	1
21	# Legal	0
22	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
23	# Medical	0
24	# Counseling	0
25	# Legal	0
26	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
27	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
28	# Military Victims in RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
29	C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	FY11 TOTALS
30	# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
31	# MILITARY facilities	0
32	# Medical	0
33	# Counseling	0
34	# Legal	0
35	# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
36	# Medical	0
37	# Counseling	0
38	# Legal	0
39	# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
40	# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
41	D. FY11 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)*	FY11 TOTALS
42	# Military Protective Orders issued due to an FY11 Unrestricted Report of Sexual Assault	5
43	# Reported MPO Violations in FY11 Completed Investigations	0
44	# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
45	# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of Sexual Assault	0
46	*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk to the victim.	

7. UR Case Synopses

FY11 Sexual Assaults Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																
No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
1	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-Base offense involving alcohol where Subject placed his hand down the victim's pants while the victim was intoxicated at a Las Vegas club. Subject found not guilty of sexual assault charges at Court-Martial and found guilty of Art 92 (Failure to obey), and Art 134 (Adultery). Subject was sentenced to reduction to pay grade E-4, loss of 2/3 pay for 1 month, and restriction for 60 days.
2	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where Subject rubbed his pelvis against the Victim and grabbed the Victim's breast on multiple occasions. Subject pled guilty to Art 92, Art 134, and Art 128 in a Special Court-Martial. Subject was awarded grade reduction from E-7 to E-1 and 60 days restriction.
3	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes				On-base offense involving alcohol where subject broke into the Victim's room then digitally penetrated the Victim twice and attempted to force the Victim to orally copulate him. Subject was found guilty at a Court-Martial for Art 92 (Underage drinking and Sexual activity in barracks) and was awarded reduction in grade to E-2 and 60 days restriction. Subject was found not guilty of all additional charges under Article 120 (Rape), Article 80 (Attempted Sodomy), Article 128 (Assault), and Article 134 (Unlawful Entry).
4	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke naked next to the Subject following a party in the Subject's barracks room. Victim experienced genital pain and had visible hickeys on the neck and breasts. Subject also harassed Victim about incident. Command did not pursue charges against Subject due to lack of evidence.
5	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	Unknown	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where Victim reported being raped by an unidentified black male. Victim declined to participate in the investigation and claimed to have only reported incident to get help. Victim was confused about the difference in restricted and unrestricted reporting. No subject could be identified without the Victim's assistance.
6	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where Victim awoke with vaginal soreness and believed she was assaulted by an unidentified male the previous night. Victim was unable to recall details from the night and suspected she might have been drugged. Victim decided further cooperation with an investigation. No charges could be preferred.
7	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject and Victim attended the Navy Birthday Ball then retired to a hotel room. Victim awoke to the Subject having non-consensual intercourse with her. Subject was arrested by local police department, pled guilty to second degree felony rape, and was sentence to 60-81 months imprisonment by the state of North Carolina.
8	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Male	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject anally sodomized, orally copulated, and manually stimulated Victim against his will while Victim was incapacitated in Subject's barracks. Subject was found guilty at a Summary Court Martial of Article 92 and Article 134. Subject was sentenced to a reduction to pay grade E-1.
9	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense where Subject forced Victim to have non-consensual sexual intercourse. Offense occurred in the Subject's barracks following an argument regarding the Victim and Subject's dissolved relationship. Command determined there was insufficient evidence to refer Subject's case to a trial by Court-martial.
10	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject had non-consensual sexual intercourse with the Victim while the Victim was incapacitated. Offense occurred in hotel room following a night of drinking. Victim declined to participate in the investigation. Local authority maintained jurisdiction.
11	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject isolated Victim in a bathroom then closed the door, turned off the lights, and proceeded to rape Victim. Subject pled guilty at a Special Court-Martial to Articles 92 (Violation of a lawful general order and Dereliction of duty), 134 (Adultery), and 128 (Assault). Subject was sentenced to grade reduction from E-7 to E-1 and sixty days restriction.
12	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes						Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject vaginally penetrated Victim twice while Victim was unable to resist. Victim declined to participate in investigation. Subject was charged with a violation of Article 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation) and awarded NJP in the form of 30 days restriction and 30 days of extra duty.
13	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	United Arab Emirates	E-4	Male	Unknown	Male	Q2	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Yes	Yes				On-base offense where Victim had reported being touched on his penis while in bed. Subject was found guilty at Captain's Mast for violating UCMJ Article 120 (Wrongful sexual contact) and was sentenced to reduction to E-3,, forfeiture of \$906.00 pay for two months, and restriction for 60 days.
14	Abusive Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was touched inappropriately on her breast, buttocks, and vagina by Subject. Local authorities closed their investigation due to lack of evidence. Command did not prefer charges as Subject left active duty on Subject's EAS.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
15	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where Subject engaged in non-consensual sexual intercourse with Victim at Victim's residence. Local authorities closed investigation without prosecution. No administrative or judicial action taken by command due to insufficient evidence.
16a	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	q4	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Victim was solicited by three Subjects for Dominatrix services but then was sodomized without consent. Subject#1 and Subject#3 were found guilty at NJP and awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, total forfeiture of \$1,622.00, 30 days restriction and 30 days extra duties. Subject#2 was convicted at a Special Court Martial for violations of Articles 108 (Destroy US Military Property), 121 (Larceny), 125 (Sodomy), and received 90 days confinement, a reduction to E-1, and forfeiture of \$500.00 for 4 months.
16b	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction		Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Victim was solicited by three Subjects for Dominatrix services but then was sodomized without consent. Subject#1 and Subject#3 were found guilty at NJP and awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, total forfeiture of \$1,622.00, 30 days restriction and 30 days extra duties. Subject#2 was convicted at a Special Court Martial for violations of Articles 108 (Destroy US Military Property), 121 (Larceny), 125 (Sodomy), and received 90 days confinement, a reduction to E-1, and forfeiture of \$500.00 for 4 months.
16c	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	q4	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Victim was solicited by three Subjects for Dominatrix services but then was sodomized without consent. Subject#1 and Subject#3 were found guilty at NJP and awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, total forfeiture of \$1,622.00, 30 days restriction and 30 days extra duties. Subject#2 was convicted at a Special Court Martial for violations of Articles 108 (Destroy US Military Property), 121 (Larceny), 125 (Sodomy), and received 90 days confinement, a reduction to E-1, and forfeiture of \$500.00 for 4 months.
17	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Female	E-4	Male	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority-Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject took advantage of the Victim's state of inebriation to have non-consensual sexual intercourse with the Victim. Victim claims they were going in and out of consciousness during incident. Senior Assistant District Attorney declined to prosecute Subject.
18	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes				On-base offense where the Subject forcefully grabbed Victim's breast and digitally penetrated Victim's vagina without permission. Subject was found guilty at Summary Court-Martial of Articles 120 (Wrongful sexual contact), 134 (Engaged in sexual contact in a place of duty), and 128 (battery). Subject was sentenced to 45 days restriction and reduced in grade to E-4.
19	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where the details could not be determined as the Victim refused to give law enforcement information about the assault and instead, opted to sign a Victim Preference Statement.
20	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Subject rubbed the Victim's vagina and breast (over clothing) and forced her to touch the Subject's exposed penis. Subject was found guilty of Articles 120 (Indecent Exposure), 134 (Indecent Language), and 92 (Dereliction of duty) at a Special Court-Martial. Subject was reduced in rank (E-1), fined \$5868.00, given two months restriction, and hard labor for three months.
21	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	Afghanistan	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where Subject had non-consensual intercourse with the sleeping Victim. Subject was found guilty at a Summary Court Martial for violating USMC General Order 1 and Article 134 (Adultery). Subject received a NJP of a reduction in rank to E-2, forfeiture of two-thirds of one month's pay, and 30 days restriction.
22	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject raped the Victim in the Victim's room. Subject was found guilty of Article 92 (Violating a lawful order) and Article 120 (Aggravated sexual assault) at General Courts-Martial. Subject received two years confinement, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowance, and will receive a bad conduct discharge.
23	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where Subject touched the Victim's buttocks and breast beneath her clothing while the Victim slept. Subject admitted guilt and was found in violation of Articles 128 (Assault) and 134 (Adultery) at summary court-martial. Subject was reduced in rank to E-1, fined \$978.00, and received 45 days of restriction.
24	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where Victim complained that on two occasions the Subject digitally penetrated her anus against her will and attempted to sodomize her. Command declined to take action against subject due to insufficient evidence.
25	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority-Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject reportedly raped Victim. The victim declined to pursue charges, signing a Victim Preference Form, but then later changed her mind and requested an investigation be opened. Subject was discharged from USMC before investigation began. Command did not take action due to lack of jurisdiction.
26	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense involving alcohol where a Victim contacted authorities about possibly being raped by subject in the barracks. Victim could not remember details and later retracted her statement saying she now believed the sex to be consensual. No Action was pursued against the Subject.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
27	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Male	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject fondled and performed oral sex on the Victim's penis. Subject was charged with Articles 107 (False Official Statement), 120 (Rape, Sexual Assault and other sexual conduct) and 125 (Sodomy). Subject was awarded confinement for 84 months, reduction in grade to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and a dishonorable discharge. Pretrial agreement suspended confinement in excess of 60 months.
28	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where the Victim accompanied the Subject to his room after the USMC Ball, to play video games. Shortly after, Subject vaginally penetrated Victim without consent. Victim later declined investigative participation. Command declined administrative or judicial action against Subject due to Victim's unwillingness to cooperate with this investigation.
29	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject forced the Victim to have oral and vaginal sex in the bathroom of the Subject's residence. Victim declined participation in the investigation. Command declined to pursue court-martial charges due to insufficient evidence.
30	Abusive Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim woke to find the Subject touching the Victim's penis. Subject pleaded guilty to violations of Articles 92 (Failure to obey a lawful general order), Article 120 (Unlawful sexual contact), and Article 134 (Unlawfully enter a barracks room). Subject was sentenced to a bad-conduct discharge, reduction in grade to E-1, fifteen months confinement (7 months suspended), and total forfeitures.
31	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense where the Victim awoke to find the Subject laying on Victim's floor and rubbing Victim's vagina. Subject admitted guilt and was convicted of Article 120 (Rape, Aggravated Sexual Assault) at General Court-Martial. Subject received 6 years confinement, total forfeitures of pay, Bad Conduct Discharge, and reduction in rank from E-3 to E-1.
32	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim accused the Subject of penetrating her vagina and anus with his penis after meeting in a nightclub. Article 32 Hearing was held. Command declined to pursue court-martial charges and provided Subject with written counseling for his actions.
33	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where Subject agreed to drive the Victim to base then stopped on the way to perform consensual oral sex and non-consensual intercourse. Subject eventually heeded the Victim's request to stop but slapped her face. Due to a lack of evidence, command decided not to bring charges against Subject.
34	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was strangled and vaginally penetrated against her will by Subject in the back of Subject's vehicle wherein she escaped and called 911. Local authorities maintain primary jurisdiction of case and have suspended the investigation due to the lack of sufficient evidence.
35	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-3	Male	E-5	Male	q4	Administrative discharges						Resignation in Lieu of Court-Martial (RIL0)		Off-base offense which occurred during the USMC Ball where the Subject and Victim were both using urinals in the men's restroom and a playful argument ensued about whose penis was larger and ended with the Subject non-consensually grabbing the Victim's penis. Subject requested resignation in lieu of court-martial, which Command approved.
36	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		On-base offense where Subject grabbed the Victim's buttocks during a hug then slapped the Victim's buttocks when the Victim pushed the Subject and walked away. Subject was given a non-punitive letter of caution.
37	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction		Yes					On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim woke-up undressed after a night of playing drinking games. Subject admitted to having sex with Victim with no force. Subject was found guilty of Articles 92 and 112a at Summary Court Martial and was sentenced to forfeiture of two-thirds pay for one month.
38	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense where the Subject raped and strangled the Victim causing severe vaginal tearing, neck injury, multiple bruises, and emotional shock. Two other Victims were later determined. Subject was convicted of Articles 120 (Rape) and 125 (Sodomy) at General Court-Martial and was awarded five years confinement, reduction to E-1, a \$40,000 fine, and a Dishonorable Discharge.
39	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where Subject had sexual relations with a Victim that was unable to consent due to being under the legal age of consent. Victim's mother filed a police report with the local authorities but later declined to pursue charges against the Subject. No action was taken against the Subject.
40	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where the Victim felt pressured into having sexual intercourse with the Subject. The Victim became pregnant and assumed that the Subject was the father. Victim reported the rape but later signed a victim preference statement indicating she no longer wanted to participate in the investigation.
41	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim felt pressured into having sexual intercourse with the Subject after waking up naked with the Subject and having no memory of the previous night due to intoxication. Victim later requested that case be closed.
42	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	q4	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim passed out in the Subject's barracks and was sexually assaulted. Subject received NJP for violations of Article 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation) and was awarded reduction in rank from E-5 to E-4, forfeiture of \$1162.00 per month for two months totaling \$2324.00, and 60 days restriction.
43	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim and Subject went to bars then back to a hotel room where the Victim reports being too drunk to consent to having sex with the Subject. Subject was found not guilty of Article 120 and 126 charges at a General Courts Martial.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
44	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes					On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke to find the Subject attempting to rape her. The Victim struggled with the Subject and broke free. Subject was found guilty at General Court-Martial of violating Articles 134 (Unlawful Entry and Assault with intent to commit rape) and 28 (Assault consummated by a battery). Subject was awarded confinement and forfeiture of all pay and allowances for 12 months.
45a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where Victim was forcibly raped and forced to perform oral sex by three Subjects. At a Special Court-Martial all Subjects were found guilty of Article 92 (Violation of a general order) and all sexual assault offenses were withdrawn. Subject #1 was sentenced to 60 days of confinement, reduction in grade to E-1, and forfeiture of \$972.00 per month for two months. Subject #2 was sentenced to 45 days of confinement and reduction in grade to E-1. Subject #3 was sentenced to 30 days of confinement and reduction in grade to E-1.
45b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes				On-base offense where Victim was forcibly raped and forced to perform oral sex by three Subjects. At a Special Court-Martial all Subjects were found guilty of Article 92 (Violation of a general order) and all sexual assault offenses were withdrawn. Subject #1 was sentenced to 60 days of confinement, reduction in grade to E-1, and forfeiture of \$972.00 per month for two months. Subject #2 was sentenced to 45 days of confinement and reduction in grade to E-1. Subject #3 was sentenced to 30 days of confinement and reduction in grade to E-1.
45c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes				On-base offense where Victim was forcibly raped and forced to perform oral sex by three Subjects. At a Special Court-Martial all Subjects were found guilty of Article 92 (Violation of a general order) and all sexual assault offenses were withdrawn. Subject #1 was sentenced to 60 days of confinement, reduction in grade to E-1, and forfeiture of \$972.00 per month for two months. Subject #2 was sentenced to 45 days of confinement and reduction in grade to E-1. Subject #3 was sentenced to 30 days of confinement and reduction in grade to E-1.
46	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim and Subject spent the night out drinking and ended up at a party in an apartment. The Victim passed out in a spare bedroom and woke up undressed, lying next to the Subject. Local authorities have jurisdiction and declined to prosecute.
47	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense where Victim reported that the Subject blackmailed her into having sexual relations. The Victim later recanted, explaining she falsified the rape story to cover her consensual affair with the Subject. No action was taken.
48	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-1	Male	Q2	Adverse Administrative Action								On-base offense where Subject groped Victim's penis on the outside of Victim's uniform on several occasions. Subject also made several solicitations to commit sodomy with Victim and made gestures with his hands indicating he wanted to see Victim's penis. Subject received counseling for the inappropriate relationship.
49	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where Victim claimed to have been attacked at knifepoint by an unknown Subject who held her down and digitally penetrated her. The Victim later confessed to falsifying the story to get attention.
50	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-1	Male	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense where Subject fondled the Victim's penis without consent. Subject pled guilty at General Court-Martial to violating of Articles 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact), Article 92 (Disobey an Order or Regulation), and Article 134 (Obstruction of Justice). Subject was sentenced to 17 months confinement, a Bad Conduct Discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and a reduction to E-1. Subject must register as a sex offender.
51	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where Subject raped Victim and then told Victim not to tell anyone. The Victim did not give a sworn statement as she was unsure if she wanted to participate in an investigation. No action taken due to insufficient evidence.
52	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out due to intoxication and did not realize she had been sexually assaulted in her sleep until she was notified of a pregnancy 8 weeks later. Victim declined to participate further in the investigation. No action taken.
53	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where Subject and Victim engaged in consensual sex. The Victim initially alleged that the sex was non-consensual but then later recanted and clarified that the sexual relationship was consensual.
54	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Male	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes		Yes			On-base offense where Subject pressed his exposed genitalia against Victim's exposed genitalia. Subject was found guilty of violations of Articles 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact), Article 134 (Drunk and Disorderly Behavior and Impeding an Investigation), Article 92 (Failure to Obey a Lawful Order), and 112(a)(Wrongful use of methylenedioxyamphetamine) at General Court-Martial. Subject was sentenced to six years incarceration, dishonorably discharged, forfeiture all pay and allowances, and required to register as a sex offender.
55	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Yes					Off-base offense where Subject fondled the Victim's breasts and buttocks and made the Victim feel that trapped in the residence. The Subject was found guilty for violating Articles 92 and 134. Subject received a suspended forfeiture of 1/2 months' pay for two months and is pending administrative separation from the USMC.
56	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	Foreign National	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base involving alcohol offense where Subject squeezed the Victim's breast. Subject admitted guilt and was issued Non-Judicial Punishment for a violation of Article 120 (Rape, Aggravated Sexual Assault). Subject was awarded forfeiture of 1/2 month's pay for 2 months, restriction for 30 days, extra duty for 30 days, and reduction to E-5.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
57	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject digitally penetrated the Victim against the Victim's will while driving the Victim home. Subject denied allegations. At General Court-Martial, Subject was acquitted of Article 120 (Rape).
58	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Yes	Yes				Unknown/various locations where Subject allegedly raped the Victim at a "Toys for Tots" drive. Subject maintained the contact was consensual. Subject was reduced in rank to LCpl, forfeited \$975.00 pay for two months (suspended for six months), and assigned forty-five days of extra duty for violation of Articles 134 (Fraternalization and Adultery).
59	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	Kuwait	E-7	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where the Victim reported that the Subject raped her but later recanted saying the relationship was mutual but that she felt violated since the Subject was higher in the chain of command.
60a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim was vaginally penetrated by Subject #1 and her breast groped by Subject #2 while the victim was incoherent. Local authorities declined to prosecute due to lack of evidence. Command declined to prosecute also due to lack of evidence and Victim's lack of cooperation.
60b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim was vaginally penetrated by Subject #1 and her breast groped by Subject #2 while the victim was incoherent. Local authorities declined to prosecute due to lack of evidence. Command declined to prosecute also due to lack of evidence and Victim's lack of cooperation.
61	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	Iraq	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal					Letter of Counseling (LOC)		On-base offense where the Subject engaged in non-consensual intercourse with Victim which the Victim later turned into consensual sexual intercourse to help ease her distress about the event. The case was proffered to Courts Martial but command withdrew charges in favor of issuance of Page 11 record of Adultery.
62	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject pushed the Victim onto a couch, held her arms with one hand, and removed her vagina and breast beneath her clothing. The Subject then threatened to ruin the Victim's career if the Victim told. Command and the District Attorney both declined to prosecute.
63	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	Afghanistan	Foreign National	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								On-base offense where the Victim was accosted by three unknown Third Country Nationals. One of the Subjects had nonconsensual intercourse with her while the other two stood look out. All three Subjects fled when the Victim screamed for help. Victim declined to participate in investigation. No action taken.
64	Indecent Assault (Article 134, UCMJ for incidents occurring prior to FY08)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Female	q4	Statute of limitations has expired								On-base offense where the Subject and Victim were practicing martial arts when the Subject pinned the Victim against a wall, rubbing her vagina and breast beneath her clothing. The Subject then threatened to ruin the Victim's career if the Victim told. Command and the District Attorney both declined to prosecute.
65	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Subject forced himself on the Victim and had non-consensual intercourse while the Subject and Victim were alone at the Victim's residence. The subject submitted to a polygraph and not deception was found. Command did not prefer any charges against the Subject due to insufficient evidence.
66	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Unknown/various locations offense where Victim was raped. No further information was provided. Victim did not wish to participate in an investigation subsequently the investigation could not determine a Subject.
67	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Conviction	Yes	Yes		Yes			Off-base offense where the Subject removed the Victim's underwear and engaged in sexual intercourse with her while she pretended sleep. At a Special Courts-Martial Subject was found guilty of violating Article 128, Article 134, and Article 134. Subject was sentenced to 90 days confinement, forfeiture of \$2850.00, and a Bad Conduct Discharge.
68	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim was raped by three Subjects. The Victim later elected to sign a "Stop Investigation Form". Local authorities maintained jurisdiction. No action was taken.
68b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim was raped by three Subjects. The Victim later elected to sign a "Stop Investigation Form". Local authorities maintained jurisdiction. No action was taken.
68c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim was raped by three Subjects. The Victim later elected to sign a "Stop Investigation Form". Local authorities maintained jurisdiction. No action was taken.
69	Abusive Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Unknown/Various Location offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke to find the Subject placing his hand down her pants. Victim later decided not participate in the investigation. No action was taken.
70	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	Conus	E4	Male	Civilian	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where Subject grabbed the Victim's breast and touched her vagina beneath her clothing. Subject was found in possession of marijuana and arrested. Due to Subject's arrest and pending EAS, no further administrative or judicial action will be initiated by Command.
71a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	Conus	E2	Male	E1	Female	q4	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subjects had sexual intercourse with Victim. Victim has no recollection of sexual activity due to intoxication. Subject#1 was issued non-judicial punishment for violations of Article 86 (Absence without Leave), Article 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation), and Article 120 (Rape, sexual assault, and other sexual misconduct). Subject#1 was awarded a reduction to E-1, forfeiture of \$250 pay per month for 1 month, restriction for 45 days, and extra duty for 45 days.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
71b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	Conus	E2	Male	E1	Female	q4	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subjects had sexual intercourse with Victim. Victim has no recollection of sexual activity due to intoxication. Subject#2 was issued non-judicial punishment for violations of Article 86 (Absence without Leave), Article 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation), and Article 120 (Rape, sexual assault, and other sexual misconduct). Subject#2 was awarded reduction to E-1, forfeiture of \$733 pay per month for 2 months, restriction for 45 days, and extra duty for 45 days.
71c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	Conus	E2	Male	E1	Female	q4	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subjects had sexual intercourse with Victim. Victim has no recollection of sexual activity due to intoxication. Subject#3 was issued non-judicial punishment for violations of Article 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation), Article 107 (False official statements), and Article 120 (Rape, sexual assault, and other sexual misconduct). Subject#3 was awarded reduction to E-1, forfeiture of \$733 pay per month for 2 months, restriction for 45 days, and extra duty for 45 days.
72	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim has no recollection of events after consuming an alcoholic beverage at a bar and awakening in an unknown hotel room. Victim has no memory of any sexual acts but felt as if she had engaged in sexual intercourse. No Subject could be identified.
73	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								On-base offense where Victim reported she was raped by her boyfriend, in her residence, following an argument. Victim later stated that she was not raped and had used a poor choice of words when texting her friend about the altercation. United States Attorney's Office for the District Of Columbia, Washington, DC, provided a declination to prosecute primarily based on Victim's denial that she was raped and her unwillingness to participate in an investigation.
74	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where Subject and Victim were being investigated for adultery. During investigation, Victim reported that Subject requested Victim to perform oral copulation and forced his penis into Victim's mouth. Command declined action due to lack of sufficient evidence to prosecute.
75	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Yes	Yes				On-base offense where Subject made unwanted sexual advances, unlawful entry into barracks rooms, and inappropriate contact with multiple female service members. Subject received Regimental Nonjudicial Punishment for violating Article 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation): Sexual Harassment and Fraternalization) and was awarded reduction to E-4 and forfeiture of \$2,324.00.
76a	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where Victim reported being raped by 4 US Marines in the barracks. Victim stated the hospital made the initial complaint to the police and Victim has no interest in having the Marines prosecuted.
76b	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where Victim reported being raped by 4 US Marines in the barracks. Victim stated the hospital made the initial complaint to the police and Victim has no interest in having the Marines prosecuted.
76c	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where Victim reported being raped by 4 US Marines in the barracks. Victim stated the hospital made the initial complaint to the police and Victim has no interest in having the Marines prosecuted.
76d	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where Victim reported being raped by 4 US Marines in the barracks. Victim stated the hospital made the initial complaint to the police and Victim has no interest in having the Marines prosecuted.
77a	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense involving alcohol where Subjects exposed their genitals to Victim and groped her. Victim fled barracks room when Subjects put their hands down Victim's panties. Victim declined to participate in investigation and signed a VPS.
77b	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense involving alcohol where Subjects exposed their genitals to Victim and groped her. Victim fled barracks room when Subjects put their hands down Victim's panties. Victim declined to participate in investigation and signed a VPS.
78	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke in the barracks with torn underwear and a sore vagina. Due to Victim's intoxication, she could not remember the events of the rape or who she was with. Victim later recanted her report stating that she had consensual sex with Subject.
79	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where Victim reported that Subject grazed her breast with his elbow and placed his hand over hers while showing her how to flip an omelet. Victim later stated that she thought Subject was attempting to flirt with her but did not sexually assault her. Case does not meet the elements required for sexual/indecent assault.
80	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense where Victim reported being raped by Subject in a desert area adjacent to the barracks. No alcohol was involved. After an Article 32 hearing, it was determined that the case would not proceed to trial due to lack of evidence.
81	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim attended a social at her boyfriend's house. Subject performed sexual intercourse on on Victim while she was sleeping. Victim later admitted to lying about some of the events and subsequently Command declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
82	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-8	Male	US Civilian	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Unknown/Various location offenses where Victim reported several instances of indecent assault and domestic violence against Subject. Subject denied culpability and provided evidence that he had been compliant to the MPO. Command decided not to pursue charges due to insufficient evidence.
83a	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where Victim reported being dragged into a wooded area by two unknown Subjects, who raped her. Victim claimed to have blacked-out after hitting her head on an unknown object during the assault. Victim later admitted the rape report was false.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
83b	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where Victim reported being dragged into a wooded area by two unknown Subjects, who raped her. Victim claimed to have blacked-out after hitting her head on an unknown object during the assault. Victim later admitted the rape report was false.
84	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Conviction	Yes		Yes				On-base offense where the Subject forced his hand into the Victim's pants and digitally penetrated the Victim's vagina for 15 seconds before Victim broke free. Subject was found guilty of Articles 93 and 134 at a Summary Court-Martial and received reduction in rank to E-4 and 60 days restriction.
85	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim woke up naked with blood and pain in the vaginal area. Victim did not report the incident until years later and could not remember many details about the Subject. No action could be taken due to insufficient evidence.
86a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority-Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim and two Subjects were drinking at a hotel room. Both Subjects engaged in sexual intercourse with the Victim while the Victim was passed out or incapacitated despite protests from the Victim. Local authorities maintain jurisdiction and have decided not to prosecute the Subjects.
86b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority-Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim and two Subjects were drinking at a hotel room. Both Subjects engaged in sexual intercourse with the Victim while the Victim was passed out or incapacitated despite protests from the Victim. Local authorities maintain jurisdiction and have decided not to prosecute the Subjects.
87a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim was visiting the Subject in his barracks when the Victim suddenly became dizzy and disoriented. The Victim believes that while in this state she was vaginally and anally penetrated by several of the Subjects in the rooms. Command declined action due to lack of evidence.
87b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim was visiting the Subject in his barracks when the Victim suddenly became dizzy and disoriented. The Victim believes that while in this state she was vaginally and anally penetrated by several of the Subjects in the rooms. Command declined action due to lack of evidence.
87c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim was visiting the Subject in his barracks when the Victim suddenly became dizzy and disoriented. The Victim believes that while in this state she was vaginally and anally penetrated by several of the Subjects in the rooms. Command declined action due to lack of evidence.
87c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim was visiting the Subject in his barracks when the Victim suddenly became dizzy and disoriented. The Victim believes that while in this state she was vaginally and anally penetrated by several of the Subjects in the rooms. Command declined action due to lack of evidence.
87e	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim was visiting the Subject in his barracks when the Victim suddenly became dizzy and disoriented. The Victim believes that while in this state she was vaginally and anally penetrated by several of the Subjects in the rooms. Command declined action due to lack of evidence.
88	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority-Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim drank excessively and passed out and the Subject touched and/or penetrated the Victim in her incapacitated state. The Subject has since been discharged from the military for an unrelated issue; no charges pursued due to lack of jurisdiction.
89	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Male	Q2	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where the Victim reported being anally penetrated by an unknown Subject at a civilian party. Victim was unable and/or unwilling to provide and further details. No action was taken due to lack of evidence.
90	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Conviction			Yes				On-base offense where the Subject took the Victim's pants off and had sexual intercourse with her against the Victim's will. Subject was found guilty at a Summary Court-Martial for violation of Article 134, and sentenced to 15 days of restriction and reduction to pay grade E-4.
91	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes					On-base offense where the Victim and Subject engaged in consensual intercourse twice and both times, stopped when the Victim asked. Subject was given NJP for sexual activity in the Enlisted Quarters while not married and received total forfeiture of \$101.00, restriction for 14 days, and extra duty for 14 days.
92	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes		Yes				On-base offense where the Victim and Subject were engaging in consensual kissing in the Victim's barracks room that proceeded to non-consensual sexual intercourse. Subject was subjected to NJP for violations of Article 134 and Article 92. Subject was reduced in grade to E-3 and placed on 45 day restriction.
93	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes		Yes				On-base offense where the Victim and Subject were engaging in consensual kissing in the Victim's barracks room that proceeded to non-consensual sexual intercourse. Subject was subjected to NJP for violations of Article 134 and Article 92 and was reduced in grade to E-3 and received 45 days restriction.
94	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	q3	Subject deceased or deserted								Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim woke up naked next to the Subject in an unknown house and with no memory of the previous events. Victim states she would not have consented to sex with the Subject if she had been coherent. Command decline judicial/administrative action against the Subject.
95	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where Subject and Victim went for a walk and ended up in a secluded area of the base. The Subject then forced the Victim to engage in sexual intercourse at knife-point using the Victim's own knife. Command advised no administrative or judicial action would be taken against Subject due to insufficient evidence.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
96	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim revealed that she had been raped by the Subject but decided later to not cooperate with investigative authorities. No further information was provided. There was insufficient evidence to prosecute the Subject.
97	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes		Yes				On-base offense where the Subject made wrongful sexual contact with two victims. Subject touched Victim 1's buttocks (outside of clothing) and touched Victim 2's genitals (outside of clothing) on separate occasions. Subject received NJP for violating Article 128 and was awarded grade reduction to E-4 and 60 days restriction.
98	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	Unknown	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Unknown/various location offense where the Victim has decided to not participate in the investigation or provide any details thereof. No action could be taken.
99	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	q4	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Subject attacked Victim while they were in the Victim's room watching television. Subject then restrained the Victim by placing an arm against the victim's neck, pulled down her pants, and engaged in sexual intercourse. Victim declined to participate in the investigation and a subject could not be determined.
100	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-3	Male	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim and Subject engaged in sexual activity including oral sex after meeting in a bar. The subject claimed the activity was consensual and often initiated by the Victim. The Victim claimed to not remember parts of the night. No action was taken against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
101	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where subject playing drinking games in the Subject's barracks room resulting in the Victim "blacking out". The Victim next remembers sitting on a toilet the next morning and not knowing when her pants were taken off. Command declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
102	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-9	Male	US Civilian	Female	q3	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		On-base offense where the Subject wrapped his arms around the Victim and subsequently brushed his hand against the Victim's left breast while in the Mess Hall. Subject received a Non-Punitive Letter of Caution for his conduct in the Mess Hall and was asked to refrain from patronizing the Mess Hall.
103	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject attempted to penetrate the Victim's vagina with his mouth and penis while the Victim was incapacitated. Subject received NJP for violating Article 92 and received grade reduction to E-1, 60 days restriction, and forfeiture of \$734 pay per month for 2 months.
104	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where the Victim claimed to have been penetrated (anus or vagina) by an unknown subject while asleep on the beach. The Victim later recanted saying she had made a false confession to cover the fact that she was UA and had sex in the barracks.
105	An attempt to commit any of these offenses (Article 80, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	q4	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Subject attempted sexual contact with the Victim after the Victim allowed subject the use of her vehicle. Subject received NJP for indecent exposure and attempting offenses. Subject was reduced to E-1, forfeited \$1356.00 in salary, restricted to base for forty-five days, and received extra punitive duties.
106	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where the Victim declined to participate in the investigation or provide investigators with information about the incident. No action could be taken.
107	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Male	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where the Victim was hit over the head and had his anus assaulted during a night out. The Victim decline to participate in the investigation and was later separated from the military.
108	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	Afghanistan	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where the Subject forced the Victim onto the ground, covered her mouth with his hand, pulled her sweat pants down, and vaginally penetrated her. Victim became pregnant from the rape. Due to the, no witness, an unidentified suspect, and lack of Victim cooperation, no action could be taken.
109	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject and Victim engaged in intercourse. At some point the Subject was asked to stop because Victim was in pain. Subject stopped. The next morning the Victim felt taken the Subject had wronged her and filed a report. Local authorities maintain jurisdiction.
110	Abusive Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Male	Q4	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim became intoxicated and took a ride from an unknown Subject who later took advantage of the Victim's incapacitation and fondled the Victim's penis. The Victim then assaulted the Subject to get away. The Victim did not want to participate in an investigation.
111	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the Subject gave the Victim a ride and then asked the Victim to give him oral sex in return. The Victim complied because she felt coerced and needed the ride. Command declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
112	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where the Victim was assaulted by the Subject as they drove to an off-base location. The Victim was reluctant to share the details of the incident beyond the Subject fondling her and exposing is penis. Victim signed a Victim Preference Letter, declining assistance with investigation. No action taken.
113	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Subject allegedly attempted anal sex, forced the Victim to provide him with oral sex, and then allegedly raped Victim in her barracks room. Subject contested that the activity was consensual. No action will be taken against the Subject due to lack of evidence.
114	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								On-base offense where Victim was attacked from behind and pushed onto the floor in the female restroom and forcibly raped by an unknown Subject. The victim was able to flee when someone else entered the restroom. NO action was taken since a viable subject could not be identified.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
115	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q3	Subject unknown								Unknown/Various Locations offense where the Victim made a restricted report but then opened and unrestricted report to receive special services from her command but had no desire to participate in an investigation. No subject was identified; no action was taken.
116	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense	Dismissal		Yes					On-base offense where the Subject coerced the Victim into a sexual relationship by taking the Victim's purse. The Victim reports that she continued the sexual relationship an additional 10 months. No action is being pursued due to lack of evidence of a crime.
117	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-2	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Off-base offense perpetrated by an unknown Subject against the Victim. No further details were provided by the Victim. No action could be taken.
118	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the victim fell asleep after heavy drinking and woke up to find herself partially undressed, blood in her stool, and bruising on her breast. DNA taken from sperm on the Victim's undergarments was matched to the Subject. The Subject is pending trial by local authorities.
119	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where the Victim believes she was assaulted the night before by an unknown Subject but has no memory of the assault. The Victim woke up with blood coming from her nose and vagina. No action was taken per the Victim's request.
120	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Off-base offense where the Victim went out with friends but does not have a full memory of the evening despite abstaining from alcohol that evening. Victim reports that she woke up the next morning in her vehicle without pants and underwear. Victim does not wish to participate in an investigation.
121	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where the Victim reported to local authorities that Subject digitally penetrated her against her will while he provided a ride following a wedding. The subject claimed the contact was mutual and that additional contact not reported by victim was also mutual. Authorities dropped case when victim changed allegations.
122	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E-2	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Unknown/Various Locations offense where the Victim did not wish to disclose the details of the offense or participate in an investigation. No action was taken.
123	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim does not remember the assault but woke up undressed with obvious signs of an assault. The Victim decided to decline participation and signed a VPS. No action was taken; no subject were identified.
124	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Male	Q4	Subject unknown								On-base offense where the Victim blacked out and is uncertain about the events of the evening but believes to have been sexually assaulted. The Victim elected not to participate in an investigation. No action was taken; no subject determined.
125a	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Unknown/Various Locations offense where the Victim was seeking psychological treatment from a sexual assault and the SARC informed command of the incident in response to the Victim's increased suicidal ideation. The Victim was contacted and declined participation with an investigation. No action was taken.
125b	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Subject unknown								Unknown/Various Locations offense where the Victim was seeking psychological treatment from a sexual assault and the SARC informed command of the incident in response to the Victim's increased suicidal ideation. The Victim was contacted and declined participation with an investigation. No action was taken.
126	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Unknown/Various Locations offense where the Victim accused the Subject of rape and the Subject produced several witnesses that confessed they all had consensual sexual relations with the Victim. The Victim later dropped her allegations. Command is seeking adultery charges for all parties.
127	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	q4	Adverse Administrative Action						Other		On-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject and Victim engaged in sexual intercourse which the Victim initially reported was non-consensual but then stated was consensual. Subject received a letter of caution.
128	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Case Unfounded by Command								On-base offense where the Subject exposed his penis to the Victim and attempted to force the Victim to touch it. Due to inconsistencies between the Victim's and Subject's statements, the case was unfounded by command.
129	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where a group of people went out drinking then ended up at the Subject's residence. The Victim's boyfriend woke up to find the Subject engaged in sexual intercourse with the incapacitated Victim. Local authorities have primary jurisdiction and plan to prosecute. Subject is in pre-trial confinement.
130	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim accused the Subject of rape. The Subject provided evidence (text message) that the Victim had pursued him to reinstate their past relationship. A witness provided that the sexual contact appeared consensual to him. No action was taken; no evidence to corroborate rape was determined.
131	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	unknown	Unknown	E-1	female	q4	Subject unknown								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim has no memory of the assault but woke up with evidence of an assault (e.g., state of undress and vaginal soreness). No action was taken due to lack of viable investigative leads.
132	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	Foreign National	Female	q4	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where the Victim reported being raped at a club/bar. The Victim later recanted saying the sex was consensual and the victim no longer wished to pursue and investigation. Foreign authorities had jurisdiction. No action was taken.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
133	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	O-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction							Off base offense involving alcohol where during a trip to New Orleans Subject allegedly raped Victim #1 and attempted to rape Victim #2 once they arrived back at the hotel. Victim #3 states that while on a date with Subject she became violently ill. Victim #3 states Subject put her into bed where she woke up, after passing out, to Subject having nonconsensual sex with her. A General Court Martial (GCM) convened and Subject was found not guilty of violations of UCMJ Article 120 (Rape), and two specifications of violation of UCMJ Article 133 (Conduct Unbecoming an Officer). Subject was convicted of one count of Conduct Unbecoming an Officer for making a false statement.
134	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim fell asleep and awoke to Subject kissing and digitally penetrating her. Charges were preferred for violations of Article 120. Subject plead guilty to the following violations of the UCMJ at a General Court Martial convened on 21Oct10: Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 128 (Assault). Subject was sentenced to ten (10) months confinement, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, reduction to E-1, and a BCD.
135	Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim was celebrating her birthday at a bar off-base. Victim later awoke in her bed naked. Subject texted Victim stating they had unprotected sex. An Article 32 hearing resulted with the recommendation of charges to be preferred. Subject was found not guilty of rape at a General Court Martial.
136	Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense where the Victim and Subject walked to his car after leaving a nightclub. Victim stated Subject raped her in the vehicle as they transited to his barracks room. Victim stated Subject raped her again in his barracks room. Charges against Subject were referred by Command. This investigation is pending Subject's trial by General Court Martial (GCM). Due to Victim's lack of cooperation to testify at Subject's trial, charges were withdrawn and dismissed without prejudice.
137	Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-8	Male	O-1	Female	Q2	Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							On-base offense where the Subject who was a DI at OCS where Victim was attending fondled her breasts on several occasions, and digitally penetrated Victim's vagina. Subject was arraigned and formally charged with indecent assault against Victim. A General-Court Martial was scheduled; however, Subject appeared before for Nonjudicial punishment; awarded a punitive letter of reprimand.
138	Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q1	Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction		Yes	Yes				Off-base offense where Victims reported Subject (USMC Recruiter) raped them at various places and times. Subject invoked his right to counsel. Subject was found guilty of obstructing justice at a Summary Court Martial. As a result, the Subject received reduction in grade to E4, and forfeiture of \$1,529.00 for one month.
138a	Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Punishments (Article 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim and Subject#1 walked to Subject#1's barracks room. Victim was raped and sodomized by Subject#1 and Subject#2 while in Subject#1's room. An Article 32 hearing was conducted for Subject#2 resulting in the case being referred for General Court-Martial, where Subject #2 was acquitted of all charges. Subject#1 received Non-Judicial Punishment for violations of Article 92 (Failure to Obey a Lawful Order) and awarded forfeiture of \$822 per month for two months, reduction to E-2, and four days of restriction.
138b	Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim and Subject#1 walked to Subject#1's barracks room. Victim was raped and sodomized by Subject#1 and Subject#2 while in Subject#1's room. An Article 32 hearing was conducted for Subject#2 resulting in the case being referred for General Court-Martial, where Subject #2 was acquitted of all charges. Subject#1 received Non-Judicial Punishment for violations of Article 92 (Failure to Obey a Lawful Order) and awarded forfeiture of \$822 per month for two months, reduction to E-2, and four days of restriction.
140	Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q1	Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense where the Subject was caught in the female berthing area fondling Victim #1 while she was asleep. Victim #1 awoke to find Subject fondling her, forcing his fingers into her vagina, and kneeling on the floor with his pants down and a condom on his penis. Subject went from bed to bed fondling different female Marines; Victims #2, #3, and #4 all reported that they were touched or fondled in their sleep by Subject. Subject was found guilty of Art 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault) and Art 134 (Indecent Exposure) at a General Courts-Martial; awarded 20 months confinement, forfeiture of all pay, reduction to E-1, and a BCD.
141	Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Off-base offense where the Victim stated that while performing a "sleeping post" in a vehicle with Subject and another Marine, she was awakened by Subject putting his hand in her pants and under her underwear. Subject plead guilty to violating Article 128 (Assault) and was awarded 175 days confinement, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of pay and a Bad Conduct Discharge.
142	Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction			Yes				On-base offense where the Subject prepared her an alcoholic beverage. Victim passed out shortly thereafter and opined she might have been drugged by Subject. Victim woke up in her bed and Subject was lying behind her kissing/nibbling the back of her neck. Subject was naked from the waist down. Subject got on top of her, pinned her down by placing his knees on her chest area, and would not permit her to leave. Subject plead guilty at a Summary Court-Martial for having an unduly familiar relationship with the Victim, whom he directly supervised; reduced to E-3.
143	Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Off-base offense where the Victim advised she was raped at her off-base residence but advised she would not cooperate further and signed VPS. Subject was found guilty of Art 125 (Non-Consensual Sodomy) and Art 134 (Adultery) at a General Courts-Martial and awarded 18 months confinement, forfeiture of all pay, reduction to E-1, and a BCD.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
144	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes	Yes			Unknown location where the Victim reported Subject sent her a picture, via text message, of Subject exposing his penis. A few days later, Subject grabbed Victim by the waist and grind his pelvic region into her buttocks area. Subject pled and was found guilty of Article 120 and sentenced to 10 years confinement, reduction in rank to E-1, and a dishonorable discharge from the USMC.
145	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes	Yes			On-base offense where the Subject was with Victim in Victim's barracks room consuming alcohol. Victim awoke to Subject rubbing his bare, erect penis with his hand. Victim pushed Subject's hand away, got out of bed and stayed in a chair until it was time to go to work. Subject was convicted at a General Courts-Martial of violation of Art 92 (Disobeying a Lawful Order) and Art 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact). Subject was sentenced to 60 days confinement, reduction to E-1, and a Bad Conduct Discharge, and required to register with the Sex Offender Registry following his release.
146a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								Off-base offense where the Victim stated she was drinking with the Subjects while playing "Truth or Dare." Victim and Subjects engaged in consensual oral sex. Subject#1 forced Victim onto bed and engaged in vaginal intercourse while Victim while Subject #2 laid across Victim, preventing Victim from moving. Subject #1 received an NJP for violation of Art 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation) for an inappropriate relationship between an instructor and student, and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-4, forfeiture of one month's pay (suspended), and 30 days restriction (suspended). Command stated no administrative or judicial action would be taken against Subject #2 due to insufficient evidence.
146b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Female	E-3	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the Victim stated she was drinking with the Subjects while playing "Truth or Dare." Victim and Subjects engaged in consensual oral sex. Subject#1 forced Victim onto bed and engaged in vaginal intercourse while Victim while Subject #2 laid across Victim, preventing Victim from moving. Subject #1 received an NJP for violation of Art 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation) for an inappropriate relationship between an instructor and student, and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-4, forfeiture of one month's pay (suspended), and 30 days restriction (suspended). Command stated no administrative or judicial action would be taken against Subject #2 due to insufficient evidence.
147	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	W-3	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense where the Victim stated she was watching movies with the Subject when she fell asleep. Victim further stated she woke up to the Subject on top of her, and digitally penetrating her vagina. An Article 32 hearing was held and charges were forwarded onto a General Court-Martial (GCM). Victim signed a memorandum provided by the defense counsel wherein she declined to participate in an investigation. Command subsequently withdrew charges against the Subject.
148	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported being intoxicated and passed out in Subject's barracks room. The victim further stated that the Subject removed her clothing, penetrated her vagina and anus with his penis and finger and forced her to perform oral sex on him. Subject was found not guilty of all charges during a GCM.
149	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported drinking heavily and blacking out. Victim woke up to three individuals engaged in sexual contact. Victim discovered chaffing and soreness in her vaginal area, but had no memory of any sexual contact. Victim signed a Jacksonville Police Department Stop Investigation Letter and a Victim Preference Statement. Command advised no administrative or judicial action would be taken against the Subject.
150	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she had been drinking heavily during the evening and ended up passing out. The Victim stated she woke up to having nonconsensual sex with Subject. Subject found guilty of Art 134 (Adultery) at GCM and awarded forfeiture of \$1,622 for 2 months, reduction to E-2, 45 days restriction, and hard labor without confinement for 45 days.
151	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-7	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction							Unknown location offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported both her friend and Subject stayed the night at her residence due to the considerable amount of alcohol consumed. Subject subsequently climbed on top of her, pinned her wrist to the bed with his hands and engaged in sexual intercourse with Victim against her will. At a General Court Martial, Subject was found not guilty of Article 120 (Rape) and Article 107 (False Official Statement). Subject was found guilty of Article 134 (Adultery) and received a letter of reprimand.
152	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Male	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority-Person Subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the victim stated he was at an apartment party at the Texas A&M Corpus Christi University Campus. Victim fell asleep on the couch, when he awoke to Subject digitally penetrating his anus. Subject led Victim to the bathroom, pulled Victim's pants down, bent Victim over the edge of the bathtub and anally sodomized Victim. Subject was found not guilty on two (2) counts of Sexual Assault, Texas Penal Code Section 22.011 in Nueces County District Court.
153	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense where the Subject pushed Victim up against the wall and digitally penetrated her without her permission. Subject pled and was found guilty at a SpCM of Art 92 (Failure to Obey order or regulation), two counts of Art 107 (False official statements), one count of Art 128 (Assault), and 2 counts of Art 134 (one of disorderly conduct and one of indecent language). Subject was sentenced to 10 months confinement, reduction to E1, forfeiture of \$500 a month for 10 months and a Bad Conduct Discharge.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
154	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Victim reported numerous instances wherein Subject forced and coerced Victim into engaging in sexual intercourse with him. U.S. Embassy surveillance footage revealed Subject physically assaulting Victim. Subject found guilty of Art 92 (Failure to Obey Order or Regulation) and Art 107 (False Official Statement) at a GCM and awarded reduction to E-5, forfeiture of \$500 for 3 months and 45 days restriction.
155	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where the Victim and Subject went out drinking and returned to Subject's residence where Victim states Subject had unwanted sexual intercourse with her. Trial Counsel, MCAGCC, advised Command closed the captioned investigation due to Victim not cooperating with the prosecution of the case.
156	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense where the Victim alleges she was raped by Subject. Victim said she told Subject she was going to tell her husband and Subject physically assaulted her and threatened her. Subject pled guilty at a Summary Courts-Martial to Art 92 (Failure to Obey an order or regulation) and Art 134 (adultery) and pled not guilty to Art 120 (Rape) and Art 128 (Assault). Subject was found guilty of Art 92 and Art 134 and awarded 30 days confinement, reduction to E-1, and forfeiture of \$964.00 for 1 month.
157	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							On-base offense where the Victim advised Subject performed fellatio on him and used Victim's penis to penetrate Subject's anus on two occasions months apart. The assaults occurred while Victim was in a haze from drinking. Subject was found not guilty on all charges at GCM.
158	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-1	Female	Q2	Subject unknown								On-base offense where the Victim advised she remembers walking past basketball courts near barracks and next thing knew she awoke in hospital with vaginal pain. Victim was advised she had contracted Chlamydia so believes she was raped. Despite investigative efforts, no subject was identified.
159	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim, who has alcohol problems and is on several prescription drugs, believes she has had sexual relations with 4 to 6 active duty military men at various times while she was incapacitated. Victim identified the Subject. Legal Services Support Team-Echo (LSST-E) Senior Trial Counsel advised command is not preferring charges against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
160	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim stated while she was incapacitated, she was sexual assaulted by Subject. Subject was interrogated and admitted to having sexual intercourse with Victim but denied having sex with Victim while she was incoherent. Command is not preferring charges against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
161	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Off-base offense where the Subject and Victim were drinking after they returned to hotel room. Victim awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Subject was acquitted of rape allegation at a General Court-Martial.
162	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense where the Victim alleges after night of drinking she awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. An Art 32 Hearing was held. Command declined to have Subject referred to a General Courts-Martial due to insufficient evidence.
163	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction							On-base offense where the Victim advised Subject supplied her with alcohol and forcibly had sexual intercourse with her in his barracks room. Subject found not guilty of Art 120 charges and found guilty of Art 92 (Failure to Obey an order or regulation) at a General Courts-Martial. Subject was administratively separated from the USMC for this violation coupled with a narcotics violations.
164	Abusive Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense where the Victim reported Subject entered her assigned barracks nude and touched Victim on the lower abdomen/public area over her clothing, while Victim lie asleep in her bed. Subject appeared before a General Court-Martial wherein Subject was found not guilty of violating Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact and Indecent Exposure). Subject was found guilty of Article 80, (Attempted Wrongful Sexual Contact) and Article 129 (Burglary), and was awarded 18 months confinement, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and a Bad Conduct Discharge.
165	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where the Victim reported she was intoxicated and fell asleep in barracks, awoke to Subject lying next to her with his hand on her vaginal area. Subject was found guilty of Article 107 (False Official Statement), Article 134 (Unlawful entry) and Article 120 (Abusive sexual contact) under the UCMJ at a General Court-Martial. Subject received confinement of (3) months, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of pay while under confinement, and will be required to be registered as a sex offender.
166	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim advised she was hanging out with Subject in his barracks room. Victim reported Subject pulled off her pants and performed oral sex on her after she told Subject to stop. Command advised no administrative or judicial action would be taken against the Subject due to insufficient evidence.
167	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes				On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim advised she awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her in her barracks bed. Subject entered into a pre-trial agreement in which he agreed to plea guilty to one specification of a violation of Article 92 (wrongfully engage in sexual activity in the barracks) at a Summary Court-Martial. Subject was awarded a reduction to E-3 and 30 days restriction.
168	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority-Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject invited Victim back to his hotel room. Subject digitally penetrated her vagina without her consent. The New Orleans District Attorney's Office declined to prosecute Subject. Command indicated command would not pursue any judicial or administrative action against Subject.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
169	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense where Victim #1 alleged Subject forcibly had sexual intercourse with her in a vehicle, Victim#2 alleged Subject touched her vaginal area. Subject was found guilty at a General Court-Martial of Art 120 (Aggravated Sexual Contact and Indecent Conduct) and was awarded reduction to E-3, 296 days confinement, and forfeiture of approximately \$4000.00.
170	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the Victim reported after leaving the nightclub, Victim reported feeling tired and possibly falling asleep for a period of time. Victim attested that she sought medical attention primarily as a "precaution". Due to conflicting information from Victim's allegation and laboratory results, the sexual assault investigation was closed.
171	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim and Subject had fight and Victim left and was arrested for DUI. Subject bailed Victim out and took her to a motel where he had unwanted sexual intercourse with her. No prosecution was pursued due to insufficient evidence.
172a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she awoke to Subject #2 digitally penetrating her anus. Later awaking to Subject #1 with his hand inside the front of her pants, touching her vagina. After reviewing the investigation, Command advised no action would be taken against either Subject.
172b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	2011-2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she awoke to Subject #2 digitally penetrating her anus. Later awaking to Subject #1 with his hand inside the front of her pants, touching her vagina. After reviewing the investigation, Command advised no action would be taken against either Subject.
173	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Case Unfounded by Command								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim alleged Subject digitally penetrated her vagina and orally copulated her while she was passed out. The Victim explained the Subject woke her up and asked her to have sex. The Victim stated she replied "No" but added that the Subject could "if he wanted to." Ultimately, she does not believe the Subject raped her.
174	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where the Subject persisted, pulled down Victim's pants, pulled Victim's underwear to the side, and proceeded to have sexual intercourse with Victim against her will. Victim provided no further information, stated she did not want to participate further in this investigation, and subsequently signed a Victim Preference Statement.
175	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes	Yes				Off-base offense where the Victim was taking prescribed pain killers and awoke to discover Subject performing oral sex on her. An Article 32 hearing was held and forwarded to a General Court-Martial (GCM). Subject subsequently submitted to non-judicial punishment for violations of Articles 128 (Assault) and 86 (Absence without leave). Subject was sentenced to a reduction in rate to E-4, and extra duty for 45 days. Subject was also sentenced to forfeiture of \$1146 pay for two months and restriction for 45 days, suspended for six months.
176	Abusive Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where the Subject assaulted Victim on 2 occasions by smacking her on her buttocks and grabbing her crotch area. Case initiated by local PD; Deputy Prosecuting Attorney declined to prosecute the Subject; Subject was counseled for violation Art 134 (Adultery) and a notation was made in his Service Record Book.
177	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	W-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes		Yes			On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim advised she was pressured to go to Subject's base residence. While she slept in Subject's bed he kissed her breasts and performed oral sex on her. Subject found guilty of Art 80 (Attempted adultery), Art 86 (Unauthorized absence), two specifications of Art 125 (Sodomy), Art 133 (Conduct unbecoming an officer), and nine specifications of Art 134; awarded time already served (216 days), total forfeitures and dismissal from the U.S. Marine Corps. Additionally, Subject is required to register as a sex offender.
178a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim advised she believed had sexual relations with unknown male Subjects. Subject#1 and #2 admitted receiving consensual fellatio from Victim and digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim decided not to participate further and signed VPS. Subject #2 was charged with Fraternalization, Sodomy by receiving oral sex and Wrongfully having sexual intercourse with a married woman other than his own wife. Subject #2 received forfeiture of \$1668 pay for two months totaling \$3336.00, and restriction/extra personal duty for 45 days. Following an accident which has rendered Subject#1 permanently disabled, Command did not seek legal or administrative action against him.
178b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes					On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim advised she believed had sexual relations with unknown male Subjects. Subject#1 and #2 admitted receiving consensual fellatio from Victim and digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim decided not to participate further and signed VPS. Subject #2 was charged with Fraternalization, Sodomy by receiving oral sex and Wrongfully having sexual intercourse with a married woman other than his own wife. Subject #2 received forfeiture of \$1668 pay for two months totaling \$3336.00, and restriction/extra personal duty for 45 days. Following an accident which has rendered Subject#1 permanently disabled, Command did not seek legal or administrative action against him.
179a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim advised she had sexual intercourse with various men. Victim named two Marines with whom she believed she had intercourse with and the other Subject is unknown. Command declined administrative or adjudicative action due to insufficient evidence.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
179b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	2011-1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim advised she had sexual intercourse with various men. Victim named two Marines with whom she believed she had intercourse with and the other Subject is unknown. Command declined administrative or adjudicative action due to insufficient evidence.
179c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	2011-1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim advised she had sexual intercourse with various men. Victim named two Marines with whom she believed she had intercourse with and the other Subject is unknown. Command declined administrative or adjudicative action due to insufficient evidence.
180	Abusive Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim and Subject had been watching television in the barracks common area. Without consent, Subject rubbed, but did not digitally penetrate, Victim's vagina, and subsequently masturbated and ejaculated on her hand. No action taken against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
181	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim reported checking into sick call to seek medical attention for coughing, ear pressure and acid reflux symptoms. She reported Subject brushed his hand against her breast and vaginal areas while performing the medical examination. Command advised no action would be taken against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
182	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject entered her shower, naked, and touched Victim on her chest, breasts, and sides. Subject followed Victim, pushed her onto the bed, kissed her body, rubbed Victim's private areas and digitally penetrated her, then began performing oral sex on her. Deputy SJA advised no action would be taken against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
183	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she awoke to find herself being pinned down inside the car and being vaginally penetrated by Subject. Subject drove Victim to her residence where he attempted to once more to engage in sexual intercourse with her. Prosecution declined due to insufficient evidence.
184	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim woke up with an excruciating pain in her rectum and a white and sticky substance on her hair. Case closed due to insufficient evidence.
185	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - M	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense where Victim#1 was awoken by Subject pulling on his pants. Upon awakening, Victim #1 looked to the other bunk and noticed Victim #2's pants and underwear were pulled down, exposing his genitalia. Subject was tried by Special Court Martial and found to be guilty of Art 128 (Assault) and Art 134 (Drunk and Disorderly Conduct), for which he received one year confinement, reduction in rank to E-1, Bad Conduct Discharge (BCD), and forfeiture of \$964.00 per month for 12 months.
186	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense where the Victim reported Subject came to her barrack room forced her onto the bed and had sexual intercourse with her without her consent. Subject found guilty at General Courts Martial of one count each of Article 120 (Rape) and Article 134 (Adultery). Subject sentenced to 24 months confinement, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, a Bad Conduct Discharge, and lifetime sex offender registration.
187	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	Adverse Administrative Action								On-base offense where the Victim stated Subject pulled the waist band of his pants out to expose himself, pinned her against the barracks wall twice, kissed her neck and squeezed her buttocks. No judicial action was taken against Subject; however, he was counseled regarding his indecent acts and received a Page 11 entry.
188	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim took sleeping medication, an anti-depressant and a mood-stabilizer before going to sleep. Victim woke up to Subject climbing into her bed, Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis. Trial Counsel reported no judicial action would be taken against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
189	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense where the Victim reported while in the vehicle belonging to the Subject, he penetrated her vagina with his penis against her will, and ejaculated inside of her. Victim was interviewed and elected to no longer pursue charges against the Subject. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement. Command declined to pursue charges against the Subject due to the Victim's lack of participation.
190	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject forcibly placed his hands inside Victim's underwear and began digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim related she and Subject had been kissing throughout the day. MJO was briefed and stated there was no basis for a criminal charge against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
191	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where Victim reported while attending a party, she was touched on the genitals without her consent. Subject did not remember assaulting the Victim, but had been drinking heavily and blacked out twice at the party. Trial Counsel indicated no prosecution would be pursued due to insufficient evidence.
192	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Adverse Administrative Action								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke to the Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. Command would take no judicial action against Subject. A Page 11 was entered into Subject's record book for Violating Art 92 (Failure to Obey a Direct Order).
193	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Adverse Administrative Action								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim remembered being in the bathroom with the Subject, but couldn't remember what happened. The next morning Victim felt pain in her vaginal area. Investigative findings did not support an Article 32 hearing or a court martial but Subject was verbally counseled for this incident.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
194	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority-Person NOT subject to the UCMJ								On-base offense where Victims #1 & #2 reported going to the medical clinic for treatment when the Subject sexually assaulted them. Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA), San Diego, CA declined to pursue charges against Subject due to lack of sufficient evidence.
195	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Yes	Yes				On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported when she regained consciousness, she was lying in the Subject's bed naked from the waist down and the Subject was engaged in sexual intercourse with her. Art 32 hearing was held, but the case was not recommended for Court Martial proceedings. Subject received Squadron NJP for violating Squadron Order 5530.1A (Knowingly having a person of the opposite sex in his barracks room and admitting to engaging in sexual relations with said individual). As a result of the charges, Subject was awarded a reduction to E-4 and forfeiture of \$2,220.00
196	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she was raped by Subject following a night of imbibing. Victim awoke the next morning experiencing pain in her vaginal region. Trial Counsel advised all legal and investigative steps have been met. Subject's command does not pursue any criminal charges due to insufficient evidence.
197	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Male	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes	Yes			On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported being sodomized by the Subject while unconscious in his barracks room. Subject was convicted at a General Court-Martial of violation of Art 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and Art 92 (Disobeying a Lawful Order). Subject sentenced to 60 days confinement, reduction in rank to E-1, a Bad Conduct Discharge, and is required to register with the Sex Offender Registry following his release.
198	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported Subject forced himself on her penetrating her vagina with his penis without her consent. Subject pled guilty at a Summary Court-Martial for violations of Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact). Subject was sentenced to 30 days confinement, reduction in grade to E-1, and forfeiture of two-thirds pay for one month.
199a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where the Victim reported walking from her barracks room Subject#1 pulled the Victim into his room and proceeded to force her to have sexual intercourse with him. Victim later awoke to Subject#2 digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim elected not to participate in the prosecution against Subject#1 for the alleged rape against her. Command was advised that without Victim's participation, the government cannot prosecute this case. CO's decision was to drop all charges against Subject#1. Additionally, no charges will be preferred against Subject#2.
199b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where the Victim reported walking from her barracks room Subject#1 pulled the Victim into his room and proceeded to force her to have sexual intercourse with him. Victim later awoke to Subject#2 digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim elected not to participate in the prosecution against Subject#1 for the alleged rape against her. Command was advised that without Victim's participation, the government cannot prosecute this case. CO's decision was to drop all charges against Subject#1. Additionally, no charges will be preferred against Subject#2.
200	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Adverse Administrative Action								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported awaking in the Subject's room feeling as if she had been raped, wearing only a pair of socks. Evidence in support of Subject committing an act of rape against Victim was unsubstantiated. The Command additionally issued an Administrative Remarks Counseling on Victim for underage drinking.
201	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	Adverse Administrative Action								On-base offense where the Victim stated that when she was leaving the building, via the stairwell, the Subject wrapped his arms around her, kissed her neck and rubbed her buttocks. Subject was counseled by Command on this incident.
202	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she briefly awoke to see the Subject naked, kneeling on top of her and holding his erect penis. SJA and the Commanding Officer advised no prosecution would be sought due to a lack of evidence. The CO further stated he would counsel Subject surrounding his off duty activities.
203	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Administrative discharges								Off-base offense where Command received a letter from Victim stating the Subject had raped her. Command was apprised of case status and elected to allow Subject to be discharged normally from the Marine Corps; San Diego County District Attorney's Office (SDCCDAO) subsequently declined prosecution in this case.
204	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where the Victim reported Subject had non-consensual sex with her in his barracks room. Victim advised she no longer thought she was raped and no longer wanted to cooperate with this investigation. Victim and Subject were later counseled not adhering to established barracks regulations.
205	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority-Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her against her will. Subject was to be adjudicated by civilian authorities; would not be administratively separated from the Marine Corps but allowed to separate at the end of his End of Active Service (EAS) with an "under other than honorable" discharge.
206	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where the Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis, penetrated her anus with his fingers, performed oral copulation on her, and forced her to perform oral copulation on him against her will. Subject went to Non-Judicial Punishment for a violation of Article 134 (Adultery). Subject was awarded 30 days extra duty, a reduction in grade to E-2, and forfeiture of \$822.00 pay per month for two months. The reduction in grade and forfeiture of pay was suspended for six months.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
207	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Subject unknown								On-base offense where the Victim reported Subject held his hand over her mouth, inserted his other hand up Victim's shirt and touched her breast. Victim believed the aforementioned male to be a Marine who occupies a room "two or three doors down (the catwalk)" from Victim. Investigation is closed, due to lack of viable subject.
208	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Subject forced Victim onto his bed, held her, and began kissing her. Subject then placed his hand down Victim's pants. Following an Article 32 hearing, Command indicated insufficient evidence to move forward with charges.
209	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off base offense where the Victim alleged Subject touched her breasts over her clothes, put his hand inside her underwear and fondled her genital area. Subject was found guilty by a Japanese court and sentenced to confinement in Japan. Subject will be processed for separation from the U.S. Marine Corps prior to his release from Japanese custody.
210	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Adverse Administrative Action								On-base offense where the Victim was awakened by the Subject who reportedly placed her on the floor and inserted his fingers into her vagina and placed her hand on his penis. The Victim was in and out of consciousness due to the Advil PM. Commanding Officer issued Subject a Non-Punitive Counseling letter for the incident.
211	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On base offense involving alcohol where a witness reported she observed Subject having sexual intercourse with an intoxicated and incapacitated Victim. Victim declined to participate in the prosecution of the Subject.
212	Abusive Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off base offense involving alcohol where the Victim did not know if she had been sexually assaulted and reported the incident due to her lapse in memory. Command declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
213	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Yes					On base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported waking up she found her shorts were inside out and on backwards, and the crotch area was wet but had no recollection of the assault. Subject pled guilty to a Regimental Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) for a violation of Art 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact). Subject forfeited \$1,061.00 for two months.
214	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off base offense where the Victim stated she met the Subject with the intention of engaging in sexual acts with him, to include vaginal intercourse. Victim then stated she repeatedly told Subject to stop while engaging in sexual intercourse. Command declined to pursue charges against the Subject due to insufficient evidence and the lack of Victim's participation.
215	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-9	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Adverse Administrative Action								Off base offense involving alcohol Victim stated that she blacked out and remembered nothing until waking up naked in bed with Subject the next morning. Subject pled guilty to violations of UCMJ Articles 92 (Violation of a lawful general order by fraternizing with a junior enlisted female Marine) and 134 (Adultery). Subject was found guilty on both violations awarded a Punitive Letter of Reprimand and forfeiture of half a month's pay for two months (suspended).
216a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim engaged in nonconsensual sexual intercourse with Subjects, but has no memory of assault. Subject#1 was found guilty at a battalion level Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, Forfeiture of \$822.00 per month for two months and 45 days restriction and extra duties. Subject #2 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-3, Forfeiture of \$975.00 per month for two months and 30 days restriction and extra duties. Subject#3 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-3, Forfeiture of \$919.00 per month for two months and 30 days restriction and extra duties. Subject #4 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, Forfeiture of \$822.00 per month for two months and 45 days restriction and extra duties.
216b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim engaged in nonconsensual sexual intercourse with Subjects, but has no memory of assault. Subject#1 was found guilty at a battalion level Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, Forfeiture of \$822.00 per month for two months and 45 days restriction and extra duties. Subject #2 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-3, Forfeiture of \$975.00 per month for two months and 30 days restriction and extra duties. Subject#3 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-3, Forfeiture of \$919.00 per month for two months and 30 days restriction and extra duties. Subject #4 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, Forfeiture of \$822.00 per month for two months and 45 days restriction and extra duties.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
216c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim engaged in nonconsensual sexual intercourse with Subjects, but has no memory of assault. Subject#1 was found guilty at a battalion level Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, Forfeiture of \$822.00 per month for two months and 45 days restriction and extra duties. Subject #2 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-3, Forfeiture of \$975.00 per month for two months and 30 days restriction and extra duties. Subject#3 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-3, Forfeiture of \$919.00 per month for two months and 30 days restriction and extra duties. Subject #4 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, Forfeiture of \$822.00 per month for two months and 45 days restriction and extra duties.
216d	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim engaged in nonconsensual sexual intercourse with Subjects, but has no memory of assault. Subject#1 was found guilty at a battalion level Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, Forfeiture of \$822.00 per month for two months and 45 days restriction and extra duties. Subject #2 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-3, Forfeiture of \$975.00 per month for two months and 30 days restriction and extra duties. Subject#3 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-3, Forfeiture of \$919.00 per month for two months and 30 days restriction and extra duties. Subject #4 was found guilty at a battalion level NJP of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery) and was awarded a reduction in rank to E-2, Forfeiture of \$822.00 per month for two months and 45 days restriction and extra duties.
217	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-5	Male	Foreign National	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off base offense where the Victim, a German National, filed a complaint stating that during the evening while on the street Subject had forcibly touched her breast area under her shirt and kissed her on the neck and face. Subject later provided a sworn statement wherein he denied touching Victim inappropriately. Command declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
218	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Male	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							On base offense involving alcohol where the Victim stated when he went to use the bathroom, the Subject reached in and grabbed Victim's penis. Subject was found not guilty of Art 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and Art 134 (Unlawful Entry) at a General Court-Martial.
219	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Male	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes		Yes	Yes			Off base offense involving alcohol where the Victim passed out in a hotel room and was later awakened by witnesses who advised him that the Subject may have sexually assaulted him. Subject pled guilty at General Courts-Martial for violating Art 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact). Subject was awarded BCD, 135 days confinement, reduction to E-1, and a reprimand. Subject also required to register as a sexual offender.
220a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported being sexually assaulted by Subjects after a barbeque. The Victim awoke to Subject#1 taking off her shorts, digitally penetrating her vagina and performing cunnilingus on her. Subject#2 stated he also digitally penetrated the Victim. Subject #1 found not guilty at General Court-Martial. Subject#2 did not get to General Court-Martial as Victim did not want to testify. Subject #2 received a letter of reprimand.
220b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	2011-3	Adverse Administrative Action								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported being sexually assaulted by Subjects after a barbeque. The Victim awoke to Subject#1 taking off her shorts, digitally penetrating her vagina and performing cunnilingus on her. Subject#2 stated he also digitally penetrated the Victim. Subject #1 found not guilty at General Court-Martial. Subject#2 did not get to General Court-Martial as Victim did not want to testify. Subject #2 received a letter of reprimand.
221	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	O-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Unknown location where the Victim reported while involved in a romantic relationship with the Subject, she was forcibly raped by him. CO, related no administrative or legal action against Subject would be pursued due to insufficient evidence.
222	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Off base offense where Victim stated she woke to Subject over her bed with his hand under her shirt and bra fondling her breast. Subject mounted her and began "dry humping" her before pulling down her pants and inserting his erect penis into her vagina. The case was investigated and preferred to courts-martial. The Subject was found not guilty of all charges.
223a	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where the Victim stated both Subjects removed her clothing against her will until she was completely naked. The Victim reported during this time, they both also rubbed her breasts, genitals, and other parts of her body against her will. Both Subjects suddenly stopped tickling her and released her for unknown reasons. Victim signed a VPS indicating she no longer wished to participated in the investigation or judicial action.
223b	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense where the Victim stated both Subjects removed her clothing against her will until she was completely naked. The Victim reported during this time, they both also rubbed her breasts, genitals, and other parts of her body against her will. Both Subjects suddenly stopped tickling her and released her for unknown reasons. Victim signed a VPS indicating she no longer wished to participated in the investigation or judicial action.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
224	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense where the Victim reported that the Subject held her down on the bed, unzipped his pants, pulled her dress up, pushed her panties to the side exposing her vagina and inserted his penis inside her. All logical investigative endeavors in this case have been completed. Command revealed no judicial/administrative action would be taken against the Subject due to insufficient evidence.
225	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim awoke to her shorts removed and underpants pushed to the side while the Subject was penetrating her vagina with his penis. An MPO was subsequently issued against the Subject. Both the Victim's and the Subject's commands were apprised of the initiation of the investigation. Victim later declined to participate in the investigation.
226	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Insufficient evidence of any offense								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim and Subject engaged in consensual sexual intercourse. Victim advised she awoke to the Subject engaged in a sexual act without her consent. Command indicated no administrative or judicial action would be taken against the Subject due to insufficient evidence and Victim's lack of cooperation.
227	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Victim declined to participate in the military justice action								On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported she awoke naked in her bedroom upstairs, with a burning sensation she felt while urinating throughout the day. Victim did not wish to participate in any administrative/adjudicative action against the Subject; command did not pursue action against the Subject.
228	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-2	Male	Foreign National	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense where the Victim reported being held down and raped by the Subject. The Victim had engaged previously in consensual sexual intercourse with the Subject. Command declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
229	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Off-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim stated Subject claimed he had sex with her while attending a party. Victim had no recollection of the sexual encounter. EO related the command will not pursue charges against Subject due to lack of evidence.
230	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	O-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Insufficient evidence of any offense								Unknown location involving alcohol where the Victim stated she recalled she was lying in a field with the Subject, who was performing oral sex on her. She stated she went back to Subject's house and engaged in various sexual acts to include intercourse. Command declined to take administrative or judicial action against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
231	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Off-base offense where the Victim reported the Subject followed her into the women's restroom and pushed her against the wall, lifted her dress and grabbed her buttocks. Subject was charged with violations of the Virginia Code 18.2-67.4 in the Fredericksburg General District Court. Subject pled guilty to assault & battery-simple and was sentenced to 30 days suspended.
232	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense where the Victim reported being sexually assaulted by the Subject on multiple occasions during unit training. An Article 32 hearing determined there was insufficient evidence to refer Subject's case to a trial by courts-martial. Command reported no further action will be taken against Subject.
233	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Civilian or Foreign Authority- Person subject to the UCMJ								Unknown location where the Command reported Subject engaged in a sexual relationship with the Victim who was 16 years old at the time. Subject is under investigation by local authorities of statutory rape. Subject was adjudicated in state court, pled guilty and was sentenced to 12 months probation, 50hrs of community service, \$400.00 in fines, and no contact with the Victim.
234	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Unknown location where the Victim reported Subject forcefully touched her pubic region and penetrated her vagina with his finger. During an ensuing struggle, she inadvertently touched the Subject's penis after realizing he had exposed himself. Subject went to GCM and found guilty of the following UCMJ violations: Article 80 (Attempted Adultery), two specifications of Article 120 (Indecent Acts), and two specifications of Article 128 (Assault Consummated by a Battery). Subject was awarded a Bad Conduct Discharge, confinement of 18 months, reduction in rate to E-1, and forfeiture of all pay and allowances.
235	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Acquittal							Unknown location where the Victim advised the Subject squeezed her breasts and squeezed her buttocks above her clothing on several occasions and on one occasion, forced her hand onto his erect penis above his clothing. Victim declined to continue with investigation. Subject was found not guilty of false official statement and violating MPO at Special Courts-Martial.
236	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Dismissal							On-base offense where the Victim reported awoke naked from the waist down, feeling as if she had sexual intercourse, believing the Subject had raped her while she was sleeping. An Article 32 hearing was held and Command elected not to take any action against the Subject.
237	Abusive Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-8	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes				Unknown location involving alcohol where the Victim reported she awoke when she felt an individual she believed to be her husband fondle her breasts and put their hand under her clothing near her vaginal area and her buttocks. The Victim said she rolled over and observed the Subject running out of the bedroom. Subject was found guilty at a General Court Martial of Article 120 (Abusive Sexual Contact) and Article 134 (Drunk and Disorderly). Subject was awarded reduction to E-6, a fine of \$500 per month for four months, restriction to Camp Courtney and hard labor.
238	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where the Victim sought counseling to report she was raped in the barrack one year earlier. She declined to be interviewed and executed a Victim Preference letter. Subject received a forfeiture of \$1,875.00 pay for two months, suspended for six (6) months. In addition, Subject also received a Letter of Reprimand outlining his guilty plea for violating UCMJ Article 92 by fraternizing with a junior Marine.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Confinement or Restriction	Fines and Forfeitures	Reduction in Rank	Court-Martial Discharge	Adverse Administrative Action Type	Administrative Discharge Type	Case Synopsis
239	Forcible Sodomy (Article 125, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Male	Q2	Court-Martial Charges Preferred	Conviction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			On-base offense involving alcohol where the Victim reported he was awakened in his assigned barracks room to find the Subject performing fellatio on him. Subject appeared before a General Court-Martial, wherein he was found guilty of violating (2) counts of Articles 92 (Violation of a lawful general order), Article 125 (Sodomy), and (2) counts of Article 120 (Indecent Acts); Subject was sentenced to 9 months confinement, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances for 9 months, and a Bad Conduct Discharge.
240	Indecent Assault (Article 134, UCMJ for incidents occurring prior to FY08)	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Male	Q2	Adverse Administrative Action								On-base offense where the Victim reported Subject placed his testicles on the Victim's face while he slept in the lounge of an unknown barracks. Subject received an official counseling regarding this investigation.
241	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Article 120, UCMJ)	OCONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense								On-base offense where the Victim reported the Subject groped her breast. Subject accepted Non-Judicial Punishment for having violated Article 128 (Assault) and Article 134 (Drunk and Disorderly Conduct). Subject was awarded forfeiture of \$2,042.00 for two months and 60 days restriction.
242	Rape (Article 120, UCMJ)	CONUS	W-2	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Yes	Yes					Off-base offense where the Victim reported the Officer in Charge (Subject) entered her hotel room and coerced her into having sexual intercourse with him. Subject received Non-Judicial Punishment where he was found guilty for violations of Article 92 (Failure to Obey a Lawful Order), Article 133 (Conduct Unbecoming an Officer and Gentleman), and Article 134 (Adultery). Subject was awarded a punitive letter of reprimand, forfeiture of one-half pay per month for two months, and restriction to the limits of the base for 60 days.

>>> THIS SURVEY IS VOLUNTARY <<<

Informed Consent and Privacy Act Statement

You are being invited to take part in a research study titled *“Preliminary Study of Sexual Assault Attitudes and Behaviors at Naval Station Great Lakes”* by the DON Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (DON SAPRO). Your decision to take part is voluntary and you may refuse to take part, or choose to stop taking part, at any time. A decision not to take part or to stop being a part of the research project will not negatively impact you in any way.

Authority to request this information is granted under Title 5, U.S. Code 301, and Department of the Navy Regulations.

PURPOSE: The purpose of this questionnaire is to collect data to evaluate existing and proposed Navy personnel policies, procedures, and programs.

ROUTINE USES: The information provided in this questionnaire will be analyzed by DON SAPRO. The data files will be maintained by DON SAPRO where they may be used for determining attitudes and behaviors at TSC-Great Lakes.

ANONYMITY: All responses will be held in confidence by DON SAPRO. Information you provide will be considered only when statistically summarized with the responses of others, and will not be attributable to any single individual.

PARTICIPATION: Completion of this questionnaire is entirely voluntary. Failure to respond to any of the questions will NOT result in any penalties except possible lack of representation of your views in the final results and outcomes.

QUESTIONS: If you have any questions about this research study, contact the Project Director at 703-695-4211. If you have any questions regarding Human Subjects issues, contact the NPRST Protection of Human Subjects Committee, DSN 882-4994, COM (901) 874-4994 or email nprstirb@navy.mil.

NPRST PHS STATEMENT: This study has been reviewed by the Navy Personnel Research, Studies & Technology Division’s Protection of Human Subjects (PHS) Committee of the Bureau of Naval Personnel. For any questions about research subject’s rights, call NPRST PHS at (901) 874-4994, e-mail nprstirb@navy.mil.

Do you agree to participate in this study? YES NO

Instructions: Read and answer each statement carefully. Make sure your answers are clearly marked.

1. **Gender:** Male Female

2. **Age:** 17-20 21-24 25-30 31-35 36+

3. **Rank:** E1 E2 E3 E4 E5-6 E7-9 WO2-5 O1-6

4. **Length of time assigned to TSC (months):** 1-3 4-6 7-9 10-12 More than one year

For items 5- 18 please select the only one answer per item.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
5. If I got involved in preventing a potential sexual assault situation, my friends/classmates would admire me.	<input type="radio"/>				
6. If I got involved to prevent a potential sexual assault situation, my friends/classmates would be annoyed with me.	<input type="radio"/>				
7. If I got involved to prevent a potential sexual assault situation, my friends/classmates would support me.	<input type="radio"/>				
8. Most of my friends/classmates would admire people who got involved to prevent an unwanted sexual situation.	<input type="radio"/>				
9. Most of my friends/classmates would be annoyed if someone tried to intervene in an unwanted sexual situation.	<input type="radio"/>				
10. I would say or do something to prevent a sexual assault if I knew the potential victim.	<input type="radio"/>				
11. I would say or do something to prevent sexual assault if I did not know the potential victim.	<input type="radio"/>				
12. I would say or do something to prevent sexual assault if I did not like the potential victim.	<input type="radio"/>				
13. I would say or do something to prevent sexual assault if I did not know the potential offender.	<input type="radio"/>				
14. I have the skills to intervene to prevent a potential sexual assault situation.	<input type="radio"/>				
15. I drink alcohol on a weekly basis.	<input type="radio"/>				
16. My friends/classmates drink alcohol on a weekly basis.	<input type="radio"/>				
17. If I went out of my way to help a drunken sailor, my friends/classmates would admire me.	<input type="radio"/>				
18. If I went out of my way to help a drunken sailor, my friends/classmates would be annoyed with me.	<input type="radio"/>				
19. If I thought someone's drinking might lead to a dangerous situation, I would not say or do anything if other people were unconcerned.	<input type="radio"/>				

20. Since you were assigned to TSC, have you experienced any of the following intentional sexual contacts that were against your will or which occurred when you did not or could not consent in which someone...(Select all that apply)

- Sexually touched you (e.g., intentional touching of genitalia, breast or buttocks) or made you touch them?
- Attempted to make you have sexual intercourse, but was not successful?
- Made you have sexual intercourse?
- Attempted to make you perform or receive oral sex, anal sex or penetration by a finger or object, but was not successful?
- Made you perform or receive oral sex, anal sex or penetration by a finger or object?
- I have not had any of these experiences

IF YOU INDICATED IN ITEM 20 THAT YOU HAVE NOT HAD ANY OF THESE EXPERIENCES THAN PLEASE SKIP TO ITEM 24 ALL OTHERS, PLEASE CONTINUE TO ITEM 21

21. You indicated in the previous question that you have experienced some form of intentional sexual contact. When did the most serious unwanted sexual contact occur?

- While at TSC before my current training course started (e.g., waiting to class up)
- During the first month of my current training
- After the first month of my current training

22. Which authorities, if any, was this incident reported? (Select all that apply)

- Chain of Command
- SAPR Advocate/SAPR Coordinator, SARC
- Medical personnel
- Naval Criminal Investigative Service/Military Police/Base Security
- Other
- No one – I did not report the incident

23. If you decided not to report your sexual assault incident, what was the reason? (Select all that apply)

- Threatened with retaliation from my assailant
- Feared ostracism, harassment, or ridicule by peers
- Feared public disclosure of assault
- Shame embarrassment
- Feared people would not believe me
- I thought nothing would be done
- I thought I could deal with it myself
- I thought I would be labeled a troublemaker
- I was underage drinking and afraid of getting in trouble
- Other (describe in comment box below)

24. Comments: Please provide any comments, recommendations or concerns regarding the survey topic.

Survey on Sexual Assault 2011

Please read and answer each question honestly. How you answer certain question will determine your movement through the survey. At the bottom of each page select the "**Next**" button to move to the next page of the survey. The "**Save**" button will be available after the first page. The save button allows the survey taker to stop and save the survey so you may resume at a later time.

1. Age:

- m 17-19
- m 20-22
- m 23-25
- m 26-28
- m 29-31
- m 32-35
- m 35-40
- m 41-45
- m 46-50
- m 51-55
- m 56-60
- m 61+

2. Gender:

- m Male
- m Female

3. Are you Hispanic or Latin?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Prefer not to answer

4. Which of the following best describes your race?

- m African American - Black
- m Caucasian - White
- m American Indian, Native American, Alaskan Native
- m Asian/Asian American
- m Native Hawaii or Pacific Islander
- m Other
- m Prefer not to answer

5. Service:

- m Navy
- m Marine Corps
- m Civilian

6. Rank:

- m E1
- m E2
- m E3
- m E4
- m E5
- m E6
- m E7
- m E8
- m E9
- m WO2-W05
- m O1
- m O2
- m O3
- m O4
- m O5
- m O6
- m O7+

7. Years of service:

- m 1-2
- m 3-4
- m 5-6
- m 7-8
- m 9-10
- m 11-15
- m 16-20
- m 21-25
- m 26-30
- m 31+

8. My current status is:

- m Active Duty
- m Activated Reserve
- m Reserve

9. I am currently located at:

- m Groton
- m Newport
- m National Capitol Region
- m Norfolk/Tidewater
- m Charleston
- m Camp Lejeune/Cherry Point
- m Beaufort/Parris Island
- m Jacksonville/Mayport/Kings Bay

- m Pensacola
- m Great Lakes
- m Gulf Coast
- m Corpus Christi
- m PACNORWEST/Puget Sound
- m Lemoore
- m Port Hueneme
- m San Diego
- m Camp Pendleton/MCAS Miramar
- m 29 Palms
- m Yuma (AZ)
- m Hawaii
- m Africa
- m Europe
- m Iraq/Afghanistan
- m Persian Gulf
- m Guam
- m Diego Garcia
- m Japan
- m Korea
- m Okinawa
- m Other _____

10. I am currently assigned to:

- m Ship
- m Shore/Installation
- m Submarine
- m Training Command
- m Hospital/Clinic
- m Air Wing/Squadron
- m Division/Battalion
- m Other _____

11. Please select from the list below the best answer that describes your civilian status:

- m GS/WG/NAF employee
- m Contractor
- m Volunteer
- m Spouse of Active or Reserve member of USN or USMC
- m Child who is over the age of 18, of Active or Reserve member of USN or USMC
- m Other

12. Does your command have a Victim Advocate (VA)/Uniformed Victim Advocate (UVA)?

- Yes
- No
- Not sure

13. Do you know who your command VA/UVA is?

- Yes
- No
- Not sure

14. How long ago did you last receive training on Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR)?

- 3 months ago
- 6 months ago
- 9 months ago
- 12 months ago
- No, I have not received this training

15. Do you know what services SAPR offers?

- Yes
- No
- Not sure

16. Do you know the difference between a "Restricted Report" and an "Unrestricted Report"?

- Yes
- No
- Not sure

17. Have you ever called your VA/UVA or Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC)?

- Yes
- No

18. Were you able to reach your VA/UVA or SARC?

- Yes
- No
- Not sure
- Not applicable

19. If you were not able to reach your SARC or VA/UVA, what was the reason?

- Could not find the number
- Incorrect number
- Disconnected number

- m No return call
- m Not applicable
- m Other _____

20. Have you seen posters about sexual assault prevention at your command?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure

21. Are they effective in communicating their message to you?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure
- m Have not seen a poster

22. Do you believe your chain of command is adequately trained to assist sexual assault victims?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure

23. For each of the following statements select only one answer per row.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
Sexual assault is a problem at my command.	m	m	m	m	m
If I got involved in preventing a sexual assault (i.e., "step in" or "speak up"), most of my peers would admire me.	m	m	m	m	m
I would admire a peer who got involved in preventing a sexual assault.	m	m	m	m	m
If I got involved in preventing a sexual assault (i.e., "step in" or "speak up"), most of my peers would support me.	m	m	m	m	m

I would support a peer who got involved in preventing a sexual assault.

m m m m m

I would say or do something to prevent a sexual assault if I knew the potential offender.

m m m m m

Most of my peers would say or do something to prevent a sexual assault if they knew the potential offender.

m m m m m

I would say or do something to prevent a sexual assault if I did not know the potential victim.

m m m m m

Most of my peers would say or do something to prevent a sexual

m m m m m

assault if they did not know the potential victim.

I would say m m m m m

or do something to prevent a sexual assault if I knew the potential victim.

Most of my peers would say m m m m m

or do something to prevent a sexual assault if they knew the potential victim.

I would say m m m m m

or do something to prevent a sexual assault if I did not know the potential offender.

Most of my peers would say m m m m m

or do something to prevent a sexual assault if they did

not know
the
potential
offender.

I have the skills to intervene in a sexual assault. m m m m m

Most of my peers have the skills to intervene in a sexual assault. m m m m m

24. Since you have been in the Navy/Marine Corps, has anyone ever intervened on your behalf to prevent you from being sexually assaulted?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure

25. Has anyone ever intervened to prevent a sexual assault on behalf of someone you know?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure

26. In your opinion, is the number of sexual assaults increasing at your command?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure

27. In your opinion, is the number of sexual assaults increasing the Navy/Marine Corps?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure

28. Since you have been in the Navy/Marine Corps, have you experienced any of the following intentional sexual contacts by someone against your will or when you did not or could not consent?

Sexually touched you (i.e., intentional touching of your genitalia, breast, buttocks) or made you touch them.

Attempted to make you have sexual intercourse, but was not successful.

Made you have sexual intercourse.

Attempted to make you perform or receive oral sex, anal sex or penetration by a finger or object, but was not successful.

Made you perform or receive oral sex, anal sex or penetration by a finger or object.

I have not had any of these experiences.

29. Within the LAST 12 MONTHS, have you experienced any of the following intentional sexual contacts by someone against your will or when you did not or could not consent?

- Sexually touched you (i.e., intentional touching of your genitalia, breast, buttocks) or made you touch them.
- Attempted to make you have sexual intercourse, but was not successful.
- Made you have sexual intercourse.
- Attempted to make you perform or receive oral sex, anal sex or penetration by a finger or object, but was not successful.
- Made you perform or receive oral sex, anal sex or penetration by a finger or object.
- I have not had any of these experiences in the last 12 months.

You have indicated that you have been a victim intentional sexual contact against your will in the last 12 months. Please answer the next set of questions in regards to that act of intentional sexual contact that was the most serious of the incidents you selected.

30. In what location did the incident happen?

- m Groton
- m Newport
- m National Capitol Region
- m Norfolk/Tidewater
- m Charleston
- m Camp Lejeune/Cherry Point
- m Beaufort/Parris Island
- m Jacksonville/Mayport/Kings Bay
- m Pensacola
- m Great Lakes
- m Gulf Coast
- m Corpus Christi
- m PACNORWEST/Puget Sound
- m Lemoore
- m Port Hueneme
- m San Diego
- m Camp Pendleton/MCAS Miramar
- m 29 Palms
- m Yuma (AZ)
- m Hawaii
- m Africa
- m Europe
- m Iraq/Afghanistan
- m Persian Gulf
- m Guam
- m Diego Garcia
- m Japan
- m Korea
- m Okinawa
- m Other _____

31. Where did the incident happen?

- m On base (i.e., barracks)
- m Bar
- m Off base (i.e., residence)
- m Ship
- m Hotel/motel
- m Other _____

32. Was alcohol involved?

- m Yes

- m No
- m Not sure

33. Were drugs involved?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure

34. Was there a witness?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure

35. Were there multiple offenders?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure

36. Who was the offender?

- m Acquaintance/friend
- m Intimate partner
- m Stranger/Someone I did not know
- m Co-worker
- m Supervisor
- m Unknown/I did not see who assaulted me
- m Other _____

37. Was your offender a member of the U.S. armed service?

- m Yes
- m No
- m Not sure

38. What happened to the offender?

- m Nothing happened to the offender
- m Offender was acquitted
- m Offender was convicted
- m Offender was transferred/PCS
- m Offender was not identified
- m Not sure
- m Other _____

39. In the past 12 months, how many incidents of sexual assault have you personally experienced?

- m 1
- m 2
- m 3

- m 4
- m 5+

40. In the past 12 months, how many incidents of sexual assault have you witnessed?

- m 1
- m 2
- m 3
- m 4
- m 5+

41. What medical care did you receive after the incident? (Select all that apply)

- Check for injuries
- Check for Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD's)
- Pregnancy prevention
- Forensic evidence collection (DNA test)
- I did not go to medical
- Other _____

42. Who did you tell or report incident to? (Select all that apply)

- Chain of command
- SARC, Victim Advocate, Uniformed Victim Advocate
- Fleet Family Service Center
- On base medical
- Off base medical
- NCIS
- Military Police/Base security
- Civilian law enforcement
- Hotline
- Family member
- Friend
- No one
- Other _____

43. Which one of the following comes closest to your command's response after the incident?

- m My command believed me and took steps to support me
- m My command did not believe me and did not support me
- m My command did not know about my incident
- m Other _____

44. Do you feel that the support services listed treated you with respect and met your needs?

- Yes No Not Sure Did not utilize

Chain of Command	m	m	m	m
SARC	m	m	m	m
VA/UVA	m	m	m	m
Medical	m	m	m	m
Chaplain	m	m	m	m
Military law enforcement/Legal	m	m	m	m
Civilian law enforcement/Legal	m	m	m	m

45. You decided not to report the Sexual Assault Incident because: (Select all that apply)

- Threatened with retaliation from my assailant
- Feared ostracism, harassment, or ridicule by peers
- Feared public disclosure of assault
- I did not want my family/friends to know
- I thought nothing would be done
- I thought I could deal with it myself
- I took care of it myself
- I thought I would be labeled a "troublemaker"
- I did not know how to report
- I was underage drinking and afraid of getting into trouble
- I was fraternizing and afraid of getting disciplined
- Other _____

46. Did anyone in a position of authority retaliate against you for reporting your incident (i.e., unwarranted punishment, demotion, reassignment, withholding of favorable duty position, slander, etc.)?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know or Not sure

47. Please provide any comments, recommendations or concerns.
